LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

The football club doctor system

EDITOR,—I read with interest the paper by Waddington et al highlighting the inadequacies of the football club doctor system in Britain. This paper confirms the situation that many people already knew to exist. Advertisements for club doctors are rarely published in medical journals, doctors normally being appointed on a “who you know” basis. It is also particularly disappointing that, at a time when any specialty of sports and exercise medicine is being established in this country, the majority of doctors working with professional footballers have no qualifications or little experience in the specialty. However, the sport confined to football clubs and probably also applies to rugby clubs and many other sporting associations.

One aspect not mentioned in the paper is medical litigation. It is to be hoped that doctors involved with football clubs have arranged medical defence cover, because, if a situation arises in which a player’s sporting career is threatened by medical mismanagement and the doctor involved has no professionally recognised sports medicine qualification, he or she could be found guilty of medical negligence. With the amount of money involved in professional football, this could lead to dire consequences for the medical career of the practitioner involved.

From a report commissioned by the Football League on the Hillsborough tragedy, the concept of the “crowd doctor” was introduced, meaning that any doctor involved in the medical care of the crowd at a football stadium would be required to possess at least the Diploma in Immediate Medical Care.1 Before that, the situation was similar to that of the present club doctor system, and few doctors held any recognisable qualifications or training in medical emergencies and resuscitation.

A similar recommendation by sports medicine authorities is required to enhance the quality of service provided to football clubs and increase the stature of the specialty. However, it is not a one sided situation. Football clubs must realise the importance of a medical team in looking after their chosen event. For the athletes who have the time, inclination, or qualifications to their sport for an injury that may be resolved in one to two months for an “elite athlete”. Of course, if a local privately run sports medicine clinic exists, specialist input and quicker treatment can be offered, bypassing the NHS waiting lists. But this costs money and not everyone can afford to pay this cost.

We randomly selected 40 people who attended the A&E department with a sports injury and were discharged to the care of their GP for follow up. A questionnaire was sent to each patient asking them “if a specialist sports medicine clinic was present at the hospital would you have preferred your injury to have received further treatment at this clinic rather than your local GP practice?”. A response rate of 47.5% was obtained, 95% would have preferred their injury to be reviewed at a sports medicine clinic. Various reasons were given for this preference, with many feeling that their GP was not interested or the problem was not taken seriously by him or her. We believe the majority would have preferred an “elite athlete” to have the same high standard as the achievements of their sport.


Sports medicine clinics on the NHS: a patient survey

EDITOR,—Following the recent success of the British team at the Sydney Olympics, media and medical attention appears to be preoccupied with the health and welfare of the “elite athlete”. However, the overwhelming majority of people who participate in sport in this country are not at an elite level. In fact, the term “athlete” may not even be correct. Many people participate in sport for the physical benefits of exercise and the personal enjoyment that it brings.

When these people are acutely injured, their first port of call is their GP or local A&E department. If physiotherapy is required, there is often a lengthy waiting period. For chronic or overuse injuries, the GP may not have the time, inclination, or qualifications to deal competently with their management. The opinion of an orthopaedic consultant is desired, six months or more may elapse before an appointment. Further time will be lost in waiting for investigations and/or surgery. For the “ordinary” sportsperson, over a year may pass before they can return to their sport for an injury that may be resolved in one to two months for an “elite athlete”. Of course, if a local privately run sports medicine clinic exists, specialist input and quicker treatment can be offered, bypassing the NHS waiting lists. But this costs money and not everyone can afford to pay this cost.


New Zealand Olympic experience—Sydney 2000

EDITOR,—New Zealand’s Olympic medical team of four doctors, seven physiotherapists, one chiropractor, one massage therapist, and a sports psychologist provided medical care for a team of 150 athletes and 76 officials at the Sydney Olympic Games. During the month in Sydney, we provided 605 medical consultations and 1403 physiotherapy and chiropractic treatments, plus numerous massages.

All but one athlete was able to compete in their chosen event. For the athletes who required laboratory investigations or organ imaging, a comprehensive service was provided at the polyclinic within the Olympic Village. Special mention should be made of the superb organ imaging service organised by Dr Jock Anderson. Readers of the Journal may be interested to know of our colleagues at Leicester, Dr Ken Sheard and Dominic Malcolm, are now carrying out a similar study to our own, but in rugby union.

1 WADDINGTON M RODERICK Centre for Research into Sport and Society University of Leicester Leicester LE1 7QR, UK soc11@leicester.ac.uk
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Reassessing the need for sport diving medicals

EDITOR,—Dr Stephen Glen and his coauthors conclude from an analysis of medical records held by the Scottish Sub-Aqua Club (SSAC) that routine medical examination of sport divers can safely be replaced by a system of self-declaration, with a questionnaire designed to indicate whether referral to a doctor with experience of diving medicine is necessary. This conclusion should be regarded as preliminary, however, because the data were not disaggregated sufficiently to reveal the additional screening value of a routine medical examination beyond that of an initial questionnaire. In addition, there are inherent statistical biases in the SSAC data that have not been addressed.

The risks associated with discontinuing routine examinations could have been investigated by quantifying the number of cases in which disqualifying conditions were found in medical examination but not declared in the prior questionnaire. However, the authors’ listing of abnormalities recorded at examination appears to include those due to conditions declared in the questionnaire. Similarly, the listing of formal referrals to approved medical referees does not indicate how many were initiated by a questionnaire response and how many as a result of an examination ending only. Crucially, the cases that were ultimately failed were not classified by type of disqualifying condition or by stage at which the condition was first detected. The prevalence of disqualifying conditions that subjects were unaware of, or otherwise did not declare, before the examination is therefore obscured.

Under the SSAC system during the study period, general practitioners could certify candidates with certain conditions as “ unfit to dive”, without referring them to a medical referee. As a certificate of fitness to dive was a prerequisite of membership of the SSAC, these subjects would not join the organisation and details of their medical examination would be unlikely to enter the medical database. The discriminatory value of medical examinations may therefore have been under-estimated. In the case of condition related referrals, failure to declare might have been rare if subjects were failed outright without a medical referee being consulted (when their details would be more likely to enter the database), but that eventuality should be considered and if possible quantified.

The data set is also biased by the inclusion of “repeat” medicals (routine periodic re-examination of divers), which comprised nearly 30% of the records analysed. This probably involved some degree of pseudo-replication, but even if there was only one record for each individual, one may expect a lower prevalence of disqualifying conditions among a group who had previously been certified “fit” than among first-time candidates. The prevalence of disqualifying conditions among new applicants therefore needs to be estimated separately.

The authors may be correct that routine medical examinations for sport divers are unnecessary, but if policy on such an important safety issue is to be changed, the justification for doing so should be clearly demonstrated and qualified according to the limitations of the available data.

P SMITH
School of Ocean and Earth Science
University of Southampton
Southampton S014 3ZV, UK
PSmith@soton.ac.uk


Authors’ reply

The main conclusions of our paper were that no significant abnormalities were found on clinical examination of divers in the Scottish Sub-Aqua Club, and that the questionnaire was the important part of the screening assessment of divers. This remains the case regardless of how the information is analysed.

In response to the questions raised by Philip Smith, only 391 divers responded “No” to all questions, and none had abnormalities on clinical examination. All of the referrals to medical referees were prompted by positive questionnaire responses, and the divers were assessed by doctors with diving medicine experience. The interim step of clinical examination by a doctor without such experience did not affect the outcome.

Divers start training with the SSAC by undergoing basic snorkel and rescue training (as with most diving organisations) and may progress to scuba training after a medical examination. They entered the SSAC system during the snorkel training, however, and in our experience GPs did not fail divers outright before contacting SSAC headquarters or a medical referee. It is not possible to confirm that all divers were referred in this way, but it is reassuring that an analysis of the medical forms after the introduction of a self-certifying system has confirmed an increase in the number of divers failing on the basis of questionnaire responses alone.

It was necessary to include the repeat medicals in the analysis because the introduction of a new system must be as effective in the existing divers as it is in the new entrants. New medical conditions may develop in the period between medicals, which can be up to five years. Removing the repeat medicals from the analysis does not affect the final conclusion, which confirms that the questionnaire is the most important part of the screening process.

A new questionnaire was introduced in March 2000 and analysis of the short term success has shown a slight increase in the number of divers failing their medical assessment. A complete report will be submitted for publication shortly. In addition, all forms submitted by divers are now reviewed by diving doctors, and assessment is only performed by doctors with diving medicine experience. This helps to ensure a consistent application of the medical standards recommended by the UK Sport Diving Medical Committee. There has been no change in the incident pattern although it is too early to expect major differences to become apparent.

It is worth noting that the role of routine medical examinations has been questioned elsewhere, and that the number of diving accidents related to medical conditions did not significantly change when compulsory medicals were introduced in Australia and New Zealand.1 The problem in assessing fitness to dive has been the fact that divers have been assessed by doctors without diving medicine experience, and the introduction of the new system has allowed this to be rectified. Divers should not be falsely reassured by the value of a screening medical examination performed by a doctor without diving medicine experience.

S GLEN
Department of Cardiology
Edinburgh Royal Infirmary
Lairston Place, Edinburgh EH3 9YW, Scotland, UK
SKGlen@sherlock.freemail.co.uk

J DOUGLAS
Tweeddale Medical Centre
High Street, Fort William, Scotland, UK


Management of diabetes at high altitude

EDITOR,—In response to the leader of Moore et al.,1 we wish to report the results obtained in eight type I diabetic mountaineers who ascended the Aconcagua (6950 m)2 without any significant medical problems. The only climber unable to make the summit, because of a problem not related to diabetes, reached 6700 m.

None of the climbers took any drugs to prevent acute mountain sickness (AMS) because of the possible risks. Instead, they acclimatised gradually.

Above 5000 m some of the diabetic climbers experienced hypoglycaemia after dinner with nocturnal hyperglycaemia probably because of delayed absorption of insulin. Because of the high altitude and rapid absorption of the Lasprow Insulin used by most of the group, we recommended delaying the administration of insulin until the end of dinner.

There were no problems with glucometers. The devices were protected with home made bags and carried next to the skin.

As expected, all members of the team suffered bouts of hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia but were managed using only oral glucose. Glycaemia was monitored on average seven times a day. The expedition doctor had to intervene in only one case of medium postprandial hypoglycaemia at 5000 m.

In a previous investigation of type I diabetic climbers, 15 out of 24 of the climbers reached altitudes above 5000 m (three above 7000 m). None reported major complications at altitude nor taking any drugs to prevent AMS. In climbs under 3000 m, hyperglycaemia caused by dehydration (two cases) or extensive sunburn (one case) were reported; all were self managed and resolved before the climbers reached hospital. One climber had previously measured his glycaemia at a height of 8200 m on Mount Everest. He tested the glucometer in the hypobaric chamber at 5000 m without any significant differences from sea level.

Optimal management of the diabetes, together with progressive acclimatisation, was the key to success. All the team were good at self monitoring under any conditions and had the skill to calculate insulin and carbohydrates and the ability to handle early hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia.

Climbing mountains at high altitude is a risky sport. Diabetic climbers should not be deterred from going to altitude provided that they are aware of the increased risks and the importance of frequent self monitoring and gradual acclimatisation to avoid AMS.

J ADMIETLA
C LEAL
A RICART
Institut d’Estudis de Medicina de Montanya
Barcelona, Spain
cleal@iiem.ucm.es


Sports doctors' resuscitation skills under examination—additional facts

Editor,—Further to the recent article "Sports doctors' resuscitation skills under examination: do they take it seriously?" there are some additional facts that support the argument.

The University of Bath diploma course in sports medicine for doctors includes teaching material on life support and spinal management. The tutor marked assignments that indicate the completion of the primary care module require description of the application of these skills to a defined situation. The residential component of the course, designed to rehearse practical skills, includes three hours of first aid revision and training conducted by one or more of the article authors.

The failure rate in the examination of proficiency in basic life support and spinal management of a potential spinal injury is in marked contrast with that of the other components of the course where a reasonable pass rate is obtained.

It does therefore seem possible that the reported failure rate is not due to the inadequacy of the teaching material, rather a perception by doctors that resuscitation and life support is not relevant to their work.

The article reports other studies that highlight the poor standards of doctors in these skills. It cannot be assumed that doctors entering a sports medicine teaching course have previously acquired proficiency in the skills of resuscitation and the management of potential spinal injury.

It does suggest that there is an urgent need to educate doctors in their responsibility, not only to the community as a whole but also to the at higher risk sporting population, to be proficient in life saving skills. The widely reported court case in which the boxer Michael Watson successfully claimed damages for personal injuries sustained in a professional boxing contest—he sustained a fracture of the at higher risk sporting population, to be proficient in life saving skills. The widely reported court case in which the boxer Michael Watson successfully claimed damages for personal injuries sustained in a professional boxing contest—he sustained a fracture of the would be his only chance to make an impression. Consider also the responsibility, swift judgment, and strength of character required when faced with the head injured player who knows he will be out for a whole season if he comes off, and then of course there’s his coach...

The elite athlete has emerged as someone with specialist medical needs, and we need specialist sports medicine physicians to respond. But then the field of sports medicine goes far beyond these more traditional roles into a wide range of other specialties: cardiology, respiratory medicine, gynaecology, rheumatology, neurology, to name but a few. It is the areas we have explored. We need specialists who can advise in each of these areas, but to enable them to do so we need to provide them with the necessary evidence base.

What has been most evident throughout is the requirement for more well conducted research and clinical guidelines based in this field. We are a generation of medical students for whom the term evidence based medicine (EBM) is used. They are coming to us with a thorough understanding of patients' conditions. My first impression of this book is that it is compact and well structured with an interesting and diverse contents list. Information is easily accessed from its 15 chapters, which focus mainly on assessment, measurement, and rehabilitation, with a clear description of the contents of each section.

The format is logical and easy to read with an abundance of diagrams and illustrations. However, at some points in the book, the charts appear infinite and interrupt the text for longer than my concentration would allow. The book stimulates the reader to browse through the pages for light reading, but, although the extensive topic content is impressive, the detail is disappointing.

My interest in the book was in the musculoskeletal content which proved lacking. Common disorders encountered in an outpatient setting accounted for one third of the whole book, and the treatment it recommends for low back pain and manual handling manoeuvres would not be keeping with current clinical effectiveness guidelines in the United Kingdom.

Other areas covering diagnostic tests, signs and symptoms, and outcome measures are covered succinctly for physiotherapists.

BOOK REVIEW


This clinical companion is a reference text for physiotherapists, which attempts to cover all aspects of clinical care as encountered by this clinical group. It has a strong American bias and aims, using a broad based clinical approach, to provide physiotherapists with relevant information so that they have a thorough understanding of patients’ conditions.

My first impression of this book is that it is compact and well structured with an interesting and diverse contents list. Information is easily accessed from its 15 chapters, which focus mainly on assessment, measurement, and rehabilitation, with a clear description of the contents of each section.

The format is logical and easy to read with an abundance of diagrams and illustrations. However, at some points in the book, the charts appear infinite and interrupt the text for longer than my concentration would allow. The book stimulates the reader to browse through the pages for light reading, but, although the extensive topic content is impressive, the detail is disappointing.

My interest in the book was in the musculoskeletal content which proved lacking. Common disorders encountered in an outpatient setting accounted for one third of one chapter, and the treatment it recommends for low back pain and manual handling manoeuvres would not be keeping with current clinical effectiveness guidelines in the United Kingdom.

Other areas covering diagnostic tests, signs and symptoms, and outcome measures are covered succinctly for physiotherapists.


5 Pugh KT. How high is Kilimanjaro? Tanganyika Notes and Records (Journal of the Tanganyika Society) 1965;No 64:144–6.
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NOTES AND NEWS

Online advice for sportswomen

Sports Medicine Australia’s fact sheets con-

taining health information for sportswomen
can now be accessed online. Covering topics

such as premenstrual syndrome, exercise and

pregnancy, and osteoporosis, the fact sheets
can now be downloaded free of charge from


Australia recognises sports physicians

The Australian government’s Health Insur-

ance Commission (HIC) has granted sports

physicians with a Fellowship of the Australian

College of Sports Physicians access to their

own Medicare item numbers. This recognises

sports physicians as a separate entity from

general practitioners and restores their rebate
to the equivalent of a vocationally trained GP.

This is the first time the HIC has recognised

a “special group” and is a significant step for-

ward in the quest for full specialist recogni-

tion. This process is about to resume now that

the Australian Medical Council has established a new process for recognition of

new specialties.
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Height of Kilimanjaro
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