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ABSTRACT

The physical work capacity, body composition, and physiological characteristics of 12 law enforcement officers (9 males, 3 females) were measured. Subjects included a representative sample from the occupational categories of detective, staff, investigative and patrol officer. Mean maximal oxygen uptake of the men was 42.1 ± 8.9 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ with mean values of 41.5 ± 8.7 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ for the women. Measurement of body composition indicated an average of 24.4 ± 7.1% body fat for the men and 30.9 ± 1.2% for the women. Muscular power, strength, and endurance as measured by isolated limb flexion-extension movement and fitness test performance was considered average with no excessive bilateral differences. The results of this study were compared with other investigations of law enforcement officers of similar age groups. The officers displayed average or above health and physical fitness scores for their age classification and were able to complete all police task-oriented tests.
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INTRODUCTION

The current popular health and physical fitness interest has been incorporated into the law enforcement field with the recent adoption of various fitness testing programmes for officers. Most of the health and fitness programmes appear to have been initiated on the basis of subjective opinion of departments who have a genuine desire to reduce costs of health care, improve general health, reduce absenteeism, increase productivity and improve employee work attitude and job satisfaction (Bjurstone and Alexiou, 1978). Lack of knowledge of what constitutes a healthy, effective officer and time or financial constraints often restrict these programmes to simple tests of fitness which may or may not reflect the health or job performance capability of the individual officer. Before instituting a programme of Total Wellness which was to include both health status and performance evaluation, the Greensboro Police Department, NC, conducted a pilot test programme to provide information on a representative sample of officers. The present study describes the physical and physiological characteristics of law enforcement officers.

METHODS

Twelve officers (3 females, 9 males) of the Greensboro Police Department, Greensboro, NC volunteered to participate in the study. Mean age (± SD) of the subjects was 32.4 ± 4.7 years with mean height 179.5 ± 8.9 cm (men and 164.5 ± 2.3 cm (women) while mean weight was 84.4 ± 12.4 kg (men) and 60.8 ± 2.9 kg (women). A fair representation of the various duties and job classifications of the department was an important factor in recruitment of subjects. Medical history review and examination were completed and informed consents obtained prior to the study.

The subjects participated in several test sessions at five test sites in which tests in the following areas were conducted: Medically-Oriented Health and Physical Fitness Tests, Standard Physical Fitness Tests and Police Task-Oriented Performance Tests.
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Medically-Oriented Health and Physical Fitness Tests

Body composition was assessed by body density (Brozek et al, 1963) and skinfold (triceps, subscapular, suprailiac, abdominal, thigh) techniques. A 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) and blood pressure were obtained after 10 minutes of supine rest. Standard pulmonary function evaluation consisted of forced vital capacity, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, and maximum voluntary ventilation. Coronary heart disease risk factors, dietary food habits, smoking habits, alcohol drinking habits, exercise habits, perceived stress, Type A Behaviour tendency (Falls et al, 1980) and self-esteem (Jaeger, 1985) were evaluated by questionnaires. Sensory integrity was evaluated by standard tests for reaction/movement time (hand-eye), kinesthetic recall (arm movement), peripheral visual range, and bone and air conduction hearing of a single tone. Fasting venous blood samples were analysed for glucose, total cholesterol, creatine phosphokinase, lactate dehydrogenase, serum glutamate pyruvate, haematocrit, haemoglobin and complete blood count. Maximal oxygen uptake (VO₂ max) was evaluated by a graded Bruce treadmill stress test to voluntary maximal exertion with continual monitoring of 3-lead ECG and collection of expired gases for calculation of ventilation, oxygen uptake and carbon dioxide production (Douglas Bag Method). Muscular power, strength, and endurance were assessed by standard Cybex II techniques for knee and shoulder flexion and extension. Strength was determined as maximum torque generated, power as maximum torque over a 0.25 period and endurance as percentage decrement in torque 15 s after attainment of peak torque at each standard movement speed.

Standard Physical Fitness Tests

Predicted maximal oxygen uptake was estimated using a cycle ergometer test with continual monitoring of a 3-lead ECG, the 3 Mile Walk and Cooper's 12-Minute Walk-Run Test. Speed was tested by the 50 yard dash and flexibility was evaluated with sit and reach back flexion. Muscular strength was measured with a grip strength dynamometer and a one repetition maximum (1 RM) bench press. Muscular endurance was evaluated with push-ups (maximum), chin-ups (maximum) and sit-ups (1 minute). Agility was measured with a dodging run (Gates and Sheffield, 1940) and muscular power by the vertical jump.

Police Task-Oriented Tests

These tests consisted of timed performance tests from the
State of North Carolina Law Enforcement Guidelines (NC Justice Academy, 1985) and consisted of a 550 yard run, victim carry, window climb, 6 foot wall scale, long jump, 40 foot curlvert crawl and uneven balance beam walk. In addition, a timed 165 yard obstacle course (Ellis et al, 1985) was completed.

RESULTS

Resting heart rates (72 ± 12 beat.min⁻¹) and blood pressures (128/86 ± 12/6 mm Hg) were within normal limits as were blood chemistries, cardiovascular heart disease risk profiles and pulmonary function measurements. Per cent body fat, as calculated from body density, averaged 24.4 ± 7.1% for the men and 30.9 ± 1.2% for the women. Fat free weight was calculated at 63.1 ± 6.7 kg and 41.9 ± 2.7 kg for the men and women, respectively. Sum of 5 skinfolds measured 77.3 ± 26.2 mm (men) and 81.3 ± 25.7 mm (women) with calculated per cent fat at 15.9 ± 4.9% (men) and 19.1 ± 5.9% (women). Nutrition was evaluated by food intake frequency and fat food intake was considered low to average as were alcohol consumption and exercise habits.

Only one officer smoked. The police officers tended to demonstrate Type B personality behaviour tendencies with normal self-esteem ratings. Mean sensory integrity (reaction time, movement time, kinesthetic recall, vision, and hearing) were also considered within normal limits. Directly determined mean maximal oxygen uptake was 42.1 ± 8.9 ml.kg⁻¹min⁻¹ for the men and 41.5 ± 8.7 ml.kg⁻¹min⁻¹ for the women.

Muscular power, strength, and endurance (Cybex technique) means are presented in Table I. No major differences were seen as a group in bilateral or in flexion/extension ratio comparisons. All performance data is presented as total means with the three women’s data included. Mean standard fitness test data are presented by fitness component in Table II. Bench press 1 RM produced great individual variation with a mean of 64.3 ± 28.9 kg. Sit and reach measurements averaged 45.0 ± 6.1 cm or 6.9 cm beyond the feet. In addition, mean distance for the 12-minute walk/run was 2.1 ± 0.3 km and mean time for the 3 mile walk (4.8 km) was 45.1 ± 6.9 minutes.

### TABLE I

**Muscular power, strength and endurance by Cybex Technique. Mean ± SD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Flexion</th>
<th>Right Extension</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Flexion</th>
<th>Left Extension</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>275.2</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>254.2</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>347.5</td>
<td>523.5</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>308.5</td>
<td>463.5</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>179.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strength</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Muscle Endurance</th>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Agility</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Flexibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grip</td>
<td>Push</td>
<td>Chin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Str. kg</td>
<td>Ups</td>
<td>1 RM kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>165 Yard</th>
<th>Obstacle Run</th>
<th>Victim Carry</th>
<th>Window Climb</th>
<th>6 Foot Wall</th>
<th>Running Long Jump</th>
<th>40 Foot Cavel</th>
<th>Uneven Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run min</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run sec</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean times of completion for each police task-oriented test are presented in Table III. All subjects were able to complete the tasks although some required a longer time period or several attempts to master the technique.

DISCUSSION

Comprehensive and mandatory physical fitness programmes are becoming increasingly common in the law enforcement setting. Most of these make the assumption that an officer who is in shape will be able to respond to occupational demands without undue probability of injury, illness, or death. In addition, an assumption is made in the law enforcement field in that a police officer is supposed to be well above average in all physical attributes: bigger, stronger, quicker, more healthy than the average person. However, the officers in the present study could be best described as typical, average individuals.

Comparison with published norms from other police departments or agencies showed that the subjects in this study were representative of law enforcement personnel. The mean age was 32.4 ± 4.7 years which was similar to the mean of 35-39 years reported in an investigation sampling 10% of the entire Pennsylvania State Police Agency (Fraser, 1986).

Basic Health Status

A pre-requisite in maintaining a positive health status is weight control, or more correctly body composition balance. Percentage body fat values were similar to published values of 16.1-25.4% for officers aged 30-39 years (Davis and Stark, 1980; Fraser, 1986; Stamford et al, 1977; NC Justice Academy, 1985; Kuntz, 1986; NC Alcohol Law Enforcement Division, 1986). Most of the values reported in
Comparison of the results of standard physical fitness scores of the officers of the present study (Table II) to scores of fellow officers of similar age is somewhat difficult due to the diverse types of tests that can be used to assess physical fitness. In general, the mean scores achieved were typical of the mean scores reported for other law enforcement populations (Davis and Stark, 1980; NC Justice Academy, 1985; Ahlstrom, 1985; Fraser, 1986; Booth and Hornick, 1984).

As job-relevancy is an important consideration, many departments and agencies have developed police task-oriented tests (Fraser, 1986; Booth and Hornick, 1984; Ellis et al, 1985; Gayle, 1978; NC Justice Academy, 1985). These tests are generally combinations of basic movements that might occur during a police officer’s duties such as climbing a fence or wall, pursuing a suspect, jumping, or carrying a victim to safety. The tests selected for the present study were part of the State of North Carolina Law Enforcement Guidelines (NC Justice Academy, 1985) although instead of conducting a run through the entire course, each event was individually timed. In addition, a 165 yard obstacle course was selected (Ellis et al, 1985). The results of the task-oriented tests are presented in Table III and do not necessarily match any test battery selected by any other agency. Each agency who elects to utilise task-oriented measures for officer fitness has to decide on the activities to be evaluated, the order and combination of events, and establish their own norms for acceptable performance. Lack of normative data is a limitation in interpreting results for officers and for the department. The basic premise of task-oriented tests is a “can do” or “cannot do” evaluation. In this respect, the majority of the officers in the present study completed each task successfully.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that this representative population of police officers can be described as healthy and physically fit. Most are coping well with the potential health problems of a sedentary job, changing shift work times, and emotionally charged emergency duties. They can be placed well along the positive axis of a health-disease continuum.
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**BOOK REVIEW**

**Title:** EXERCISE TESTING AND EXERCISE PRESCRIPTION FOR SPECIAL CASES — THEORETICAL BASIS AND CLINICAL APPLICATION

**Editor:** James S. Skinner

**Publisher:** Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia 1987 UK Agents: Quest Meridien, Beckenheim

**Price:** $30.00 US 314 pages with Index, Tables and many References ISBN 0 8121 1054 4

This text makes a magnificent contribution to the literature on exercise testing. It does so by presenting a collection of individual chapters, written by authorities in the field, each one considering a different aspect of testing or special population.

Each of the chapters is presented economically yet in depth, well referenced and up-to-date. The first section on general considerations includes general principles, sexual dimorphism, aspects of age and environment. The second section on special cases includes rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, dyslipoproteinemia, obesity, respiratory and cardiovascular conditions, and pregnancy. Sadly my review copy contained a serious printing error which duplicated two chapters and omitted two others. I was, therefore, not able to examine the special cases of rheumatoid arthritis and diabetes, but trust the printers have corrected this problem.

It is difficult to fault such an authoritative text and for any serious student of exercise testing and prescription, particularly considerations of special subjects, this book would be a valuable addition to their library.

**David A. Brodie**

**BOOK REVIEW**

**Title:** THE ANTERIOR CRUCIATE DEFICIENT KNEE (NEW CONCEPTS IN LIGAMENT REPAIR)

**Authors:** D. W. Jackson and D. Drez Jnr.

**Publisher:** C. V. Mosby 1987 UK Agent: Blackwell Scientific Publications

**Price:** £50.50 324 pages with Index ISBN 0 8016 2411 8

This book is a collection of papers written by faculty members from courses organised in America by the two editors. Douglas Jackson is the Medical Director of the Southern California Centre for Sports Medicine, Long Beach, California, whilst David Drez is the director of the Louisiana State University, Knee and Sports Medicine Fellowship programme.

The book is well laid out with clear diagrams. Chapters start with the anatomy of the anterior cruciate, details of the biomechanics, clinical examination of the knee for anterior cruciate ligament laxity followed by discussion on factors affecting the choice of anterior cruciate ligament surgery and extensive documentation on autograft, allograft and prosthetic ligament replacement both by open surgery and arthroscopic techniques. The final chapters deal with rehabilitation and the role of bracing.

As in the case of any multi-author volume certain subjects are omitted or glossed over in a very cursory manner. Such is the case in this volume where the menisci warrant eight lines of text with no mention of the pattern of meniscal injury that occurs with anterior cruciate damage whilst ten pages are given over to the quantitative examination of anterior cruciate laxity using the UCLA portable instrumentation.

The American surgeons at present seem to be mesmerised by biomechanics and testing under laboratory conditions whilst not fully assessing the functional result. The chapter on rehabilitation, gives the principles that immobility must be minimised and healing tissues must never be over-loaded, with great detail on the isometric phase, the isotonic phase, isokinetic phase and endurance phase programmes, yet the only mention of proprioceptive retraining is given one line. It is interesting to pick up the vibes that our American colleagues are dissatisfied with all the braces at present in use and in his summary on the future of anterior cruciate surgery Douglas Jackson admits that bracing has been primarily on an empirical basis and that more information is needed on its efficacy.

This book is aimed at the training and established orthopaedic surgeon with a specific interest in knee injuries. For this group it will provide stimulation and reference but it does demand a fair knowledge of the subject and is not for the casual browser.

**J. A. Robertson**