Factors contributing to low back pain in rowers

Competitive rowing is a highly aerobic sport requiring technical skills, motor coordination, adequate strength, and endurance. A number of authors have reported a significant incidence of low back pain among the rowing population. This paper identifies the factors that may influence the onset of low back pain.

During the rowing stroke, the magnitude of the forces on the lumbar spine is high. Hosea et al reported average compressive loads of 3919 N for men and 3330 N for women, while anterior shear forces were found to be 848 N and 717 N for men and women respectively. Peak compressive loads during the stroke were 6066 N and 5031 N for men and women respectively. Furthermore, for 70% of the stroke cycle, rowers are in a flexed posture. Hosea et al recorded flexion ranges averaging 28–30° which equates to 55% of maximum range of spinal flexion. Tensile stresses on the outer annulus of the intervertebral disc have been found to increase considerably above 50% flexion. The combination of flexion with compressive loading has been identified as a mechanism for injury to the lumbar spine structures. In addition to flexion and compression, sweep rowers also rotate their trunks. This combination can place considerably more stress through the facet joint capsules and ligaments and may facilitate damage to discs, although the evidence for the latter is inconclusive. For rowers, the time of day will also influence the magnitude of the forces on their lumbar spines. In order to have calm water to row in, and to fit in with other daily commitments (work and study), a large volume of rowing is undertaken in the early morning. It is known that lumbar discs imbibes fluid from the surrounding tissue overnight when the disc is unloaded. Adams et al calculated that the bending stresses are three times greater on the spine in the morning, and therefore this mechanism may make the disc and other ligamentous structures more vulnerable to injury in the morning particularly during activities involving flexion. Therefore it is suggested that repeated flexion and extension movements of the lumbar spine without load are undertaken at a slow speed for at least 60 seconds before rowing. Based on in vitro work, 15 to 20 cycles of motion over this interval will decrease the bending moment by 8–10%. This motion should be undertaken in the sitting position that closely simulates the posture of the rower in the boat, and the range of motion should be gradually increased with subsequent repetitions. These exercises could also be undertaken on a rowing ergometer with the restraint set to zero.

It has been suggested that, during repetitive loading, compressive forces above 4000 N may cause damage to vertebrae. In industry, studies have shown that prolonged and cyclic flexion can result in a 10-fold increase in exposure to low back pain. The repetitive cyclic action of rowing may predispose the rower to low back injury. In a single session, a rower may train for 90 minutes and cover 20–25 km over that time. This amounts to about 1800 cycles of flexion per session. Although there is considerable variation, in vitro studies of repetitive loading have shown that damage can occur to lumbar vertebrae over a few hundred cycles of repetitive motion. Other researchers have suggested that injury to lumbar spine structures may occur when the bending moment on the lumbar spine exceeds about 23 N.m during repetitive motion. During everyday lifting activities, the bending moment rises to about 18 N.m at L5–S1. In rowing, because of the larger loads on the spine and the influence of early morning training, it is likely that the bending moment is much higher.

The repetitive motion of rowing may also induce creep in the soft tissues leading to a decrease in the stiffness of the tissues through the range of motion and an increase in the total range of motion in the lumbar segments. It has been suggested that this process may ultimately lead to instability. Furthermore, repetitive motion can also desensitize the mechanoreceptors in spinal ligaments. These receptors often have pathways that lead to reflex activation of muscle. After repetitive motion, protective muscle activity has been shown to be reduced, often for a number of hours after the exercise is completed. The ramifications for rowers is that, during this period, the athlete may be more vulnerable to injury, even when they may not be experiencing high loading on the spine.

Recently, it has been suggested that specific muscle activity can increase the stability of the lumbar spine. Research undertaken by Richardson and Jull and O’Sullivan has focused on the importance of the transversus abdominis and the internal oblique abdominis groups, along with co-contraction of the multifidus muscles. These muscles have the potential to control the amount of movement in the lumbar segments, and their activation may therefore be useful in the prevention of low back pain in the rowing population. However, if these muscles are to control the amount of lumbar motion, they must be able to perform for long sustained periods. Roy et al suggested that muscle fatigue may influence the incidence of low back pain in rowers. These researchers showed that rowers with low back pain became fatigued more easily than those without. Whether fatigue was a manifestation of the low back pathology or a factor that led to low back pain could not determined. The ramifications of fatigue are related to the kinematics of the lumbar spine during the rowing stroke. If the erector spinae muscles are fatigued, the amount of lumbar flexion occurring during the rowing stroke may be increased, thereby increasing the bending moments on the spine. Such an increase may lead to additional strain on the passive structures of the spine such as ligaments and adjacent tissues. More recently, Taimela et al showed that fatigue of lumbar muscles affected proprioception. This study demonstrated that lumbar fatigue significantly impaired the ability of subjects to sense the position of their trunks when in flexion. For rowers, this may mean that, as they become fatigued, they may not be aware that they are moving into a more flexed posture.

Howell reported that 94% of rowers showed hypermobility of the lumbar spine, and this correlated strongly with the incidence of low back pain. It has been suggested that, to decrease the forces on the lumbar spine, rowers should adopt a less flexed lumbar spine, particularly at the catch phase when the oar is placed in the water. In this respect, if the pelvis could be rotated more anteriorly, less motion would be required in the lumbar spine. A major restraint to pelvic motion is the length and stiffness of the hamstring muscles. Studies by Gajdosik et al have shown that shorter hamstrings are associated with increases in range of lumbar and thoracic flexion. This has
consequences for rowing. If the athlete has short hamstrings, then to achieve the appropriate posture at the catch, he/she may overflex the spine. Hence it is important for rowers to include hamstring stretching exercises in their training programmes.

In summary, the large forces combined with the repetitive nature of the activity create the potential for injury to the lumbar spine structures during rowing. However, the warming up activities of rowers, the time at which they train during the day, the control of lumbar motion by specific muscle activation patterns, and the flexibility of the hamstring muscles can influence these forces. Incorporating these factors into training and rehabilitation programmes may lead to a reduction in the incidence of back injuries in rowers.
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Finally, it seems that training in people with spinal cord injuries improves their general wellbeing, temperature regulation, and sleeping patterns and reduces pressure sores, all important effects in addition to those mentioned above. It is therefore vital to encourage physical activity, including the use of electrical stimulation devices, in this group of patients in order to prevent diseases associated with physical inactivity. Such diseases not only occur in this group of people, but also reflect the general pattern in our modern inactive society. Results obtained in research on people with spinal cord injuries may therefore help to provide a basis for recommendations on exercise in the general population also.
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Magnetic resonance technology in training and sports

When muscles are used to perform physical activity they must metabolise available fuel to generate energy for contraction. The harder a muscle must work, the more fuel is required. The relation between how hard muscles must work and their need for fuel is an area of intense interest and deep muscles non-invasively, and may be a better indicator of how hard a muscle has worked. 10 As with MRS and muscle biopsy, there is a great potential to use MRI and EMG in combination to optimise a training programme. Traditional MRI methods can be used to study an athlete’s anatomy, making measurements such as heart chamber volumes (particularly left ventricle) and arterial development (measured as the arterial diameter of major arteries). Both of these variables are augmented by training and therefore are a measure of the degree of training of an athlete. 11 Functional MRI methods can be used to assess the muscle activation patterns that contribute to the complex biomechanical

movements involved in sports. This technology can be used to (a) identify muscles that are activated and (b) assess the extent of activation of each muscle relative to that muscle’s maximum capacity to perform work. Information obtained from functional MRI measurements can be used to compile individual databases of each athlete’s muscle activation patterns when he or she is at peak performance. This information can be valuable if the athlete is injured or if there is a pronounced decline in peak performance. Functional MRI measurements of identical exercise obtained under such conditions, when compared with information from the athlete’s database, could provide insight into injury induced changes in muscle activation patterns. Functional MRI may also be used to monitor an athlete’s recovery from an injury. As with MRS, MRI provides a measure of an athlete’s physical conditioning at a specific point in time.

In summary, it is possible that, by combining MRI and MRS with more traditional methods, we may create an organised training and evaluation tool capable of elevating human performance to a new level. At this level we would be able to (a) minimise instances of overtraining and therefore reduce overtraining injuries, (b) optimise event readiness thereby reducing injuries that are associated with fatigue during an event, and (c) optimise injury recovery programmes so as to reduce the incidence of reinjury. These reductions could make a significant impact on sports related injuries in elite and professional athletes.
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injuries. Therefore it is not surprising that these RCTs found less injuries in the intervention group. On the other hand, the cohort studies, and the RCT by van Mechelen et al., controlled for these co-interventions in the analysis stage. Therefore, although formally a "weaker design", the studies suggesting that stretching before exercise is not beneficial should be weighted as stronger because the analysis was more appropriate. However, this was only recognised because the basic science was reviewed.

In conclusion, the strength of any literature review can be gauged by its ability to predict what future research studies eventually show. The inclusion of all the evidence available led to a conclusion that was supported by a subsequent, well conducted, large RCT. Had the evidence in the review article been limited to only RCTs as proposed by some authors, the conclusion would have probably been different, and inaccurate. This may be one reason why many meta-analyses fail to predict the outcome of future large RCTs. Further, we must remember that much of medicine in general, and sport medicine in particular, is based on historical precedent. When historical precedents are based solely on hypotheses that have more recently been proved incorrect, the clinician must choose to (a) continue treatment on the basis of the known incorrect idea of pathophysiology or (b) change to a treatment based on current knowledge of pathophysiology and pathology. Of course, the potential side effects of any new treatment (likely to be unknown) must also be weighed against the potential side effects of the historical treatment (more likely to be known). The art, and even science, of medicine then becomes the ability to weigh all the available information at hand without discriminating a priori, and to be able to judge which is most appropriate for the patient seated across the desk.
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