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Introduction
In the last decade, the numbers of overweight (body mass index (BMI) >25 kg/m²) and obese (BMI >30 kg/m²) people have substantially increased in Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, the United States, and Western Samoa.¹ The prevalence of obesity in the United States has grown from 12.0% in 1991 to 17.9% in 1998.² Recent reports indicate that overweight and obese adults now comprise the majority of the American population with 54.9% persons reporting a BMI >25 kg/m². These alarming trends have led to the declaration of a global obesity epidemic.³⁴

Overweight and obesity have become so common that they are replacing the more traditional health problems of undernutrition and infectious diseases as significant causes of poor health world wide.⁵ Excess weight is associated with numerous diseases and conditions including cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, osteoarthritis, sleep apnoea, gallbladder disease, infertility, and some cancers.⁶ The direct and indirect costs of obesity are considerable, with the associated expenses representing 7% of the national health care budget in the United States, 7% in the Netherlands, 4% in France, and 2% in Australia.⁷ Clearly, overnutrition is a serious health hazard world wide with significant financial expense.

Our genetic pool has not been altered dramatically over the past 10 years. Consequently, the obesity epidemic can be attributed to environmental factors that promote physical inactivity and excessive intake of calories and high fat energy dense foods leading to a state of chronic positive energy balance.⁸ This long term excess energy availability contributes to insidious cumulative weight gain eventually resulting in overweight and obesity. Ecological studies support the notion that the decline in energy expenditure is the more probable cause of the obesity epidemic than overnutrition per se. In the United Kingdom, the prevalence of obesity increased over 150% between 1980 and 1997, whereas food intake per household decreased by 20% over this same time period.⁹ These observations support the contention that the significant increase in obesity world wide is due to greater relative declines in physical activity than increases in energy intake.

As leisure time physical activity has remained constant over the previous two decades, the decrease in overall energy expenditure is thought to be due to significantly less participation in household work and daily routine physical activities.⁹ Therefore an essential vehicle for thwarting the obesity epidemic is to reduce sedentary behaviour by engaging in greater amounts of voluntary and spontaneous low to moderate physical activities which are accumulated throughout the day. The weight loss achieved through this approach may not be substantial enough to prevent overweight and obesity, and it may be negated by greater food intake. Nonetheless, moderate weight loss of 5–15% of body weight has been shown to result in significant health gains.⁴⁰

Overweight and obesity have or are likely to become the norm in many societies world wide. A viable public health strategy is to target improving the health of those with excess weight through the beneficial effects of physical activity rather than striving for unrealistic body habitus transformations by significant reductions in energy intake.¹¹ Physical fitness, of which habitual physical activity is a major determinant, confers protection from cardiovascular and all cause mortality in the presence of overweight and obesity.¹² Although the primary prevention and reversal of obesity is optimal, these goals may never be achieved in the industrialised world. A more realistic health promotion strategy is to encourage participation of the overweight and obese in lifestyle physical activity that is accumulated throughout the day to achieve healthier body weights.¹¹¹ The purpose of this paper is to present evidence on the important role that lifestyle physical activity has in achieving and maintaining a healthier body weight through its favourable influence on the cardiometabolic risk factor profile in overweight and obese adults.

Methods of systematic review
Recently a plethora of literature has been published on the role of physical activity in the treatment of the overweight and obese. The extensive reference lists contained within these documents were scrutinised by the authors for relevant articles to include in this paper on the role of lifestyle physical activity in mediating a healthier body weight in the presence of overall adiposity. These reports included the National Institutes of Health Obesity Education Initiative (OEI),¹⁴ in which panel members con-
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Table 1 World Health Organisation classification scheme for overweight and obesity by the body mass index with associated disease risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Obesity class</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>18.5–24.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>25.0–29.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity I</td>
<td>30.0–34.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity II</td>
<td>35.0–39.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme obesity III</td>
<td>≥40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conducted a systematic Medline review from 1980 to 1997 on keywords relevant to the evidence based model for the treatment of overweight and obesity. Another key resource was the proceedings of the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) consensus conference, in which the authors expanded the scope of their reviews beyond the OEI in terms of years searched and appropriate keywords. Other reference lists consulted were the World Health Organisation, the American Medical Association, the Surgeon General Report, and the review by Dunn et al on the role of lifestyle physical activity in the treatment of obesity.

In addition, a Medline search was conducted by the authors from 1997 to the present using various combinations of the major exposure measures discussed within this review. We found the literature on lifestyle physical activity and cardiometabolic health outcomes among overweight and obese adults to be promising but very limited, which is in agreement with others. Over the course of the year preceding the writing of this review, the authors subscribed to receipt of the current table of contents of scientific journals relevant to this topic. Finally, the authors’ personal files accumulated from their pertinent publications were examined, and experts were consulted for suggestions and recommendations about possible manuscript content.

Definitions and basic tenets

WHAT IS A HEALTHY BODY WEIGHT?

Accumulating scientific evidence indicates that the risk of death from cardiovascular disease and all causes increases throughout the range of overweight (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) and obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) across the adult lifespan. Calle and coworkers reported the BMI range associated with the lowest mortality to be between 22.0 and 23.4 kg/m² for women and 23.5 and 24.9 kg/m² for men, whereas Stevens et al found the range to be between 19.0 and 21.9 kg/m² for both genders. It is notable that the incidence of cardiometabolic disease begins to increase at a BMI well below 25 kg/m². For these reasons, adults of all ages are recommended to strive for a healthy normal weight consistent with a BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m². Table 1 shows the World Health Organisation classification scheme for overweight and obesity by BMI with associated disease risk.

Given the alarming trend data provided in the introduction, most adults in the United States and other industrialised countries fall outside the desirable BMI range associated with a normal weight. Many obesity related health conditions are ameliorated with relatively small changes in body weight. As indicated, physical fitness exerts a protective effect even when excess adiposity is present. A realistic health promotion treatment strategy for the millions of overweight and obese persons is to strive for a healthier body weight within the confines of an otherwise insidious disease process. An ideal approach to achieve this goal is to increase overall energy expenditure through lifestyle physical activity.

WHY LIFESTYLE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY?

The traditional structured exercise prescription for becoming physically fit has failed dismally in motivating most adults to become habitually physically active. The fitness oriented exercise prescription advocated participation in 20–60 minutes of continuous aerobic exercise for three to five days a week performed at 60–85% maximal oxygen consumption (V˙O₂MAX), which is equivalent to 70–90% of the maximum age predicted heart rate or 7–10 metabolic energy equivalents (METs). Most Americans do not ordinarily exert themselves beyond 30–35% of the V˙O₂MAX. In recent years, it has become evident that the quantity of exercise needed for health benefit is considerably less than that needed to achieve physical fitness. In addition, participation in vigorous activity (>60% V˙O₂MAX) is associated with increased risk of injury and death for irregular exercisers and those with disease, which are common features of overweight and obese persons. These disclosures have led exercise and behavioural scientists to investigate innovative ways to encourage our predominantly physically inactive society to become less sedentary and more physically active.

One encouraging approach in this regard has been the emergence of lifestyle physical activity. Dunn and coauthors have defined lifestyle physical activity as the daily accumulation of at least 30 minutes of self selected activities including leisure, occupational, and household activities that are at least moderate in their intensity. These activities may be planned or unplanned, structured or unstructured, and are part of routine tasks of everyday life. For the purposes of this paper, our focus will be on lifestyle physical activities that are of low to moderate intensity, defined as <40–60% of V˙O₂MAX, <55–70% of the age predicted heart rate, or <4–6 METs among middle aged persons 40–64 years of age. This level of exertion seems most appropriate for a population of adults with excess weight who exhibit predominantly sedentary behaviour and who are vulnerable to the adverse effects of vigorous exercise.

CARDIOMETABOLIC HEALTH

Overweight and obese persons, especially those with excess abdominal adiposity, are predisposed to a variety of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases and disorders including hyperinsulinaemia, glucose intolerance, dyslipidaemia, and hypertension as well as Type 2 diabetes mellitus and coronary heart
The term cardiometabolic disease was formulated to link the disorders of the metabolic syndrome (abdominal adiposity, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and glucose intolerance) that are predictive of cardiovascular disease and Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Figure 1 presents the interrelated concepts of cardiometabolic disease. A useful clinical measure of abdominal adiposity is the waist circumference. A waist girth greater than 102 cm in men and 88 cm in women is associated with increased cardiometabolic disease risk among adults with a BMI between 25 and 34.9 kg/m².

Our remaining discussion will focus on the cardiometabolic health merits of physical activity with emphasis placed on a lifestyle approach among overweight and obese adults.

Physical activity and cardiometabolic health in the presence of obesity

CONSENSUS STATEMENTS

The OEI established a categorical system for determining the level of scientific evidence supporting conclusions on the threshold or magnitude of the various treatment effects for obesity, one of which was physical activity (table 2). The levels ranged from A, randomised controlled trials providing a consistent pattern for the recommendations made, to D, the panel's expert opinion, when the evidence was insufficient to be placed in categories A to C. Because of the scientific merits of the evidence based approach, the ACSM consensus conference on physical activity and obesity used this same classification scheme for their report.

In both of these scientific conventions, the interpretative emphasis was placed on evidence category A, randomised clinical trials. The ACSM panel of experts concluded that the existent evidence was too limited to make definitive conclusions on the role of physical activity in the aetiology and treatment of obesity. Nonetheless, they arrived at the following conclusions.

- Increased physical activity, with or without weight reduction, improves insulin action and reduces insulin resistance in obese persons (evidence category A).
- Exercise alone or exercise combined with weight loss attenuates the progression from impaired glucose tolerance to Type 2 diabetes mellitus (evidence category C).
- Endurance exercise training when combined with a weight loss of >4.5 kg improves the lipid lipoprotein profile by raising high density lipoprotein cholesterol and lowering triglycerides among overweight and obese men and women (evidence category A).

**Table 2** National Institutes of Health Obesity Education Initiative scientific evidence based categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence category</th>
<th>Source of evidence</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trials (rich body of data)</td>
<td>Provides a consistent pattern of findings with substantial studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trials (limited body of data)</td>
<td>Few randomised trials exist that are small in size and results inconsistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non-randomised trials, observational studies</td>
<td>Outcomes are from uncontrolled non-randomised and/or observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Panel consensus judgment</td>
<td>Panel's expert opinion when the evidence is insufficient to place it in A to C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Concept of cardiometabolic disease.
Table 3  Adjusted levels of abdominal adiposity and blood glucose by category of daily movement in older adults with visceral obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiometabolic parameter</th>
<th>Category of daily movement (hours/day)</th>
<th>&lt;3 (n=104)</th>
<th>3 to &lt;5 (n=134)</th>
<th>≥5 (n=72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>8.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>105.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>103.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>102.9 (1.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). Daily movement is categorised from answers to the question on the Yale Physical Activity Survey, “About how many hours per day do you spend moving around on your feet while doing things?” Cited examples of moving about were light housekeeping, cooking, doing dishes, grocery shopping, and leisurely walking at the mall, activities that range in energy expenditure between 2 and 4 METs.

Blood glucose is adjusted for age, gender, race, medication use, and postprandial state. Overall main effect, p<0.01; <3 v 3 hours/day, p<0.01.

Waist circumference is adjusted for age, gender, race, and medication use. Overall main effect, p<0.05; <3 v ≥3 hours/day, p<0.01.


- Dynamic aerobic training, with or without weight loss, reduces blood pressure among the overweight and obese with the greatest effects seen among persons with hypertension (evidence category A).
- Physical activity, with or without weight reduction, is associated with decreases in visceral and abdominal subcutaneous tissue, but not with decreases in waist circumference (evidence category C), whereas the OHF concluded that physical activity modestly reduced abdominal fat among overweight and obese adults (evidence category B).

The above statements substantiate the important role that physical activity has in the mediation of a healthier body weight among overweight and obese adults without excessive energy deprivation. The aerobic exercise interventions used in most of the study designs from which these conclusions were drawn involved structured programmes ranging in intensity from moderate to vigorous. Because of a sparsity of data, the ACSM consensus panel did not comment on the cardiometabolic health benefits of lifestyle physical activity among overweight and obese adults.

Although limited, there exists a growing body of evidence purporting the cardiometabolic health merits of lifestyle physical activity among overweight and obese adults across the lifespan. These preliminary reports are encouraging in view of the failure of long term adherence (>1 year) to structured endurance exercise and hypocaloric diets as strategies to permanently reverse overweight and obesity and its comorbidities. The National Weight Control Registry is the largest study of overweight and obese persons successful at long term maintenance of weight loss. Those enrolled lost an average of 30 kg from a mean maximum weight of 100 kg for a duration of 5.6 years by a combination of diet and exercise. It is notable that a unique identifier of the weight loss maintainers was the report of a mean energy expenditure of 11 830 KJ/week. Of this amount, 72% of the energy expended consisted of a mixture of light to moderate intensity physical activities. An essential component of long term weight loss maintenance among obese persons appears to be the expenditure of sizeable amounts of energy through a mixture of structured and unstructured leisure and routine activities of daily living which is consistent with the lifestyle physical activity concept.

THE LIFESTYLE APPROACH

The favourable association between physical activity and indices of cardiometabolic health are often obscured by the strong confounding influences of visceral and/or overall obesity, especially among older adults, in whom there is a greater accumulation of abdominal to overall adipose tissue compared with younger persons. Older adults are more susceptible to the punitive effects of vigorous exercise, whereas walking distances of more than 1.5 mile a day has documented cardioprotective effects in this population. The cardiometabolic health benefits of accumulated lifestyle physical activity for older persons seem intuitive but as of yet are understudied.

For these reasons, we examined whether the blood glucose lowering effect of daily accumulated movement was modulated by obesity pattern in a large sample of community dwelling older adults (mean (SEM) age 74.0 (0.3) years). Our indicator of accumulated daily physical movement typical of the past month was a single question from the Yale Physical Activity Survey: “About how many hours do you spend moving around on your feet while doing things? Please report only the time that you are actually moving.” Investigators assisted respondents by citing examples of activities that involved moving about while doing things that ranged in energy expenditure from 2 to 4 METs. These lifestyle activities included light housekeeping, cooking, doing dishes, grocery shopping, and leisurely walking at the mall.

Volunteers (n = 743) were mostly women (79.4%), non-Hispanic white (82.6%), and of lower socioeconomic status, with 58.1% indicating an income at or near the poverty level and 52.8% reporting that they had completed grammar school or less as their highest education level. The total sample was divided into three body habitus patterns: the centrally obese (n = 310), who relatively had a higher BMI (32.7 (0.3) kg/m²) and waist circumference (103.3 (0.5) cm); the overall obese (n = 79), who relatively had a higher BMI (30.8 (0.4) kg/m²) and lower waist circumference (87.5 (0.4) cm); those of normal weight (n = 354), who relatively had a lower BMI (23.88 (0.1) kg/m²) and waist circumference (80.3 (0.4) cm). As the number of hours a day of moving about increased, waist circumference and blood glucose were reduced among the centrally obese but remained similar among those with overall adiposity and those of normal weight (table 3). In contrast, average blood glucose and waist circumference were not different by category of hours of daily accumulated lower intensity movement in those with overall obesity and those of normal weight.

These findings on lifestyle physical activity among older adults with central obesity are in agreement with other reports on young and middle aged overweight and obese persons. These reports, as does ours, support the contention that the favourable cardiometabolic
Table 4  Mean change in cardiometabolic health indices at two years versus baseline by intervention group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Lifestyle physical activity (n=121, 50.0% women)</th>
<th>Structured exercise (n=114, 50.9% women)</th>
<th>( \text{Baseline} )</th>
<th>( \text{Mean change} )</th>
<th>( \text{Baseline} )</th>
<th>( \text{Mean change} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>31.5 (7.9)</td>
<td>30.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>-2.39‡</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>31.5 (7.9)</td>
<td>31.4 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{VO}_{2\text{MAX}} ) (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>26.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>28.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.77†</td>
<td>1.34†</td>
<td>26.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>28.0 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHOL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.13*</td>
<td>5.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.05*</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.12*</td>
<td>3.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHOL:HDL-C ratio</td>
<td>4.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>124.0 (12.1)</td>
<td>126.3 (12.2)</td>
<td>-3.63†</td>
<td>-3.26†</td>
<td>124.0 (12.1)</td>
<td>126.3 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>86.5 (8.7)</td>
<td>87.7 (7.4)</td>
<td>-5.38‡</td>
<td>-5.14</td>
<td>86.5 (8.7)</td>
<td>87.7 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_{2\text{MAX}} ) (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>26.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>28.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.77†</td>
<td>1.34†</td>
<td>26.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>28.0 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM).

Results are adjusted for baseline measure, age, gender, body mass index, and ethnicity. \( \text{VO}_{2\text{MAX}} \), maximum oxygen consumption; TCHOL, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TCHOL:HDL-C ratio, total cholesterol to high density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; SBP, resting systolic blood pressure; DBP, resting diastolic blood pressure.

\(*p<0.05, \; †p<0.01 \) and \( \; ‡p<0.001 \) adjusted mean change at 24 months \( \text{vs} \) baseline.

Dunn and colleagues\(^{31}\) compared the effects of a behaviourally based lifestyle physical activity programme and a structured exercise programme on cardiovascular disease risk factors in sedentary healthy middle aged men (\( n = 116 \)) and women (\( n = 119 \)) with a mean BMI of about 28 kg/m\(^2\). Participants in both groups received six months of intensive programmatic interventions followed by 18 months of maintenance programming to total 24 months of study enrollment. The 121 men and women randomised to the lifestyle physical activity programme were advised to accumulate at least 30 minutes of planned or unplanned moderate intensity physical activity on most, preferably all, days of the week by performing routine activities of everyday life. They also received cognitive and behavioral strategies to assist with the initiation, adoption, and maintenance of a lifestyle physical activity programme. The other 114 volunteers were randomised to the structured exercise programme and received a traditional aerobic exercise prescription of 50–80% \( \text{VO}_{2\text{MAX}} \) for 20–60 minutes, three to five days a week supplemented by educational awareness strategies.

Both groups decreased their body fat and maintained their body weight over the 24 month study period. There were significant and comparable mean decreases in resting blood pressure and increases in \( \text{VO}_{2\text{MAX}} \) in each of the groups (table 4). Favourable blood lipid lipoprotein alterations from baseline were noted in both groups at 24 months but these did not achieve statistical significance in the lifestyle physical activity group. These results support the supposition that a behaviourally based lifestyle physical activity intervention is as effective as a structured exercise regimen in promoting physical activity in previously sedentary overweight persons while simultaneously improving their cardiovascular health profile (evidence category A). Another interesting finding of this study was that both interventions prevented the usual age related weight gain that would have occurred in these middle aged overweight persons over the two year study period.\(^{32}\) These preliminary data suggest that lifestyle physical activity is a realistic public health treatment strategy to attenuate the cardiometabolic disease processes associated with overweight and obesity by possibly stabilising body weight in middle age adulthood.

Anderson and colleagues\(^{33}\) examined short (16 week) and long (one year) term changes in weight and cardiovascular risk factors resulting from 16 weeks of dietary intervention combined with either structured vigorous intensity aerobic exercise (\( n = 20 \)) or moderate intensity lifestyle physical activity (\( n = 20 \)) in obese (32.9 kg/m\(^2\)) middle aged (42.9 years) women. All participants were given the same dietary instructions during the 16 week intervention period. Participants in the lifestyle physical activity group received similar guidelines and behavioural support to those outlined in the study by Dunn et al.\(^{34}\) Women in the structured exercise programme attended three step classes a week performing 45 minutes of stepping at

Effects of structured exercise training are more related to exercise volume or total energy expenditure than increases in cardiorespiratory physical fitness per se.\(^{17,18,23,27}\) An important public health take home message from this study is that a particularly high risk group (less affluent, sedentary, and viscerally obese older adults) was found to have a healthier cardiometabolic profile merely by moving from the lowest category of daily movement, less than three hours a day, into a higher category of daily movement, more than three hours a day. Although observational in nature (evidence category C), our results suggest that three hours or more of lower intensity daily lifestyle movement are an adequate stimulus for achieving more desirable blood glucose levels and reductions in abdominal adiposity among viscerally obese older adults of lower socioeconomic status.

Lipoproteins were not measured in the previous study and may have been favourably influenced by greater amounts of daily accumulated movement. Accordingly, we investigated the influence of lower intensity physical activity on blood lipid lipoproteins in a subsample of 155 community dwelling older adults.\(^{50}\) Volunteers resembled the larger cohort and were mainly white (96.8%), female (65.2%), and on cardiometabolic medications (60.6%), with a mean (SEM) age of 74.2 (0.5) years. After adjustment for medication use, postprandial state, age, gender, and visceral and overall adiposity, greater levels of daily accumulated lifestyle movement were associated with increased high density lipoprotein cholesterol, reduced low density lipoprotein cholesterol, and a lower ratio of total cholesterol to high density lipoprotein (\( p<0.05 \)). Total cholesterol and triglycerides tended to be decreased with greater amounts of routine daily movement (\( p = 0.08 \)). Once again, these data concur with the notion that lifestyle physical activity of low to moderate intensity result in cardiometabolic advantages in community dwelling older adults (evidence category C).
vigorouse intensity exercise (7–8.5 METs) totalling an energy expenditure of 1884–2093 kJ per workout. After the 16 week intervention programme, all participants met quarterly and were weighed. At these meetings, volunteers were asked to report the percentage of time in weeks that they accumulated more than 30 minutes of moderate intensity exercise (3–5 METs) on at least five days of a given week.

Mean weight loss at 16 weeks was significant and similar for both interventions, 7.9 kg for the lifestyle physical activity and 8.3 kg for the structured vigorous intensity exercise group (p<0.001). Triglycerides, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, and resting systolic blood pressure were significantly lower at 16 weeks compared with baseline, whereas VO₂MAX were significantly increased in both groups (table 5). When interpreting the long term results, it is important to consider that between the 16 week intervention and study termination (one year) both the lifestyle and structured groups participated in the same programme of behavioral support and moderate intensity lifestyle physical activity. At one year, there was a tendency for more regained weight in the structured exercise group, 1.5 kg, compared with 0.08 kg for the lifestyle group weight in the structured exercise group, 1.5 kg, and similar for both interventions, 7.9 kg for the lifestyle physical activity and 8.3 kg for the structured exercise group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Lifestyle physical activity (n=20)</th>
<th>Structured exercise (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline 16 weeks 1 year</td>
<td>Baseline 16 weeks 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂MAX (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>19.4 (5.5) 21.5 (4.5)‡ 24.6 (6.6)‡</td>
<td>19.9 (4.0) 22.6 (3.7)‡ 22.4 (4.8)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHOL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.37 (1.10) 4.80 (0.84)‡ 5.21 (0.77)‡</td>
<td>5.35 (1.15) 4.78 (1.01)‡ 5.23 (0.84)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.50) 1.19 (0.24)‡ 1.41 (0.42)‡</td>
<td>1.34 (0.50) 1.22 (0.34)‡ 1.44 (0.41)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.46 (0.84) 3.15 (0.66)‡ 3.31 (0.55)‡</td>
<td>3.46 (1.07) 3.08 (0.96)‡ 3.31 (0.91)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHOL/HDL ratio</td>
<td>4.12 (0.86) 4.13 (0.68) 3.85 (0.77)*</td>
<td>4.02 (0.97) 4.04 (1.17) 3.91 (1.14)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.56) 1.00 (0.37)‡ 1.06 (0.58)‡</td>
<td>1.24 (0.56) 0.93 (0.51‡ 1.19 (0.71)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>126.0 (16.7) 1.14 (12.3)É 117.7 (10.0)†</td>
<td>121.6 (17.9) 112.9 (17.2)É 117.5 (13.4)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>79.3 (11.7) 79.7 (8.2) 79.4 (8.0)</td>
<td>81.2 (10.3) 78.6 (10.1) 80.4 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). VO₂MAX, maximum oxygen consumption; TCHOL, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TCHOL/HDL-C ratio, total cholesterol to high density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; SBP, resting systolic blood pressure; DBP, resting diastolic blood pressure.

*p<0.05, †p<0.01 and ‡p<0.001 adjusted mean change at 16 weeks and one year vs baseline.

& Weiler and coworkers recently found a 10 week behaviourally based lifestyle physical activity programme to be effective in increasing physical activity levels and enhancing lipoproteins independently of changes in adiposity and dietary habits in sedentary overweight and obese worksite employees. The preliminary work of Dunn et al and Weiler et al in overweight and obese middle aged adults and of Andersen et al in obese middle aged women indicate that a lifestyle physical activity program is as effective as a traditional more intense exercise programme in producing short and long term cardiometabolic health gains in the absence of substantial weight loss. These results are promising for overweight and obese persons in whom vigorous intensity exercise imposes increased exertional discomfort, orthopaedic and thermal strain, and cardiovascular risk. Lifestyle physical activities are familiar, enjoyable, convenient, accessible, and time efficient because they may be accumulated throughout the day in smaller time segments within exercise conducive surroundings. Therefore, lifestyle physical activity removes many of the commonly reported barriers to sustained participation in structured exercise programmes and in a group of persons in need of its cardiometabolic health benefits.

A provocative investigation, the results of which suggest the merits of lifestyle physical activity in the treatment of overweight and obesity, is that of Levine et al. These authors designed a study to identify which component or components of energy expenditure accounted for resistance to fat gain during overfeeding of 4186 kJ/day in excess of weight maintenance requirements for eight weeks. The subjects were 16 non-obese men and women between 25 and 36 years of age. The investigators hypothesised that non-exercise activity thermogenesis, defined as the energy generated by participation in non-volitional exercise such as fidgeting, spontaneous muscle contraction, maintaining posture when not recumbent, and activities of daily living, would be the principal mediator of resistance to fat gain with overfeeding. Indeed, activation of non-exercise activity thermogenesis was found to be predictive of opposition to fat accumulation among study volunteers (evidence category C). These findings are consistent with others presented in this paper showing that efforts to increase lifestyle physical activity will be beneficial in the treatment of the overweight and obese.
that, for overweight and obese people to achieve long term weight loss maintenance, sizeable amounts of accumulated energy expenditure (>10 465 kJ/day) are required.

In order to achieve these significant amounts of daily energy expenditure, non-traditional approaches to exercise prescription such as lifestyle physical activity should be considered in a population that is predominantly sedentary and susceptible to the punitive effects of structured vigorous intensity exercise training programmes.

Concluding remarks

An obesity epidemic is spreading throughout the industrialised world, with its negative health effects and sizeable health care expenditures. An obesity conducive environment is the culprit, particularly physical inactivity and overnutrition. Of these two offenders, it is now apparent that declines in physical lifestyle activity have made the major contribution to the worldwide obesity epidemic. A viable public health strategy to impede the progression of the obesity epidemic is therefore to reduce sedentary behaviour by encouraging participation in greater amounts of self selected physical activities that are accumulated throughout the day termed “lifestyle physical activity.” Preliminary evidence indicates that this approach is associated with cardiometabolic health gains even in the absence of sizeable weight loss. Indeed, lifestyle physical activity programmes accumulating energy expenditures that exceed 10 465 kJ/week combined with dietary interventions appear to be as effective as more traditional structured programmes in long term weight loss maintenance and improvements in cardiometabolic health among overweight and obese persons.

Although prevention of obesity is the ideal goal, we live in a world in which obesity is rapidly replacing infectious disease and undernutrition as the most serious health threat. At this time it seems prudent to advocate increases in daily energy expenditure through a lifestyle physical activity approach to achieve healthier body weights for the treatment of overweight and obesity.


**Take home message**

A behaviourally based lifestyle physical activity intervention in combination with dietary intervention appears as effective as a structured exercise regimen in promoting physical activity in previously sedentary overweight and obese persons, concomitantly improving their cardiometabolic health profile through a healthier body weight. These findings are encouraging because this approach removes many of the commonly reported barriers to sustained participation in structured exercise training programmes in a group of adults in need of its cardiometabolic health benefits.

**Multiple choice questions**

1. Adults of all ages are recommended to be within the normal weight range which is associated with a body mass index of (kg/m²):
   (a) 15–18.5
   (b) 18.5–24.9
   (c) 25.0–29.9
   (d) 30.0–34.9
   (e) (b) and (c)

2. Which of the following parameters is consistent with moderate intensity physical activity for middle aged persons?
   (a) VO₂ of 50% of maximal capacity
   (b) 5 METs
   (c) 60% of the age predicted heart rate
   (d) (a) and (c)
   (e) All of the above

3. Cardiometabolic disease is a cluster of which of the following diseases and conditions?
   (a) Diabetes mellitus
   (b) Hypertension
   (c) Type III familial hyperlipidaemia
   (d) Buerger disease
   (e) (a) and (b)

4. Which of the following statements are true?
   (a) The influence of exercise on weight loss alone is significant with a weight loss of 5 kg for up to one year
   (b) Resistive weight training consistently reduces resting blood pressure
   (c) Regular participation in physical activity enhances glucose utilisation
   (d) Aerobic exercise lowers total cholesterol in overweight and obese men and women
   (e) All of the above

5. An essential component of long term weight loss in overweight and obese persons is:
   (a) engaging in a regular exercise training programme of vigorous intensity
   (b) expending sizeable amounts of energy through the lifestyle physical activity concept
   (c) significantly reducing caloric intake for an extended period of time
   (d) participation in a three month resistive weight training programme
   (e) (a), (b), and (c)

*(Answers p 156.)*
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