WARM UP 565

WARM UP

## Take nothing but pictures, leave nothing but footprints...?

P McCrory

Since the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in 1992, population growth and increases in consumption in many parts of the world have added to humanity's ecological burden on the planet without a corresponding increase in the Earth's natural resources. The World Wildlife Fund's Living Planet Report 2004 noted that humanity's ecological footprint grew to exceed the Earth's biological carrying capacity by 20%.¹

Based on the relationship between humanity and the biosphere, an ecological footprint (EF) is a measurement of the land area required to sustain a population of any size. This methodology was first described in 1992 by Drs William Rees and Mathis Wackernagel at the University of British Columbia in Canada.<sup>2</sup>

Under prevailing technology, an EF measures the amount of arable land and aquatic resources that must be used to continuously sustain a population, based on its consumption levels at a given point in time. This measurement incorporates water and energy use, us of land for infrastructure and diffe forms of agriculture and forests, and an other forms of energy and "inputs" that people require in their day to day lives. It also acc land area required for ste a tion. Obviously, the size of an Elvary depending on tonatural resource consumed by a pulation, which in on lifestyle choices, turn will depe nd techn income levels

easure t an individual EFs can countries level, for cities the nber of⊿ entire planet. A bsites estima our .dividual tp://www. for e. nple, ht.com/. bonfootr

At a city of a medical bedramatic. At a city of the city itself. For a typical with American city with a population of 650 000, it would require 30 000 km² of land to meet domestic needs alone without even including the environmental demands of industry. In comparison, a city of a similar size in India would require 2800 km². At a national level, calculations of EFs involve complex modelling.³

EF analysis can also be used for specific activities, or to measure the ecological requirements of producing specific goods or services. One area where this technique has been applied is in sporting events.

Professor Andrea Collins of Cardiff University in the UK and her colleague looked at the EF of the 2004 soccer Cup final, held at Cardiff's Millen Stadium.4-6 Energy consumption w verted into the area of forest needed to soak up the carbon dioxide generated in its production, and food approprion was represented as the count of farmland needed to make it. I is method gave the match an EF of 30 hectares. More than half of the EF was e to transport. The 73 000 supporters ctively travelled nearly 42 million k Fewer than nam grareach the velled by car, ut carerated 68% of otprint the transport travelled by b in ad, the footprint werld have been 9 hectares smaller. the seco \ largest contributor, weighin in at 13 hectares for the nacks cons med. This could d: for example, subpoter ng all the beef with chicken would taken 428 hectares off the footprint. upact of waste disposal, at 146 hectal was low, and recycling would have trimmed the EF by a further 39

The footprint is a useful management ol to assess the effect of activities and, it is hoped, may highlight the need to instigate measures to reduce the impact. Although there are problems related to the assumptions used to calculate EFs, nevertheless the principle would appear to be useful.

Ever since the 1994 Winter Olympics in Lillehammer, the organisers of major sports events have been challenged to reduce the harmful environmental effects of their events.

The 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany is an example where EFs are used, and various energy efficiency and carbon offset schemes are being put in place to minimise this effect. FIFA are to be congratulated on leading the way forward for sport on this issue.

Owing to the size and scope of the 2006 FIFA World Cup, the emissions

estimate is that approximately 250 000 tonnes of greenhouse gases will be produced. Each World Cup game will also use between 2 and 3 million kilowatt hours of energy, and each match will generate 5 to 10 tonnes of waste. By comparison, some estimates indicate that the 2004 Athens Olympic Games generated half a million to the greenhouse gases of the pop of what would normally have be generated.

Major events on harm the environment by, among or negative eff swhich may not neces ily be reflected in an EF callysis:

- choges in larguse and but estruction of nature environments through buting of struction, and domain of sical development;
- the consumer of non-renewable resources;
- vissions to soil, air, and water, and the eneration of large amounts of wash
- contributing to ozone depletion, global warming, and air pollution;
- diminishing biodiversity.

There is now overwhelming evidence and justification for the need for all negative impacts to be examined and either eliminated, reduced, or, in relation to carbon emissions, offset. Examples of good and best practices in a variety of situations, both sporting and otherwise, are now plentiful. Developing nations for whom technology or finance may be a barrier, such as South Africa where the 2010 World Cup Football competition will be staged, should receive the necessary financial assistance from global public and private donors to ensure that this occurs.

Br J Sports Med 2006;**40**:565. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2006.029231

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 World Wildlife Fund. Living Planet Report 2004, http://www.panda.org/news\_facts/publications/ key\_publications/living\_planet\_report/index.cfm (accessed 1 Jun 2006).
- Wackernagel M, Rees W. Our ecological footprint: reducing human impact on the earth. Gabriola Island, BC: New Society Publishers, 1996.
- Island, BC: New Society Publishers, 1996.

  3 Lenzen M, Murray SA. A modified ecological footprint method and its application to Australia. Ecol Econ 2001;37:229–55.
- 4 Collins A, Flynn A, Munday M, et al. Exploring the environmental consequences of major sporting events: the 2003/04 FA Cup Final. Theoretical Advances in Tourism Economics 18–19 Mar 2005. Portugal: University of Evora.
   5 Collins A, Flynn A. The ecological footprint and
- 5 Collins A, Flynn A. The ecological footprint and the FA Cup Final. Presented at SPRU-CENTRIM Freeman Centre Seminar Series 6 May 2005, Sussex University, Brighton.
- Sussex University, Brighton.
  Copley J. Sports fans leave a giant footprint. New Sci 16 Apr, 2005:11.
  Chambers N, Simmons C, Wackernagel M.
- Sharing Nature's interest: ecological footprints as an indicator of sustainability. London, Earthscan, 2000 (see also http://www.ecologicalfootprint.com).

## Retraction: Take nothing but pictures, leave nothing but footprints...?

McCrory P. Take nothing but pictures, leave nothing but footprints...?. Br J Sports Med 2006;40:565.

This article has been retracted due to plagiarism of the following material:

Producing environmentally sustainable olympic games and 'greening' major public events. Available: https://www.globalurban.org/GUDMag06Vol2Iss1/Roper.htm [Accessed Mar 2006]

What is an ecological footprint? Archived copy - What is an ecological footprint? (Archived). Available: https://www.gdrc.org/uem/footprints/what-is-ef.html [Accessed Dec 2003]

Sports events leave a giant 'ecological footprint' | New Scientist. Available: https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn7274-sports-events-leave-a-giant-ecological-footprint/ [Accessed 16 Apr 2005]

We would like to acknowledge the preliminary work of Nick Brown in investigating publications by Dr Paul McCrory and thank him for bringing these concerns to our attention.

During 2021 and 2022 there was an investigation by *British Journal of Sports Medicine* and BMJ which found that some of McCrory's work was the product of publication misconduct. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* published a summary of the investigation.<sup>1</sup>

## References

1. Update on the investigation into the publication record of former BJSM editor-in-chief Paul McCrory *Br J Sports Med* doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-106408

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ. Br J Sports Med 2022;**0**:1. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2006.029231ret



