Effects of physical activity on exercise tests and respiratory function
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Background: Exercise is an important component of pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with chronic lung disease. Physical activity is known to improve physical fitness and to reduce morbidity and mortality from numerous chronic conditions. Physical activity and respiratory function are important measurements for evaluating cardiovascular and respiratory functions. The positive relation between physical activity and a treadmill test is much more consistent than that between physical activity and spirometric parameters. There are few longitudinal studies on physical activity and respiratory function in the general population. Most studies on the effects of physical activity on respiratory function are cross sectional ones on special populations such as athletes or patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Physical activity rehabilitation is widely used in patients with cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. Exploration of the relation between physical activity and cardiovascular and respiratory functions will aid in understanding the mechanisms of how physical activity improves patients’ quality of life and in finding a better way to evaluate effects of rehabilitation. The major purpose of this combined cross sectional and longitudinal study is to explore the role of respiratory function on the association of change in physical activity habits and change in cardiorespiratory fitness in healthy people.

Methods

Study population

Study participants were examined at the Cooper Clinic in Dallas, Texas. Most (98%) were white, and 80% were college graduates. Most participants work in executive or professional positions. There were 29 819 persons who had a cardiorespiratory function examination between 1971 and 1995, and 9580 had at least two evaluations of cardiorespiratory function during this period. There is a period from late childhood through adolescence in which maturation significantly increases forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1) independent of growth. A cross sectional study of people aged 55 years or above found that self reported leisure time physical activity correlated poorly with peak oxygen consumption and exercise duration as measured by a maximal treadmill test (MTT), probably because of age related differences in choices of physical activity. In the light of these observations, only adults aged 25–55 years were included in the present study. We also excluded people who had any self reported physician diagnosed chronic disease (arthritis, stroke, diabetes, hypertension, cancer, heart attack, chronic cough, or bronchitis), injury, abnormal exercise electrocardiogram, MTT less than six minutes, or FEV1/FVC% <60% at the first or second visit.

A total of 24 536 persons (19 150 men and 5386 women) who met our criteria were evaluated in the cross sectional study. The longitudinal study consisted of 5707 persons (4952 men and 755 women) who had a second visit between six months and five years after the first visit. The mean (SD) interval between these two visits was 18.7 (10.8) months.

Measurements

Each visit consisted of a thorough clinical examination by a doctor, various clinical assessments, and self reports of

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; MTT, maximal treadmill test
personal and family health histories and health habits. Before
the examination, all participants fasted for at least 12 hours
and signed a written informed consent. The study was
reviewed and approved annually by The Cooper Institute
Institutional Review Board.

Cardiorespiratory fitness was determined by MTT with the
Balke protocol. Respiratory function was measured by
spirometry.

We used four parameters to assess cardiorespiratory
functions: MTT, FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC%. Respiratory
function measurements, FEV1 and FVC, were obtained with a
Collins 421 Survey spirometer. Each person repeated the
complete test at least three times. If the two highest FVCs
agreed within 10%, no additional trials were applied. A clinic
doctor reviewed all results.

Information in the medical history questionnaire served as
a reference for the doctor in evaluating and examining the
participant. The same questionnaire was used at every visit.
Patients were given general health counselling by a doctor
after each assessment.

Physical activity was assessed at each visit through self
reported regular exercise patterns for the three months
before the examination. For the cross sectional study, we
calculated a five level physical activity index. Those who
reported no regular exercise were classified as sedentary.
Among those who reported any regular exercise, four levels of
activity were defined. The first three levels were determined
by miles walked or jogged per week: high (>20), moderate
(10.1–20), low (<10). The fourth level, called "other,"
included those who participated in regular physical activity
but not walking or jogging.

For the longitudinal study, those involved in any kind of
regular exercise were classified as active (A), the others were
classified as sedentary (S). There were four combinations of
physical activity status for the first two visits: A/A, active at
both the first and second visits; A/S, active at the first and
sedentary at the second; S/A, sedentary at the first visit and
active at the second; and S/S, sedentary at both visits.

Current smoking and alcohol drinking status each had two
categories: yes or no. For the longitudinal study, first and
second visit information on smoking and alcohol drinking
were divided into four levels: used at both visits (persistent
users), used at the first visit and not used at the second visit
(quitters), not used at the first visit and used at the second
visit (initiators), and not used at either visit (never used).

Additional variables for this report included sex, age at the
first visit, height and weight, body mass index (BMI), and
length of follow up. Height and weight were measured on a
standard doctor's scale and stadiometer. BMI was calculated
as weight (kg)/height (m²).

Statistical methods
All analyses were separate for women and men and used the
SAS statistical software package (SAS Institute, Cary, North
Carolina, USA). Generalised least squares regression was
used to identify the set of factors associated with the four
measures of cardiorespiratory function (MTT, FEV1, FVC, and
FEV1/FVC%). A 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated
for each least squares mean.

In the longitudinal study, the differences in cardiorespira-
tory function between the first and second visit were
compared among or across the four physical activity groups.
Because cardiorespiratory function at the first visit was
associated with differences between the first and second
examination, we stratified the participants by baseline
quintile cut points (table 1) to inspect the data visually,
and also included baseline values in multivariable models to
control for baseline variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile MTT (min)</th>
<th>FEV1 (litres)</th>
<th>FVC (litres)</th>
<th>FEV1/FVC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>&lt;14.5</td>
<td>&lt;3.4</td>
<td>&lt;4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>14.5–16.9</td>
<td>3.4–3.7</td>
<td>4.4–4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>17.0–19.4</td>
<td>3.8–4.0</td>
<td>4.8–5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>19.5–22.1</td>
<td>4.1–4.4</td>
<td>5.2–5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>&gt;22.1</td>
<td>&gt;4.4</td>
<td>&gt;5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Women             |             |             |              |
| Q1                | <10.0       | <2.4        | <3.1         | <75.0       |
| Q2                | 10.0–12.1   | 2.4–2.6     | 3.1–3.3      | 75.0–78.9   |
| Q3                | 12.2–14.6   | 2.7–2.8     | 3.4–3.5      | 79.0–81.9   |
| Q4                | 14.7–16.9   | 2.9–3.1     | 3.6–3.8      | 82.0–84.9   |
| Q5                | >16.9       | >3.1        | >3.8         | >84.9       |

MTT, Maximal treadmill test; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first
second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

All multivariable models included change in physical
activity, age at first visit, change in smoking and alcohol
consumption, and length of follow up in the longitudinal
study. In addition, BMI was included in the model to control
for the association of this factor with MTT, and height was
included in models for FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC% to control
for its association with these spirometry measures. Age,
height, and BMI were entered as continuous variables. After
excluding the people who did not walk or jog, we examined
the relation of miles of walking/jogging and cardiorespiratory
function. Statistical significance for all tests was set at
p<0.05. We used the Bonferroni method to control for
multiple comparisons.

RESULTS
Cross sectional study
MTT correlated with FEV1 (r = 0.47, p<0.001), FVC (r = 0.50,
p<0.001), and miles of walking or jogging (r = 0.49,
p<0.001). FEV1 was highly associated with FVC (r = 0.89,
p<0.001). The correlation coefficients for miles of walking
and jogging and respiratory functions (FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/
FVC%) were 0.10 (p<0.001), 0.01 (p<0.001), and 0.01 (p =
0.067) respectively.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the 24 536 healthy
persons aged 25–55 years by sex in the cross sectional study.
For both men and women, the mean age was about 41 years,
and 64% were sedentary. About 21% of men and 14% of
women were current smokers. About 26% of men and 37% of
women did not drink alcohol.

Univariate analyses showed that being sedentary, smoking,
and not consuming alcohol were related to lower MTT, FEV1,
and FVC in both men and women. Smoking was also
associated with a lower level of the FEV1/FVC ratio. In the
multivariate analyses, controlling for the other variables in
table 3, respiratory function levels did not differ significantly
between current drinkers and non-drinkers. However, sedentary
status and smoking retained their significant
associations with respiratory function (table 3).

Longitudinal study
The mean changes in cardiorespiratory function were
examined by physical activity change category, controlling
for smoking and drinking changes, age, baseline BMI (for
MTT), and baseline height (for respiratory function). For
men, an increase in physical activity improved MTT more
than respiratory function parameters. Table 4 shows the
mean changes and p values between physical activity groups.
Mean values of MTT were higher at baseline for both A/A
and S/A groups than either A/S or S/S groups among men,
and also included baseline values in multivariable models to
control for baseline variation.
of the baseline differences in MTT, we divided the subjects into five groups based on baseline cardiorespiratory function quintile values.

Figure 1 illustrates that, within each quintile, if men remained active or became active, they improved MTT (p < 0.001). For example, the mean changes in MTT for A/A, A/S, S/A, and S/S men in the lowest quintile were 2.89, 2.40, 3.50, and 1.52 respectively, controlling for other variables. Participants in the lowest quintile had more improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness if they changed from a sedentary to an active lifestyle than did participants in the higher baseline fitness groups.

Mean changes in FEV1 in the A/A group were higher than the mean changes in each of the other physical activity groups in each quintile (fig 2). The mean change between the A/A group and each of the other groups (A/S, S/A, and S/S) was significant (p = 0.012, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 respectively). Figures 3 and 4 indicate that in the lower two quintiles of FVC and FEV1/FVC%, the A/A men tended to improve more than the other men.

In women, there were no significant differences in FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC% within activity groups and only a few differences in MTT (table 4), so we did not include figures for the women’s results.

After controlling for other variables, adults who never smoked had a greater mean change in MTT (1.50 minutes) than quitters (0.97 minute) and persistent smokers (0.81 minute), with Bonferroni adjusted p values of 0.010 and 0.002 respectively. Men who never smoked had a greater mean change in FEV1 (0.01 litre) and FVC (0.02 litre) than men who smoked at both examinations (−0.06 to −0.03) (adjusted p = 0.001 and 0.025 respectively). Women who

### Table 2
Cross-sectional study: selected characteristics of participants (the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, 1971–1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Men (n = 19150)</th>
<th>Women (n = 5386)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTT (min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>17.08 (4.96)</td>
<td>12.88 (4.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>21.00 (20.33)</td>
<td>21.16 (20.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>18.32 (18.20)</td>
<td>18.44 (18.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>15.69 (15.62)</td>
<td>15.77 (15.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14103 (73.64%)</td>
<td>3414 (63.39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5047 (26.36%)</td>
<td>1972 (36.61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current drinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19.28 (19.54)</td>
<td>3.91 (3.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20.37 (20.25)</td>
<td>4.00 (3.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years older</td>
<td>−0.74 (−0.78)</td>
<td>−0.26 (−0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 unit BMI higher</td>
<td>−2.52 (−2.59)</td>
<td>−2.47 (−2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 cm taller</td>
<td>0.41 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) or number (%). Levels of physical activity were high (>20 miles a week), moderate (10–20 miles a week), low (<20 miles a week), other than running, and none (sedentary). MTT, Maximal treadmill test; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; BMI, body mass index.

### Table 3
Cross-sectional study (the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, 1971–1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>MTT (min)</th>
<th>FEV1 (litres)</th>
<th>FVC (litres)</th>
<th>FEV1/FVC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>23.31</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>78.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>77.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>78.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>77.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>77.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>78.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current drinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>78.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>78.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years older</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 unit BMI higher</td>
<td>−2.52</td>
<td>−2.59</td>
<td>−2.47</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 cm taller</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>79.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>78.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>77.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>78.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>78.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>80.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current drinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>79.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>79.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years older</td>
<td>−0.76</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 unit BMI higher</td>
<td>−1.72</td>
<td>−1.84</td>
<td>−1.61</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Least squared means and 95% confidence interval from multivariate generalised regression analyses, controlling for other variables in the table. Levels of physical activity were high (>20 miles a week), moderate (10–20 miles a week), low (<20 miles a week), other than running, and none (sedentary). For the difference, the lower limit was taken as a reference.
never smoked had a significantly greater MTT difference (1.49 minutes) than persistent smokers (0.58 minute) (adjusted p = 0.010). No other significant differences were found for changes in smoking habit on cardiorespiratory parameters. We found no evidence that changes in alcohol intake were associated with changes in cardiorespiratory function.

**DISCUSSION**

Physical inactivity and low cardiorespiratory fitness are recognised as important causes of morbidity and mortality. The cross sectional and longitudinal data presented in this report show the relation between physical activity and cardiorespiratory function for healthy men and women aged 25–55 years.

![Figure 1](https://www.bjsportmed.com)
It is generally accepted that people with higher levels of physical activity tend to have higher levels of fitness and that physical activity can improve cardiorespiratory fitness. In both our cross sectional and longitudinal study, higher levels of physical activity were strongly associated with longer MTTs, a finding consistent with other studies.

Figure 2  Longitudinal study for men: differences in forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV₁) and physical activity change categories by quintile of baseline FEV₁ (the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, 1971–1995).

Figure 3  Longitudinal study for men: differences in forced vital capacity (FVC) and physical activity change categories by quintile of baseline FVC (the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, 1971–1995).
Other studies comparing respiratory function among men engaged in various sports found that sportsmen have higher levels of function than sedentary people. Among the various groups of participants, swimmers had the maximum lung function in this cross sectional study. In another cross sectional study, male and female swimmers (n = 459) had larger FEV1 values than both land based athletes and sedentary controls. In addition, elite male swimmers had superior FEV1 values when compared with male non-elite swimmers (p < 0.05); however, when years of swimming training were controlled for by analysis of covariance, the difference in FEV1 between the two groups was no longer evident. This suggests that years of swimming training and/or the earlier the age at which training begins may have a significant influence on subsequent FEV1 values and swimming performance. Malkia and Impivaara showed in a cross sectional study that physical activity may relate to spirometric values in persons with and without bronchial asthma. Although MTT has strong associations with respiratory functions, the associations of these functions and potential risk factors were different. Because of the cross sectional nature of the study, the genetic component as a major determinant of the superior respiratory function in persons with a higher level of physical activity should be considered.

Comprehensive pulmonary rehabilitation significantly improved exercise performance and symptoms for patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Exercise is an important component of pulmonary rehabilitation and may be associated with both physiological and psychological benefits for patients with chronic lung disease. Although respiratory rehabilitation programmes offer improvement in quality of life and some physiological measures, improvements in FEV1 levels have not been reported consistently. There are no reports of long term change in rates of decline in FEV1 in different exercise groups.

In the cross sectional component of this study, those with higher physical activity levels also had higher levels of FEV1 and FVC; however, there was no difference in FEV1/FVC%. Thus, an association between physical activity and the degree of respiratory obstruction was not supported by our data. In the longitudinal study, men in the lowest cardiorespiratory function quintile tended to have the highest variability among the four physical activity change categories (figs 1–4). This may suggest that the response of the respiratory system to physical activity is less than the response of the cardiovascular system. This may be due to the larger reserve capacity and higher lung tolerance of the cardiovascular system to the effects of physical activity, or the cardiovascular system may have more room for improvement than the respiratory system.

Although the values of FEV1 and FVC were lower in women than in men, the FEV1/FVC% was higher in women. This suggests that lung efficiency was higher in women, or that men had more reserve capacity. This may explain why the respiratory function changes seen for men in the longitudinal study were not seen in women.

In a seven year longitudinal study of 1393 middle aged Norwegian men, the authors concluded that decline in physical fitness and lung function among healthy middle aged men was considerably greater among smokers than non-smokers and could not be explained by differences in age and physical activity. Smoking is the most important modifiable risk factor for decreased respiratory function. We found that cigarette smoking reduced FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC% in both sexes. Although mortality from all causes is considerably increased in alcoholics, at an intake of 22–32 and 33–54 g
alcohol a day, the relative risk of all cause death was 0.70 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.82) and 0.76 (95% CI 0.66 to 0.87) respectively. This is especially true for cardiovascular disease, and the lower risk associated with moderate alcohol intake was similar for smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers. An alcohol consumption of ≥350 g a week significantly accelerated the loss of FEV₁ and the loss of FVC with five years observation time controlling for smoking. In a 10 year study, cross sectional studies showed that increased alcohol consumption was significantly associated with impaired age adjusted and height adjusted FEV₁ in 328 policemen, but in the longitudinal analyses, there was no relation between alcohol consumption and FEV₁ decline. Twisk et al found a positive relation with alcohol consumption and FVC and FEV₁ in a young population (ages 13–27 years). Sparrow et al found no relations between alcohol consumption and FVC and FEV₁ in both a cross sectional and a longitudinal study after controlling for age, height, cigarette smoking habits, and educational attainment. In our study, alcohol consumption was associated with better cardiorespiratory function in only the cross sectional analyses. We did not have enough evidence to determine that alcohol consumption is related to cardiorespiratory function in our longitudinal analyses.

An overall obesity index such as BMI is not always significantly related to lung volume. In our study, BMI was not associated with respiratory function, whereas a higher BMI was associated with lower MTT. Being taller is associated with higher FEV₁ and FVC, but with lower FEV₁/FVC%. This suggests that tall subjects have larger lung volumes and more obtrusive (or abrasive) force when air passes through the longer airway.

Age is an unmodifiable risk factor for decreased cardiorespiratory function. During childhood and adolescence there is a natural rise in lung function, after which it declines annually. Our cross sectional study suggests that, after 25 years of age, the average annual decline of FEV₁ will be about 26 ml/year for men and 22 ml/year for women. These results are close to the estimates of the European Community for Coal and Steel equation (29 ml/year for men and 25 ml/year for women). In a longitudinal study after controlling for age, height, cigarette smoking habits, and educational attainment. In our study, alcohol consumption was associated with better cardiorespiratory function in only the cross sectional analyses. We did not have enough evidence to determine that alcohol consumption is related to cardiorespiratory function in our longitudinal analyses.

Some limitations of our study should be underscored. Firstly, this was not a controlled clinical trial. Most of our healthy adult participants were from mid to upper socioeconomic strata, so generalising to other groups (especially to clinical populations such as patients with respiratory disease) is not advised. Assessment of physical activity is difficult. Only leisure time physical activity was considered in this study. Although miles of walking and jogging as an index of physical activity had positive validation and had been used in several studies, we did not consider the intensity of walking and jogging and did not have any reliability studies on these data. In the longitudinal study, we lacked intermediate measures of independent variables; thus we cannot assess the influence of concurrent changes on results. Another limitation is the reliance on self reported data for physical activity and smoking, which could be influenced by poor recall, although our subjects were highly educated and aware of their health. The “regression to the mean” effects should be considered when comparing cardiorespiratory functions between two visits. In this study, baseline values of cardiorespiratory functions, as continuous or categorical variables, were controlled among compared groups. The “regression to the mean” effects will tend to dilute the effect of physical activity on cardiorespiratory functions in analyses. It cannot explain those statistical associations in this study.
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