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Abstract

Objectives—To correlate glenohumeral translation in the anterior/posterior direction with medial and lateral rotation of the humerus. In addition, the length of the anterior and posterior component of the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex was varied in order to gain insight into the contribution of each component to limiting translation. All measurements were made with the humerus positioned at 90° of abduction and 0° of flexion/extension.

Methods—Six fresh cadaveric shoulders were used. Each scapula was mounted in a cement pot to rest it in its correct anatomical position. Seven tests were carried out on each shoulder. A series of measurements of translation of the humerus in the anterior direction and posterior direction were taken at 20° intervals of lateral rotation and then at 20° intervals of medial rotation until the limit of lateral or medial rotation had clearly been reached (test 1). The capsuloligamentous complex was then incised and a beaded chain and catches were sutured across the joint to mimic the capsuloligamentous complex at different lengths (tests 2 to 7).

Results/Conclusions—(a) When the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex is intact, the humerus translates maximally in the glenoid (between 20 and 30 mm) when the humerus is between 40° and 100° of lateral rotation. (b) As the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex increases in length, so does the extent of translation. (c) In medial rotation, the length of the posterior capsule, rather than the length of the anterior capsule, has the greater effect on anterior/posterior translation. (d) In lateral rotation the length of the anterior capsule, rather than the length of the posterior capsule, has the greater effect on anterior/posterior translation. (e) The glenohumeral ligamentous complex acts more as a cuff, enclosing the joint, rather than as a sling, as is commonly thought.


Materials and methods

CADAVERIC MATERIAL
Six fresh (unembalmed) cadaveric shoulders (plus humeri) were used (three right and three left). The shoulders were from two men and two women, mean age 63 (range 55–72) years. After the shoulders had been thawed, the musculature was completely dissected away, leaving only the bony and ligamentous structures of the humerus and scapula (including coracoid and acromion). At this stage the shoulders were examined to ensure that there was no degeneration and that the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex was completely intact and undamaged; two other specimens (not included in our experiments) were discarded at this stage.
SPECIMEN MOUNTING

After dissection, the scapula was “potted”. This consisted of inserting the medial border of the scapula into the open end of a PVC pipe (10 cm diameter by 10 cm long), and then filling the pipe with dental cement. The potted scapula and humerus were then mounted on to a vertical stand. The glenohumeral joint was thus able to rest in the correct anatomical position to simulate the standing position. The scapula was mounted so that the plane of the glenoid was perpendicular to the ground and the acromion was rotated 23° from the horizontal in the plane of the glenoid (fig 1).

APPLICATION OF THE BEADED CHAIN

Into the medial side of the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex (the side of the ligament at the attachment to the glenoid) were sutured segments of “beaded chain” (the type commonly used to attach pens to desks or drain plugs to sinks; fig 2). Adjacent beads on the chain were 4.2 mm apart when the chain was taut. An ample free length of chain was provided to allow subsequent attachment to “chain catches” on the scapular side of the ligament. The catches were screwed into the bony humerus. Five chain and catch sets, located at the 2, 5, 6, 7, and 10 o’clock positions were used. Thus when the ligament was cut (see below) the chain (and catch sets) could be used to mimic the normal length of the components of the ligamentous complex. Each length of chain could also be increased by increments of 4.2 mm. Anterior and posterior lengths could be adjusted independently of each other, allowing multiple permutations of simulated capsular ligaments at different lengths. This chain and catch method has been described previously.23

METHOD OF MEASURING ANTERIOR/POSTERIOR TRANSLATION

The following procedure was carried out to measure the extent of anterior or posterior translation of the humerus with respect to the acromion. A ball race, 10 cm internal diameter, was secured around the shaft of the proximal humerus. A small metal rod 10 mm in length and 0.5 mm in diameter was attached so as to project axially out from the outer circumference of the ball race. This metal rod was lined up with a ruler attached to the acromion (fig 2). The extent of translation was determined by a single observer standing in the same position (fig 1) for each measurement while another researcher held the humerus in the required position. Repeated observations were made, involving at least four measurements, until a single consistent reading was obtained and recorded. The ball race allowed the metal rod to be rotated back to its original position to permit comparable readings to be made when the humerus had been rotated medially or laterally.

All measurements of translation were made with the distal end of the humerus placed in a position of 90° of abduction and 0° of flexion/extension. No attempt was made to compress the humeral head in the glenoid fossa while the transitional measurements were being made so as to ensure that the capsuloligamentous complex was allowed to reach its own mechanical equilibrium. Before measurement, two equal and opposite forces were applied to counteract sag of the humeral head, while allowing the ligaments to maintain the humeral head in equilibrium within the glenoid. These forces of 15 N of anterior directed force and 15 N of posterior force were applied through weights, low-friction pulleys, and rope attached at the circumference of the ball race. Anterior and posterior rotation were produced by the application of an additional force of 26.7 N—that is, 6 lb—in the required direction.

ROTATION OF THE HUMERUS

Medial and lateral rotation of the humerus with respect to the scapula was defined using an imaginary line passing through the medial and lateral epicondyles. The humerus was defined as being in 0° of medial/lateral rotation when this line was perpendicular to the horizontal. In the present experiment this “neutral” position is defined with reference to the scapula and not to the thorax as it would be in a clinical study.

To measure medial and lateral rotation, a threaded rod 1.5 cm in diameter and 100 cm long was inserted for half its length up the humerus through a hole drilled in the distal end of the bone, and a “goniometer” was...
Table 1  Mean results for the normal shoulders (test 1) and the shoulders with the capsuloligamentous complex lengthened by different amounts (tests 3, 4, and 5). Medial rotation is negative and lateral rotation is positive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Humeral rotation (°)</th>
<th>Normal length (test 1)</th>
<th>Normal + 4.2 mm (test 3)</th>
<th>Normal + 12.6 mm (test 4)</th>
<th>Normal + 21.0 mm (test 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior translation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20°</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40°</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80°</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100°</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120°</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior translation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20°</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>−6.8</td>
<td>−15.8</td>
<td>−17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>−6.5</td>
<td>−10.3</td>
<td>−19.7</td>
<td>−22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
<td>−12.7</td>
<td>−20.1</td>
<td>−22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40°</td>
<td>−14.8</td>
<td>−15.9</td>
<td>−19.2</td>
<td>−22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td>−13.7</td>
<td>−15.1</td>
<td>−16.3</td>
<td>−20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80°</td>
<td>−11.5</td>
<td>−13.8</td>
<td>−14.9</td>
<td>−16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100°</td>
<td>−8.7</td>
<td>−11.2</td>
<td>−12.1</td>
<td>−13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120°</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−8.8</td>
<td>−9.5</td>
<td>−10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal intact shoulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anterior translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anterior/inferior lengthening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anterior/superior lengthening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Medial/lateral rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Posterior translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Posterior/inferior lengthening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

One way and two way analysis of variance was used to determine significance within tests and between tests (Epistat 4.2, Epistat, Richardson, Texas, USA).

**Results**

Table 1 and fig 3 give the mean results for the normal (intact) shoulders (test 1). (Remember: the humerus was kept at 90° of abduction and 0° of flexion/extension.) Translation in the anterior and posterior directions are shown against medial/lateral rotation of the humerus. Translation was at a maximum when the shoulder was laterally rotated between 40° and 100°. Within this range the overall translation of the humerus in the glenoid (from anterior to posterior) was between 20 mm and 30 mm. At the extremes of medial/lateral rotation, translation was significantly less than translation at other positions of medial/lateral rotation (p<0.001 by single factor analysis of variance).

There was no significant difference (p>0.05) between the results for the normal intact shoulder (test 1) and the results for the shoulder after the capsuloligamentous complex had been cut but retained at the original length (test 2).

Figure 4 shows the results for the tests in which the chain and catch sets were increased in length by equal amounts at every position (tests 3, 4, and 5) compared with normal. The results show that translation increased as the ligament length increased (p<0.001 by single factor analysis of variance), and medial/lateral rotation increased as the ligament length increased (p<0.001 by single factor analysis of variance).

When comparing the effect of selected anterior or posterior capsuloligamentous complex lengthening on anterior and posterior translation, there was a significant (p<0.05) difference between lengthening the anterior capsule and lengthening the posterior capsule (tests 6 and 7).
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Figure 4 The results for translation are shown against internal/external rotation. The results for the normal shoulders (test 1) are compared with the results obtained when the chain and catch sets were increased in length by equal amounts at every position (tests 3, 4, and 5). GH, glenohumeral.

7). Lengthening the posterior capsule resulted in a significantly increased anterior/posterior translation only when the joint was near the extreme of medial rotation (20° of medial rotation) but not when the joint was near the extreme of lateral rotation (120° of lateral rotation). Similarly, lengthening the anterior capsule resulted in a significantly increased anterior and posterior translation only when the joint was near the extreme of lateral rotation (120° of lateral rotation) but not when the joint was near the extreme of medial rotation (20° of medial rotation) (fig 5).

The maximum rotation in both directions for three of the six shoulders was found to be 20° of medial and 120° of lateral. The other three shoulders were able to be rotated nearly 20° more in both directions. However, for the sake of consistency in fig 5, 20° of medial rotation and 120° of lateral rotation were used as the limit for our measurements.

Discussion

Using fig 3, some detail of the overall structure of the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex can be interpreted. The ligament appears to be most lax (in terms of allowing the most translation) at about 50° of lateral rotation. The anatomical range of medial/lateral rotation is about ±70° from this position—that is, from 120° of lateral rotation to 20° of internal rotation), although it was about ±90° in half of the shoulders. When it rotates, either laterally or medially, from this 50° position of lateral rotation, the cross fibres of the capsule become tight and so resist anterior and posterior translation at the limits of lateral and medial rotation. This description implies that the ligament acts more as a cuff, enclosing the joint, rather than a sling (as is commonly thought).

The fact that translation increases as the glenohumeral ligamentous complex increases in length is not surprising. Clearly, the longer the ligament, the more loose the cuff, and the greater the ability to translate. The tightening effect, as the humerus laterally and medially rotates in the glenoid, is also indicated on fig 4 by the reduction in translation at the limits of rotation even when the ligament is lengthened by only 4.2 mm. However, when the ligament is lengthened by 12.6 mm and by 21.0 mm, the reduction in translation at the limits of “normal” rotation is not as apparent. This is an important point in that it indicates that any shoulder surgery that leaves the glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex more than about 5 mm longer than normal, risks introducing instability by removing the tightening effect that stabilises the shoulder joint against translation at the limits of normal medial/lateral rotation. A further point is that the limits of normal rotation would also increase if the ligament was longer.

In medial rotation, the posterior capsule had the greater influence on translation, whereas in lateral rotation the anterior capsule had the greater influence on translation (fig 5). When a patient with an unstable shoulder is examined, symptoms are typically elicited when the arm is stressed in lateral or medial rotation. In a previous study of shoulder kinematics, we determined a clinical test for isolating either the anterior or the posterior capsule during rotation.7 The anterior capsule can be tested with the arm at 30–40° of abduction and 0–10° of flexion/extension; the humerus is then rotated laterally. Similarly, the posterior capsule can be tested with the arm in 60–70° of abduction and 20–30° of flexion; the humerus is then rotated medially. The study presented here suggests an alternative clinical test in that the anterior capsule can be independently stressed by translating the humerus anteriorly/posteriorly while at 90° abduction, 0° flexion/extension, and 120° lateral rotation. The
Mechanics of the glenohumeral ligamentous complex

posterior capsule can be independently stressed by translating the humerus anteriorly/posteriorly while at 90° of abduction, 0° flexion/medial rotation. It follows that, if symptoms are reproduced by translation while in lateral rotation, the focus of repair should be on the anterior capsule. Similarly, if symptoms are reproduced by translation while in medial rotation, the focus of repair should be on the posterior capsule.

The results presented here are relevant to dislocation of the abducted shoulder joint. When the abducted shoulder is laterally rotated at the time of the dislocation, the anterior glenohumoral capsuloligamentous complex is more likely to be damaged. On the other hand, when the abducted shoulder is medially rotated at the time of dislocation, the posterior glenohumeral capsuloligamentous complex is more likely to be damaged.


Correlation of medial/lateral rotation of the humerus with glenohumeral translation.
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