What do we mean by the term “inflammation”? A contemporary basic science update for sports medicine
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Most practicing sports medicine clinicians refer to the concept of “inflammation” many times a day when diagnosing and treating acute and overuse injuries. What is meant by this term? Is it a “good” or a “bad” process? The major advances in the understanding of inflammation in recent years are summarised, and some clinical implications of the contemporary model of inflammation are highlighted.

Despite the widespread use of the term “inflammation” in sports medicine, clinicians and researchers rarely define precisely what they mean by it and whether or not it is a “good” or a “bad” process. Attendees at an IOC tendinopathies workshop in Athens (2003) concluded that both clinicians and scientists would benefit from a working definition of inflammation so that debate could have a rational foundation. As depicted in fig 1, inflammation is an umbrella term encompassing physical findings at four levels: clinical, physiological, cellular, and molecular. In a particular injury, some or all of the components may be present at one or more levels. Effective clinical reasoning and research require the ability to define which level is relevant. The purpose of this review is to share advances at the cellular and molecular levels of inflammation and to discuss how they may affect clinical management.

INFLAMMATION: A COMPLEX INTERACTION OF CELLULAR SIGNALS AND RESPONSES

Most clinicians were trained to think of the classic cellular inflammation model, but today any discussion of inflammation must involve consideration of the molecular level, and should also include the full range of cell types involved including platelets, endothelial cells, and peripheral neurones. Thus, we pay particular attention to the mechanisms of interaction among nine cell types that participate in the acute and chronic injury response (fig 2). In this model, the positive or negative outcome of injury is influenced by the simultaneous responses and interactions of numerous cell types. We hope the figures will facilitate the integration of data reviewed herein, and to develop a context in which to understand emerging treatments for musculoskeletal disorders. We have highlighted examples from sports medicine, and will not attempt to cover inflammation as it pertains to immune related disorders.

We will begin with the cells that predominate in the early phase of classical inflammation after an acute injury such as an ankle sprain (platelets, endothelial cells, neutrophils) through to healing in the later phases (macrophages, lymphocytes, fibroblasts). This is followed by an update on research into neurogenic inflammation, which is increasingly implicated in the development of repetitive strain injuries. We will not review the effects of inflammatory mediators on parenchymal cells that participate in tissue regeneration—for example, skeletal muscle satellite cells, pluripotent periosteal cells.

Platelets

Platelets have a deceptively simple appearance on standard blood smears. Despite their featureless, anuclear appearance and their origin as fragments of bone marrow megakaryocytes, platelets are fully functioning, complex coordinators of coagulation, inflammation, and repair. They store and dynamically express a repertoire of membrane bound and secreted products that are involved in pain, primary haemostasis, recruitment of white blood cells, and regulation of vascular tone, repair, and neovascularisation.

Platelets are influenced by signals from the extracellular matrix as well as by those released from various cell types. After the initial trauma to the vasculature—for example, muscle strain or haematoma—circulating platelets contact collagen in the vessel walls (including types I, III, and VI). Specific receptors on the platelet surface, including the integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and glycoprotein $1b-3$ receptors, bind to collagen and trigger platelet adhesion and activation. These platelets then reorganise their cytoskeleton to form procoagulative spines, and release ADP and serotonin, which recruit further platelets and promote the accumulation of a platelet plug stabilised by strands of fibrin. Activated platelets synthesise and release an arachidonic acid metabolite, thromboxane A2, which mediates a second wave of platelet activation and aggregation by activating G protein coupled receptors on the platelet membrane. The aggregation of platelets in the thrombus is mediated by
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von Willebrand’s factor, a glycoprotein released by activated platelets and endothelium. The endothelium can also negatively influence platelet function by releasing prostaglandins (PGI₂ and PGE₂) and nitric oxide (NO), which are vasodilatory and have an inhibitory effect on platelets, thereby preventing uncontrolled thrombosis.⁶⁷ Platelets release factors that are crucial in influencing other cells to repair tissue. These factors include transforming growth factor β (TGFβ), platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), and endothelial growth factor (EGF). TGFβ binds to specific receptors (TGFβR1, TGFβR2, TGFβR3) on numerous cell types to enhance or inhibit many physiological functions as well as inflammatory and reparative processes. Although TGFβ inhibits the production of chemotactic mediators including interleukin (IL) 1 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) α, it is itself moderately chemotactic for monocytes, T lymphocytes, and fibroblasts, but not neutrophils.⁹¹⁰ When administered to healing injuries, TGFβ causes fibroblast proliferation, matrix secretion, and improved biomechanical function.⁹¹¹² PDGF and EGF are potent stimulators of mitosis in most mesenchymal cell types, particularly smooth muscle cells, enhancing proliferation and angiogenesis. PDGF accelerates wound healing when applied topically, especially in combination with EGF.¹³ Research into the use of PDGFs to improve healing of acute tendon injuries in animal models¹⁴ generally found a short term, but not persistent, benefit in biomechanical outcome. Small scale clinical trials for tendinopathies using protein rich autologous plasma are now underway.¹⁵

**Endothelium**

Another cell type that is activated during the inflammation and repair of acute injuries is the endothelium. In the initial stages, endothelial cells regulate pain, coagulation, vascular tone, and the recruitment and passage of inflammatory cells. In addition, they are exposed to factors released early on by platelets, and later by macrophages, fibroblasts, lymphocytes, and neurones, which can induce endothelial cells to proliferate and remodel their surrounding extracellular matrix, eventually forming an expanded network of vessels.
to supply the early scar or “granulation” tissue. Neovessels gradually disappear from scar tissue by undergoing programmed cell death (apoptosis).16

Normally, endothelial cells generate anticoagulative signals including thrombomodulin, tissue factor pathway inhibitor, and ADPase.4 After injury, endothelial cells can respond to proinflammatory signals, thereby switching their role to one of enhancing coagulation—for example, by upregulating their expression of tissue factor.17 After coagulation, platelets can then signal endothelial cells to recruit white blood cells. Activated platelets express the CD40 ligand on their surface, which binds to the CD40 endothelial receptor, leading to increased endothelial expression of molecules that recruit adhesive leucocytes, including E-selectin, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, IL8, and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP1).18 19 E-selectin, expressed on the luminal surface of activated endothelial cells, participates in the recruitment of leucocytes by slowing their transit time through the small vessels.20 This slowing of transit time allows subsequent firm (integrin dependent) adhesion of leucocytes to the endothelial surface.21 In addition to being modulated by platelets, endothelial cells may be induced by thrombin to produce raised concentrations of NO and prostaglandins, as well as chemokines such as IL8. IL8 interacts with the CXCR2 receptor on neutrophils, causing them to migrate toward the source of IL8.22

Endothelial cells can express and respond to a network of inflammatory mediators known as interleukins. Many cells (including platelets, neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes, fibroblasts) are capable of releasing interleukins and thereby amplifying a variety of inflammatory and reparative processes. IL1 is considered a prototypical inflammatory mediator, because of the vast array of inflammatory processes that it mediates, including synthesis of prostaglandin and NO. In response to IL1 paracrine or autocrine stimulation, endothelial cells upregulate their expression of chemokines23 and adhesion molecules,24 resulting in increased recruitment of blood borne inflammatory cells. IL1 also induces matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) expression in fibroblasts, which facilitates matrix degradation and inflammatory cell penetration.25 In contrast with IL1, IL6 is a prototypical “pleiotropic” mediator. Like TGFβ, it is both

Figure 2 The evolving response of each cell to an injury depends on the integration of molecular signals originating from neighbouring cells and the extracellular environment.
proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory and regulates a variety of cellular functions. Another major proinflammatory signal, TNFα, is released by endothelial cells and activated macrophages, and overlaps many of the functions of IL1. After local trauma, interleukins have their greatest influence locally—that is, paracrine or autocrine, rather than endocrine—by binding to specific receptors on endothelium and on other nearby cells. However, after sufficient trauma—for example, injurious eccentric loading of the quadriceps—raised IL6 is found in the bloodstream and may influence distant cells and tissues, causing more widespread responses, such as increased production and release of blood cells from the bone marrow.

The normal phases of angiogenesis after injury include initiation of the angiogenic response, remodelling of the extracellular matrix, proliferation and migration, maturation, and regression, each phase being governed by a different array of secreted and extracellular matrix signals. Early on, heparinase released by platelets may liberate the angiogenic factor basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) from the extracellular matrix, favouring its binding to the high affinity FGF receptors on endothelium and fibroblasts. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a potent angiogenic factor which is secreted in higher amounts later in the reparative stage by diverse cell types, especially macrophages. VEGF and its receptors are also upregulated under hypoxic conditions.

The role of the endothelium in tendinosis is now receiving greater attention because of new evidence by Ohberg and Alfredson that associates neovascularisation with the development of a chronically painful tendon lesion. Biopsies of tendinosis do occasionally display areas of perivascular inflammatory cell invasion, indicating that the endothelium may have become activated at an earlier period, but this has not been confirmed. Animal overuse models suggest that neurovascular ingrowth is a late appearing feature of tendinosis.

Neutrophils

Neutrophils are traditionally viewed as the “smart bomb” of inflammation. Highly destructive, they appear to operate on the uncritical assumption that any injury must be infected. Their main functions are phagocytosis and the killing of bacteria. The bactericidal toxicity of neutrophils results from their ability to release high levels of reactive oxygen species including hypochlorite, superoxide, and hydroxyl radicals. These reactive oxygen species are, however, non-specifically toxic, so in addition to killing bacteria that may or may not be present, they destroy surrounding injured or healthy cells. In a mouse wound model, neutrophil depletion resulted in faster repair, with no adverse effect on strength. Neutrophils undergo apoptosis and expose phosphatidylserine on their outer leaflet, marking themselves for phagocytosis by macrophages which arrive later at the site of injury.

Neutrophils, the first line of phagocytes in injured tissues, appear after a few hours, and account for the majority of cells present by 24 hours. Initially, they are released passively along with platelets from the injured vessels. Activated platelets then release a chemokine precursor, platelet basic protein, which is processed by neighbouring neutrophils to neutrophil activating protein 2. The latter rapidly (within minutes) attracts neutrophils by its affinity for their CXCR2 receptor. Because neutrophil activating protein 2 is chemotactic for neutrophils but not for macrophages, its early presence may explain neutrophil predominance in early inflammation.

Neutrophils express powerful enzymes to support their roles in tissue debridement and clot resolution. These enzymes belong to one of two families, the MMPs and the serine proteinases. Serine proteinases include cathepsin G, proteinase 3, and urokinase-type plasminogen activator. Among its many substrates, urokinase-type plasminogen activator converts plasminogen into plasmin, which can degrade the fibrous clot—an essential stage of healing. The MMPs released by activated neutrophils include MMP8 (neutrophil collagenase) and MMP9 (gelatinase B). Together these two enzymes can degrade most components of the extracellular matrix: elastin, fibrin, fibronectin, laminin, vitronectin, collagen, and proteoglycans. After tendon injury (rat flexor tendon laceration), early MMP9 activity appears to play a predominant role in collagen denaturation, rather than collagen remodelling, which was still occurring at day 28.

“The absence of neutrophils in chronic tendinopathies has led researchers and clinicians to question the inflammatory basis of so called tendinitis”

Because neutrophils are not required for the successful repair of sterile injuries, reducing their recruitment into injured areas during the initial hours after injury is a reasonable therapeutic goal. In particular, neutrophils appear to play a predominantly negative role in acute exercise associated injury. A new line of research is to target the damaging aspects of neutrophil function, specifically their excessive free radical production. The absence of neutrophils in chronic tendinopathies has led researchers and clinicians to question the inflammatory basis of so called “tendinitis.”

Monocytes and macrophages

Macrophages and monocytes are more predominant during the later stages of inflammation repair; by 24–48 hours they are the most prominent cell type, along with lymphocytes by day 5. Macrophages represent a diverse cell population in injured tissues, originating from the proliferation of resident macrophages (including various differentiated types: osteoclasts, type A synovial cells, Kupffer cells, etc) or the invasion and differentiation of peripheral blood monocytes. Macrophages are recruited to injured sites by chemokines—for example, MCP1—which are released by activated endothelium and fibroblasts. Macrophages are activated by many signals, including TNFα and IL1, as well as by conditions in the injured tissue such as hypoxia. They are very versatile cells, with the ability to secrete more than 100 different products, including the bulk of the major proinflammatory mediators (NFκB, TNFα, IL1), thereby creating a positive feedback loop to amplify their response. Activated monocytes play an early role in coagulation, expressing tissue factor VII and prothrombinase on their surface. They are involved in phagocytosis and extracellular matrix destruction through the activity of MMPs (1, 3, 9, 10, 12), but also secrete a broad spectrum of growth factors required for repair, including both proangiogenic—for example, bFGF, VEGF—and antiangiogenic—for example, interferon α, thrombospondin 1—factors. MMP3 is able to cleave decorin and thereby liberate bound stores of TGFβ from the extracellular matrix, providing a profibrotic stimulus to fibroblasts. In addition to their major role in directing repair, macrophages also secrete factors required for tissue regeneration.

Macrophages are prominent during the acute and chronic stages of many injuries common in sports, including those affecting ligament, bursa, synovium, muscle, and intervertebral disc. The tendons, macrophages are prominent in the acute stage of tendon injury, and can be observed actively phagocytosing collagenous and cellular debris. However, they are quite scarce in surgical biopsies of tendinosis and even tendon rupture.

T lymphocytes

T lymphocytes are predominant in the remodelling phase of injury. By 14 days, they are the most prominent cell type in
wounds. Like fibroblasts, T lymphocytes proliferate in response to IL1. T lymphocytes are recruited to injured areas primarily by interferon γ inducible protein 10 and monokine induced by interferon γ (Mig), which are both secreted by macrophages and which bind to the T lymphocyte CXCR receptors. T lymphocytes are capable of producing and exporting the potent angiogenic and fibrogenic factor, bFGF. Depletion of T lymphocytes delays healing, reduces collagen secretion, and results in more fragile scar tissue. Treatments that impair or deplete T lymphocytes include corticosteroids and cyclosporin, which delay and inhibit wound healing. Like macrophages, T lymphocytes are present in injured soft tissues. They are particularly prominent in bursal biopsies in patients with chronic rotator cuff pathology, in which synovial and vascular hyperplasia are prominent.

**Fibroblasts**

The proliferation of fibroblasts and the secretion of increased amounts of provisional matrix and scar tissue are hallmarks of the later repair processes. Fibroblasts migrate into the fibrin clot that is created in areas of extravasation in inflammation, and these fibroblasts synthesise a versican and hyaluronan rich matrix. Fibroblast activation in the area of the former clot may be partially attributable to the activity of clot associated proteases, including plasmin, through the protease activated receptor system. A number of chemokines and growth factors are probably localised to provisional matrix by their affinity for glycosaminoglycans, and these include MCP-3, SDF-1, connective tissue growth factor, and IL8, and it is in the provisional matrix that myofibroblasts express the gene for type I collagen and begin their synthesis or release of PGE2. Initially, this line of evidence pointed to a possible alternative way of activating the best characterised, early function of mast cells after injury is the thrombin induced, histamine mediated increase in vascular permeability, with increased oedema and fibrin deposition. When mast cells degranulate, they release tryptase which, with thrombin, activates the protease activated receptor 2 on other mast cells, as well as sensory neurones. This activation of mast cells by thrombin or tryptase results in further degranulation, contributing to a cascade of mast cell activation.

In addition to their early roles, mast cells play increasingly recognised roles throughout inflammation and repair, being capable of secreting a broad range of reparative mediators and enzymes including TNFa, IL4, IL6, bFGF, TGFβ, PDGF, tryptase, and MMP9. Mast cells are recruited to sites of injury in increasing numbers (up to fivefold) by MCP1, where they are activated by hypoxia or inflammatory mediators such as PGE2 or TNFa. Among the many mast cell products, NGF is interesting given the close anatomical association between mast cells and sensory nerve endings, and the ability of NGF to cause pain and axonal sprouting. Mast cells also accumulate near sites of angiogenesis, for example after tendon rupture. Most of their angiogenic capacity has been attributed to tryptase, which can directly induce endothelial cells to proliferate, migrate, and align into nascent capillary tubes. Because abnormal nerve and vessel growth into herniated discs has been observed in cases of chronic low back pain, it has been hypothesised that the mast cell may be responsible.

**Neuroines**

Peripheral nerve cells can influence all of the above inflammatory cell types. Many of their effects are mediated by vasoactive neuropeptides. The two best known of these, substance P (SP) and calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP), are synthesised in the dorsal root ganglia within the cell bodies of pain sensitive neurones (small myelinated (Aδ: type III) or large unmyelinated (C: type IV)). After synthesis, these neuropeptides are transported to the axon terminals where they are stored in vesicles. Several factors and enzymes that are present in injured tissues contribute to the release of SP and CGRP, including mast cell tryptase and bradykinin.

SP is emerging as a central player in neurogenic inflammation and repair. It can activate NK1 receptors on endothelial cells, causing (a) increased production of NO and (b) angiogenesis. SP can enhance inflammatory cell recruitment by upregulating P-selectin on endothelial cells and by chemoattracting neutrophils and macrophages. In macrophages, SP stimulates the production of arachidonic acid, IL1, and IL6. SP also stimulates lymphocytes and fibroblasts to proliferate. Mast cells respond to SP and CGRP by degranulating. The importance of SP in tendon and ligament healing is highlighted by the fact that denervation results in delayed healing, whereas normal injured tissues...
are characterised by increased numbers of SP-containing neurones during the first few weeks of healing.94 95

INFLAMMATION: A DIAGNOSTIC DILEMMA
To provide an interim summary, we emphasise that the concept “inflammation” encompasses an extraordinary complexity of cellular responses. For clarity we described the cell types involved in turn (from the early injury process to its later stages), but the biological reality is that the cells are present in various combinations. Thus, in any one clinical scenario, particular mediators and cell types may play greater or lesser roles. Dogma has suggested that the level of pain correlates highly with the underlying presence of inflammatory cells,96 but this diagnostic relation has not been borne out by biopsy or biochemical studies, particularly in chronic musculoskeletal disorders. There is not always an obvious link between inflammation visible under the microscope or measured biochemically on the one hand and that clinically apparent and characterised by the original cardinal signs on the other. Thus the clinical “diagnosis” of inflammation is insufficient rationale for treatment.

MEASUREMENT OF INFLAMMATION IN CLINICAL RESEARCH
In clinical research, measuring inflammation has generally relied on subjective scales addressing pain, swelling, redness or on gross functional measures and volumetric measures of swelling.97 It is very difficult for clinical researchers to determine the roles of specific cellular processes in clinical populations. Biopsy tissue is rarely available in most conservatively managed patients, and measurement of cytokines in blood and urine is limited because it is virtually impossible to identify the main sources and targets of the measured mediator. An interesting approach is to radiolabel white blood cell populations before exercise so that white blood cell accumulation can be detected radiologically in injured tissues. This approach confirmed the presence of neutrophils in human skeletal muscle after eccentric exercise, but low sensitivity and expense limits its usefulness in clinical research. Another approach has been to insert fine microdialysis catheters directly into the tissue of interest.98–100 This more sensitive but invasive technique offers the exciting possibility of detecting mediators of pain, inflammation, and repair in vivo. It has been used to show a lack of raised PGE2 concentration in the tendons of patients with chronic patellar, mid-portion Achilles, or extensor carpi radialis brevis tendinopathies.99–101 Perhaps future microdialysis studies will examine other mediators and other challenging pain syndromes of unknown pathophysiology.

IMPACT OF THE CONTEMPORARY MODEL ON CURRENT ANTI-INFLAMMATORY TREATMENTS
In this section we discuss current treatment options in the light of the data reviewed above. This remains a challenging area in which the indications and expected benefits are far from evidence based in many cases.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitors
NSAIDs have been in use in various forms for more than 3500 years, and remain one of the most popular classes of drugs today. Each year about 120 billion aspirin tablets are consumed worldwide,102 and, in older people, contribute to an estimated 100 000 hospital admissions and 16 500 deaths each year from NSAID related complications of the gastrointestinal tract.103 Clearly, the use of NSAIDs must be carefully justified.

NSAIDs are a diverse group of compounds that share the property of being able to inhibit one or more isoforms of COX.104 COX is one of two enzymes that degrades arachidonic acid (the other is lipooxygenase). Currently there are three known isoforms: COX1, COX2, and COX3. COX catalyzes the rate limiting step in the formation of prostaglandins and thromboxane. COX1 has traditionally been considered the constitutively expressed, “housekeeping” isoform, responsible for maintenance of gastrointestinal viability and platelet aggregation. However, COX2 is now known also to be constitutively expressed in the spinal cord.105 COX2, in contrast with COX1, is usually considered the inducible form of the enzyme. Activation of inflammatory cells, especially macrophages, results in upregulation of COX2 expression with a corresponding increase in prostaglandins and thromboxanes. Most NSAIDs inhibit COX1 and COX2 equally.106–108 This lack of specificity for the COX1 and COX2 isoforms is thought to account for the high level of gastrointestinal related problems from long term NSAID use.109–111 NSAIDs also decrease clotting ability, as all of the thromboxane synthesised by platelets is produced by COX1. Clinicians must remember that NSAIDs may alleviate pain directly, not only by reducing peripheral levels of proinflammatory mediators.105 They can reduce concentrations of prostaglandins in the central nervous system, in addition to limiting pain peripherally by reducing the ability of prostaglandins to sensitize nerves—that is, lower their threshold to painful stimulus.106 COX3 is abundantly expressed in the central nervous system and is a preferential target of acetaminophen, which may explain why acetaminophen is less able to reduce peripheral inflammation.106 COX3 activity is also inhibited by aspirin, diclofenac, and ibuprofen.106

NSAIDs and specific COX2 inhibitors are commonly used to treat many acute soft tissue injuries. Whether NSAIDs and COX inhibitors affect tissue healing positively or negatively is a very important question for clinicians to examine. Understanding the complexity and breadth of inflammation reinforces that NSAIDs do not influence a single pathway or cell type. It may be most appropriate to reserve prescription for those conditions in which they have been shown to be effective, rather than assume naively that “inflammation” is a problem and that an “anti-inflammatory” drug is the solution. Unfortunately for patients and doctors, most NSAID trials for soft tissue healing have reported no significant differences in long term outcomes.97 Some laboratory studies have detected small positive107 or negative108 differences in the restoration of tissue function (surrogate outcome measures). Dahners et al102 found that collagen synthesis and mechanical strength in healing ligaments was improved during piroxicam treatment, although the outcome was the same as in the controls. Specific COX2 inhibitors now on the market may avoid the side effects of COX1 inhibition, and have been validated in acutely injured and surgical sports medicine populations.110 Stovitz and Johnson110 have pointed out several potential problems associated with prescribing NSAIDs in various sports medicine conditions.

Corticosteroids
Corticosteroids have been used for many years with the aim of treating sport induced inflammation.111 They exert their inhibitory effects by binding to intracellular receptors found in most cell types of the body. The corticosteroid-receptor complex translocates to the nucleus and alters (usually suppresses) transcriptional activity—for example, suppressing COX2112 and collagen synthesis.113 This suppresses the production of most cytokines and inflammatory cell function.114 Neurogenic inflammation may be affected by the ability of corticosteroids to prevent the upregulation of CGRP mRNA.115 An inhibitor of phospholipase, lipocortin, is upregulated in cells exposed to corticosteroids, blocking the release of arachidonic acid from the cell membrane.112 The
serious side effects of corticosteroids, including tendon rupture and cartilage degeneration, were recognised a few years after they first came into use in the 1950s. Judicious use of corticosteroids has been reported anecdotally to accelerate recovery of acute hamstring strains, with no reported side effects or functional deficits.116 This review highlights that the multifactorial nature of inflammation should warn against oversimplifying the role of corticosteroids. Clinical treatment should rely on studies that show clinically relevant outcomes, rather than attributing likelihood of success to assumptions that there is a biological rationale for their effectiveness.

**Physical modalities**

Various modalities have been ostensibly to “reduce inflammation” over the years. Is there evidence to support these claims? Ice is widely used in sports medicine. When used directly after injury, its beneficial effects are thought to result from the slowing of metabolism in cooled tissues with a resultant decrease in secondary, hypoxic injury. Given the contemporary model of inflammation proposed here, tissue cooling may also have numerous other effects on cellular outcomes. Other physical modalities are less well established. Although ultrasound treatment has no documented anti-inflammatory action,121 it causes fibroblast proliferation in injured skeletal muscle130 and enhances angiogenesis and bone deposition in healing fractures.131 These effects may be due in part to the increased expression of PDGF by endothelium and osteoblasts subjected to ultrasound.120 Therapeutic laser inhibits inflammation, although problems in standardising the dosage of laser delivered make any interpretation of this literature very difficult. Low level laser treatment decreases PGE2 concentrations by inhibiting COX2 in cell cultures.121 In the rat paw model of carrageenan induced inflammation, laser treatment inhibited oedema formation but less effectively than indomethacin.122 In patients with tennis elbow, a double blind, randomised controlled trial of low level laser produced a small improvement in pain and improved grip strength after eight treatments, but the authors concluded that laser alone was not a recommended treatment.123 High voltage pulsed current is widely used in many sports medicine clinics to decrease post-traumatic oedema, although no clinical trials in sports medicine populations exist to our knowledge. There are promising reports that this treatment can reduce chronic hand oedema in patients with repetitive wrist injuries124 125 and chronic effusions in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee,126 in line with the findings from animal models of reduced inflammatory oedema and protein extravasation after this treatment.127–129 These data suggest that clinicians may need to limit claims about the effectiveness of modalities to those settings in which randomised controlled trials have shown clinical effectiveness. Speculating that the mechanisms may be due to “reducing inflammation” would be criticised by methodologists as generalising beyond the available evidence.

**PERSPECTIVE AND NEW TREATMENT HORIZONS**

This review has emphasised the complexity of inflammation, and has presented a model in which cells mount an integrated response to injury, modifying their behaviour according to their interactions with neighbouring cells and their extracellular environment. However, this molecular system is far from perfect. Cell types with divergent goals can, in their zeal to efficiently sterilise and patch up an injured area, interfere with one another’s programmes—and thereby compromise the overall goal of restoring a perfectly healed structure. For example, the extraordinary repertoire of macrophages and their essential role in coordinating repair is in dramatic contrast with the highly destructive neutrophil. Current research seeks new treatments to inhibit neutrophil mediated tissue destruction. As another example, the propensity of fibroblasts to proliferate and quickly fill in a damaged area can often outpace the resident tissue cells’ attempts at regeneration; this is particularly the case in skeletal muscle.130 Until recently, it was believed that fibroplasia provided an essential connective tissue scaffold for lengthening myotubes.131 However, a novel injection treatment (suramin) has been shown in preclinical studies to inhibit TGFβ and fibroblast proliferation, and thereby improve muscle regeneration. This study effectively shows that fibroblast proliferation and deposition of extracellular matrix in injured muscle is a dispensable feature of the inflammation repair response in this setting.132 These are both examples of research that is targeting specific cellular responses as opposed to the entire inflammation repair response. Other novel treatments on the horizon for musculoskeletal injuries include modulation of the concentrations of nitric oxide,133–136 a multifunctional mediator of pain, inflammation, and tissue repair.

In summary, we highlight that inflammation is a complex phenomenon that is gradually becoming unravelled. Our review emphasises that it would be a gross oversimplification for clinicians to assume that painful sports related conditions are always due to inflammation and will necessarily benefit from anti-inflammatory medication. The complexity of the interactions outlined underscores that it is impossible to extrapolate from first principles as to whether NSAIDs, corticosteroids, and other treatments influence tissue healing for better or worse. NSAIDs can produce short term pain relief by influencing central and peripheral pain pathways, and these effects should not necessarily be attributed to successful inhibition of other events encompassed by the term inflammation. On the other hand, NSAIDs may influence tissue healing in a variety of harmful or beneficial ways. This means that there is a need for trials of NSAIDs, corticosteroids, and physical modalities with clinically relevant outcomes such as return to function and long term recovery. As surprising as it may seem, there has not been an abundance of high quality, adequately powered randomised studies to provide the clinician with strong evidence about the role of these treatments in common conditions.

**What is already known**

A large body of preclinical data on inflammation which has not previously been reviewed for the sports medicine reader is summarised. This is followed by a critical examination of mechanisms for established and emerging treatments of soft tissue sports injuries.

**What this study adds**

This paper is intended as a tutorial and update on inflammation, a concept used many times daily (often uncritically) by sports medicine practitioners. We present a contemporary of inflammation as a complex cascade of molecular and cellular events. We hope our model will be thought provoking for journal readers.
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