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Provocation by eucapnic voluntary hyperpnoea to identify exercise induced bronchoconstriction
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Abstract
The International Olympic Committee Medical Commission (IOC-MC) requires notification for use of a β₂ agonist at the Winter Olympic Games in Salt Lake City. This notification will be required seven days before the event and must be accompanied by objective evidence that justifies the need to use one. The IOC-MC has expressed the viewpoint that, at present, eucapnic voluntary hyperpnoea (EVH) is the optimal laboratory challenge to confirm that an athlete has exercise induced bronchoconstriction (EIB). The EVH test recommended was specifically designed to identify EIB. EVH has been performed in thousands of subjects in both the laboratory and the field. The test requires the subject to hyperventilate dry air containing 5% carbon dioxide at room temperature for six minutes at a target ventilation of 30 times the subject’s forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁). The test conditions can be modified to simulate the conditions that give the athlete their symptoms with exercise. A reduction in FEV₁ of 10% or more of the value before the test is considered positive.
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At a recent meeting of the International Olympic Committee Medical Commission in Lausanne it was recommended that notification for the use of a β₂ agonist before an event would require clinical and laboratory (including respiratory function tests) evidence to justify the need for such medication. While acceptable evidence could be submitted in many forms, an abnormal airway response to a bronchial provocation test with eucapnic voluntary hyperpnoea (EVH) was identified as a well recognised medical indication for the prophylactic use of β₂ agonists, so that its documentation would appear to justify the need for prophylaxis with a β₂ agonist before exercise.

The need for objective testing to identify EIB is supported by the knowledge that, in athletes, respiratory symptoms do not predict EIB1,2 and cannot be used to justify the need for medication to prevent it. There are limitations in using laboratory based exercise tests to identify EIB in elite athletes,3 and there is a high prevalence of it in winter athletes.4 The EVH test is suggested as an alternative to exercise as a laboratory based test to identify EIB.

Reasons for recommendation
Bronchial provocation with EVH was recommended for the following reasons: (a) EVH is a potent challenge test for provoking bronchoconstriction in clinically recognised asthmatics responsive to exercise5; (b) the symptoms provoked by EVH such as cough, dyspnoea, and wheeze are the same as those reported with exercise; (c) EVH testing requires less expensive equipment and fewer personnel than exercise testing; (d) EVH can induce ventilation rates equivalent to or higher than most forms of exercise, and the ventilation rate achieved can usually be sustained over six minutes; (e) the maximum airway response to EVH is similar to that achieved by exercise, and occurs within the first 10 minutes of cessation of the hyperpnoea; (f) as with exercise, β₂ agonists are effective in inhibiting the airway narrowing to EVH; (g) as with exercise, a variety of inflammatory mediators, including histamine, prostaglandins,9 and leukotrienes,10 are likely to be involved in the response to EVH; (h) EVH has a very high specificity for identifying persons with clinically recognised asthma11; (i) publications are readily available on the standardisation, application, and interpretation of EVH testing; (j) EVH has been used safely in many thousands of subjects including adults and children12,13; (k) EVH has been used with some success to identify EIB in cold weather athletes11; (l) EVH testing has the potential to be tailored to simulate the respiratory demands of exercise and the inspired air conditions that provoke symptoms in the athlete.

Mechanism of airway narrowing to hyperpnoea
The mechanisms proposed to explain why the airways narrow in response to intense exercise or eucapnic hyperpnoea of dry air are also likely to account for other symptoms in athletes performing exercise, particularly in the cold.14 The response to breathing dry air is thought to cause the airways to narrow by osmotic and thermal consequences of evaporative water loss.
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necessarily predict EIB.1 When airway inflammation is present, a hyperosmolar environment can result in the release of mediators that induce contraction of bronchial smooth muscle and airway narrowing.15 Airway cooling is also a consequence of respiratory water loss, particularly air of subfreezing temperatures inspired during exercise. It has been proposed that the airway narrowing caused by cooling results from a reactive hyperaemia of the bronchial vasculature and airway oedema after vasoconstriction.19 These vascular events may amplify the narrowing of the airway caused by the normal contraction of the bronchial smooth muscle or the presence of mucus.19

The EVH test

The EVH test recommended and described below was originally developed, standardised, and validated by members of the US army at the Walter Reed Hospital in Washington DC.20–24 It was specifically developed as a surrogate for an exercise test to identify EIB. In brief, the test requires the subject to hyperventilate voluntarily a dry gas consisting of air at room temperature. This gas contains about 5% carbon dioxide, a concentration that will maintain carbon dioxide levels in the arterial blood within the range documented during exercise. The target ventilation rate is 30 × forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), equivalent to 85% the maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV), and the duration of the test is six minutes. Although this particular protocol for EVH is recommended, it should be noted that the potential exists to change the inspired air temperature, ventilation rate, and duration of the test to simulate the conditions under which the athlete is provoked.

The EVH test described below is intended to identify the potential for an athlete to have EIB. EVH can also be used to show efficacy of a β2 agonist or mast cell stabiliser, such as sodium cromoglycate or nedocromil sodium, in inhibiting or preventing the induced reduction in lung function. This protocol is relevant for testing of athletes with an FEV1 value greater than 75% of predicted normal for sex, age, and height. For subjects with lower lung function or those known to have moderate to severe asthma, we recommend the progressive protocol for EVH described by Brannan et al.25

CONDITIONS BEFORE THE TEST

To maximise a significant airway response to EVH, the subject should have had no short acting bronchodilators, sodium cromoglycate, nedocromil sodium, or ipratropium bromide, for eight hours and no long acting or sustained release bronchodilators or antihistamines for 48 hours. The minimum washout period for a leukotriene antagonist has not been firmly established, but we would suggest four days. No caffeine should be taken on the morning of the study (some laboratories request 24 hours without beverages or food containing caffeine). No vigorous exercise should be undertaken for at least four hours before attendance at the laboratory and preferably none on the day of testing because of the possibility of developing refractoriness.7,20 Chronic treatment with inhaled steroids reduces the response to EVH,26 and we suggest that inhaled steroids are not used on the day of the test to optimise the chance of a positive response. In the event of a negative test in an asthmatic subject well controlled on inhaled steroids, other objective evidence such as a significant response to a bronchodilator may need to be submitted to justify the need to premedicate with a β2 agonist.

CONDITION OF INSPIRED AIR

The inspired air is dry and contains 21% oxygen, 4.9–5.1% carbon dioxide, with the balance nitrogen. The simplest and safest way to deliver the mixture is from a gas cylinder containing the inspired concentrations of the gases. It is possible to add carbon dioxide to the inspired air, but this requires careful monitoring of the end tidal carbon dioxide to ensure eucapnoea. Unless the response under these conditions is negative, it would not appear necessary to have a longer duration of hyperpnoea than six minutes or to condition the inspired air to subfreezing conditions. In asthmatics, the response to four minutes of hyperpnoea with cold air is equivalent to eight minutes of hyperpnoea with air at room temperature.27 If a cool inspirate is required, commercially available heat exchangers can be used, such as the Air-jet PTS System (Stoneridge, New York, New York, USA) or Jaeger RES (Erich Jaeger GmbH, Wurzberg, Germany). Another simpler device (Turboaire Challenge; Equilibrated Biosystems Inc, Melville, New York, USA) is also commercially available, but its use considerably reduces the number of tests that can be performed per cylinder. It is also possible to cool the inspired air by passing the air mixture either through or over a cooling coil immersed in substances such as ethylene glycol, a mixture of ice and acetone, isopropyl alcohol, freon, or methanol cooled to −35°C.

MEASUREMENT OF THE AIRWAY RESPONSE

The FEV1 is measured three times before the challenge, and the highest measurement used to calculate the airway response. The three values should agree to within 200 ml, and they should be performed in accordance with American Thoracic Society criteria.28 The FEV1 is measured in duplicate immediately after the challenge and at five, 10, 15, and 20 minutes after EVH. An additional measurement can be made at three minutes.

THE TEST

The single stage protocol requires hyperventilation for six minutes at a target ventilation rate equivalent to 30 × FEV1, (litres corrected to body temperature, pressure, and fully saturated (BTPS)) measured immediately before the test. This is only a target ventilation rate. Most elite athletes should easily achieve 25 × FEV1,
and most asthmatics need only breathe at \(21 \times \text{FEV}_1\) to have an abnormal response. Although the subject could inhale directly from a demand valve, these valves provide a significant inspiratory resistance at high flow rates. For this reason a meteorological balloon (120 litre capacity) is recommended to act as reservoir.

The balloon is filled initially with 90 litres and then continuously filled at a rate close to the target ventilation rate during the challenge. The rate is checked by placing a rotameter between the cylinder and the balloon. The use of a rotameter is not essential if the expired ventilation is being measured continuously (figs 1 and 2). The subject breathes through a two way valve, and, when the hyperpnoea begins, is encouraged to keep the volume of the balloon constant while it is being filled at the target ventilation rate. The balloon should not be overfilled, as the distending pressure will cause the expiratory valve to open and hence the expired ventilation to be overestimated. A metronome can be used to guide the frequency of respiration in accordance with that attained during exercise. Expired ventilation can simply be measured either as the cumulative amount at the end of the test, corrected for time, or after each minute. A gas meter or other suitable device for measuring ventilation can be used. The volume is corrected to BTPS. Figure 2 gives an example of the equipment used for the EVH challenge. Most laboratories could readily adapt their exercise equipment to achieve a similar circuit. The minimal equipment needed for EVH is the gas mixture, a means of delivering it, and a spirometer to measure FEV. A measurement of ventilation, although highly recommended, is not needed to establish the existence of a response. However, a measure of the ventilation is needed to assess the stimulus to induce that response and the severity of the response.

### Expressing and interpreting the severity of the airway response

Airway narrowing in response to EVH is assessed by measuring changes in FEV. The percentage fall in FEV is calculated as follows:

\[
100 \times \left( \frac{\text{FEV}_1 \text{ (before the test)} - \text{lowest value for FEV}_1 \text{ measured in the 20 minutes after the test})}{\text{FEV}_1 \text{ before the test}} \right)
\]

A reduction in FEV of 11.3% or more is outside the 95% confidence limit for healthy subjects when the single six minute protocol is used with air at room temperature. Hurwitz et al concluded that in a “proper clinical setting” subjects whose FEV declines by 10% or more...
at 5 or 10 min after EVH should be diagnosed as having asthma. This value is in accord with the findings of others in thousands of healthy subjects subjected to cold air challenge. Further, a value of 10% would be in keeping with a diagnosis of EIB.

Expressing and interpreting the stimulus causing the response

The stimulus is reported as the average ventilation rate, in litres BTPS per minute over the period of the test, expressed as a percentage of the predicted MVV. MVV is defined as a multiple of 35 × measured FEV1. Expressing the provoking stimulus as a percentage of the predicted MVV gives a guide to the intensity of exercise that would be required to provoke the reduction in lung function. The maximum achievable during exercise in a healthy person rarely exceeds 60% of the MVV, and in an elite athlete it would rarely exceed 90% of their MVV.

Bronchial responsiveness to a provoking stimulus is often referred to and interpreted as mild, moderate, or severe. The response is mild if the fall in FEV1 is between 10 and 19.9% when the ventilation is 60% MVV or more and moderate when the fall is between 20% and 29.9%. The response is severe when the fall in FEV1 is 30% or more at any level of ventilation or if a fall greater than 10% occurs at a ventilation rate less than 30% MVV.

Safety

Thousands of EVH tests have been performed without serious unwanted side effects.5-7 However, EVH has the potential to provoke severe bronchoconstriction, and for this reason a bronchiodilator and supplemental oxygen should be available at the site of testing. It is recommended that, as with the performance of any other bronchial provocation tests, medical personnel and resuscitative equipment be readily available.
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Take home message

Voluntary hyperpnea with dry air is a standardised bronchial provocation test to identify athletes with exercise induced bronchoconstriction or exercise induced asthma in laboratory environment.
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