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ABSTRACT

Data was collected from two men who attempted an 80 km run. Measurements of aerobic power (\(\text{VO}_2\) max) and determinations of heart rate (HR) and submaximal oxygen consumption (\(\text{VO}_2\)) during treadmill running were carried out one week before the run. Throughout the 80 km run, HR was recorded by telemetry and used together with the laboratory data to estimate \(\text{VO}_2\) as a percentage of \(\text{VO}_2\) max. One subject completed the 80 km distance at 58% of \(\text{VO}_2\) max, the other subject, operating at 74% of \(\text{VO}_2\) max, was obliged to retire after 55 km. The data in this and other studies indicate that the high energy costs reported for the marathon (70-85% of \(\text{VO}_2\) max) cannot be sustained over the 80 km distance but that about 60% of \(\text{VO}_2\) max can be continued for seven hours and longer.

INTRODUCTION

Whereas there may be a biomechanical limit for running speed, the extent of human endurance has not yet been defined when performance is measured in terms of the distance covered at a given speed. Athletes now compete regularly in “ultramaraathons” of 80 km and 160 km with new records established every year. Success in these events requires not only a high aerobic power (\(\text{VO}_2\) max) but also the ability to utilize a high percentage of \(\text{VO}_2\) max for prolonged periods.

Although there have been many investigations of the marathon event (Costill and Fox 1969, Costill et al 1971, Maron et al 1976, Wyndham et al 1968, O’Hara et al 1977), there is little published data concerning the performance of runners over longer distances, (O’Hara et al 1977). This paper presents a study of two runners who attempted the 80 km distance and compares their energy expenditures with values reported for the marathon (Costill and Fox 1969, Costill et al 1971, Maron et al 1976) and for the 160 km distance (O’Hara et al 1977). The specific objective was to determine whether the high energy expenditures reported for the marathon event in the above three papers could be sustained over longer distances.

METHOD

Two men ‘W’ and ‘G’, aged 37 and 32 years, respectively, had been involved as athletes in long distance running for about 10 years and had competed in several marathons (42.4 km). This was the first time that either of them had attempted the 80 km distance.

Preliminary testing was carried out in the laboratory about one week before the 80 km run. Aerobic power (\(\text{VO}_2\) max) was measured on each subject using a treadmill, the Beckman Metabolic Measurement Cart (Wilmore et al 1976) and a Cambridge ECG. They both achieved \(\text{VO}_2\) max at 16 km/hr, ‘W’ with a 2% and ‘G’ with a 4% grade. Data collected during submaximal treadmill running was used to determine the relationship between oxygen consumption and heart rate for each subject.

The 80 km run was staged to raise money for charity and was not a competitive event. They ran on a level road surface; the lap distance was 390 metres and a total of 205 laps constituted the 80 km distance. Lap times were recorded for each runner. The run was held in November starting at 08.30 hr. Ambient temperature and weather conditions throughout the day were recorded and the core temperature of each subject was measured using a radio pill (Ackles et al 1976) swallowed 20 minutes before the run. Core temperatures were measured one hour after the start of the run and at hourly intervals thereafter. The subjects were provided ad libitum with a commercially available drink containing glucose and electrolytes, “Body Punch”*. During the run, heart rate was recorded every 2-3 laps using a Siemens Telecunst 36 telemetry system. The laboratory data and heart rates measured during the run allowed an estimate of the energy cost of the run.

RESULTS

Aerobic power for subjects ‘W’ and ‘G’ was 61.3 and 68.5 ml/kg min, respectively. The relationship between heart rate (HR) and oxygen consumption (\(\text{VO}_2\)) during submaximal treadmill running is shown by the equations:

\[
\text{VO}_2 = 0.48 \text{HR} - 29.78 \text{ for subject 'W'},
\]

\[
\text{VO}_2 = 0.72 \text{HR} - 71.9 \text{ for subject 'G'}.
\]
Since the weather conditions were wet for much of the 80 km run and ambient temperatures were between 15–18 °C for the entire period, the runners did not have to contend with any environmental heat stress, the highest core temperature recorded being 38.3 °C (Table 1). Body weights measured before and after the run indicated that subject ‘W’ lost 1.8 kg and subject ‘G’ lost 2.6 kg.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elapsed Time (hours)</th>
<th>Core temperature (°C) Subject 'W'</th>
<th>Core temperature (°C) Subject 'G'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Running speeds for both subjects declined as distance covered increased (Fig 1). Subject 'W' had an average running speed of 12.1 km/hr until he was forced to retire after 140 laps (54.6 km). Subject 'G' completed the 80 km distance at an average speed of 11.6 km/hr and his total time was 7 hr 19 min, including brief stops to adjust monitoring equipment, to drink and to relieve himself. His actual running time was 6 hr 55 min. The heart rates for both runners (Fig 2) fluctuated much more than their running speeds and did not show the same declining trend with distance run.

The energy cost of the run was estimated from heart rate and expressed as a percentage of VO₂ max (Fig 3). Although running speed and heart rate (Figs 1 and 2) were only slightly different for the two runners, the energy cost as a percentage of VO₂ max was strikingly higher for subject 'W' who ran at an average of 74% of his VO₂ max until he retired. Subject 'G', on the other hand, ran at an average of 70% of his VO₂ max. The graphs show the fluctuations in heart rate (Fig 2) and energy cost (Fig 3) throughout the run.
hand, completed the 80 km distance at an average of 58% of his maximum capacity.

DISCUSSION

While subject 'G' completed all 205 laps, subject 'W' was forced to retire after 140 laps. The explanation for the success of subject 'G' is most clearly indicated in Fig 3 which clearly shows that he was operating at a considerably lower percentage of his VO₂ max. Whereas subject 'W' was unable to sustain 74% of VO₂ max for more than 54.6 km (140 laps), subject G could maintain a running pace that represented 58% of his maximum capacity for the entire distance.

In this study, heart rate was used as an indirect measure of oxygen consumption and should therefore reflect variations in running speed. The observation that heart rate did not decrease with running speed (Figs 1 and 2) may have been due to hyperthermia or some departure from homeostasis. On the other hand, the changes in core temperature and body weight were not sufficiently different in the two runners to explain why subject 'W' was unable to complete the 80 km distance. The limiting factor in prolonged exercise is often the supply of metabolic fuel and the rate at which glycogen is depleted is a function of the intensity of exercise (Hermansen et al 1967). Subject 'G', running at only 58% of his VO₂ max, was probably able to make more efficient use of his muscle glycogen stores than subject 'W' operating at a much higher intensity.

Although Costill et al (1971) found no correlation between VO₂ max and best marathon performance for 27 runners, all of them had high values for VO₂ max ranging from 63.5 to 78.1 ml/kg min. In this study, only subject 'G' had a VO₂ max which was within this range. Superior performance in long distance running requires both a high VO₂ max and the capacity to utilize a high percentage of VO₂ max for long periods of time (Costill and Fox 1969). Maron et al (1976) reported that the energy expended during a marathon event represents 70-85% of VO₂ max and O'Hara et al (1977) found that the energy expenditure by a subject who ran 160 km in 19 hours and 37 min was 59% of his VO₂ max. Although subject 'G' expended only 58% of his maximum capacity, he was sure that he had not fully extended himself. This seems likely since he was attempting the 80 km distance for the first time and lacked the stimulus of athletic competition.

The data presented in this study indicate that the high energy cost reported for the classical marathon event (Costill and Fox 1969, Costill et al 1971, Maron et al 1976), representing 70–85% of VO₂ max, cannot be sustained over longer distances. On the other hand, energy expenditures which are equivalent to about 60% of VO₂ max can be continued for seven hours and much longer (O'Hara et al 1977)
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