An epidemiological investigation of training and injury patterns in British triathletes
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During the competitive season of 1990, 155 British triathletes whose competitive distances varied from sprint to full ironman, and who self-classified themselves as recreational, intermediate or elite, kept a training diary for an 8-week period. They gave details of injuries sustained while training for, or competing in, triathlons. The mean(s.d.) distances covered each week were: swimming, 4.2(2.6) km; cycling, 100.2(70.6) km; and running 23.4(15.2) km; mean(s.d.) training time was 70.4(15.2) h per week, and a mean(s.d.) of 7.9(3.4) training sessions were completed per week. At least one injury was reported by 37% of the participants. The most frequently affected sites were the ankle/foot, thigh, knee, lower leg and the back. Overuse was the reported cause in 41% of the injuries, two-thirds of which occurred during running. The likelihood of an injury was positively associated with experience in triathlon. Average injury rate was 5.4 injuries per 1000 h of training (95% confidence interval: 4.7–7.2) and 17.4 per 1000 h of competition (95% confidence interval: 10.9–27.9). Injury incidence was unrelated to the mean amount of weekly training or competition, intensity or frequency of training.
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Table 1. Approximate distances involved in triathlons (km)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Swimming</th>
<th>Cycling</th>
<th>Running</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full ironman</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half ironman</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic distance</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint distance</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

evaluated between injuries and (1) the amount of training and competition; (2) intensity of training; (3) running surface; and (4) personal characteristics and background in triathlon.

Subjects and methods

Recruitment

A total of 730 questionnaires was distributed to triathletes: 258 directly by one of the investigators or via club secretaries; 250 by the National Triathlon Coach at competitions and a further 222 via triathlon clubs. In all, 580 started the questionnaire. Questionnaires were returned by club secretaries, or using self-addressed, stamped envelopes. The names and telephone numbers of 270 triathletes were supplied to us and they were contacted by telephone to provide encouragement and to answer any queries. A T-shirt was given to those who completed the study.

Questionnaires

The first part of the questionnaire collected data about personal details and background in triathlon. The second part consisted of an 8-week training and injury diary. Athletes were asked to keep a record of their training and competitions, including the type of activity, intensity, mileage, duration, and the type of surface on which they trained. Space was allocated for recording rest days, occurrence of illness or a non-triathlon related injury, or any other comment. The third part was for self-reporting of injuries associated with triathlon training or racing, sustained during the 8-week period. They were asked which body part(s) were injured, body tissue involved, nature of injury and how it happened. The investiga-
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Injury

In this study, an injury was defined as an event which forced the athlete to: (1) stop the current training session or race, and prevented return to the session; (2) rest the day after injury; or which caused any of the following: injury to the eye, teeth or nerve; fracture; or concussion.

An acute injury was defined as an injury which arose because the integrity of the musculoskeletal system was broken by a single traumatic event. If no such event could be identified, the injury was classified as an overuse injury. They were asked to report which, if any, health professional gave first aid and follow-up treatment, how many days of training, competition and work were missed and which component sport(s) of triathlon had to be stopped because of the injury. The severity of an injury was assessed according to days lost from training, 7 days or fewer being minor, 8–21 days moderate and over 21 days severe.

Statistical analysis

The data were entered into dBASE III Plus®. Statistical analyses were done using the SAS® and GLIM® computer packages. Continuous data were analysed using analysis of variance, and binary and categorical data using logistic regression and log-linear models. Where the numbers were small, conditional exact tests were done9 including trend tests for ordered categories10. The injury rate analysis was done using Poisson regression in GLIM.

Results

Characteristics of the sample

Of the subjects, 124 were men and 31 were women, with a mean(s.d.) age of 34(8.9) and 32(7.3) years, respectively. Their height, weight, body mass index (BMI), main competitive distance and level of competence in triathlons is shown in Table 2. Of the athletes, 69% stated that they were from professional or semi-professional occupations. The majority (47%) were from a running background, 19% from swimming and 10% from a cycling background.

Response rate

The overall response rate was 29%. It varied significantly between athletes recruited from clubs (48% response rate) and those recruited at competitions (16% response rate). The study included 155 correctly completed questionnaires; 12 incorrectly completed questionnaires were excluded from the study.

Injuries during 8 weeks

At least one injury was sustained by 58 triathletes (37%) (45 men and 13 women) during the 8-week period. There was no difference in injury incidence between athletes recruited from clubs or at competitions. One injury was reported by 47 triathletes, ten reported two and one reported three injuries. Thirty six acute and 34 gradually developing injuries were recorded. Thirty seven injuries occurred during training and 19 during competition. In 14 cases no exact time could be identified.

Figure 1 shows injuries by site. Of the injured athletes, 27% suffered ankle/foot, 20% thigh, 19% knee, 16% lower leg and 14% back injuries.

The numbers of triathletes with each type of injury is shown in Figure 2. Forty per cent suffered injuries to muscle, 28% to ligament/joint, 15% tendon, 12% skin, 2% bone and 2% to other body tissues.

Mechanism of injury

Overuse was the reported cause of injury in 41% of cases, in 27% it was classified as 'other', 12% reported a twist and turn, 10% contact or collision and 9% overstretching. Of the injuries, 65% occurred during running, 16% while cycling and 12% while swimming.

Treatment

Professional help was sought immediately after the injury by 9% of the athletes (4% from hospital accident and emergency, 4% from physiotherapist
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Table 2. These variables showed no evidence of association with injury incidence. No evidence of an association between the incidence of injury and background in any of the three disciplines of triathlon were found.

Training habits and the incidence of injury

Table 3 shows the average weekly training distances (km week\(^{-1}\)), duration (min week\(^{-1}\)), and number of workouts per week in the three component sports for long-distance (ironman and half ironman) and short-distance (olympic and sprint distances) triathletes. No statistical differences in training distance, time spent training or number of workouts per week, were found between men and women in short-distance triathletes. There was only one woman in the long-distance category, and therefore no gender comparison was made. Long-distance triathletes averaged a greater total weekly distance (km week\(^{-1}\)) \((P = 0.002)\), spent more time in training (min week\(^{-1}\)) \((P = 0.0002)\) and trained more frequently (times per week) \((P = 0.0086)\) than short-distance triathletes. No evidence of an association was found between incidence of injury and amount of weekly training, time spent training or number of workouts per week for the whole group or subgroups of triathletes (in terms of level of competence and main competitive distance).

Training pace was not calculated, but the average time (in min week\(^{-1}\)) spent doing intervals (including fartlek and repetition training), hard (including race pace), moderate, easy (including slow and recovery pace) and hill training, were recorded (Table 4). Note that training in the three disciplines has been combined. There was no evidence of an association between the intensity of training and the incidence of injury.

and 1% from a general practitioner). The ‘other’ category mainly included nurses or manipulative therapists. Follow-up treatment was sought by 51% of all injured triathletes (Figure 3).

Severity

Of the reported injuries, 84% were minor, 13% moderate and 3% severe. Running training had to be stopped by 78% of the injured triathletes, 37% stopped cycling and 21% swimming. Of the triathletes, 16% had to stop all three sports, 33% cycling and running, 18% swimming and running, and 16% swimming and cycling. Of the injuries, 83% did not result in missing a planned competition. Five injuries caused absence from work of up to 2 days.

Characteristics of the sample and injuries
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Training pace was not calculated, but the average time (in min week\(^{-1}\)) spent doing intervals (including fartlek and repetition training), hard (including race pace), moderate, easy (including slow and recovery pace) and hill training, were recorded (Table 4). Note that training in the three disciplines has been combined. There was no evidence of an association between the intensity of training and the incidence of injury.
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Table 2. Personal details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)*</th>
<th>Height (cm)*</th>
<th>Weight (kg)*</th>
<th>BMI*</th>
<th>Competitive distance*</th>
<th>Level of competence*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M (no. = 124)</td>
<td>34(8.9)</td>
<td>176.7(17.7)</td>
<td>71.6(10.2)</td>
<td>22.6(2.8)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F (no. = 31)</td>
<td>32(7.3)</td>
<td>166.5(6.3)</td>
<td>58.0(5.9)</td>
<td>20.9(1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean(s.d.); *not all subjects could be classified according to competitive distance or level of competence; BMI, weight (kg)/height (m)\(^2\); Int, intermediate; Rec, recreational

Table 3. Weekly training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance km week(^{-1})</th>
<th>Swimming*</th>
<th>Cycling*</th>
<th>Running*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>long(^c)</td>
<td>5.8(3.0)</td>
<td>151.7(69.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>short(^d)</td>
<td>4.1(2.5)</td>
<td>95.2(69.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration min week(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>long</td>
<td>153.4(62.4)</td>
<td>322.2(132.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>short</td>
<td>101.3(55.1)</td>
<td>195.0(133.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workouts (no.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>long</td>
<td>3.1(1.3)</td>
<td>4.3(2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>short</td>
<td>2.4(1.2)</td>
<td>2.8(2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean(s.d.); *no. = 15; *no. = 128
Triathletes did 68% of running training on roads. Time spent running on hard or soft surfaces did not influence the incidence of injury.

Of triathletes, 30% had practised the sport for over 4 years, 21% between 2 and 3 years, 20% between 1 and 2 years, 19% between 3 and 4 years, and 11% for less than one year. There was an association between experience in triathlon and the incidence of injury (trend test, \( P = 0.02 \)). The rate of injury increased with the amount of time the sport had been practised. Of those who had trained in triathlons for longer than 4 years, 45% sustained at least one injury during the 8 weeks, as opposed to 33% of those who had trained between 2 to 3 years, and 14% of those who had trained for 1 year or less. Using logistic regression analysis an association was still evident when experience was adjusted for age and running distance (km week\(^{-1}\)) (\( P = 0.04 \)).

Training load had been increased appreciably by 37% of all triathletes in the 6-week period before participating in the study. No evidence of an association was found between onset of injury and increase in training loads.

Strength training was practised regularly by 57% of the men and 65% of the women during at least one training phase in the year. Post-exercise stretching, warm-up and cool-down frequencies are described in Table 5, which shows that the majority practised these fairly regularly. Most (94%) used the static stretching method. Participation in strength training, stretching, warm-up and cool-down habits did not influence the incidence of injury.

### Competition

The short- and long-distance triathletes spent a mean(s.d.) (range) of 46(43) (0–202) and 53(45) (0–134) min competing per week, respectively. The time spent competing was not found to be associated with the incidence of injury.

### Injury rate

The injury rate was 5.4 injuries per 1000 h of training (95% confidence interval, 4.0–7.2) with 37 injuries reported during training, and 17.4 per 1000 h of competition (95% confidence interval, 10.9–27.9) with 19 injuries reported during competitions. There was no evidence of a difference between injury rates in long- and short-distance triathletes (\( P = 0.47 \)).

### Injuries in the past year

Seventy four triathletes (47%) reported an injury in the year before taking part in the present survey. Thirty eight per cent of all injuries affected the ankle/foot, 32% the knee and 22% the lower leg (Figure 4). Of the three most common injuries, 35% involved a strain, 25% tendinitis and 22% a tear. No statistically significant differences regarding the type of injury were found between men and women. The athletes who had sustained an injury in the past year were also more likely to sustain an injury during the 8-week study period (\( P < 0.0001 \)).

Sixty five per cent of triathletes with any one, or a combination of, the following injuries: ankle/foot; knee; lower leg; thigh; and back injury; during the 8-week period, also had sustained an injury to one or more of these sites in the year before participating in the present study (\( \chi^2 \) test, \( P = 0.014 \)). To take into account small numbers, the conditional exact test was also used. This confirmed the above findings (\( P = 0.012 \)). In contrast, only 42% of triathletes who had sustained injuries to the four above mentioned sites in the past year injured ‘other’ sites during the 8-week period. Those 32% with ‘other’ injuries during the 8-week period also tended to have sustained injuries from the same anatomical group in the past year.
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Discussion

The analysis of the records returned by the triathletes taking part in this study is dependent on the sampling method used, and is thus open to bias. When questionnaires are distributed on training nights and in competitions, triathletes who are ill or injured may not be sampled. An opposite bias would be introduced if the injured triathlete perceived the survey to be valuable and were more likely to take part than the non-injured athlete. The present results can therefore only be viewed as indicative of a subgroup of triathletes approached, who were prepared to partake in the study. Another major consideration relates to self-reporting of injuries, particularly the assessment of type of injury and body tissue affected.

In accordance with other recent studies on triathletes\(^2\text{-}^4,11\) the great majority of athletes in this study came from a running background (47%), followed by swimming (19%) and cycling (10%). The incidence of injury was positively associated with experience in triathlon and similar data have been reported for competitive triathletes\(^4\) and for runners\(^12\).

The frequency of injury has been found to increase in runners during the spring and summer when training and competition are most intense\(^11\). Of our subjects, 37% indicated that they had increased their training load appreciably in the 6-week period before participating in the study. Although not associated with injuries, this may play a part in the fairly high percentage reporting injuries in an 8-week period when compared with other recent studies of triathletes\(^2\text{-}^4,11\). Elite triathletes training for the longer distances may train around 800 h per year, therefore an average of 5.4 injuries per 1000 h training is fairly high. It is possible, however, that prospective, self-reporting study participants may be more likely to report minor injuries than those in retrospective studies\(^14\).

The most commonly injured sites were the ankle/foot, thigh, knee, lower leg and back. Other studies on triathletes have reported the knee to be the most commonly injured part with foot/ankle injuries being frequent\(^1\text{-}^4,11\). Studies of cyclists have shown that problems with the knees, foot/ankle and neck/shoulder areas are common\(^15\) as is ‘pain and discomfort’ in the lower back\(^16\). Variation in the methods of defining and grouping injuries complicates comparisons. It is clear, however, that most of the injuries occur in the lower extremity, although some studies have shown that shoulder injuries are frequent\(^6,4\). We were surprised that only one shoulder injury was reported despite the considerable amount of swimming training done by some triathletes. Shoulder injuries tend to be prominent in swimmers\(^7\).

In accordance with previous studies\(^1\text{-}^3,11,18\), we found that soft tissue injuries involving strain (25%), inflammatory pain (16%), sprain (15%) and tendinitis (7%) were most common with only two fractures recorded. Overuse was the mechanism of injury reported most often. However, in 27% of the cases, the mechanism was categorized as ‘other’, probably reflecting the difficulty in self-assessing injuries.

Most of the injuries occurred during running (65%), followed by cycling (16%) and swimming (11%), confirming that running is the component sport of triathlon responsible for many of the injuries.

The incidence and type of injury and average weekly training distances in running, swimming or cycling were not found to be associated in the overall group of triathletes, or in subgroups (such as elite, intermediate and recreational; short- and long-distance competitors). Triathletes’ training distances in this study were significantly lower than those reported in other studies\(^1,4,11,19\). The above studies may reflect training habits of a more selected group of athletes, but it is also possible that recall difficulties may have resulted in estimation errors. In theory, training distances should be reduced during the competitive season, when triathletes focus on competitions and speed work. Most other studies on triathletes have found no relationship between the amount of training and injury incidence\(^1\text{-}^4,11\), contrary to the findings of many running studies\(^20\text{-}^23\).

O’Toole et al.\(^1\) found that most triathletes (72%) in their study had sustained multiple injuries suggested that continued training despite injury may exacerbate the condition in proportion to training distance, pace and/or time. Running studies have also shown that previous injury is predictive of risk of injury\(^24\text{-}^26\), with a symmetrical lower limb configuration being a protective factor\(^27\). The number of multiple injuries during the 8-week study period was small (11 athletes), but they appeared in ‘clusters’. Biomechanical interdependency in the locomotor system may influence structures below and above the injured site\(^28\), and structures on the contralateral side, contributing to injuries of other areas when training is maintained despite injury.

It is of note that Williams et al.\(^4\) reported that triathletes with a cycling background sustained fewer injuries than those from non-cycling backgrounds. They suspected that an increase in training mileage, rather than the actual mileage itself may be responsible for injuries. It may be difficult to pinpoint the factors responsible for injury in a heterogeneous sample participating in a multisport event. In triathlon, injuries can be expected to be influenced by factors such as technique, equipment, body alignment and training errors and therefore studies of training habits and injuries in more homogeneous groups of triathletes over a longer period of time may prove more useful.

Running speed has been linked with an increased incidence of injury in runners by some investigators\(^29\text{-}^30\), but not by others\(^20,22\). Like us, O’Toole et al.\(^1\) found no association between injury incidence and training pace in ultradistance triathletes. Massimo et al.\(^11\) noted that cycling pace may influence the occurrence of overuse injuries in the foot, ankle and Achilles tendon.

The injury rate per 1000 h of competition was high at 17.4. Because of the relatively shorter time spent in competition, the 95% confidence interval is large, and the estimate is therefore less accurate than that for training.

Triathletes in the present investigation were reluctant to seek professional help immediately after...
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Injury. Of the 51% who sought follow-up treatment, 20% consulted a physiotherapist and 12% a general practitioner. In a North American study, 70% of injured triathletes saw a medical professional following an injury: 48% saw a doctor, 13% a physiotherapist and a further 13% a podiatrist. The reasons for such a low consultation rate among British triathletes is unclear. No specific enquiries were made, so reasons are only speculative. On the one hand, athletes may feel that they do not warrant prompt professional attention, as the injury is perceived as minor. On the other, as in the UK sports medicine is not practised as a hospital speciality as yet, they may think that health care professionals are, in general, not equipped to deal with triathletes. The denial of the severity of an injury may be due to the fear of loss of training time. Economical and psychological reasons may also play a role.
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