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Abstract

Objectives—To investigate the validity and reliability of surface electromyography (EMG) as a new non-invasive determinant of the metabolic response to incremental exercise in elite cyclists. The relation between EMG activity and other more conventional methods for analysing the aerobic-anaerobic transition such as blood lactate measurements (lactate threshold (LT) and onset of blood lactate accumulation (OBLA)) and ventilatory parameters (ventilatory thresholds 1 and 2 (VT1 and VT2)) was studied.

Methods—Twenty eight elite road cyclists (age 24 (4) years; VO2MAX 69.9 (6.4) ml/kg/min; values mean (SD)) were selected as subjects. Each of them performed a ramp protocol (starting at 0 W, with increases of 5 W every 12 seconds) on a cycle ergometer (validity study). In addition, 15 of them performed the same test twice (reliability study). During the tests, data on gas exchange and blood lactate levels were collected to determine VT1, VT2, LT, and OBLA. The root mean squares of EMG signals (rms-EMG) were recorded from both the vastus lateralis and the rectus femoris at each intensity using surface electrodes.

Results—A two threshold response was detected in the rms-EMG recordings from both muscles in 90% of subjects, with two breakpoints, EMG1 and EMG2, at around 60–70% and 80–90% of VO2MAX respectively.

The results of the reliability study showed no significant differences (p>0.05) between mean values of EMG1 and EMG2, obtained in both tests. Furthermore, no significant differences (p>0.05) existed between mean values of EMG1, in the vastus lateralis and rectus femoris, and VT1 and LT (62.8 (14.5) and 69.0 (6.2) and 64.6 (6.4) and 68.7 (8.2)% of VO2MAX respectively), or between mean values of EMG2, in the vastus lateralis and rectus femoris, and VT2 and OBLA (86.9 (9.0) and 88.0 (6.2) and 84.6 (6.5) and 87.7 (6.4)% of VO2MAX respectively).

Conclusion— rms-EMG may be a useful complementary non-invasive method for analysing the aerobic-anaerobic transition (ventilatory and lactate thresholds) in elite cyclists. (Br J Sports Med 1999;33:178–185)
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Surface electromyography (EMG) is an acceptable method for quantifying the total activity of working muscles and for estimating muscle fatigue non-invasively. An increase in EMG activity has been shown to reflect the recruitment of additional motor units and an increase in motor unit rate coding to compensate for the deficit in contractility resulting from impairment of fatigued motor units, as the strength of a muscle contraction increases. Along this line of thought, several studies have shown the existence of a non-linear increase in EMG during the aerobic-anaerobic transition phase in ergometer cycling. Indeed, an EMG threshold (EMGT) has been suggested to occur in the vastus lateralis, vastus medialis, rectus femoris, gastrocnemius, biceps femoris, and soleus of healthy not highly trained subjects during progressive tests on a cycle ergometer. The EMGT, in turn, would represent the point where an increased contribution from fast twitch motor units occurs to maintain the required energy supply for muscle contraction. In other studies, in contrast, a linear relation has been reported between EMG and exercise intensity in ergometer cycling. In addition to these controversial findings, some questions still remain unanswered. First, only one study has assessed the test-retest reliability of the EMG response to progressive exercise. In this study, the EMG response was reported to be repeatable but linear (not threshold-like) during an incremental treadmill test. As reliability is an integral part of validity, no study has accurately determined the validity of the EMGT method for analysing the aerobic-anaerobic transition. Furthermore, little research has been conducted using elite athletes (cyclists) as subjects, and the findings that have been reported seem somewhat controversial.

The aim of this study was to investigate the validity and reliability of EMG as a new non-invasive determinant of the metabolic response to incremental exercise in elite cyclists. We studied the relation between EMG activity and other more conventional methods of analysing the aerobic-anaerobic transition, such as blood lactate measurements (lactate threshold (LT) and onset of blood lactate accumulation (OBLA)) and ventilatory parameters (ventilatory thresholds 1 and 2 (VT1 and VT2)).

Methods

SUBJECTS
Twenty eight elite male cyclists (age 24 (4) years; height 177.1 (5.2) cm; body mass 67.2 (6.0) kg; all values mean (SD)) participated in
this study. Sixteen subjects were professional road cyclists with a minimum competition experience of three years, and some of them had won several professional races. The other 12 were elite road cyclists (competition experience at least two years in the amateur category). Written informed consent was given before participation in the experiments, in accordance with the institutional human subjects guidelines (Complutense University of Madrid).

STUDY PROTOCOL

Before each exercise testing session, subjects were familiarised with the equipment and procedures used in this investigation. In addition, they were previously instructed to refrain from intense training during the day before testing.

Fifteen subjects were randomly selected for the reliability study. Each of them performed two exercise tests on a bicycle ergometer (Ergometrics 900; Ergoline, Barcelona, Spain) on different occasions and separated from each other by a period of no more than five days. Each of the two tests consisted of a ramp protocol until exhaustion, starting at 0 W. The power output was increased by 5 W every 12 seconds and pedalling cadence was kept constant at 70–80 rpm. The selection of a ramp-like protocol instead of a graded steady state test was chosen for two reasons: (a) in previous research conducted in our laboratory this type of protocol was used to analyse physiological responses—that is, ventilatory thresholds and lactate kinetics—in elite professional cyclists during incremental exercise; (b) previous research showing a non-linear increase in the EMG response to incremental exercise used exercise protocols comparable with that used in our investigation.14 15 For the validity study, each of 28 subjects performed a single bicycle ergometer test following the above protocol.

Exercise tests were terminated (a) voluntarily by the subjects, (b) when pedalling cadence could not be kept at least at 70 rpm, or (c) when established criteria of test termination were met. Each test was performed under similar conditions (21–24°C and 45–55% relative humidity).

ANALYSIS OF EXPIRED GAS AND DETERMINATION OF VENTILATORY THRESHOLD

During the tests, gas exchange data were collected continuously using an automated breath by breath system (CPX; Medical Graphics, St Paul, Minnesota, USA). The measuring instruments were calibrated before each test and the necessary environmental adjustments made. VT1 was determined using the criteria of an increase in the ventilatory equivalent for oxygen (VE/\(\dot{V}\)O\(_2\)) with no increase in the ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide (VE/\(\dot{V}\)CO\(_2\)) and the departure from linearity of VE, whereas VT2 was determined by using the criteria of an increase in both VE/\(\dot{V}\)O\(_2\) and VE/\(\dot{V}\)CO\(_2\). Two independent observers detected VT1 and VT2, following the criteria previously described. If they did not agree, the opinion of a third investigator was included.

ANALYSIS OF BLOOD LACTATE

Blood samples (25 µl) for the measurement of blood lactate (YSI 23L; Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA) were taken from fingertips at rest, every two minutes during the test, and immediately after termination of exercise.

LT was determined by examining the “lactate concentration-power output (W)” relationship during the tests, using the methodology previously described by Weltman and co-workers. Thus the greatest power output that was not associated with a rise in lactate concentration above baseline was designated as the power output corresponding to LT. This always occurred just before the curvilinear increase in blood lactate observed with subsequent exercise intensities. A lactate increase of at least 0.2 mM (the error associated with the lactate analyser) was required for LT determination. OBLA, on the other hand, was defined as the power output corresponding to a blood lactate concentration of 4.0 mmol/L.

EMG

Electrode placement

Surface EMG recordings were taken from the vastus lateralis and rectus femoris (at sites respectively approximately one third and one half of the perpendicular distance from the superior border of the patella to the greater trochanter). Pairs of surface electrodes (Bluesensor Medicotest Ag/AgCl electrodes; Rugmarken, Denmark) were attached to the skin with a 4 cm interelectrode distance. The electrodes were placed longitudinally with respect to the underlying muscle fibre arrangement. For those subjects who performed the test twice (reliability study), the skin was tattooed using ink in order to place the electrodes on the same site on the two tests. Two reference electrodes were placed over the anterior superior spine of the iliac crest. Before electrode application, the skin was shaved and abraded using sandpaper and cleaned with alcohol to minimise the source impedance. A saline EMG electrode gel was placed between the electrode and the underlying skin to enhance signal conductivity. The cables connected to the electrodes to measure myoelectrical activity were firmly attached with tape to minimise artefacts from leg movements.

EMG instrumentation and procedures

Myoelectrical activity was recorded using a ME3000P analyser (ME3000P; Mega Electronics Ltd, Kuopio, Finland). The measurement sensitivity of the instrument is ±1 µV and its range for bipolar EMG signals is ±5000 µV. The raw EMG signals were band-pass filtered between 20 and 480 Hz, amplified, and converted from analogue to digital at a sampling rate of 1 kHz. An EMG power spectral density was then computed for two second sampling periods, at fixed intervals throughout the tests, and the root mean square voltage (rms-EMG) contained in each two second
spectrum was calculated (in µV). The rms-EMG was used as an indication of the “total myoelectric activity” of an exercising muscle, as it has been previously shown that this computation is (a) an accurate measure of EMG amplitude and (b) is highly correlated with the number of active motor units (fibre recruitment).

**Determination of EMG breakpoint**

Previous pilot studies conducted in our laboratory suggested the existence of a two threshold response in elite cyclists, with a second EMG breakpoint occurring at near to maximum intensities, which is easy to detect visually. To establish objective criteria for the determination of one or two breakpoints in the EMG power output response, we used a computer algorithm (Centro de Proceso de Datos, Complutense University of Madrid) that models rms-EMG response to exercise using multisection linear regression.

With this method, a single linear regression is initially fitted to all data points and is used for later statistical comparisons. A brute force method is then used to fit two lines to the data points. The program calculates regression lines for all possible divisions of the data into two contiguous groups, and the pair of lines yielding the least pooled residual sum of squares is chosen as representing the best fit. The intersection point between these two lines occurred near the end of the test in all the subjects.

Thereafter the program attempts to fit a third line to the data in order to detect another breakpoint in the EMG data. The third middle segment is obtained by methodically adding points on the left side of the two line regression intersection point. The new regression line is then calculated and extended in the direction that yielded the lower sum of squares.

Finally, an analysis of variance determines whether a significant (p<0.05) reduction in the total sum of squares is achieved by the addition of a third line segment. The first (EMG_T1) and second (EMG_T2) EMG thresholds are then reported as the first and second intersection points respectively of the computerised model.

**Comparisons between VT1, VT2, LT, OBLA, and EMG_T1 and EMG_T2**

Each individual value of VT1, VT2, LT, OBLA, and EMG_T1 and EMG_T2 corresponded to a certain time point during the tests, which in turn elicited a certain value of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and power output (W). Therefore, in order to compare the exercise intensity at which all thresholds occurred, mean values of VT1, VT2, LT, OBLA, and EMG_T1 and EMG_T2 were expressed in both \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (ml/kg/min) and % of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{MAX} \), and in power output (W).

**Data analysis**

In the group of 15 subjects that performed the test on two different days (reliability study), a paired Student's t test was used to compare mean values of EMG_T1 and EMG_T2 obtained with both tests. Intraclass Pearson’s correlation coefficients were also calculated to determine the degree of correlation between mean values of EMG_T1 and EMG_T2, reported with repeated tests.

In all 28 subjects (validity study), mean values of VT1, LT, and EMG_T1, on one hand, and those of VT2, OBLA, (criterion methods), and EMG_T2 on the other, were compared by using a one way repeated measures analysis of variance. In addition, total errors \( (\sum (Y−Y')^2)/N^{1/2} \) where \( Y \) is the criterion value and \( Y' \) the predicted value) and Pearson's correlation coefficients (criterion v predicted) were calculated to examine the error inherent in the EMG prediction technique. Further analysis of validity of the EMG method was accomplished by applying the procedures suggested by Bland and Altman. For this analysis, the mean differences (bias) and standard deviation (SD) of the differences between the mean values (in W) obtained with the two methods (EMG v criterion methods) were calculated. The data are presented graphically comparing the difference between the methods against their average value in W. The mean difference (bias) plus and minus two standard deviations is indicated on the graph. In this way, the bias and precision of the EMG technique could be calculated.

Significance was set at p<0.05 for all statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Maximal values**

Maximal values of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and power output averaged 69.9 (6.4) ml/kg/min (range 60.0–82.3) and 432.8 (49.1) W (range 364–518) at the end of exercise.

**Pattern of EMG response**

A two threshold response was detected in 90% of subjects in both vastus lateralis and rectus femoris, and the two breakpoints EMG_T1 and EMG_T2 occurred around 60–70% and 80–90% of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{MAX} \). In 10% of the cases, EMG_T1 could not be detected in either of the two muscles studied, whereas EMG_T2 was found in all 28 subjects.

Figure 1 shows an example of an EMG response in one subject.

**Reliability**

No significant differences existed between mean values of either EMG_T1 or EMG_T2 obtained in both tests (p<0.05) (table 1).

Intraclass correlation coefficients (r) between repeated measurements were significant (p<0.05) and high (table 1).

**Validity**

*Comparison between VT1, LT, and EMG_T1*

Using the methodology described above, VT1 and LT could be detected in 100% of subjects. Average values of LT occurred at a blood lactate concentration of 1.9 (0.5) mM. Table 2 presents mean values of VT1, LT, and EMG_T1, expressed in \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (ml/kg/min), % of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{MAX} \), and W. No significant differences (p>0.05) were found between means.
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Table 1 Reliability of measurements of the electromyographic thresholds EMG₁ and EMG₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First test</th>
<th>Second test</th>
<th>Correlation (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vastus lateralis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMG₁</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V₀</strong> (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>45.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>43.9 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% V₀_MAX</strong></td>
<td>68.8 (4.0)</td>
<td>63.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong></td>
<td>252.7 (17.6)</td>
<td>238.2 (17.9)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMG₂</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V₀</strong> (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>59.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>62.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% V₀_MAX</strong></td>
<td>90.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>90.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong></td>
<td>367.6 (15.4)</td>
<td>372.6 (14.7)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectus femoris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMG₁</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V₀</strong> (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>48.7 (2.5)</td>
<td>47.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% V₀_MAX</strong></td>
<td>70.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>68.4 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong></td>
<td>280.2 (22.4)</td>
<td>268.2 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMG₂</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V₀</strong> (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>59.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>59.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% V₀_MAX</strong></td>
<td>89.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>86.2 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong></td>
<td>374.2 (20.7)</td>
<td>360.8 (20.0)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as mean (SEM). No significant differences existed between means (p>0.05). All correlation coefficients were significant (p<0.05).

Table 2 Comparison between mean values of the first electromyographic threshold (EMG₁), the first ventilatory threshold (VT₁), and the lactate threshold (LT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vastus lateralis</th>
<th>Rectus femoris</th>
<th>VT₁</th>
<th>LT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMG₁</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V₀</strong> (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>43.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>47.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>45.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>46.1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% V₀_MAX</strong></td>
<td>62.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>69.0 (2.1)</td>
<td>64.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>68.7 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong></td>
<td>240.3 (9.8)</td>
<td>270.8 (13.8)</td>
<td>257.8 (10.0)</td>
<td>269.8 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as mean (SEM). No significant differences existed between means (p>0.05).

Table 3 Comparison between mean values of the second electromyographic threshold (EMG₂), the second ventilatory threshold (VT₂), and the onset of blood lactate accumulation (OBLA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vastus lateralis</th>
<th>Rectus femoris</th>
<th>VT₂</th>
<th>OBLA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMG₁</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V₀</strong> (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>61.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>59.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>59.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>60.7 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% V₀_MAX</strong></td>
<td>86.9 (1.5)</td>
<td>88.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>84.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>87.7 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong></td>
<td>371.1 (9.2)</td>
<td>367.5 (14.1)</td>
<td>352.8 (14.4)</td>
<td>377.6 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as mean (SEM). No significant differences existed between means (p>0.05).

**Comparison between VT₂, OBLA, and EMG₂.**

Using the methodologies described above, VT₂ and OBLA could be detected in 100% of subjects. Table 3 presents mean values of VT₂ and OBLA expressed in W. All correlation coefficients (p<0.05) existed between means. 40% of the samples showed EMGT₁ ≤ VT₂ and EMGT₂ ≤ OBLA. To determine the validity of these two methods, EMGT₁ was compared with VT₂ and EMGT₂ with OBLA. No significant differences (p>0.05) existed between means.

**Total errors**

Table 4 gives total errors.

**Correlations**

Table 5 gives significant correlations between the measured variables.

**Bland and Altman procedure**

The statistical approach reported by Bland and Altman²⁰ was applied to assess the degree of agreement between the following methods: EMGT₁ (vastus lateralis) v VT₂, and LT (figs 2 and 3 respectively); EMGT₂ (vastus lateralis) v VT₀ and OBLA (figs 4 and 5 respectively). In general, the bias and precision of the EMG method were relatively low, especially for EMGT₂. In addition, for all comparisons, at least 90% of the individual values were within the limits of agreement.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first report to determine the validity of the EMG method for analysing the aerobic-anaerobic transition phase in top level athletes (professional cyclists) during cycle ergometry using a ramp test. Previous studies on EMG response during such protocols have been conducted with subjects of considerably lower fitness levels (in most studies, mean V₀_MAX <65 ml/kg/min, and in all of them mean peak power output <360 W) than those selected for our investigation (V₀_MAX 69.9 (6.4) ml/kg/min; peak power output 432.8 (49.1) W). To date, no conclusion could be inferred from previous research.
A novel finding of our study was that in elite cyclists the EMG activity of the muscles primarily involved in pedalling (vastus lateralis, rectus femoris) show a two threshold response with two distinct breakpoints, EMG$_{T1}$ and EMG$_{T2}$, occurring at an exercise intensity of 60–70% and 80–90% of $V_{O2 \text{MAX}}$ respectively. A second finding of our investigation was that the EMG method is both valid and reliable for analysing the aerobic-anaerobic transition during exercise. Finally, in elite cyclists it appears that muscle fatigability is not significantly affected by muscle fibre type distribution, as EMG$_{T1}$ and EMG$_{T2}$ occurred at similar intensities in the vastus lateralis and rectus femoris.

**METHODOLOGICAL LIMITATIONS**

Our study is not without potential limitations. Firstly, the literature on the usefulness of EMG in the determination of ventilatory or lactate thresholds is somewhat controversial. The differences in results could be attributed to difficulties in reliably following the myoelectrical activities in working muscles. In addition, during exertion the skin blood flow and temperature increase. This phenomenon could induce alterations in the electrical properties of the skin and affect the records of surface EMG. In this regard, previous research has shown no correlation between skin temperature and EMG measurements, excluding the possibility that EMG breakpoints are related to changes in electrical properties of the skin.

On the other hand, although we measured the myoelectrical activity of the muscles (vastus lateralis and rectus femoris) involved during the work (descending) period of pedalling only, no records were obtained for other muscles—for example, gastrocnemius, tibialis anterior—that are also involved during the rest (ascending) period of pedalling exercise. However, previous research has shown that a non-linear increase in EMG also occurs in the gastrocnemius muscle. In addition, previous EMG and biopsy studies suggest that the muscle most heavily involved during cycling is the vastus lateralis.

Furthermore, a single ramp increment (5 W every 12 seconds) was chosen in our protocol, whereas the results of a previous study using different ramp slopes (10, 20, 30 and 40 W/min) suggest that the metabolic state at which the EMG occurs in untrained subjects may differ during the different ramp exercises. Further research should be conducted with elite cyclists to determine the influence of varying ramp protocols on the EMG response.

Finally, the results obtained during a progressive test in a cycle ergometer using a fixed cadence (70–80 rpm), as was used in this investigation, cannot be easily extrapolated to

---

**Figure 2** Graphic analysis of power output (W) data corresponding to the first electromyographic threshold (EMG$_{T1}$; vastus lateralis) and ventilatory threshold 1 (VT$_1$).

**Figure 3** Graphic analysis of power output (W) data corresponding to the first electromyographic threshold (EMG$_{T1}$; vastus lateralis) and lactate threshold (LT).

**Figure 4** Graphic analysis of power output (W) data corresponding to the second electromyographic threshold (EMG$_{T2}$; vastus lateralis) and the ventilatory threshold 2 (VT$_2$).

**Figure 5** Graphic analysis of power output (W) data corresponding to the second electromyographic threshold (EMG$_{T2}$; vastus lateralis) and the onset of blood lactate accumulation (OBLA).
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Patients. In these studies, the aerobic anaerobic transition was expressed using ventilatory parameters (VT1) or lactate measurements based on a first or second abrupt increase in blood lactate (corresponding to blood lactate concentrations of about 2 and 4 mM respectively). Indeed, the EMG may occur as a result of a change in the pattern of motor unit recruitment from predominantly slow twitch motor units to fast twitch motor units, which could contribute to the accumulation of circulating lactate during exercise. The EMG has therefore been suggested as an alternative non-invasive method for determination of ventilatory and/or lactate thresholds.

Our results are in overall agreement with those of previous studies, as no significant differences were observed between the exercise intensity and that corresponding to VT1 or LT. It follows that rms-EMG may represent a complementary non-invasive indicator of the aerobic-anaerobic transition during gradual exercise tests. A second breakpoint (EMG2) is observed in our subjects, which needs further discussion.

COINCIDENCE OF EMG AND BLOOD LACTATE RESPONSES

The reason for an abrupt increase in EMG activity above certain exercise intensity is not fully understood, and could be at both a local (muscle fatigue) and a generalised level. With respect to the first phenomenon, it can be assumed that after LT is reached, ATP supply from slow twitch oxidative (type I) fibres through oxidative phosphorylation becomes inadequate and therefore must be supplemented by using energy reserves available through anaerobic glycolysis, leading to metabolic acidosis. Accumulation of hydrogen ions, in turn, has been shown to impair excitation-contraction coupling through impairment of the function of the sodium/potassium ATPase of the sarcolemma, (b) the calcium ATPase of the sarcoplasmic reticulum, or (c) the myosin ATPase involved in actin-myosin interaction. Moreover, high lactate levels per se may disrupt excitation-contraction coupling. Thus, under these physiological conditions, in order to compensate for the deficit in contractility resulting from impairment of fatigued motor units, muscle force output must be increased during an incremental exercise test through recruitment of additional motor units, particularly those made of fast twitch oxidative glycolytic (type IIa) and fast twitch glycolytic (type IIb) muscle fibres. The observed non-linear increases in rms-EMG (EMG1 and EMG2) could therefore be explained by a progressive recruitment of motor units with possible participation of type IIa and IIb fibres (at the EMG1 and EMG2 respectively) producing larger action potentials, followed by some degree of synchronisation of motor unit potentials as these fibres may undergo progressive fatigue. In fact, our findings are in agreement with previous research with muscle biopsy samples, which has shown a 1:1 relation between the fraction of active fibres in the vastus lateralis and the intensity (determined as a percentage of VO2MAX) of cycle ergometer exercise following this pattern: at about 40% of VO2MAX, almost only type I fibres are recruited, whereas at about 60% of VO2MAX (EMG2) both type I and IIa are activated, and during severe exercise (about 90% of VO2MAX or EMG3), fibres of type I, IIa, and IIb are recruited.

However, the underlying mechanism may not be limited to a local level (muscle fatigue induced by metabolic acidosis). Such an hypothesis is supported by previous research showing that changes in EMG activity consistent with motor unit recruitment and muscle fatigue can also be recorded from patients with McArdle's syndrome or from normal subjects under conditions of unchanging muscle pH.

Therefore, other ions—for example, potassium, ammonia, adenosine monophosphate, and magnesium—may be responsible for altering muscle function during exercise. In addition, Airaksinen and co-workers showed that both working (vastus lateralis and gastrocnemius) and non-working (frontalis) muscles showed a shift in EMG at the same load, suggesting that the breakpoint(s) observed in muscle electrical activity may not only be attributed to peripheral fatigue. Thus the explanation could also be a change in the basic activation of muscles in general. Such a generalised response could be due to a change in the membrane function initiated not only by an

COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS STUDIES

Previous studies with healthy non-elite subjects have shown the occurrence of a single point (the “EMG1”) at which the increase in EMG of quadriceps muscles becomes non-linear during exercise protocols comparable with that used in our investigation. Furthermore, a similar response has been reported in cardiac transplant patients in a study conducted in our laboratory. This EMG1, in turn, has been shown to occur during the transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism, at about 65–70% of VO2MAX in healthy subjects and about 60% of VO2MAX in cardiac transplant patients. In these studies, the aerobic anaerobic transition was expressed using ventilatory parameters (VT1) or lactate measurements based on a first or second abrupt increase in blood lactate (corresponding to blood lactate concentrations of about 2 and 4 mM respectively). Indeed, the EMG may occur as a result of a change in the pattern of motor unit recruitment from predominantly slow twitch motor units to fast twitch motor units, which could contribute to the accumulation of circulating lactate during exercise.

The EMG has therefore been suggested as an alternative non-invasive method for determination of ventilatory and/or lactate thresholds.

Our results are in overall agreement with those of previous studies, as no significant differences were observed between the exercise intensity and that corresponding to VT1 or LT. It follows that rms-EMG may represent a complementary non-invasive indicator of the aerobic-anaerobic transition during gradual exercise tests. A second breakpoint (EMG2), however, also occurred in our subjects, which needs further discussion.
increase in lactate levels but also by other factors such as an increase in neural or hormonal activity.

**COINCIDENCE OF EMG AND VENTILATORY RESPONSES**

The correlation encountered between VT1 and EMGT1, on the one hand, and VT2 and EMGT2, on the other, could be due to a muscle derived signal to ventilation. Indeed, previous studies provide evidence for the existence of ergoreceptors or mechanoreceptors which respond to increases in the work performed per unit muscle.20 Moreover, Morikawa and co-workers31 found that a ventilatory response of exercising limbs.32 This increase in neural activity may occur during incremental exercise in response to the need to progressively recruit additional motor units comprised of type Ila (possibly at the EMGT1) and IIb fibres (possibly at the EMGT2) respectively as the work rate is increased and individual fibres begin to fatigue.35 Indeed, numerous investigations have shown simultaneous increases in ventilation and EMG activity during incremental exercise.3 4 13 32

The results of a recent study, however, do not support a link between motor unit recruitment and ventilation, as evidenced by the dissociation between the EMG, of the rectus femoris and the ventilatory threshold that existed in trained cyclists after glycogen depletion.36 A similar study should be conducted with professional cyclists in order to assess the effects of glycogen depletion on the rms-EMG response.

**ABSENCE OF EMG T2 IN PREVIOUS RESEARCH**

The question remains to be answered of why a second EMG threshold (EMGT2) was found only in this study, in contrast with previous research. In this regard, a possible explanation could be that the significant increases in neural activity to the exercising muscles that occur at EMGT2 were not detected in previous studies because EMG activity was mainly recorded from a single muscle—that is, the vastus lateralis. This hypothesis is supported by the findings of Green and Patla,19 which showed that many different muscle groups contribute to the completion of an incremental exercise task and that the average level of activation increases differentially among the muscle groups. It may be possible that only highly trained cyclists (such as those selected for our study) are able to effectively recruit a sufficient number of motor units (especially those with fast fibres) within individual muscles (vastus lateralis or rectus femoris) at near to maximum intensities during an incremental test such as to induce a second breakpoint in the EMG response to exercise. Indeed, EMGT2 occurred at a power output as high as 370 W, which in turn elicited a VO2 of about 60 ml/kg/min. Both values are well above the maximal levels of power output and VO2 reported in most previous EMG studies (<350 W and <55 ml/kg/min respectively). Although two studies37 38 have been conducted in this area using highly trained cyclists with similar values of VO2MAX to those of our subjects (about 70 ml/kg/min), they attained considerably lower peak power outputs (<360 W) during incremental tests.

**SIMILAR RESPONSES IN VASTUS LATERALIS AND RECTUS FEMORIS**

Based on the results of previous research with non-elite athletes,35 36 a disparity in the EMG/exercise intensity relation would be expected to exist between the vastus lateralis and the rectus femoris. In effect, previous research has shown distinct responses in the two muscles, such as the occurrence of the EMG fatigue threshold at lower intensities in the rectus femoris.34 This disparity could be partly attributed to kinesiological differences, as the rectus femoris (unlike the vastii muscles) is a biarticular muscle involved in both leg extension and thigh flexion.34 On the other hand, possible differences in muscle fibre composition cannot be excluded, the rectus femoris being comprised of a greater percentage of fatigable fast twitch fibres.35 36 In this regard, few data are available on the muscle fibre composition of leg muscles in professional cyclists. In our investigation, however, EMGT1 and EMGT2 occurred at similar intensities in both muscles, suggesting a similar pattern of muscle fibre recruitment in the different leg muscles of top level cyclists as an adaptation to training and competition.

**CONCLUSION**

In elite cyclists the EMG activities of two leg muscles (vastus lateralis and rectus femoris) show similar patterns, with two distinct breakpoints, EMGT1 and EMGT2, occurring at an exercise intensity of 60–70% and 80–90% of VO2MAX respectively. The rms-EMG method seems to be both valid and reliable for analysing the aerobic-anerobic transition during cycle ergometer exercise.
Electromyographic analysis in elite cyclists


Take home message

During incremental cycle ergometer tests performed by top level cyclists, the relation between EMG activity (rms-EMG) of exercising muscles (vastus lateralis and rectus femoris) and exercise intensity exhibits two breakpoints, at around 60–70% and 80–90% of VO2max respectively. rms-EMG may be used as a complementary indicator of the aerobic-anaerobic transition in physiological evaluations of cyclists.
Analysis of the aerobic-anaerobic transition in elite cyclists during incremental exercise with the use of electromyography.
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