Chronic exertional compartment syndrome testing: a minimalist approach
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INTRODUCTION

Intracompartmental pressure testing is considered the ‘gold standard’ for investigating chronic exertional compartment syndrome (CECS) and is the primary investigation used to decide on whether to proceed with surgical intervention. It involves getting patients to reproduce their symptoms of exercise-related pain,1 and then inserting a pressure manometer into the symptomatic compartment and recording the intracompartmental pressure.

DO BOTH LEGS NEED TO BE MEASURED?

In confirmed cases of CECS, symptoms are bilateral in 75–90% of the time, and clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion in patients presenting with unilateral symptoms for other diagnoses such as vascular insufficiency.2 If symptoms are unilateral, then clearly only the symptomatic leg requires testing.
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METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES

The physiology of compartment pressure measurements is complex and spurious compartment pressure measurements are likely to result unless the water manometer or pressure transducer is inserted in parallel to muscle fibres and away from the musculotendinous junction.3

DO RESTING PRESSURES NEED TO BE MEASURED ROUTINELY?

We do not recommend routine investigation of all four compartments and in our experience, patients will typically present with symptoms in one or perhaps two compartments. Rarely will the ‘entire leg’ (ie, all four compartments) display symptoms suggestive of CECS. A thorough work up of patients before the test will help to eliminate other potential coexisting causes of exertional leg pain that may confound symptoms4 (like medial tibial stress syndrome coexisting with anterolateral CECS).

Testing asymptomatic compartments increases the number of needle insertions and as such the risk of complications. We concede that it is possible for a patient to develop symptoms in previously unaffected compartments post-fasciotomy, but we are not aware of any studies that record the likelihood of this occurring. Likewise, it would be difficult to justify invasive surgery on a compartment with positive pressure results, but which is asymptomatic during exercise.

DO ALL COMPARTMENTS NEED TO BE MEASURED?

In our experience, it is the anterior compartment that causes symptoms, and thus it is this compartment that is routinely measured.

DO RESTING PRESSURES NEED TO BE MEASURED?

The normal resting compartment pressure is between 0 and 8 mm Hg.5 Exercise results in an increase in muscle volume of the magnitude of 8–20%,6 7 which results in increased pressure. The pathophysiology of CECS suggests that pain present at rest is not in keeping with CECS, which is, by definition, an exercise-related, reversible, myofascial intracompartmental pressure increase.6 Thus, the pain that is positional or present at rest is suggestive of another cause of leg pain.

As such, any protocol that limits or removes unnecessary needle insertion should be promoted.

WHY SHOULD WE AVOID UNNECESSARY NEEDLE INSERTION?

Although compartment pressure testing performed by experienced hands is generally considered to be safe, it is an invasive investigation and complications can occur. These complications can be significant, and potentially limb threatening, and include infection, haemorrhage, damage to neurovascular structures and the development of an acute compartment syndrome necessitating emergency fasciotomy. It follows that with an increased number of needles inserted, there is an increased risk of potential complication.

In addition, multiple needle insertions increase patient discomfort and add to the time required to perform the test, when much of the ‘critical’ information is gathered in the first 5 min after cessation of exercise.

As such, any protocol that limits or removes unnecessary needle insertion should be promoted.

DO BOTH LEGS NEED TO BE MEASURED?

In confirmed cases of CECS, symptoms are bilateral in 75–90% of the time, and clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion in patients presenting with unilateral symptoms for other diagnoses such as vascular insufficiency.2 If symptoms are unilateral, then clearly only the symptomatic leg requires testing.

We suggest (in cases of bilateral symptoms) that it is possible to investigate one limb only and to be able to safely conclude that a positive result indicates that CECS is present in the contralateral symptomatic compartment(s). The more symptomatic leg is tested, thus reducing the number of needle insertions.

WHY SHOULD WE AVOID UNNECESSARY NEEDLE INSERTION?

Although compartment pressure testing performed by experienced hands is generally considered to be safe, it is an invasive investigation and complications can occur. These complications can be significant, and potentially limb threatening, and include infection, haemorrhage, damage to neurovascular structures and the development of an acute compartment syndrome necessitating emergency fasciotomy. It follows that with an increased number of needles inserted, there is an increased risk of potential complication.

In addition, multiple needle insertions increase patient discomfort and add to the time required to perform the test, when much of the ‘critical’ information is gathered in the first 5 min after cessation of exercise.

As such, any protocol that limits or removes unnecessary needle insertion should be promoted.
before the test, and could even walking before the appointment affect pressure results?

Regarding the measurement of resting pressures, there is a ‘doubling’ in the number of needle insertions and an increased risk of complications. Thus, we suggest that measuring resting pressures is not necessary in the investigation of CECS.
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