The nature of concussion: a speculative hypothesis

Concussion is one of the commonest forms of neurological injury seen throughout the world. Although common in sport, this condition has parallels in the types of injury suffered in motor vehicle crashes, falls, and other forms of brain trauma. Despite the fact that the effects of brain injuries have been recognised for at least 3000 years and the clinical state of concussion initially described over 1000 years ago, the understanding of the pathophysiology of these injuries remains limited. One issue that remains difficult to reconcile is the absence of consistent neuroimaging abnormalities in the face of dramatic symptoms. This may in part be because concussion is due to a functional rather than structural lesion but also that the anatomical locus may be not cortical as is often assumed.

Clinical features
The acute symptoms of concussion are described in detail in many published studies. Prospectively validated signs and symptoms include amnesia, loss of consciousness, headache, dizziness, blurred vision, attentional deficit, and nausea. The attentional deficit is often loosely described by clinicians as “confusion” or “disorientation”. While these terms are often seen as sine qua non of concussion, it is more scientifically appropriate to use the correct terminology. Other recently documented clinical features of acute concussion include convulsive and motor phenomena.

Most of these symptoms are protean and non-specific in terms of cerebral localisation. The only symptom complex that is more likely to represent cortical or subcortical dysfunction is memory disturbance. Traditional neurological thinking would suggest that the anatomical locus for such symptoms should be in the temporal lobes or orbito-temporal region.

Biomechanical theories of concussion
In 1974, Ommaya et al developed the centripetal theory of cerebral “concussion”. This theory invokes the geometric structural and material properties of the cranium and its contents. In this theory, the diffuse effects of the rotational component of inertial loading are produced by a centripetal progression of strains from the outer surfaces to the core of the brain (coinciding with the midbrain and basal diencephalon). At low levels of inertial loading, injurious levels of shear strain would not extend deeper than the cortex, while strains large enough to reach the well protected mesencephalic part of the brainstem would result in loss of consciousness.

Such biomechanical concepts are based on primate research and the authors readily allow that mild brain injury may not necessarily follow these principles. Nevertheless based upon these concepts, concussion severity grading scales and management strategies for sporting concussion have been proposed. If the underlying theory is incorrect then it follows that most if not all the existing grading scales are brought into question.

The “centripetal theory” revisited
The association of putative brainstem phenomena—for example, loss of consciousness, convulsive phenomena—in the setting of concussion raises some challenging conceptual issues. If this centripetal theory holds true, then in milder concussive injury, “cortical” symptoms such as memory disturbance should predominate whereas only more severe injuries should manifest mesencephalic or brainstem symptoms such as loss of consciousness or motor phenomena. The observation that brainstem signs can occur in the absence of significant “cortical” symptomatology suggests that the clinical symptomatology of concussion may be more complex than the previously held view, and that the “centripetal theory” does not hold for all cases.

The traumatic coma of experimental cerebral “concussion” has also been associated with failure of activity in the mesencephalic reticular formation and with loss of brainstem reflex response without evidence of cortical involvement. Several studies in different animal models of experimental concussion have also demonstrated ultrastructural and biochemical alterations in the brainstem structures.

Although the symptoms of amnesia may be due to cortical dysfunction, some authors have postulated that amnesic symptoms may also be due to isolated brainstem disturbance where ascending cortical projections are disturbed in the absence of cortical or subcortical pathology. Neuroimaging studies similarly have been unsuccessful in determining either consistent evidence of structural pathology in mild head injury or evidence of a “centripetal” gradient of injury severity, which would be predicted by the Ommaya theory.

Speculation on the nature of concussion
In contrast to concussion, our clinical understanding of severe brain injury is underpinned by firm experimental data. Extrapolation of the conceptual understanding of severe injuries to mild brain injury may be inappropriate given the structural rather than functional nature of neurological dysfunction in these cases.

Could there be a “brainstem concussion” as distinct from “cortical concussion”? We speculate that the nature of the clinical symptoms of concussion, the existence of motor and convulsive movements, the experimental animal evidence of brainstem signs in concussion, and the absence of neuroimaging evidence of structural brain injury would be in keeping with this hypothesis.

Similarly the constellation of symptoms known as the “post-concussive syndrome” (including vacant stare, irritability, emotional lability, impaired coordination, sleep disturbance, noise/light intolerance, lethargy, behavioural disturbance, and altered sense of taste/smell) are equally difficult to localise and may reflect a global activation or attentional deficit rather than focal injury.

From a clinical standpoint, management strategies may need to be rethought. Does a “brainstem concussion” differ in severity or prognosis from a cortical concussion—that is, amnestic concussion? Is there likely to be a different outcome for the athlete who wakes up cognitively intact after a concussive loss of consciousness as compared to an athlete with prolonged amnestic symptoms? Should the management guidelines reflect such situations?

Conclusion
It is likely that the entity of concussion reflects a functional membrane dysfunction with the bulk of the anatomical focus in the brainstem. The presence of memory disturbance however, is likely to reflect at least some cortical pathology, although brainstem mechanisms do exist to account for such phenomena. This hypothesis would thus explain the absence of structural cortical pathology and the protean nature of the clinical symptoms more specifically...
than the existing centripetal hypothesis of Ommaya. The
simplistic view of head injury being a linear spectrum from
mild to severe with neuropathological accompaniments
explaining clinical symptoms does not accord with clinical
practice. This in turn has important implications for our
conceptual understanding of concussion in relation to the
spectrum of head injury and the development of appropri-
ate management strategies.
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