Exercise dependence is now the preferred term for a seemingly unhealthy preoccupation with exercising. As eating disorders commonly co-occur with problematic exercise, the terms primary and secondary exercise dependence have been coined to differentiate between excessive exercising as an independent pathology and as an associated feature of an underlying eating disorder. Irrespective of its status as a primary or secondary condition, there have now been various attempts to characterise and measure exercise dependence. Some researchers have operationalised exercise dependence in terms of the frequency and/or amount of exercise undertaken. For example, Anshel characterised “addicted runners” as “Persons engaging in structured and non-structured activity programs at the (health) club at least 5 days per week for a minimum of 15 hours per week over the past 20 weeks”. The main problem with this approach is that it fails to distinguish between committed and problematic exercisers. By focusing only on behavioural criteria, critical attitudinal, emotional, and motivational factors are neglected. Although not ignoring exercise behaviour, others have emphasised the psychological characteristics of dependence, such as experience of withdrawal symptoms, including anxiety or depression when unable to exercise, as indicative of exercise dependence. The latter approach has largely informed the numerous questionnaires that have been developed to measure exercise dependence. For example, the exercise dependence questionnaire and the obligatory exercise questionnaire attempt to measure such attributes as withdrawal symptoms, stereotyped behaviour, and interference with social functioning.

However, there are a number of problems with the questionnaire approach at this stage in our understanding of exercise dependence. Firstly, in the absence of agreed and validated criteria for exercise dependence, the choice of items explored on questionnaires must, to an extent, be arbitrary. Secondly, appropriate cut off points remain to be determined. For example, in a recent study using the exercise dependence questionnaire, the female participants were considered to be exercise dependent if their scores were ≥116. As items are scored on a 1–7 point Likert scale, this cut off represents an average cut off score of at least 4 on each of the 29 items—that is, they did not disagree, on average, with such statements as “The rest of my life has to fit around my exercise” and “If I cannot exercise I feel irritable.” However, in the absence of an exercising disorder, female exercisers meeting this criterion did not exhibit the sorts of personality profiles, high levels of neuroticism, addictiveness, and impulsivity and the levels of psychological distress that are characteristic of other dependencies. Thirdly, existing exercise dependence questionnaires do not differentiate between primary exercise dependence and problematic exercise as an associated characteristic of an eating disorder. In the study by Bamber and colleagues, female exercisers who met the criterion described above, but also showed evidence of an eating disorder on the eating disorders examination questionnaire, displayed the expected morbid personality characteristics and high levels of psychological distress.

As yet, there has only been one attempt to develop proper diagnostic criteria for exercise dependence. Based on clinical experience, Veale produced a set of diagnostic criteria for primary and secondary exercise dependence (table 1) analogous to those used for diagnosing other dependence syndromes. However, there has been insufficient evidence to support the existence of primary exercise dependence and, accordingly, to convince the American Psychiatric Association that exercise dependence should be recognised as an independent clinical disorder. Clearly, there is a need to strengthen the empirical base of exercise dependence. A qualitative approach may be better suited than a quantitative one for exploring potential diagnostic criteria for exercise dependence, as qualitative
methods can provide richer accounts of individual experience. As the nature of exercise dependence remains to be determined, a wholly quantitative approach may be premature. Indeed, the general reliance on quantitative methods may well be impeding the further development of diagnostic criteria and, accordingly, our general understanding of exercise dependence. To date, the application of qualitative methods in this context has been limited to a few case studies.21–24 The present study applied a rigorous and systematic qualitative approach with a view to extracting diagnostic criteria for primary and secondary exercise dependence. Given Veale’s preliminary exploration of diagnostic criteria and the expanding literature on exercise dependence, an approach based on a social constructionist mode of grounded theory was adopted.25 This approach encourages new ideas to emerge while acknowledging and challenging existing ones26 and was therefore deemed best suited to current research needs.

**METHOD**

**Participants**

Interviews were conducted with 56 adult female exercisers recruited from a variety of sources, including: aerobics classes; sports centres; athletics and running clubs; the Eating Disorders Association; a private eating disorder clinic; the United Kingdom Athletics Organisation. Mean (SD) age was 28.90 (8.36) years, mean (SD) objectively measured body mass index was 22.78 (3.78), and mean (SD) age at menarche was 13.59 (1.69) years. Skinfold thicknesses were taken to derive estimated mean (SD) percentage body fat of 21.65 (7.82). Participants were recruited from a variety of sources, including: aerobics classes; sports centres; athletics and running clubs; the Eating Disorders Association; a private eating disorder clinic; the United Kingdom Athletics Organisation. Mean (SD) age was 28.90 (8.36) years, mean (SD) objectively measured body mass index was 22.78 (3.78), and mean (SD) age at menarche was 13.59 (1.69) years. Skinfold thicknesses were taken to derive estimated mean (SD) percentage body fat of 21.65 (7.82).

Participants were recruited through mail shots, magazine adverts, or by being given a questionnaire at an exercise class. They were invited to enter a “Lifestyle study”, and participants were given the opportunity to ask any questions about the research. Order of administration of the EDE and EXDI was counterbalanced, and all interviews were conducted by the first author who was trained in the administration of the EDE27 and had completed a one year training course in counselling skills and theory. Interviews were tape recorded and lasted one to three hours. Full written informed consent was obtained before each interview. Finally, before the interview, participants completed the exercise dependence questionnaire (EDQ).28

**Diagnoses and quantitative assessment**

A second stage of the study involved re-examining the responses of all 56 interviewees using the diagnostic criteria derived from the narrative to characterise participants as primary or secondary exercise dependent. These diagnoses were compared with participants’ scores on the EDQ.
Functional impairment was based on the evidence provided by the four general dimensions pertinent to the diagnosis of exercise dependence that emerged: impaired functioning, withdrawal, presence of an eating disorder, associated features.

### RESULTS

Table 2 summarises the findings from the analyses; examples of raw data, higher order themes, and general dimensions are detailed. The four general dimensions pertinent to the diagnosis of exercise dependence that emerged were: impaired functioning, withdrawal, presence of an eating disorder, associated features.

#### Impaired functioning

This dimension referred to significant dysfunction in four areas: (a) psychological; (b) social and occupational; (c) physical; (d) behavioural. Assessment of significance of any functional impairment was based on the evidence provided by participants' narratives and was confirmed by the third author's clinical opinion. The following interchange shows impaired psychological functioning manifested as intrusive thoughts about exercise:

DB: “Over the past four weeks, have you spent much time thinking about exercising?”

Peta: “Oh yes, I plan it every night, I plan it in my head when I get up every morning.”

DB: “Has thinking about exercising interfered with your daily life?”

Peta: “Yes, I am having to do it now, yes.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw data – examples</th>
<th>First order themes</th>
<th>Second order themes</th>
<th>General dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I have to think that people have to sit down in an office day after day... it’s like a power of struggle and my brain’s never quiet, trying to reason...&quot;</td>
<td>Intrusive thoughts/ruminations</td>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>Impaired functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;it’s exercise or nothing&quot;</td>
<td>Salience of thoughts about exercising</td>
<td>Anxiety, depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I’d always turn something down if it was going to interfere with my routine&quot;</td>
<td>Salience of exercising above other activities</td>
<td>Social isolation/withdrawal</td>
<td>Social and occupational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;My exercise is my social life, I don’t have a social life outside that&quot;</td>
<td>Inability to work, late for work, impaired concentration/functioning at work</td>
<td>Irritability with colleagues, partners, friends, family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I went to the doctor and he said... you have got to slow down, don’t do so much exercise, err, but I didn’t&quot;</td>
<td>Conflict in relationships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I go (walking) at half past 7 and then I go at half past 10 and then I go at half past 1 and then I go at half past 4, so structured&quot;</td>
<td>Medically contraindicated exercising</td>
<td>Physical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I sometimes really push myself... because I am bad, because I have done something that I shouldn’t have&quot;</td>
<td>Stereotyped and inflexible behaviour</td>
<td>Behavioural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I wouldn’t think I deserved the food&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I should be feeling very depressed and anxious... I would be reading it objectively&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I wouldn’t think I deserved the food&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I sometimes really push myself... because I am bad, because I have done something that I shouldn’t have&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I’d love to lie on the settee and watch video after video&quot;</td>
<td>Fantasies about not exercising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I’m trying to cut down&quot;</td>
<td>Desire to cut down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The more I do it the more it seems that I need to do it&quot;</td>
<td>Inability to cut down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;it has a tendency to creep up&quot;</td>
<td>Decreased exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;If I start doing the exercising... I will start doing more and more of it&quot;</td>
<td>Fear of addiction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I sometimes really push myself... because I am bad, because I have done something that I shouldn’t have&quot;</td>
<td>Performance/non-performance related</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I probably underestimate it&quot;</td>
<td>Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;...a bit economical with the truth... and I don’t tell then about the hill profiles and speed&quot;</td>
<td>Exercise motives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;my main reason for exercising is to be good, but I know that to be good I also need to be thin&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;control, control full stop. &quot; because I have to&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses to each of the 36 EDE items</td>
<td>EDE interview questions</td>
<td>Clinical eating disorder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I feel fat and ugly and I hate myself at the moment&quot;</td>
<td>E.g.: &quot;Have you felt guilty about eating?&quot;</td>
<td>Disordered eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;It (weight) makes me feel awful, it just makes me feel really bad about myself.&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;How have you been feeling about your weight?&quot;</td>
<td>No eating disorder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDE, Eating disorders examination.
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Millie described how she experienced intrusive thoughts about exercising:

“It interferes with my concentration for two reasons, one, because I dread it, because I hate those aerobics classes... so I think about it, like, ‘Oh no I really don’t want to do this’, but I feel I must, and then when I don’t have the opportunity, that makes me think about it...”

Annie’s exercise was the only activity in her life, “It’s exercise or nothing.” She had given up the occupation for which she was trained to accommodate exercise. Further, when asked if her exercising interfered with her social life she replied, “I was trained to accommodate exercise. Further, when asked if her exercising interfered with her social life she replied, “I don’t have a social life anymore... I don’t have the energy for her exercising to a more reasonable level: “I try to cut down up, I bought myself a new swimming costume. You see, it wasn’t earth shattering, it would have been nice, I had planned to go, but it didn’t work out.”

Peta, on the other hand, displayed a marked psychological reaction to missing her swim:

“I was mad at myself, I felt really angry, I couldn’t concentrate on anything and I felt moody and aggressive. I was really sarcastic with my husband and I felt like a big fat blob. Oh it was a dreadful day, I just felt so agitated and so out of control. It was a rotten time, and the other time when I couldn’t feel angry at that person, I felt angry at everybody because I couldn’t go and get my hour in the gym or a swim and just like, less interested, just not interested in anything.”

Reported physical symptoms included headaches and insomnia, and common social responses included putting exercising before socialising. Millie’s account of a day out was illustrative:

“I remember one day when I drove my mum over to my sister’s and she’s there with a newborn baby, obviously struggling, and we were supposed to be there to help, but all I could think about was, “I have got to get out and go for a walk”... we went for this walk and I wanted to leave then and there and go for my walk...they wanted me to go and sit down and have a cup of tea and chat... and all I wanted was to go for a walk, I wasn’t thinking about the baby... I brought them back and dumped them... and went off and had my walk anyway.”

Laura explained how her need to exercise was a source of conflict in social situations; she gave an example: “Trying to walk to places when other people are trying to go on a bus or something.” In contrast, Joan, who was not exercise dependent, said “Exercising doesn’t tend to win out [over other activities] these days.”

Behavioural responses to not being able to exercise included binge eating or increased rigidity around eating. Meg was one of many who experienced a seeming paradoxical response to not exercising. “If I haven’t exercised I tend to eat far more junk stuff and I am at far greater risk of bingeing.” Others reacted with extreme dietary restraint, Joan explained “I would stick to very familiar, tried and tested foods...a very narrow range...would be very restrictive...”. Jenny, on the other hand, felt that she would have to continually weigh herself to determine how much she should be eating.

The second theme under the general dimension of withdrawal was “evidence of a persistent desire and/or unsuccessful efforts to control or reduce exercise.” Some participants fantasised about not having to exercise. Peta described the conflict between feeling the need to continue exercising and inner desire for some respite:

“...there’s a part of me deep down that would like a rest, you know, I love it, you know when I’ve got flu, oh it’s great to be able to sit down on the settee, because I’ve got flu, but I’m still agitated at myself, it’s a terrible, terrible conflict...I’d love someone to say ‘You have to lie down on the settee all day’, I’d love to lie down on the settee and watch video after video...”

Annie expressed similar fantasies about not having to exercise: “I think it’s a dream that I would love to be able to do at one point in the future but at the moment I would not be able to, no way.” She also reported failed attempts to reduce her exercising to a more reasonable level: “I try to cut down but I know that I won’t.”

www.bjsportmed.com
Table 3  New diagnostic criteria for secondary exercise dependence
The following three criteria are necessary for a diagnosis of secondary exercise dependence:
1. Impaired functioning*
The individual shows evidence of impaired functioning in at least two of the following areas:
(a) Psychological—e.g. ruminations or intrusive thoughts about exercise, salience of thoughts about exercise, anxiety, or depression
(b) Social and occupational—e.g. salience of exercising above all social activities, inability to work
(c) Physical—e.g. exercising causes or aggravates health or injury yet continues to exercise when medically contraindicated
(d) Behavioural—e.g. stereotyped and inflexible behaviour

2. Withdrawal
The individual shows evidence of one or more of the following:
(a) Clinically significant adverse response to a change or interruption of exercise habits. Response may be physical, psychological, social, or behavioural, e.g. severe anxiety or depression, social withdrawal, self harm†
(b) Persistent desire and/or unsuccessful efforts to control or reduce exercise

3. Presence of an eating disorder†
Associated features
The following features are indicative but not definitive:
(i) Tolerance—i.e. increasing volumes of exercising required
(ii) High volumes of exercising and/or exercising at least once daily
(iii) Solitary exercising
(iv) Deception—e.g. lying about exercise volume, exercising in secret
(v) Insight—e.g. denial that exercising is a problem

*Exercise is unreasonably salient and/or stereotyped even when considered in appropriate context—e.g. individual is a competitive athlete.
†If individual had not abstained from exercise, or would refuse to do so, rate withdrawal according to anticipated response.
‡For a diagnosis of primary exercise dependence, all criteria may be the same as for secondary exercise dependence except for the absence, rather than presence, of an eating disorder.

Presence of an eating disorder
The EDE identified 18 participants as having an eating disorder at the time of interview. A further nine participants showed disordered eating—that is, problematic eating behaviour and attitudes that did not currently warrant a clinical diagnosis.

Associated features
Additional themes (table 2) that emerged from the narratives included: tolerance, manifested as a progressive increase in exercise volume; high volumes of exercising and/or daily exercising; solitary exercising; deception, for example, lying about exercising; exercising driven predominantly by the desire to control weight, shape, or body composition.

Diagnostic criteria
Table 3 summarises the diagnostic criteria derived from the analysis of the exercisers’ narratives. Associated features were considered indicative but not definitive of exercise dependence. Impaired functioning and withdrawal were regarded by the clinical psychologist on the team (SR) as highly problematic and matters of definite clinical concern. After discussions of the narratives and the emergent themes, there was a consensus between the first author and the clinician that impairments in two or more of the four areas of functioning and withdrawal in either or both of its manifestations strongly intimated pathology and, accordingly, provided appropriate diagnostic criteria for exercise dependence. The presence of an eating disorder qualifies exercise dependence as secondary. The same diagnostic criteria, but in the absence of an eating disorder, are likely to qualify exercise dependence as primary, although evidence from individuals who exhibit possible primary exercise dependence needs to be examined systematically before definitive criteria for primary exercise dependence can be offered.

Diagnoses
When participants’ responses were judged against these diagnostic criteria, 10 met the criteria for exercise dependence—that is, they showed impaired functioning in at least two areas and evidence of withdrawal. A further four showed disordered exercising—that is, problematic exercising that failed to meet fully the criteria. The remaining 42 showed symptoms of neither exercise dependence nor disordered exercising. Of the 10 exercise dependent women, all showed evidence of an eating disorder, and, as such, were best regarded as displaying secondary rather than primary exercise dependence. In addition, disordered exercising was only present when accompanied by symptoms of an eating disorder. Of the eight participants diagnosed with an eating disorder but no exercise dependence, one provided evidence of disordered exercising. Similarly, three of the nine participants who showed problematic eating behaviour and attitudes that fell short of a clinical eating disorder, also showed disordered exercising.

Quantitative findings
The mean (SD) score on the EDQ of the 10 participants who met our diagnostic criteria for exercise dependence was 136.3 (19.30). The mean (SD) score on the EDQ for the four people who showed disordered exercising that fell short of exercise dependence was 115.3 (22.87). The mean (SD) EDQ score of the 12 participants who showed no symptoms of exercise dependence or disordered exercising was 100.8 (23.46). A previous study using the EDQ adopted a cut off point for exercise dependence of ≥116, the equivalent of scoring 4 on average on each of the 29 items. Table 4 indicates the accuracy of this criterion in discriminating those identified as exercise dependent, using the present diagnostic criteria. In addition, it

Table 4  Number of exercise dependent or non-exercise dependent participants as defined by diagnostic criteria and exercise dependence questionnaire (EDQ) score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDQ score</th>
<th>Exercise dependent</th>
<th>Non-exercise dependent*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥116</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥145</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;145</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes four participants who showed symptoms of disordered exercising but did not meet the criteria for exercise dependence.
indicates the consequences of adopting higher EDQ cut off points. As can be seen, the $\geq 116$ cut off point identified 90% of those diagnosed as exercise dependent, but at some cost in terms of false positives. Thirty per cent of those not regarded as exercise dependent met this cut off point. In contrast, the more severe criterion of $\geq 145$, the equivalent of scoring 5 on average on each of the 29 items, yielded no false positives but a high number of false negatives as it captured only 30% of those judged to be exercise dependent using the diagnostic criteria derived from the narratives.

DISCUSSION

This study represents the first systematic qualitative study of exercise dependence. Using semistuctured interviews and an approach based on a social constructionist version of grounded theory, we analysed the narratives of 56 female exercisers with a view to extracting cogent diagnostic criteria for exercise dependence. In general, the themes that emerged are broadly in line with the diagnostic criteria proposed by Veale et al.\(^4\) (table 1). The major diagnostic criteria identified in the present study were impaired functioning and withdrawal.

Impaired functioning

There was evidence of impaired functioning in four areas: psychological, social and occupational, physical, and behavioural. Evidence of problems in at least two of these areas was judged necessary for a diagnosis of exercise dependence; only one would risk overinclusion, whereas insisting on three or more was considered to be overly exclusive. The inability to concentrate because of a preoccupation with exercise and exercise induced fatigue were examples of impaired psychological functioning. Medically contraindicated exercising and arguments with partner, family, or friends, rather than being subjective need for increasing amounts of exercise were deemed examples of impaired physical and social functioning respectively. For Meg, her exercise behaviour appeared to serve an almost self harming function, “I sometimes push myself and when I am doing that I know it’s because I am bad, because I have done something that I shouldn’t have.” Many participants described conflict between their exercising and other aspects of their lives. For example, Martine, a middle distance runner explained:

“I always fit my exercise in no matter what, even if it means not doing something else like going out or making myself late for something, because I have got to do my exercise. I am always late for work, terrible, like the other day I was out on my bike and I was just like, ‘You have got to be at work in an hour, you have got so much to do’, and I am like, ‘well, I will finish my bike ride so its tough, they will have to wait’ . . . it’s just something that takes priority over other things.”

Clearly, Martine’s exercising was creating problems for her work.

Attaching a high priority to sport and exercise is not necessarily problematic. The degree of conflict and its context is important. Indeed, it was not unusual for competitive athletes in the present study to indicate some disruption of other activities as a result of their training commitments. Nevertheless, they were generally able to manage successfully sporting and other demands. Exercise only appeared to impair function when it became all consuming. For Annie, for example, exercising was her only priority. It was detrimental to her social life, her career, and her health. She was clearly distressed by her investment in exercise, “I feel it’s a great bind, I feel it rules my life and I don’t see why I should have to carry this cross when nobody else does:”

Withdrawal

This diagnostic criterion was manifested as either a clinically significant adverse response to not exercising or as a persistent desire and/or inability to control or cut back on exercise; the latter sometimes presented as a premature return to exercising after illness or injury. Given that mild withdrawal symptoms are common among athletes, only severe instances of withdrawal should be regarded as symptomatic. As truly dependent exercisers would be unlikely to abstain from exercise for research, diagnostic, or even medical reasons, withdrawal symptoms are difficult to assess. In this study, this problem was addressed by asking participants to respond to the hypothetical situation of not being able to exercise for one week. This tactic yielded important data. For example, Jenny was visibly horrified at the prospect and stated:

“You wouldn’t, you just couldn’t do it, well that’s what I feel like . . . you are just depriving me of something that is essential to me . . . you might as well say, ‘stop eating’ or ‘stop breathing’ . . . I should be really depressed, I should be suicidal . . . I just wouldn’t be able to cope with just sitting in here . . . without exercising . . . you would probably have to put me in hospital.”

Accordingly, use of such hypothetical questions is recommended in the assessment of exercise dependence. For diagnosis of exercise dependence, it was considered that individuals should display at least one but not necessarily both of the two possible manifestations of withdrawal.

Associated features

A number of additional themes emerged from analysis of the present narratives. However, for reasons explained below, these should, at best, be regarded as indicative rather than definitive of exercise dependence. The present findings support Veale’s recommendation that tolerance be abandoned as a criterion for the diagnosis of exercise dependence.\(^4\) The concept of tolerance is a pharmacological one and unlikely to be generally applicable to exercise. Very few of the present participants reported that increasing exercise loads was associated with a diminution of compulsion and diminishing the benefits, although Joan feared, “If I start doing the exercising . . . I will start doing more and more of it”. In most cases, though, it was difficult to attribute increasing schedules of exercise to tolerance. For some, it was clearly a function of a standard incremental athletic training programme. For others, increased exercise was associated with a desire to lose more weight. Although intentionally increasing exercise levels was a common practice, tolerance cannot be inferred from this behaviour. Accordingly, tolerance was not included as a diagnostic criterion. Nevertheless, occasional declarations of a subjective need for increasing amounts of exercise were considered useful pointers and so tolerance was more properly regarded as an associated feature.

A key finding in the present study was the importance of cognitions, such as ruminations about, or inflexible attitudes towards, exercising, as signifiers of exercise dependence. In contrast, frequency, duration, or overall volume of exercise undertaken did not differentiate unambiguously dependent and non-dependent exercisers. Bamber and colleagues\(^11\) also found no relation between exercise dependence and exercise volume. As such, diagnostic criteria based solely on amounts of exercise would appear to be inadequate. For example, Alison, a triathlete, who was clearly not exercise dependent, reported training seven days a week, often twice daily. On the other hand, analysis of negative cases\(^\text{98}\) raised the possibility that exercise dependence could occur at relatively low levels of exercising. For example, Meg insisted on climbing up and down the bottom stair for five minutes every morning and...
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would be in “a bad temper” if she overslept and missed doing it. Similarly, Rebecca felt unable to tolerate not doing her five to ten minutes of daily weight training and sit ups:

“If I get to the end of the day and I think, ‘Oh God I haven’t done my exercises’ I do feel agitated and I have even got out of bed to do them . . . its just this overwhelming sensation really that I have to do them, even though I am tired and I just want to go to sleep.”

What would seem to be a behavioural discriminator in this context is the stereotyped and rigid character of the exercise. Irrespective of volume, some of the present participants exhibited an inflexible pattern of behaviour that was self-imposed. Meg and Rebecca provide striking examples. In contrast with volume, then, rigidity was considered a diagnostic criterion, an example of impaired behavioural functioning.

Veale included a subjective awareness of exercising as a problem in his diagnostic criteria. In the present study, insight was explored by asking participants if they felt their exercising was problematic, excessive, or an addiction. Their subjective definitions of exercise dependence were also explored. Our analysis indicated that some potentially dependent exercisers denied that exercising was a problem. This is illustrated by the following extract from a theoretical memo stored in NUDIST:

“When coding Roxanne it occurred to me that some individuals have greater or lesser insight into their exercise dependence. For example, Roxanne defined exercise addiction as, ‘exercising 7 days a week, 2–3 hours a day, and feeling upset if unable to exercise’. She had described that pattern of behaviour and withdrawal in herself, but when I asked if she felt she was addicted to exercise, she still said, ‘No’. It seems she is denying her dependence.” (DB)

Lack of subjective awareness can be variously manifest as secretive exercising, lying about exercising, and denial of exercising as a problem. In this study, the probe question, “Would other people say that you exercise excessively?” elicited such responses as, “Only the lazy ones in this world”. Martine’s housemates clearly thought her exercising was excessive, as she recounted their comments, “You are just mad, you go on these futile runs all the time, you know, what’s going on? . . .Martine’s going on of her runs around the world.” Martine insisted that she did not have a problem. Interviews with significant others could be valuable to future exercise dependence research. In general, given that many potentially dependent participants were very resistant to any implication that their exercising was problematic or pathological, insight, as a diagnostic criterion, would result in substantial false negatives.

Diagnosis of primary and secondary exercise dependence

Ten of the participants in this study met our new diagnostic criteria for exercise dependence. It is worth noting that their scores on the EDQ were significantly higher than individuals not meeting the criteria. Further, a cut off point on the EDQ of ≥116, in contrast with more stringent EDQ cut off points, captured nine of the 10, although at the cost of a number of false positives. Those who did not meet fully the present diagnostic criteria, and were characterised as disordered exercisers, were not significantly different from non-dependent exercisers on the EDQ. This result could be taken as indicating that diagnosis using the present scheme should be restricted to those who unambiguously meet the criteria for exercise dependence, although it may also reflect the insensitivity of the EDQ. Identifying disordered exercising could be important. Early identification of those at risk—that is, individuals who are not as yet exercise dependent but show many of the characteristics—may help to prevent the development of exercise dependence. Further, it is important to recognise that the EDQ is not a diagnostic instrument; diagnosis should proceed on the basis of the interviews of the sort conducted in this study.

Veale has argued that, for exercise dependence to be deemed a primary pathology, it should be independent of any other clinical disorder, particularly an eating disorder. In the present study, impaired functioning and withdrawal were considered likely to characterise both primary and secondary exercise dependence, differentiation being based on the absence or presence of an eating disorder. All 10 exercise dependent women in this study showed evidence of an eating disorder. Thus, all 10 fulfilled the criteria for secondary, rather than primary, exercise dependence. This is in line with the results of a recent study, which concluded that, if primary exercise dependence exists in women, it is a rare phenomenon, and does not have anything like the prevalence rates reported in some previous studies. The present results reinforce the importance of systematically screening for eating disorders when studying exercise dependence.

It is worth noting, though, that dieting for performance was more typical of those participants meeting the criteria for secondary exercise dependence. Veale proposed that dieting for performance represented an associated feature of secondary exercise dependence. Although it is difficult to distinguish between dieting as a symptom of an eating disorder and dieting for performance, particularly in weight dependent or aesthetic sports, the present data do not support Veale’s proposal. Participants who met the criteria for secondary exercise dependence dieted predominantly to control weight, shape, or body composition rather than to improve athletic performance.

It is important to remember that no women in this study showed evidence of primary exercise dependence. Accordingly, the proposed criteria for primary exercise dependence remain speculative. Indeed, it would seem advisable to extend the proposed criterion for primary exercise dependence, relating to the absence of an eating disorder, to exclude exercise that can be better explained by any other psychiatric disorder. For example, obsessive-compulsive disorder may be manifested as apparent “dependent” exercising. Future attempts to identify primary exercise dependence need to include a full psychiatric assessment to rule out excessive exercising as an associated feature of any other disorder. It remains possible that primary exercise dependence does not exist as a primary clinical disorder.

From these data it is impossible to determine whether the secondary exercise dependence diagnosed is a secondary feature of an eating disorder or a true co-morbidity. However, the concept of secondary exercise dependence implies cause and effect. Given that the cause of exercise dependence and its precise relation to eating disorders remains to be determined, it may be more appropriate to adopt the term “associated exercise dependence”, which continues to allow the possibility of genuine co-morbidity. After all, not everyone who exhibited an eating disorder in this study was exercise dependent. Indeed, for some with eating disorders, exercise may have an ameliorative effect. A number of participants reported that exercise encouraged them to eat more healthily and made them less likely to binge. It remains to be established why certain eating disorder sufferers show the symptoms of exercise dependence whereas others do not.

There could be important sex differences in the manifestation of exercise dependence. Primary exercise dependence may be more common among men, because eating disorders are known to occur much more often in women than men. The selection of women for these analyses maximised the opportunity to examine the complex relation between eating
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disorders and exercise. It is possible that our sampling procedures are responsible for the apparent non-existence of primary exercise dependence: the most “dependent” exercisers may have been unwilling to volunteer their time.

We recommend that these new diagnostic criteria be adopted in favour of earlier criteria for exercise dependence. Clinicians and researchers are invited to test them out in practice and to offer feedback about their replicability among men. Should any evidence for primary exercise dependence emerge, then more definitive diagnostic criteria can be developed.

………………
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