Citrulline/malate promotes aerobic energy production in human exercising muscle
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Background: Previous studies have shown an antiasthenic effect of citrulline/malate (CM) but the mechanism of action at the muscular level remains unknown.

Objective: To investigate the effects of CM supplementation on muscle energetics.

Methods: Eighteen men complaining of fatigue but with no documented disease were included in the study. A rest-exercise (finger flexions)-recovery protocol was performed twice before (D−7 and D0), three times during (D3, D8, D15), and once after (D22) 15 days of oral supplementation with 6 g/day CM. Metabolism of the flexor digitorum superficialis was analysed by $^{31}$P magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 4.7 T.

Results: Metabolic variables measured twice before CM ingestion showed no differences, indicating good reproducibility of measurements and no learning effect from repeating the exercise protocol. CM ingestion resulted in a significant reduction in the sensation of fatigue, a 34% increase in the rate of oxidative ATP production during exercise, and a 20% increase in the rate of phosphocreatine recovery after exercise, indicating a larger contribution of oxidative ATP synthesis to energy production. Considering subjects individually and variables characterising aerobic function, extrema were measured after either eight or 15 days of treatment, indicating chronological heterogeneity of treatment induced changes. One way analysis of variance confirmed improved aerobic function, which may be the result of an enhanced malate supply activating ATP production from the tricarboxylic acid cycle through anaerobic reactions.

Conclusion: The changes in muscle metabolism produced by CM treatment indicate that CM may promote aerobic energy production.

Citrulline/malate (CM; Stimol; CAS 54940-97-5) is a mixture of citrulline, which is involved in the urea cycle, and malate, a tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) intermediate. It is usually prescribed as an antiasthenic treatment. Previous studies in humans and rats have indicated that CM treatment improves muscle performance of subjects suffering from asthenia. Several double blinded versus placebo clinical investigations have clearly shown an antiasthenic effect of CM under various conditions of fatigue. From a systemic point of view, measurements of lactate and ammonia performed during and after bicycle exercise have shown increased rates of clearance from the blood after exercise as the result of CM treatment, and an effect on acid-base balance has been reported at hepatic and renal levels. Experiments using cellular models have suggested an action of CM on lactate metabolism. Clinically, CM treatment has been shown to improve recovery of physical activity after acute diseases. Although all these results indicate potential effects of CM on muscle performance, the actual effect remains unknown. In a study of muscle fatigue induced by bacterial endotoxins in a rat model, it has been shown that mechanical characteristics—that is, resistance to fatigue—improved after CM treatment. This beneficial effect has been hypothetically linked to nitric oxide synthesis through the production of citrulline. $^{31}$P magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) is now well established as a non-invasive method for measuring metabolic changes before, during, and after muscular exercise. It provides kinetic information on high energy phosphate compounds and intracellular pH and has been used to document normal muscle metabolism, metabolic effects of training, and metabolic deviations in various metabolic myopathies. The purpose of our study was to document directly the effect of CM, if any, on muscle energetics in humans by using $^{31}$P MRS.

METHODS

Approach to the problem

The antiasthenic effect of CM, which has been widely reported in humans and animals, may be mediated by either citrulline or malate or both. Acceleration of the clearance of plasma ammonium and lactate in humans has been linked to the role of citrulline in the urea cycle. On the other hand, malate, an intermediate of the TCA cycle, may be involved in the beneficial effects on recovery from physical fatigue in humans. In this study, we hypothesised that the antiasthenic effects of CM may be due to changes affecting muscle energetics. These potential changes are amenable to measurement by $^{31}$P MRS, a non-invasive technique allowing continuous measurement of high energy phosphate compounds and pH during transition from rest to exercise and during the recovery period. Ultimately, this method provides quantitative information on the contributions of aerobic and anaerobic pathways to energy production, which may be affected by CM supplementation. To test our hypothesis, we investigated muscle energetics in subjects in an acute phase of fatigue. Subjects were selected according to CM prescription—that is, if they complained of fatigue but with no documented disease. Clinical examination, electrocardiography, and blood tests were performed to eliminate any disease that could account, directly or indirectly, for the fatigue.

Subjects

Eighteen sedentary male subjects (mean (SD) age 31.1 (9.2)) who complained of fatigue were enrolled in the study.

Abbreviations: CM, citrulline/malate; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; PCr, phosphocreatine; EC, energy cost.
Fatigue was characterised as described by Mac Nelley and by visual analogue scales. Mean (SD) height and weight were respectively 177.6 (4.6) cm and 72.7 (10.6) kg. Mean (SD) scores were 6 (0.9) and 48 (15) for Mac Nelley and visual analogue scales respectively. Before inclusion, all subjects gave their informed written consent, and health status was assessed from medical history, physical examination, and blood tests. None of the subjects were taking any medication at the time of the study, and they did not suffer from any diseases. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Timone Hospital in Marseille.

\[ [PCr](t) = [PCr]_{\text{rest}} + [PCr]_{\text{cons}}(\exp^{-kt}) \]  

where \( t \) is time, and \([PCr]_{\text{rest}}\), and \([PCr]_{\text{cons}}\), respectively indicate concentration of PCr at rest and extent of PCr consumed at end of exercise. The rate constant (\( k \)) is expressed in min\(^{-1}\). The initial rate of PCr resynthesis was calculated as the product of \( k \) and \([PCr]_{\text{cons}}\), resulting from the time zero derivative of equation (1).

Quantitative analysis of metabolic changes

Rates of aerobic and anaerobic ATP production were calculated in exercising muscle as originally described by Kemp et al. Briefly, the energy cost (EC) of contraction was calculated at the onset of exercise, the oxidative contribution being regarded as negligible at that time. Rates of glycolytic ATP production were calculated taking into account changes in PCr and pH during exercise, in addition to buffering capacity and proton efflux. Assuming that EC remains proportional to power output throughout the exercise period, rates of aerobic ATP production can be calculated at any time during exercise as the difference between EC and anaerobic ATP production scaled to power output.

Statistical analysis

The General Linear Models procedure of the SAS software (options REPEATED, LSM EANS, and CONTRAST) was used to perform an analysis of variance.

For variables measured during exercise (therefore changing with time) such as metabolite concentration, pH, power output, and rates of ATP production, two way analysis of variance with repeated measures (repeated factors being exercise and treatment duration) were used. Wilk’s \( \lambda \) tests were performed to analyse the effects of exercise duration and the interaction between exercise and treatment duration. Post hoc repeated comparisons (Scheffe’s contrasts) were performed to test specific hypotheses.

For rates of recovery of [PCr] and pH, one way analysis of variance with repeated measures (repeated factor being treatment duration) was used. \( F \) tests were performed to determine the overall effect of treatment duration on the recovery kinetics. Then, multiple comparison procedures (Scheffe’s contrasts) were used to compare each value with the corresponding value measured before and after the treatment. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant. Homogeneity of variances and normality of residuals were checked using Levene’s test, an option of General Linear Models for homogeneity of stack variances and normality of residuals. When considering repeated measurements, analysis of variance type methods are the only appropriate statistical methods. Given that variance of each measurement is taken into account, these methods are usually more powerful than simple \( t \) tests, which are actually not reliable for multiple repeated measurements.

RESULTS

Metabolic changes and reproducibility of results

Table 1 summarises intracellular metabolite concentrations and pH calculated at rest; they do not differ from results reported previously. Figure 1 shows metabolic changes recorded during the rest-exercise-recovery protocols and before the treatment. Clearly, no significant changes were observed between the two measurements performed a week apart before the treatment. Exercise led to PCr consumption as a result of ATP hydrolysis by the myosin ATPases, illustrating the buffering effect of PCr on ATP concentration (fig 1A).
Cytosolic pH changes (fig 1B) reflect the balance between protons produced by glycogenolysis, consumed through the creatine kinase equilibrium, and handled by buffering components and transporters. A transient acidosis was observed at the onset of recovery as a result of proton production through the creatine kinase equilibrium. Recovery kinetics of PCr and pH remained similar before the treatment period (fig 1A,B). Rates of anaerobic ATP production were calculated taking account of changes in [PCr] and pH (fig 1C,D). As for changes in [PCr] and pH, no variation was measured between rates calculated at D\(^{-7}\) and D0. The overall rate of ATP turnover during exercise remained the same at D\(^{-7}\) and D0, showing a constant proportionality between energy production and mechanical performance between the two measurements (table 1). Similarly, the initial rate of PCr hydrolysis measured at the beginning of exercise, the final muscle energetic status after the three minute exercise, and the magnitude of metabolic changes scaled to power output were all identical at D\(^{-7}\) and D0 (table 1 and fig 1).

Metabolic effects of treatment
No adverse reactions to CM treatment were reported by the volunteers or observed by the doctors monitoring the clinical trial. The variables measured at rest, including [PCr] and pH, were similar during and after treatment, suggesting no effect of treatment on muscle energetic status at rest (table 2). Considering the group as a whole, work rate measured during the three minute exercise test remained constant during CM treatment, and the total rate of energy turnover did not change, indicating a constant proportionality between the rate of ATP consumption and mechanical output (table 2). Similarly, the rate of ATP production from PCr hydrolysis was constant throughout the treatment (fig 2A). In contrast, a 34% increase in the rate of aerobic ATP production was observed.

### Table 1  Magnetic resonance spectroscopy variables measured before treatment with citrulline/malate (D\(^{-7}\) and D0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D(^{-7})</th>
<th>D0</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PCr] (mM)</td>
<td>37.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>38.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.99 (0.01)</td>
<td>7.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial phase of exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (mM/min/W)</td>
<td>22.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>22.9 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{in}}) (mM/min)</td>
<td>38.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>42.5 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCr (%)</td>
<td>61.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>66 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.52 (0.04)</td>
<td>6.47 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (W)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta[\text{PCr}]/\text{Power}) (mM PCr/W)</td>
<td>16.5 (1.6)</td>
<td>16.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta\text{pH}/\text{Power}) (pH unit/W)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of oxidative ATP production (% EC)</td>
<td>41.9 (7)</td>
<td>41.5 (5)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recovery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{rec}}) (mM/min)</td>
<td>14.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>14.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are reported as mean (SE). PCr (%) refers to the amount of phosphocreatine consumed at the end of exercise. \(V_{\text{in}}\) and \(V_{\text{rec}}\) indicate respectively the initial rate of PCr consumption during exercise and synthesis during the recovery period. \(\Delta[\text{PCr}]/\text{Power}\) and \(\Delta\text{pH}/\text{Power}\) were calculated as indicated in the Methods section. EC refers to the total energy cost. p Values refer to the result of a one way analysis of variance with a post hoc Sheffe’s test to characterise the effect of repeated measurements on several variables recorded during the rest-exercise-recovery protocol.
reaching a maximum after three days of treatment and then slowly returning to the pretreatment value (fig 2B). However, this 34% increase was not significant, although very close to the set threshold. The concentration of ADP, which is known to be the driving force of oxidative ATP synthesis, was not affected by CM treatment. Figure 3 shows the changes in [PCr] and pH measured throughout the experimental protocol and related to work output. Both variables decreased with increasing work output, and a shift towards higher values of work output for a given value of either [PCr] or pH was measured mainly at the end of exercise. Also, a 20% increase was observed for the rate of [PCr] recovery, indicating more rapid aerobic ATP production at D8 than before treatment. Overall, a reduction in fatigue sensation was reported by all the subjects. On both scales, the effect was maximum after 15 days of treatment.

Looking at the data individually, a large heterogeneity was observed among the subjects, who displayed extrema after either eight or 15 days of treatment. This chronological heterogeneity of individual responses during CM treatment may prevent statistical significance from being reached for the whole group. In other words, because the chronological responses to the treatment differed among subjects, comparative analysis of the average values of a given variable on a given day may not be appropriate. Extrema of some variables, referring to maxima or minima between D8 and D15, were therefore calculated and compared, using a one way analysis of variance, with extrema measured before and after the treatment. The new occurrences were identified as “before” (corresponding to the extrema measured among two measurements before the treatment period), “during” (corresponding to the extrema measured among two measurements, D8 and D15, throughout the treatment period), and “after” (corresponding to measurement performed after the treatment period).

Overall, the results showed no treatment induced changes in the variables measured at rest and at the onset of exercise, indicating that neither the energetic status of the muscle nor the total rate of ATP turnover were modified after CM administration (table 2). In contrast, a significant decrease was measured for ΔpH/power (fig 3 and table 2). Also, significantly more aerobically produced ATP was measured during CM treatment (fig 4 and table 2), indicating a transient enhancement of oxidative processes, as suggested by the initial statis-

### Table 2: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy variables measured before, during, and after treatment with citrulline/malate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>During (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>After (Mean ± SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PCr] (mM)</td>
<td>37.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>37.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>37.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.99 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial phase of exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (mM/min/W)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>19.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>21 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{\text{ini}} (mM/min)</td>
<td>46.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>57.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>41.9 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PCr]%</td>
<td>69 ± 3.8</td>
<td>72 ± 2</td>
<td>61 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.58 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.49 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (W)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔpH/power (mM/PCr/W)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of oxidative ATP production (%EC)</td>
<td>54 ± 12</td>
<td>74 ± 4</td>
<td>45 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{\text{rec}} (mM/min)</td>
<td>16.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>24.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>16.4 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are reported as mean (SE). [PCr]% refers to the amount of phosphocreatine consumed at the end of exercise. V_{\text{ini}} and V_{\text{rec}} indicate respectively the initial rate of PCr consumption during exercise and synthesis during the recovery period. Δ[PCr]/power and ΔpH/power were calculated as indicated in the Methods section. EC refers to the total energy cost. As indicated in the text, before and during refer to extrema of variables calculated before and during the treatment.

*Significantly different from measurements before and after the treatment period.

Figure 2: Time dependent changes in the rate of ATP production from phosphocreatine (PCr) hydrolysis (A) and the aerobic pathway (B) expressed as percentage of energy cost (%EC). Evolution of the initial rate of PCr resynthesis (C) during the protocol. Results are presented as means with error bars representing 1 SE.
tical analysis. Further, the kinetics of [PCr] recovery after exercise were significantly faster after treatment (fig 4, table 2), illustrating the positive effect of CM on aerobic metabolism.

DISCUSSION
The main results of this study indicate that CM treatment results in a larger contribution of aerobic pathways to global energy production. This result must be analysed in the light of the high reproducibility and stability of metabolic indices recorded one week and one day before treatment. The stability of these measurements reflects the reliability of the method and the absence of a learning effect from repetition of the protocol. Given the stability and reproducibility of the measurements, no placebo control group was used.

Methodological considerations
Considering the spatial selectivity of MRS measurements performed with a surface coil, one could argue that the metabolic changes may be recorded from a mixture of activated and inactivated muscles, thereby limiting the relation between mechanical output and metabolic changes. Using T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging experiments, we have previously shown, in agreement with others, that finger flexions using the four fingers (as in this experiment) is mainly associated with activation of the finger flexors (profundus and superficialis). Considering the size of our coil (5 cm), it is likely that metabolic changes were recorded from all the muscles producing force. Furthermore, if metabolic changes had been recorded from a mixture of activated and inactivated muscles, we would have observed a split Pi resonance on the spectra because of various extents of acidosis in each muscle compartment. This was never the case, further suggesting, in agreement with the multiple control measurements performed at the start of the experiment, that the positive effect of CM treatment on oxidative metabolism was not the result of any experimental limitation.

It is still debated whether the kinetics of PCr recovery are monoexponential or biexponential. We chose to use a widely reported and accepted method using all data points assuming that the recovery is monoexponential. Alternatively, assuming a biexponential model, some authors have used a semilog model using the first few points recorded after the end of exercise. We tested the two methods on our data (results not shown) and checked that they gave similar results—that is, an improvement in muscle oxidative function associated with CM treatment.

Several variables measured during exercise and recovery indicated improved oxidative metabolism after CM treatment, whereas no effect on resting variables was observed. The absence of a placebo control group meant that we could not eliminate a placebo effect, which would have to be addressed by a double blinded placebo versus control trial. However, this should be less of a problem than it may seem, given the convincing changes and stability of the measurements before treatment.

The reduction in ΔpH/power measured at the end of exercise probably accounts for the decreased rate of anaerobic ATP production. Cytosolic pH changes in skeletal muscle partly reflect anaerobic ATP production, but are also modulated by PCr breakdown, proton efflux, and buffering components. We did not observe any changes in the amount of PCr used, the cytosolic buffering capacity, or kinetics of pH recovery associated with the reduction in ΔpH/power, indicating that, for a given work rate, these limited pH changes reflect a reduced contribution of glycolysis to ATP production. Accordingly, pH measured at the end of exercise during CM treatment was no different from before and after treatment, whereas the work rate increased significantly. This improvement is further
illustrated by the shift towards a higher work output (fig 3). It was observed even though the same load was lifted during exercise, and it can be associated with increased tolerance to exercise during CM treatment. Also, as the relation between mechanical performance and energy expenditure remained constant throughout the protocol, this decrease in anaerobic ATP production must be associated with increased ATP production from another pathway. In fact, significantly more aerobically produced ATP was measured during CM treatment, further confirming the transient enhancement of the oxidative processes.

It has been shown that, assuming allosteric control of aerobic ATP production by ADP, the rate of oxidative ATP production should be similar to the rate of [PCr] recovery. In agreement with this, we measured an acceleration of the rate of [PCr] recovery after exercise during CM treatment. This improvement further confirms the increased aerobic ATP production associated with CM treatment. Indices of [PCr] recovery have proved to be sensitive to changes in aerobic capacity resulting from training or muscle disorders. The rate of [PCr] recovery can also be modulated by oxygen availability and pH reached at the end of exercise. Also, it has been shown in animal experiments that the kinetics of [PCr] recovery may be influenced by changes in mitochondrial content. In our study, because of the time scale, the increased aerobic ATP production is probably due to a change in mitochondrial function, as previously reported under conditions of hyperoxia. In addition, the increase in work rate recorded during exercise cannot account for the improvement in mitochondrial function, considering that it has been widely reported that neither the work output nor the amount of PCr consumed affects the kinetics of [PCr] recovery. Hence, diet supplementation with CM enhances the capacity of muscle to produce ATP aerobically.

Citrulline is involved in the urea cycle and allows detoxification of ammonia. In comparison with placebo, it has been shown that treatment with CM led to a significant increase in levels of bicarbonate, ornithine, arginine, and citrulline. Previous investigations of the metabolic effects of CM on whole body measurements have shown an increased rate of ammonia clearance with no effect on the extent of accumulation during exercise. This faster clearance has been interpreted as a direct effect of citrulline. In our case, it is unlikely that citrulline affects aerobic ATP production. It is more likely that malate, a TCA intermediate, affects aerobic ATP production through anaplerotic reactions. Malate is dehydrogenated in the TCA cycle to oxaloacetate, the concentration of which is one of the most critical controls of the rate of aerobic ATP production. Also, it is transported through the inner mitochondrial membrane by a dicarboxylate transport system. Five of the eight TCA intermediates, including malate, are transported through the inner mitochondrial membrane by a dicarboxylate transport system. Five of the eight TCA intermediates, including malate, are more likely to malate, a TCA intermediate, affects aerobic function, considering that it has been widely reported that aerobic ATP production coupled to a reduction in anaerobic ATP production was measured during CM treatment, further confirming the transient enhancement of the oxidative processes.

APPENDIX

At each minute of exercise, rates of ATP production from PCr hydrolysis (\(P_{\text{PCr}}\)), glycolysis (\(P_{\text{Glyc}}\)), and oxidative processes (\(P_{\text{Ox}}\)) were calculated by the method of Kemp et al.

Rate of ATP production from PCr hydrolysis (\(P_{\text{PCr}}\))

The rate of ATP production from PCr hydrolysis was calculated from the time dependent changes in [PCr] at each minute of exercise. This rate was scaled to the power output at each minute of exercise.

Rate of ATP production from glycolysis (\(P_{\text{Glyc}}\))

Throughout the exercise period, glycogen breakdown to pyruvate and lactate leads to a decrease in pH, which is limited by proton efflux, the buffering power of cytosol, and PCr hydrolysis. Assuming that the glycolytic production of 1 ATP, when coupled to ATP hydrolysis, yields 1.5 protons, \(G_{\text{ATP}}\) can be simply deduced from the total number of protons produced throughout exercise. This metabolic proton generation can be calculated from pH changes (measured by \(^{31}P\) MRS), the number of protons passively buffered in the cytosol (\([H^+]_\text{cyt}\); equation (1)), the number of protons consumed by net PCr hydrolysis (\([H^+]_\text{PCr}\); equation (2)), and the number of protons leaving the cell (proton efflux; equation (3)).

\[
[H^+]_\text{cyt} = \beta \times \Delta \text{pH}
\] (1)

\[
\beta = \beta_{\text{nonbicarbonate}} + \beta_{\text{Pi}} + \beta_{\text{PM E}} + \beta_{\text{bicarbonate}}
\]

where

\[
\beta_{\text{nonbicarbonate}} = (-22 \times \Delta \text{pH}) + 170
\]

\[
\beta_{\text{Pi}} \text{ and } \beta_{\text{PM E}} \text{ (phosphoromonoester) were determined based on the dissociation constant of the buffer (K) according to the standard formula}
\]

\[
\frac{[H^+]_\text{cyt}}{[K] + [H^+]_\text{cyt}} = \frac{2.303[H^+]_\text{cyt}X}{K + [H^+]_\text{cyt}}
\] (2)

where \(X\) is either \(P_i\) or \(PM E\) and \(K = 1.77 \times 10^{-2}\) for \(P_i\) and 6.3 \times 10^{-2}\) for \(PM E\).

In agreement with previous studies and assuming that muscle is a closed system during exercise, \(\beta_{\text{bicarbonate}}\) was set to zero.
The rate of muscle oxidative ATP production during exercise and the rate of PCr recovery after exercise both increase in subjects supplemented for 15 days with citrulline/malate. This positive effect of citrulline/malate at the oxidative level may be mediated by malate, a tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediate.

where $\gamma$ is the stoichiometric coefficient of the coupled Lohmann reaction, as described originally by Kushmerick,17 and $V_{m}$ is the rate of PCr consumption measured for each minute of exercise. Throughout the recovery period, despite the intracellular proton load associated with PCr resynthesis, pH returns to its basal value as a result of proton efflux (Pe). Hence, as reported by Kemp et al,26 proton efflux can be calculated assuming proton production from PCr resynthesis and pH changes are as follows:

$$\text{Pe (mM/min) = } V_{m} \cdot \beta + [\text{H}^{+}]_{c}.$$  

(3)

where $\beta$ represents the buffering capacity of muscle cytosol, $V_{m}$ is the rate of pH change during the initial period of recovery, and $[\text{H}^{+}]_{c}$ is the rate of proton production associated with PCr resynthesis. Taking into account changes in pH and [PCr] throughout the initial period of recovery, we have previously determined a linear relationship between Pe and the extent of intracellular acidosis measured at end of exercise ($\Delta$P).26

Assuming, in agreement with others,18 that this pH dependence of proton efflux remains valid during exercise, proton efflux was calculated for each minute of exercise based on the $\Delta$P calculated at the corresponding time of exercise. Once $G_{an}$ is calculated, it is scaled to the power output for each minute of exercise.

Rate of anaerobic ATP production (AnATP) and energy cost (EC)

The rate of anaerobic ATP production scaled to power output corresponds to the sum of $P_{G}$ + $G_{an}$. It represents the amount of anaerobically produced ATP for a given unit of power. At the onset of exercise, it has been shown from a comparative analysis of ischemic and aerobic exercise19 that the contribution of oxidative metabolism is minor compared with that of anaerobic metabolism. Under these conditions, the rate of anaerobic ATP production scaled to power output calculated at the onset of exercise can be considered a reliable estimation of the total rate of ATP production necessary for a given unit of power (the energy cost).

Rate of oxidative ATP production (OATP)

Assuming that the energy cost remains proportional to power output throughout the exercise period, any decrease in anaerobic ATP production must be compensated for by an increase in oxidative ATP production, OATP. Therefore, OATP can be calculated at any time during exercise as the difference between EC and anaerobic ATP production scaled to power output.

Alternatively, the rate of oxidative ATP production at the end of exercise can be estimated from the initial rate of [PCr] recovery.26
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