Perception of pain after resistance exercise
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Abstract

**Objectives**—The main objective was to assess the influence of resistance exercise on pain threshold and pain ratings. Secondary objectives included measuring state anxiety, body awareness, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and heart rate responses.

**Methods**—Pressure (3 kg force) was applied to the middle digit of the left hand for two minutes using a Forgione-Barber pain stimulator before and after (five minute and 15 minutes) resistance exercise and quiet rest. Resistance exercise consisted of 45 minutes of lifting three sets of 10 repetitions at 75% of an individual’s one repetition maximum. Quiet rest consisted of sitting quietly in a room free from distractions.

**Results**—Data were analysed by repeated measures analysis of variance for multifactor experiments. Pain threshold was significantly higher (p<0.05) and pain ratings were significantly lower (p<0.05) five minutes after resistance exercise. Changes in pain perception were accompanied by changes in heart rate, systolic blood pressure, and body awareness after exercise.

**Conclusion**—A single bout of resistance exercise is capable of modifying the sensation of experimentally induced pain.
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It has been reported by Raithel that most pain management programmes prescribe some kind of exercise regimen. Some include aerobic exercise, others include resistance exercise, and still others use a combination of aerobic and resistance exercise. Research has been conducted into whether aerobic exercise, such as cycling and running, is associated with an analgesic effect, and has indicated that aerobic exercise at a sufficient intensity (>70% of maximal aerobic capacity) has been associated with increases in pain threshold, as well as decreases in pain ratings. However, very little research has been conducted on resistance exercise.

In one of the few studies employing resistance exercise, Anshel and Russell investigated the effects of an aerobic exercise training programme in comparison with a strength training programme on pain perception in men. Forty eight unfit men were randomly assigned to aerobic training, strength training, combined aerobic and strength training, or control conditions. Individuals in the exercise conditions trained three times a week for 12 weeks. Aerobic training consisted of riding a stationary bicycle at an intensity between 60 and 80% of maximum heart rate. Strength training consisted of lifting 10 repetitions of eight different exercises at 60% of the individual’s 10 repetition maximum. Pain measures included pain tolerance, as well as the individual’s subjective appraisal of pain. A football cleat was pressed against the tibialis anterior for lower limb pain tolerance and against the brachioradialis muscle for upper limb pain tolerance. Subjective pain appraisal was measured with the McGill pain questionnaire. Results indicated that 12 weeks of aerobic training significantly increased pain tolerance but only in the upper limb. On the other hand, strength training was not associated with changes in pain tolerance in either the upper or lower limb.

The study by Anshel and Russell was a training study investigating the chronic effects of exercise on pain. However, little is known about the acute effects—that is, one session—of resistance exercise on pain perception. Can exercise provide immediate relief from pain, and if so, what kinds of exercise are beneficial? It has been shown that one aerobic exercise session is associated with an increase in pain threshold and a decrease in pain ratings. More research is needed with various forms of exercise. Exercise may serve as an effective and healthy pain management alternative. Thus the primary purpose of this study was to assess the influence of a resistance exercise session on pain threshold and pain ratings. A secondary purpose was to measure blood pressure, heart rate, state anxiety, and body awareness responses associated with exposure to a painful stimulus.

**Methods**

**SUBJECTS**

Thirteen subjects (six women and seven men) with a mean (SD) age of 23 (5) years volunteered to participate in the study. The estimated sample size was computed on the basis of an alpha of 0.05, a power of 0.80, and a moderate effect size. Subjects with previous resistance exercise experience were recruited by notices placed on campus bulletin boards, as well as announcements made in fitness classes. Each volunteer read and signed an informed consent document that had been previously approved by the university’s Human Subjects Institutional Review Board.

**GENERAL PROCEDURES**

All subjects completed a one repetition maximum test for the following exercises: bench press, leg press, pull downs, and arm extensions. This maximum test was used to calculate
Exercise consisted of 45 minutes of lifting three sets of 10 repetitions at 75% of the individual’s one repetition maximum. Each subject completed two randomly assigned conditions: exercise and quiet rest. Quiet rest consisted of 45 minutes of sitting quietly in a room free from distractions. The conditions were completed on separate days at the same time of day.

The pain stimulus consisted of pressure (3 kg force) being applied to the middle digit of the left hand with a Forgione-Barber pain stimulator.11 Subjects inserted the left forefinger into the chute of the pain stimulator where a lucite edge contacted the finger applying 3 kg of force. Previous research8–12 has shown that this procedure produces a painful sensation but does not cause tissue damage or injury. The pain stimulus was applied to the finger for two minutes before and after (five minutes and 15 minutes) resistance exercise and quiet rest.

Pain threshold and pain ratings were assessed during the two minutes of exposure to pain. Pain threshold was defined as the elapsed time from the initial application of the pain stimulus until the subject perceived the stimulus to be painful. Subjects were instructed to depress a remote push button that was attached to a timer indicating when the stimulus became painful. Pain ratings were given by the subject every 15 seconds during the two minutes of exposure to pain. The subjects were instructed to rate the perception of pain on a ten point category scale. The even numbers of the scale had the following verbal anchors: 0 = no pain; 2 = uncomfortable; 4 = very uncomfortable; 6 = painful; 8 = very painful; and 10 = extremely painful.

Blood pressure and heart rate responses were assessed during the two minutes of pain exposure. It has been reported that there is an interaction between blood pressure and pain responsiveness.13–16 Blood pressure and heart rate were assessed every 15 seconds during the two minutes exposure with the Ohmeda Finapress blood pressure monitor.

State anxiety and body awareness responses were assessed before and after (10 minutes and 20 minutes) resistance exercise and quiet rest. State anxiety was assessed with Spielberger’s state-trait anxiety inventory (STAI).17 The STAI has been used routinely in studies investigating the psychological effects of exercise. The STAI has undergone rigorous validation procedures. Body awareness was assessed with the body awareness scale (BAS).18 The BAS is a 14 item questionnaire designed to assess somatic aspects of anxiety. Examples of the BAS items are: “My heart is pounding” and “I am aware of my breathing”. The BAS was used as part of a continuous validation process.

### Results

Data were analysed with a two (conditions = exercise and quiet rest) × three (trials = before, 5 minutes after, and 15 minutes after) repeated measures analysis of variance. The Geisser-Greenhouse procedure was used to adjust degrees of freedom when the sphericity assumption was not met. The Newman-Keuls procedure was used to probe for the location of mean differences when significant main effects were observed, and a simple effects test was used when significant interactions were observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>15 seconds</th>
<th>60 seconds</th>
<th>120 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre  P-5  P-15</td>
<td>Pre  P-5  P-15</td>
<td>Pre  P-5  P-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance exercise</td>
<td>111 (15) 120 (15) 126 (15)</td>
<td>130 (19) 121 (11) 124 (15)</td>
<td>137 (20) 116 (16) 128 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet rest</td>
<td>100 (20)  91 (20)  99 (20)</td>
<td>115 (20)  99 (21) 106 (25)</td>
<td>114 (21) 106 (19) 103 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance exercise</td>
<td>76 (13)  79 (9)  87 (13)</td>
<td>85 (11)  82 (8)  96 (16)</td>
<td>89 (11)  83 (17)  95 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet rest</td>
<td>75 (16)  76 (17)  84 (15)</td>
<td>87 (18)  87 (18)  89 (18)</td>
<td>89 (9)  85 (12)  95 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance exercise</td>
<td>80 (14)  100 (23)  84 (16)</td>
<td>77 (13)  101 (14)  85 (16)</td>
<td>77 (5)  104 (10)  85 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet rest</td>
<td>68 (9)    61 (9)    61 (6)</td>
<td>73 (7)    66 (5)    62 (10)</td>
<td>73 (8)    68 (7)    66 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pain threshold was found to change significantly after resistance exercise. There was a significant conditions by trials interaction ($F_{2,12} = 7.96; p<0.05$) for pain threshold. A simple effects post hoc analysis indicated that pain threshold was significantly higher five minutes after the resistance exercise session, in comparison with no change in pain threshold after the quiet rest condition. Figure 1 summarises these results.

Pain ratings during the two minutes pain exposure were found to be different after resistance exercise from those after quiet rest. There was a significant conditions effect ($F_{1,12} = 6.19; p<0.05$) for pain ratings. Post hoc analyses showed that pain ratings were significantly lower by the end of the two minutes of pain exposure when assessed about five minutes after the resistance exercise session in comparison with quiet rest (fig 2).

Table 1 summarises the descriptive statistics for heart rate, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Heart rate responses during the two minutes of pain exposure were found to vary between the resistance exercise and quiet rest conditions. There was a significant conditions by trials interaction ($F_{2,12} = 74.22; p<0.001$) for heart rate. Simple effects post hoc analyses showed that heart rate was significantly higher during the two minutes of pain exposure after five minutes after the resistance exercise session than after the quiet rest session, as well as before and 15 minutes after resistance exercise (fig 3).

Systolic blood pressure responses five minutes after resistance exercise appeared to be different from those assessed before and 15 minutes after resistance exercise. These data were analysed by a two (conditions = exercise and quiet rest) × three (trials = before, five minutes after, 15 minutes after) × three (time = 15 seconds, 60 seconds, 120 seconds) repeated measures analysis of variance. There was a significant trials × time interaction ($F_{2,10} = 21.5; p<0.02$) for systolic blood pressure. Simple effects post hoc analyses indicated that systolic blood pressure was lower by the end of the two minutes of pain exposure five minutes after the resistance exercise session than 15 minutes after resistance exercise, as well as before resistance exercise (fig 4).

Diastolic blood pressure was found to increase during the two minutes of pain exposure, and there was a significant trials effect ($F_{1,10} = 4.36; p<0.05$). Post hoc analyses indicated that diastolic blood pressure increased during the two minutes of pain exposure after both resistance exercise and quiet rest. Table 1 summarises the results.

It appears that resistance exercise caused an increase in body awareness as there was a significant conditions by trials interaction ($F_{1,3} = 12.01; p<0.001$). It was found through post hoc analyses that body awareness increased significantly five minutes after the resistance exercise session but did not change after quiet rest (table 2). There were no significant conditions, trials, or interaction effects for state anxiety (table 2).

### Discussion

The primary purpose of this investigation was to determine if resistance exercise would be associated with alterations in pain perception. Laboratory studies have found some support for exercise induced analgesic effects of aerobic exercise, but there has been very little research on resistance exercise. Exercise may serve as an effective, healthy, and less intrusive pain management alternative; however, not all individuals are able to engage in high intensity aerobic exercise. Thus it would seem important to determine whether other forms of exercise are associated with an analgesic effect. Results from this study indicated that pain threshold was significantly increased, in conjunction with lower pain ratings after resistance exercise. These changes in pain perception were evident five minutes after resistance exercise, but by 15 minutes after resistance exercise, pain thres-
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Further research is needed to replicate these findings, as well as to determine the mechanism responsible for the analgesic effect of resistance exercise.

Anxiety state did not change significantly after exercise or quiet rest. Other investigators have also not found changes in anxiety after resistance exercise, except for O’Connor et al., who did find a significant decrease in anxiety about 90 minutes after resistance exercise performed at 60% of an individual’s 10 repetition maximum. The time course for anxiety reductions after exercise may be different for resistance exercise, and this warrants further attention.

Also, anxiety did not decrease after quiet rest. Several investigators have reported a decrease in anxiety after quiet rest. In the present investigation, anxiety did not decrease after quiet rest, and this may be a result of the pain testing. The pain testing could have attenuated the anxiolytic effect that has been previously observed after quiet rest. Another reason why anxiety did not decrease after quiet rest or exercise could be the low anxiety levels of the subjects who volunteered for this study. Anxiety was found to be below the norm, and this may have prevented further decreases from occurring.

Body awareness responses differed from state anxiety responses. It appears that the BAS is very sensitive to somatic changes associated with exercise. Body awareness was found to be significantly elevated after resistance exercise in comparison with quiet rest. Since the BAS was designed to tap into more of a somatic component of anxiety, this elevation could indicate that the individuals were more aware of somatic sensations after resistance exercise than after quiet rest. This awareness of more salient signals—for example, sweating and heart pounding—may have diverted attention away from the pain stimulus, and it has been reported that distraction can significantly alter pain perception. It is possible that exercise did not have an analgesic effect, but rather attention was diverted away from the pain stimulus. Further research into this possibility is needed.

In summary, it appears that one resistance exercise session performed at an intensity of 75% of one repetition maximum is associated with changes in pain perception that are not evident after quiet rest. Specifically, these changes include an increase in pain threshold accompanied by lower pain ratings after the resistance exercise session. These changes in pain perception are in conjunction with changes in heart rate, systolic blood pressure, and body awareness responses. It is concluded that a single bout of resistance exercise is capable of modifying the sensation of experimentally induced pain.
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