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Objective: To outline the current practice in the management of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries in the United Kingdom.

Methods: A postal questionnaire designed to include various clinical scenarios was sent out to the 321 orthopaedic surgeons in the United Kingdom who, being affiliated to one of the specialist societies of the British Orthopaedic Association, namely the British Association for Surgery of the Knee (BASK) or the British Orthopaedic Sports Trauma Association (BOSTA), have a manifested interest in treating such injuries.

Results: The response rate was 60% (192/321). Most surgeons diagnose and operate on less than 50 ACL injuries a year. The following results were obtained: 58% (76/132) use bone-patellar tendon-bone autografts, whereas 33% (44/132) use semitendinosis/gracilis autografts; 84% (108/129) would not incorporate the ACL remnant in the reconstruction; 14% (19/135) would perform an ACL reconstruction in an 8 year old child with an acute rupture; 30% (42/141) would perform an ACL reconstruction in an 8 year old with an acute ACL rupture.

Conclusions: There is wide variation in the management of acute and chronic ACL injuries among orthopaedic surgeons in the British Isles. Future research and randomised controlled trials should address the issues that this investigation has raised.

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are common sports injuries and one of the most commonly treated conditions of the knee in the young.1 Sports such as soccer and skiing account for most of these injuries in the United Kingdom. Although ACL injuries are common, there is still considerable variation in their management. If left untreated, this may lead to functional instability, secondary osteoarthritis, and increased risk of meniscal injuries.2 3 There is controversy about the optimum methods of diagnosis, management, type of surgical procedure, and factors influencing surgical decision making.4 5 There are several graft choices for surgical reconstruction of the ACL. Bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft has been the most commonly used, but the use of semitendinosus and gracilis autograft is increasing. Although use of synthetic substances for ACL reconstruction has largely ceased, synthetic augmentation is still occasionally carried out.6 7

We felt that a national survey would help to establish the current practice of orthopaedic surgeons in the United Kingdom. This, in turn, may lead to the development of a unified approach towards the management of these injuries based on available evidence, and may also identify areas in which further research is needed. We surveyed 321 surgeons from the United Kingdom who, being affiliated to a specialist society of the British Orthopaedic Association, namely the British Association for Surgery of the Knee (BASK) or the British Orthopaedic Sports Trauma Association (BOSTA), have a manifested interest in treating such injuries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A questionnaire consisting of six sections (a copy of which can be found at www.bjsportmed.com/supplemental) was sent to the surveyed population. It was based on the questionnaire used by Mirza et al.8 The survey was designed according to recommendations on survey design by Dillman.9 Section 1 related to the diagnosis of acute haemarthrosis. Sections 2, 3, and 4 consisted of scenarios of ACL injury in acute, chronic, and failed conservative treatment setting. Section 5 consisted of various factors involved in decision making for ACL surgery. Section 6 consisted of data on the surgeon’s workload, the higher surgical training scheme they had attended, and whether and where they had completed a fellowship.

The survey was mailed to the 321 orthopaedic surgeons in the United Kingdom who were members of the British Orthopaedic Association and belonged to one of two specialist societies, BASK and BOSTA. The addresses were obtained from the British Orthopaedic Association Handbook of 2000. The surgeons who did not respond to the first mailing were sent reminders at six weeks, and the remainder were sent faxed copies after a further six week interval. The results were scanned using Teleform (Cardiff Software) and were analysed using SPSS for windows (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

The ACL injury scenarios presented in the survey were as follows.

- Scenario 1: A 22 year old university soccer player, with a five day old mid-substance tear of the ACL. There are no symptoms of a meniscal tear. He wishes to continue playing competitive soccer.
- Scenario 2: A 22 year old university soccer player with a ruptured ACL is seen one year after injury. There are no signs of a meniscal injury. The patient wants to continue playing competitive soccer.

Abbreviation: ACL, anterior cruciate ligament
The response rate was 60% (192/321). Twelve surgeons did not complete the questionnaire either because they no longer provided a knee service or they had retired. Most of the respondents (92%; 166/180) would investigate a haemarthrosis and follow those patients on their own, and the remainder would refer them to another surgeon. Most of the latter gave the reason for referring the patient as being involved chiefly with knee arthroplasty work. Some 44% (78/180) encountered less than 50 such injuries per year, and 73% (131/180) perform less than 50 ACL reconstructions per year. After determining the diagnosis of an acute ACL injury, 83% (150/180) would treat the patient themselves. Only 55% (94/170) of the respondents had completed a fellowship. Some 43% (75/173) were attached to a teaching institution.

Acute haemarthrosis was predominantly diagnosed with the help of history, clinical examination, and plain radiographs. A few of the surgeons (2%) use arthrocentesis. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was routinely employed by 7% (12/180) of surgeons; 6% use it in private practice only, because of delays encountered in obtaining an MRI scan in the NHS. Most (63%; 114/180) would use MRI as a diagnostic tool if there was a possibility of associated damage to the menisci. Only 14% (25/180) of the surgeons routinely use arthroscopy for diagnosis of ACL injuries, whereas 71% (127/180) would use it in the presence of an acutely locked knee.

### SCENARIOS

In the first scenario of a 22 year old man with an acute ACL rupture, 58% (89/154) of surgeons would recommend ACL reconstruction, whereas 24% (36/154) would treat the patient with rehabilitation and bracing. Another 18% (29/154) would advise rehabilitation for 5–12 weeks, followed by a reassessment. Of those who do recommend surgery, 30% (39/128) would operate when the patient has full range of movement of the knee even if this meant immediate surgery; 50% (65/128) would delay surgery even if the patient has full range of movement, and 8% (10/128) would operate irrespective of range of movement. A majority (57%; 76/132) of the surgeons preferred to use bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft, whereas 33% (44/132) would use semitendinosus and gracilis autograft. Only 1.5% (2/129) would attempt surgical repair. Ligament augmentation is not used by 97% (126/129) of surgeons; 6% use it in private practice only, because of delays encountered in obtaining an MRI scan in the NHS. Most (63%; 114/180) would use MRI as a diagnostic tool if there was a possibility of associated damage to the menisci. Only 14% (25/180) of the surgeons routinely use arthroscopy for diagnosis of ACL injuries, whereas 71% (127/180) would use it in the presence of an acutely locked knee.

#### SCENARIOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male Athlete</th>
<th>Female Athlete</th>
<th>Non-Athlete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 years old</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 years old child</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45 years old</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Effect of patient factors on the decision to perform anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction.
by 14% of the surgeons, as arthroscopy is increasingly believed to have a therapeutic rather than diagnostic role in the management of ACL injuries. Over half (55%) of the surgeons would delay surgery for a certain period of time even if the patient had a full range of movement. This would be in line with the present published evidence suggesting that patients are more likely to get arthrofibrosis if operated on in the first one to two weeks after injury. However, 31% of the surgeons would operate on the basis of the patient having full range of movement even if it meant operating immediately. The vast majority of surgeons do not use any ligament augmentation. Some (16%) incorporate the remnants of the ACL stump, the most common reasons being supposed help with revascularisation and possible help with proprioception. This may represent the trend in the debate over the benefits and drawbacks of using the ACL remnants in the reconstruction. There is currently no conclusive evidence to suggest that one technique is better than the other. The sex of the patient does not alter the management, with a similar percentage of surgeons opting for ACL reconstruction for a similarly aged female patient.

There is considerable variation in the management of ACL injuries in children and adolescents. Only 14% of the surgeons who responded to the questionnaire would operate on an 8 year old with an acute ACL rupture; 32% would wait until the physes have closed, and 33% would treat these patients conservatively. In an adolescent patient with an acute ACL injury, 30% would operate soon, 30% would wait until the physes are closed, and 40% would treat with rehabilitation and/or braces. Only 15% would operate on an 8 year old with chronic ACL rupture. This is probably because of the potential risk of injury to the growth plate resulting in growth disturbance. Some of the recently published studies, on the other hand, suggest that children with ACL deficient knees tend to do considerably worse with conservative treatment. Most surgeons would not recommend surgery in the presence of severe joint space narrowing. Anatomical malalignment is not considered by 59% to be important in decisions about surgery.

Although this was a nationwide survey of ACL injury management practice in the United Kingdom, this study has some limitations. Only the BOA members who are also members of one of the specialist societies related to knee surgery—BOSTA and BASK members—were sent this survey. We do not know whether this population provides a true representation of the current practice of ACL injury management in United Kingdom. The response rate was 60%, which should be accepted as a reasonable sample of the population surveyed. The non-responders were not in any known way different from the responders.

A similar study was performed in Canada in 1996. The results of these two studies are comparable. There are, however, some differences. For example, we found a lower rate of ACL stump incorporation (16% v 40%) and a lower recommendation for surgery in children with acute ACL ruptures (14% v 30%). The continued use of arthroscopy as a purely diagnostic tool needs to be reviewed. This survey provides an interesting insight into the management of ACL injuries in the United Kingdom and in some cases reasons for certain practices. These data should not be misconstrued as recommended practice. In particular, we stress that current or most up to date practice is not necessarily good practice. For example, the indications for reconstruction of the ACL are still not well codified, and there is a considerable difference between North America, Australia, Continental Europe, and the United Kingdom. In North America, Australia, and Continental Europe, the indication for operative reconstruction seems to be more and more the tear of the ACL—that is, just the anatomical lesion—whereas functional instability—that is, the symptom produced by a torn ACL and present in only a proportion of patients with a torn ACL—is probably a more appropriate indication for surgery. This study may be extended to other English-speaking nations to collate data on management of these injuries and it may be repeated to assess the effects of new evidence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many thanks are given to Ms Lisa Horne, Department of Clinical Audit, North Staffordshire Hospital, for her help in drafting and analysing the questionnaires.

Authors’ affiliations

B Kapoor, D J Clement, N Maffulli, Keele University School of Medicine, Harthill, UK

A Kirkley, University of Western Ontario, Canada

REFERENCES

Current practice in the management of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in the United Kingdom
B Kapoor, D J Clement, A Kirkley and N Maffulli

doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2002.002568

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/38/5/542

These include:

**Supplementary Material**
Supplementary material can be found at:
http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/suppl/2004/09/29/38.5.542.DC1

**References**
This article cites 11 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at:
http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/38/5/542#BIBL

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/