Lumbar spine region pathology and hamstring and calf injuries in athletes: is there a connection?
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This paper discusses the theory that subtle lumbosacral canal impingement of the L5 nerve root may be a relatively common occurrence in older footballers and may in fact be a common underlying basis for the age related predisposition towards hamstring and calf strains.

The Australian Football League (AFL) injury survey, over more than a decade, has shown that common lower limb soft tissue injuries that involve L5 and S1 nerve supply have a clear correlation with increasing player age. However, similar injuries involving the L2–L4 nerve supply are not related to player age.

Table 1 shows how dramatic this bias is. It is presumed that muscles and tendons are more susceptible to injury as they age, but it is not clear why injuries to soft tissues with an L5 and S1 nerve supply have such a strong correlation with advancing age, whereas there is little or no correlation between age and the soft tissue injuries with an L2–L4 nerve supply.

Many clinicians subscribe to the concept of a “back related” hamstring injury (or more specifically lumbar spine related), although this is a controversial paradigm to researchers as no specific mechanism has ever been proven for such an injury. The lumbar spine related hamstring injury is considered to be an injury that presents clinically as a hamstring strain (usually with a sudden onset) but is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) negative. As the resolution of MRI scan improves, a greater proportion of posterior thigh injuries are proven by MRI to actually be hamstring muscle strains, although some suspected hamstring injuries still turn out to be MRI negative.

Many clinicians also believe that athletes with lumbar spine pathology have a greater predisposition to hamstring strains, although this has not been prospectively proven. Verrall et al showed that footballers with a history of lumbar spine injury had a higher rate of MRI negative posterior thigh injury, but not of actual hamstring strain. In a clinical setting, piriformis syndrome has been occasionally suspected of causing recurrent hamstring pain in athletes. Theoretically, any pathology relating to the lumbar spine, the lumbosacral nerve roots orplexus, or the sciatic nerve could result in hamstring or calf pain (among other symptoms).

It is known that back injuries are very common in elite athletes, particularly at the L5/S1 level. Figure 1, from a study by Ong et al, shows a bias towards lumbar degenerative changes occurring at L4/5 and L5/S1 levels, compared with the more proximal levels. The cohort in this study was 31 Olympic athletes aged 19–46, with two thirds in the 20–30 year age group. It is tempting to draw an association between the correlations with the findings related to L5 and S1 levels in table 1 and fig 1.

It is easy to appreciate that an acute disc prolapse at the L5/S1 level may present with hamstring and/or calf pain and limitations in flexibility, which may mimic a muscle strain. There is a further mechanism by which less acute L5/S1 pathology may potentially lead to symptoms (or possibly even strains) in the hamstring and calf muscles. Of all the nerve roots in the lumbosacral plexus, the L5 has the most tortured path through the lumbosacral canal and over the anterior superior ridge of the sacrum, after which it joins the sacral plexus. Briggs and Chandraraj dissected the lumbosacral ligament (an inconsistent extension of the iliolumbar ligament) in the pelvis, and showed a correlation in cadavers between L5/S1 degenerative changes and compression of the L5 nerve root by the lumbosacral ligament. The cadavers were aged between 60 and 90 years but varied in the relation of lumbosacral ligament to the nerve, with 9% showing nerve compression and visible flattening of the L5 nerve root by the ligament. The lumbosacral ligament and its propensity for extraforaminal entrapment of the L5 nerve root was first described by Nathan et al and by other anatomists since, with extraforaminal entrapment of the L5 nerve root actually first described in 1925.

The lumbosacral ligament, which is present to a degree in everyone, is visible on MRI scans in certain “normal” patients (using T1 axial scan on a 1.5 T scanner; fig 2) and not in others. It is difficult to assess whether this is because the ligament is atrophic or actually absent in some patients or because the resolution of 1.5 T scans is not high enough to properly assess the ligament in all patients. Although involving cadavers of average age much greater than that of the average athlete, the study of Briggs and Chandraraj suggests that the lumbosacral ligament may develop or hypertrophy in response to degenerative changes of the L5/S1 region.

It is possible that the anatomical configuration of a hypertrophied lumbosacral ligament is more clinically significant in older athletes with the common finding of L5/S1 degenerative disc changes. Perhaps, through subtle L5 nerve root entrapment, it is a factor in some of those players who find that they have recurrent hamstring and calf musculotendinous injuries despite regular...
preventive maintenance. This hypothesis is interesting as it provides potential additional treatment options to the athlete with recurrent hamstring, calf, and Achilles injuries, which are common in all football codes, track and field, racquet sports, and cricket.

To date we have already had positive experience (although not in the perspective of a controlled study) with imaging guided cortisone injections to the lumbosacral canal region (L5 nerve root) in athletes with peripheral symptoms, such as recurrent hamstring and calf pain. This is a relatively painless and complication free outpatient procedure with quick recovery. We have used this both for acute symptoms and as a preventive procedure in highly susceptible athletes (with a history of multiple muscle strains and pathological changes in the lumbar spine). The limitation of cortisone injections is that any relief from subtle nerve entrapment is only likely to be short term in most cases.

Unfortunately, the lumbosacral ligament is not easily accessible for surgical treatment. The best method for reaching it would probably be through an anterior approach with an abdominal laparoscope. This approach would be a posterolateral abdominal incision anterior to the quadratus lumborum. The lumbosacral canal is found between the iliac vessels and psoas. This type of surgery has recently been described by Matsumato et al.14 A posterior approach would be technically easier, but would involve far more surgical trauma of muscles and probably bone (the sacral ala). It remains to be seen whether it would be technically easy to divide the ligament to free an entrapped L5 nerve root, and whether this procedure would reduce the risk of hamstring or calf injury in a susceptible athlete without causing side effects. There are major possible complications of surgery including potential for damage to the iliac vessels and L5 nerve root itself and potential for L5/S1 segment instability (if the lumbosacral ligament develops as a critical stabiliser of the L5 vertebra).

Confirming the diagnosis of subtle extraforaminal L5 nerve entrapment, using non-invasive methods, remains problematic. Although MRI scan resolution is improving all the time and can currently isolate structures in the region that may possibly cause entrapment (such as a thick band of the lumbosacral ligament), it probably cannot demonstrate the signs of a nerve entrapment in this region, such as a thinning of the nerve diameter as it passes underneath the ligament. Neurodiagnostic tests may potentially be helpful, but electromyography and nerve conduction changes may only be present after exercise, and even if positive, would have great difficulty in distinguishing between entrapment at the neural foramen, in the lumbosacral canal, or by tight muscles in the gluteal region.

Table 1  Injury prevalence (missed games per team per season) by player age, Australian Football League (reprinted with permission from Sport Health)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve supply</th>
<th>Injury category</th>
<th>Injury prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local L1–L4 nerve supply</td>
<td>Lumbar and thoracic spine injuries</td>
<td>4.5 4.0 7.2 11.5 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groin strains and osteitis pubis</td>
<td>12.0 10.8 12.9 8.8 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quadriceps strains</td>
<td>5.7 7.6 6.5 5.6 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knee and patella tendon injuries</td>
<td>1.6 2.5 2.7 1.4 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5–S1 nerve supply</td>
<td>Hamstring strains</td>
<td>15.0 17.8 24.6 27.3 32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calf strains</td>
<td>1.8 2.2 6.5 10.1 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Achilles tendon injuries</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 2.7 2.2 5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1  Lumbar disc height. Grade 1, mildly reduced; grade 2, grossly reduced. Reproduced from Ong et al with permission.

Figure 2  Lumbosacral ligament (marked by four black dots) and its relation to the L5 nerve root (marked by three small white dots) at the level of L5/S1 disc (T1 axial view).
This brief report prompts us to consider lower lumbar pathology as a source of hamstring and calf problems in people over 30 years of age. Although many of us have thought this way for a while, this is the first evidence to support this concept. This report may raise more questions than it provides answers and certainly invites future studies aimed at risk factor detection and intervention for these kinds of problems.
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