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Abstract
Objectives—To assess general practitioner knowledge of banned substances in sport.
Methods—Postal questionnaire sent to all general practitioners in West Sussex.
Results—Only 55 (35%) of those who responded (157 in total) were aware that guidelines are to be found in the British National Formulary, and 19 (12%) of respondents believed that medical practitioners are allowed to prescribe anabolic steroids for non-medical reasons.
Conclusions—General practitioner knowledge of which substances are prohibited in sport is poor. There is a lack of awareness of Sports Council guidelines which are to be found in the British National Formulary. Tackling drug abuse in sport requires education of both athletes and doctors.
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Following several amphetamine related deaths of cyclists in the late 1960s the International Olympic Committee (IOC) established a medical commission with the aim of eradicating drug abuse in sport.1 The first classes banned were psychomotor stimulants, sympathomimetic amines, and narcotic analgesics. The list is regularly updated and in 1975 anabolic steroids were included.

The medical commission produced a list of prohibited substances. The majority, but not all, sports governing bodies adhere to these guidelines. A summary of doping classes and methods with examples can be found in the British National Formulary (BNF). This text also includes a drug information telephone hotline.

The main treatment provider for sports and exercise related injuries in the United Kingdom is the general practitioner.2 Athletes suffer the same cross section of illnesses as other patients. Therefore the general practitioner should be aware of prohibited medications and routes of administration permissible in sport.

Methods
A questionnaire to assess cognisance with the IOC medical commission guidelines was sent to all general practitioners in West Sussex. A stamped addressed envelope was included. An accompanying letter stated that the aim of the questionnaire was to assess knowledge of prohibited drugs in sport amongst general practitioners. Those requesting the correct responses were asked to supply their practice addresses under separate cover.

Results
From 400 questionnaires sent out, 157 replies were received, which is a response rate of 39.2%.

Question (1) was where can further information be obtained regarding the list of prohibited substances in sport: (a) BNF; (b) Monthly Index of Medical Specialities (MIMS)? Table 1 and figs 1 and 2 give a breakdown of the responses.

Question (2) asked which of the following drugs are allowed: (a) salbutamol; (b) salmeterol; (c) inhaled corticosteroids; (d) oral corticosteroids; (e) dihydrocodeine; (f) dextropropoxyphene (e.g. co-proxamol)? Table 2 and figs 3–8 give a breakdown of the responses.

Question (3) was are doctors allowed to prescribe anabolic steroids for non-medical indications? In all, 111 (71%) correctly answered...
Table 2 Responses to the question which of the following drugs are allowed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
<th>Don't know (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled salbutamol</td>
<td>126* (80)</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td>20 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled salmeterol</td>
<td>102* (65)</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>41 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled corticosteroids</td>
<td>102* (65)</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>38 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral corticosteroids</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>93* (59)</td>
<td>47 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>154* (98)</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydrocodeine</td>
<td>23* (15)</td>
<td>86 (55)</td>
<td>48 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextropropoxyphene</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td>105* (67)</td>
<td>43 (27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correct answer.

no, 19 (12%) thought the answer was yes, and 27 (17%) did not know (fig 9).

Question (4) asked have you ever prescribed or been asked to prescribe anabolic steroids for performance enhancement or body image purposes? A total of 28 (18%) had been asked but the majority (129) had not (fig 10).

Discussion

Only one third of respondents were aware that the information on prohibited substances in sport are available in the BNF. Figures 1 and 2 indicate that more research is required to iden-
Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are allowable orally and topically. They are banned via the intramuscular route but may be used for injection into joints, tendon sheaths, or bursae. There is often a great demand from athletes for injection which they see as a “quick fix” solution to their problems. They should be used appropriately and not to alleviate pain so that the athlete can continue to train and thereby probably aggravate the existing injury. The governing bodies of some competitive sports do not allow injections during or immediately before a sporting competition.

For mild to moderate pain, paracetamol, codeine, and dihydrocodeine are allowed (figs 7 and 8) but not preparations containing opiates such as dextropropoxyphene (co-proxamol).

Anabolic steroids remain popular among bodybuilders and power athletes despite numerous warnings about their side effects. Perry et al. have shown prevalence rates of 35% in certain gymnasiums. Korkia and Stimson found that 2% of athletes in their cohort obtained their supply of anabolic steroids from their general practitioner. Almost one fifth (18%) of practitioners had been asked to prescribe anabolic steroids for non-medical reasons (fig 10).

Some 12% of general practitioners (fig 9) thought that doctors are allowed to prescribe anabolic steroids for non-medical indications. However, there can be no justification for doctors to play any part in the provision of anabolic steroids for use in enhancing athletic performance.

The relatively low response rate may be a reflection of the large levels of paperwork undertaken by general practitioners. Repeat mailings and telephone follow ups for non-responders may improve this. One practice returned all six of their questionnaires citing lack of time as the reason for non completion. Lack of interest or poor understanding of the subject may have been contributory. Replication of the study in other areas of the country could give useful comparative data. It is encouraging that 39 doctors requested the correct answers. Perhaps individual practices may wish to designate one partner to be the “sports medicine specialist” who may then attend the British Association of Sport and Medicine (BASM) course to enhance their knowledge.

Conclusion
Control of intentional and non-intentional drug abuse in sport requires the co-operation of both athlete and doctor. Many sportspersons avoid all drug treatment because of concern about failing drugs tests. This is not necessary as in the vast majority of cases satisfactory treatment can be achieved with permitted medications. The list of drugs and other substances banned from sport has evolved over the past few decades and is likely to continue to change. The questionnaire responses suggest that general practitioners need to be better informed of current and updated guidelines. How this is best achieved is yet to be established.
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