Injury risk is low among world-class volleyball players: 4-year data from the FIVB Injury Surveillance System
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ABSTRACT
Background Little is known about the rate and pattern of injuries in international volleyball competition.

Objective To describe the risk and pattern of injuries among world-class players based on data from the The International Volleyball Federation (FIVB) Injury Surveillance System (ISS) (junior and senior, male and female).

Methods The FIVB ISS is based on prospective registration of injuries by team medical staff during all major FIVB tournaments (World Championships, World Cup, World Grand Prix, World League, Olympic Games). This paper is based on 4-year data (September 2010 to November 2014) obtained through the FIVB ISS during 32 major FIVB events (23 senior and 9 junior).

Results The incidence of time-loss injuries during match play was 3.8/1000 player hours (95% CI 3.0 to 4.5); this was greater for senior players than for junior players (relative risk: 2.04, 1.29 to 3.21), while there was no difference between males and females (1.04, 0.70 to 1.55). Across all age and sex groups, the ankle was the most commonly injured body part (25.9%), followed by the knee (15.2%), fingers/thumb (10.7%) and lower back (8.9%). Injury incidence was greater for centre players and lower for liberos than for other player functions; injury patterns also differed between player functions.

Conclusions Volleyball is a very safe sport, even at the highest levels of play. Preventive measures should focus on acute ankle and finger sprains, and overuse injuries in the knee, lower back and shoulder.

INTRODUCTION
Volleyball is assumed to be a safe sport compared to other team sports, such as football, handball and basketball, where frequent tackles and opponent contact is a part of the game.1–3 However, volleyball players may be at risk for injuries due to sport-specific tasks, such as jumping and landing, as well as spiking and blocking the ball. Two prospective one-season cohort studies from national divisions in Norway and the Netherlands have reported an incidence of three to four injuries per 1000 player hours during competition and one to two injuries per 1000 training hours.4–5 Similar estimates owner obtained from 16-year data on women’s volleyball from the National Collegiate Athletic Association injury surveillance programme.6

At the national level, injuries occur in a certain pattern.4–5 7–12 Although volleyball is seen as a non-contact game, where the two teams are separated by the net, the most frequent acute injury, an ankle sprain, is often the result of player contact, that is, when a blocker lands on the foot of an opposing attacker, or a teammate, close to the net.13 14 Volleyball players are also prone to acute finger sprains, which mainly occur in contact with the ball.15 16 Similar to other throwing athletes, shoulder problems may result from repetitive spiking and serving.17 and a high volume of jump training may cause knee problems.18–22 In addition, repetitive stress to the lower back may occur when hitting and during high-velocity jump serving.23

The International Volleyball Federation (FIVB) is committed to protecting the health of its athletes;24 women and men, as well as senior and junior players, and to prevent them from injuries. However, except for a perfunctory description based on the IOC surveillance programmes during the Olympic Games in Athens 2004, Beijing 2008 and London 2012,1–3 there are no data available from the highest level of play. The FIVB Injury Surveillance System (ISS) was therefore established in 2010, modelled on the IOC protocols.25 26 The FIVB ISS was designed to provide information about the rate and pattern of injuries in FIVB competitions and also offer directions for injury prevention. The ISS also represents a framework for monitoring long-term changes in the frequency and circumstances of injury.

The aim of the current study was to describe the risk and pattern of injuries among world-class players based on FIVB ISS data from all major FIVB events (junior and senior, male and female).

METHODS
Design
The FIVB ISS is based on prospective registration of injuries during all major FIVB tournaments (World Championships, World Cup, World Grand Prix, World League, Olympic Games), where the medical staff of the participating teams are requested to report all newly incurred injuries. This paper is based on four-year data (September 2010 to November 2014) obtained through the FIVB ISS. During this period, there were 44 major FIVB events (34 senior and 10 junior), and the ISS protocol was followed in 32 of these (23 senior and 9 junior).

Injury registration
During the compulsory Team Doctor’s Meeting, held the day before the start of each event, the medical representatives of each team were informed...
by the FIVB Medical Delegate about the FIVB ISS and instructed on how to record injuries. They were provided a booklet outlining the injury registration protocol, definitions and codes. We requested them to report all newly incurred injuries among their athletes during matches and/or training throughout the event. We used a standardised one-page report form with predetermined categories, definitions and codes (see online supplementary appendix), to be completed regardless of whether any injury had occurred or not. For each injury, the report form included information about whether the injury occurred during training or match play (if so, match set), injury location, type of injury, cause of injury and whether the player returned to the game, as well as the estimated severity reported as the time expected for complete return to play (days/weeks). The report form was submitted to the FIVB Medical Delegate at the end of each match at the competition venue. Translations for all definitions and codes used in the report form were available in nine languages: Arabic, English, French, German, Japanese, Polish, Portuguese, Russian and Spanish.

A newly incurred injury was defined as any musculoskeletal complaint newly incurred during match play and/or training during the event that received medical attention regardless of the consequences with respect to absence from competition or training. Pre-existing, not fully rehabilitated injuries were not recorded, and nor were illnesses.

Exposure data
To present the injury risk as incidences, an exposure file was created in Excel (V2010). The duration and result of each set and match was extracted from the official FIVB database online and transferred to the Excel file. We calculated the number of player hours throughout the event (6 players × match duration × number of returned report forms). Exposure was calculated for each of the five player positions, based on the most common team formation: two outside hitters, one centre (middle blocker), one setter, one diagonal (opposite) player and one libero. Competitions for the under-18, under-19, under-20, under-21 and under-23 age categories were classified as junior events.

Statistical analyses
The data were analysed using SPSS (SPSS for Windows, V21.0, SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). Descriptive data, that is, frequencies and proportions, were presented for subgroups, such as injury type, location, cause, circumstance and severity. The injury incidence was presented as the number of match injuries per 1000 player hours (95% CI 3.0 to 4.5). The difference between senior players and junior players was even greater for time-loss injuries (RR: 2.04, 95% CI 1.29 to 3.21), while there was still no difference between males and females (RR: 1.04, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.53) (table 1).

The incident of time-loss injuries during match play was 3.8/1000 player hours (95% CI 3.0 to 4.5). The difference between senior players and junior players was even greater for time-loss injuries (RR: 2.04, 95% CI 1.29 to 3.21), while there was still no difference between males and females (RR: 1.04, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.53) (table 1).

Injury incidence
Throughout the 32 events included, 2640 of 2710 report forms were submitted by team medical staff, corresponding to a total response rate of 97.4%. In total, 440 injuries were reported, 275 during match play (62.3%) and 165 during training (37.5%). The incidence of match injuries was 10.7/1000 player hours (95% CI 9.5 to 12.0); this was greater for senior players than junior players (RR: 1.32, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.69), while there was no difference between males and females (RR: 1.09, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.38) (table 1).

The incidence of time-loss injuries during match play was 3.8/1000 player hours (95% CI 3.0 to 4.5). The difference between senior players and junior players was even greater for time-loss injuries (RR: 2.04, 95% CI 1.29 to 3.21), while there was still no difference between males and females (RR: 1.04, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.53) (table 1). The incidence of injuries during match play was greater for centre players than for other player functions (figure 1).

Injury severity
Across all age and sex groups, the majority of injuries were minimal to mild (table 2). Severe injuries were rare; 10 of 440 injuries were expected to cause an absence of >4 weeks. Of these, eight occurred during match play, corresponding to an incidence of 0.3 severe injuries per 1000 player hours (95% CI 0.1 to 0.5).

Injury pattern
Across all age and sex groups, the ankle was the most commonly injured body part (25.9%), followed by the knee (15.2%), finger/thumb (10.7%) and lumbar/lower back (8.9%) (table 3). This distribution was almost similar between match play (ankle: 31.3%, knee: 15.6%, fingers/thumb: 10.2%) and training (ankle: 17.0%, knee: 13.2%, lower back: 11.9%). The most common injury type was joint sprains (32.5%, n=143), followed by muscle strains (14.1%, n=62) and contusions (12.7%, n=56). Joint sprains affected mainly the ankle (n=87), finger/thumb (n=26) and knee (n=17), while most muscle strains were located in the lower back (n=19) and thigh (n=10). In total, an ankle sprain was the most frequent specific diagnosis (19.8%).

The distribution of the five most common injury locations by player function is shown in figure 2. Liberos had a lower proportion of ankle sprains and a greater proportion of finger/
among world-class volleyball players. Our main finding was that the injury risk was low, with no gender difference, but with somewhat higher rates among senior players than junior players. We also observed differences in the risk and pattern of injuries between different player functions.

**Injury causes**
In total, 23.0% of all injuries (n=101) were reported as the result of contact between players, while 20.7% (n=91) were overuse injuries, and 17.3% (n=76) were reported as non-contact trauma. The distribution of injury causes reported for the three most common injury locations, ankle, knee and finger/thumb injuries, are shown in table 4. The most common cause of ankle injuries was contact with another player, while non-contact trauma and overuse were reported as the most common causes of knee injuries. Finger/thumb injuries were mainly caused by contact with a moving object.

**Match timing and result**
Injury incidence did not differ between the first (7.7 injuries per 1000 h, 95% CI 5.7 to 9.8), second (9.8, 7.5 to 12.1), third (9.0, 6.8 to 11.2), fourth (8.7, 5.6 to 11.8) and fifth set (9.2, 2.8 to 15.5). Also, there was no difference in injury incidence between different player functions.

**DISCUSSION**
This is the first study to provide detailed information on injuries among world-class volleyball players. Our main finding was that thumb injuries than other player functions, while setters had relatively fewer knee injuries and outside hitters more shoulder injuries.

**Table 2** Injury severity (n=440, with percentages), expressed as the expected duration of absence from full participation in training and match play, by age group and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absence</th>
<th>Senior men</th>
<th>Senior women</th>
<th>Junior men</th>
<th>Junior women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No absence</td>
<td>45 (38.8)</td>
<td>63 (48.1)</td>
<td>53 (51.0)</td>
<td>47 (52.8)</td>
<td>208 (47.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2 days</td>
<td>29 (25.0)</td>
<td>19 (14.5)</td>
<td>11 (10.6)</td>
<td>18 (20.2)</td>
<td>77 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–7 days</td>
<td>11 (9.5)</td>
<td>13 (9.9)</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
<td>3 (3.4)</td>
<td>31 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–28 days</td>
<td>10 (8.6)</td>
<td>6 (4.6)</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
<td>5 (5.6)</td>
<td>25 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 weeks</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>5 (3.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6 months</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information missing</td>
<td>19 (16.4)</td>
<td>23 (17.6)</td>
<td>31 (29.9)</td>
<td>16 (18.0)</td>
<td>89 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>116 (100)</td>
<td>131 (100)</td>
<td>104 (100)</td>
<td>89 (100)</td>
<td>440 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Body part injured (n=440, with percentages) by age group and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body part injured</th>
<th>Senior men</th>
<th>Senior women</th>
<th>Junior men</th>
<th>Junior women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>7 (5.3)</td>
<td>6 (5.8)</td>
<td>5 (5.6)</td>
<td>20 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>3 (2.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck/cervical spine</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>4 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax/upper back</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
<td>4 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternum/ribs</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar/lower back</td>
<td>12 (10.3)</td>
<td>16 (12.2)</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>39 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>3 (2.6)</td>
<td>3 (2.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis/sacrum/ buttok</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Total injury incidence and time-loss injury incidence (with 95% CI) during match play for the different player functions (n=97).
incidence than junior players. It seems reasonable to assume that this may be related to match intensity, that is, faster play, greater ball velocity, higher jumps and larger forces acting on the player. 

Also, the competition calendar for the best senior players is saturated, with a year-round programme of alternating club and national team competitions. Senior players may be at particular risk for overuse injuries, as there is little time for preparation and recovery. 

On the other hand, senior players would be expected to make fewer technical mistakes and use better judgement in high-risk situations.

![Figure 2](Figure 2) Body part injured versus player function for the five most common injury locations (n=426).

Although ankle sprain is still the most common injury type in volleyball, these findings indicate that the risk may have decreased. Effective injury prevention programmes are available, based on balance training, technical training (emphasis on proper spike approach, take-off and landing technique, in addition to block movement drills), balance board training and external ankle supports, but we do not know to what extent these are being used.

We observed that knee injuries accounted for 15.2% of all injuries, which is higher than previously reported in studies using a time-loss injury definition, but similar or slightly lower than studies using a medical-attention definition. This apparent discrepancy may be explained by the high prevalence of patellar tendinopathy, a condition which rarely leads to time loss, in spite of substantial pain and reduced performance.

Differences in injury definitions used may also explain why we observed a higher proportion of finger/thumb injuries (10.7%) compared to previous studies. Again, although these injuries are painful, players often continue playing after securing the injured finger with tape; these injuries will therefore be under-reported when using a time-loss injury definition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury cause</th>
<th>Ankle injuries (n=426)</th>
<th>Knee injuries (n=67)</th>
<th>Finger/thumb injuries (n=47)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overuse (gradual onset)</td>
<td>5 (4.4)</td>
<td>14 (20.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with another player</td>
<td>54 (47.4)</td>
<td>13 (19.4)</td>
<td>7 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact: moving object</td>
<td>4 (3.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>36 (76.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact: stagnant object</td>
<td>3 (2.6)</td>
<td>6 (9.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overuse (sudden onset)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>5 (7.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of play condition</td>
<td>4 (3.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-contact trauma</td>
<td>29 (25.4)</td>
<td>16 (23.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence of previous injury</td>
<td>6 (5.3)</td>
<td>8 (11.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information missing</td>
<td>5 (4.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do injuries happen?

Most injuries in volleyball are related to sport-specific tasks, such as repetitive jumping and landing, as well as spiking and blocking the ball. We found that 23.0% of all injuries were reported as contact injuries, while 20.7% were overuse injuries, and 17.3% were reported as non-contact trauma. We expect that the true magnitude of overuse injuries is even greater than captured in this study, as players often continue to compete despite having chronic overuse injuries.

Almost half (47.4%) of the ankle injuries occurred in contact with another player, which is consistent with the literature reporting that the most common injury situation is when a blocker lands on the foot of an opposing attacker, or a teammate, close to the net. We also observed that overuse injuries are more common reported cause of knee injuries, which most likely is related to the high prevalence of patellar tendinopathy, associated with a high volume of jump training. Finger injuries were mainly caused by contact with the ball, which is in accordance with the literature reporting that a typical situation is during a block where the ball hits the fingertips and causes a high impact to the extended and...
spread fingers. However, it should be noted that rule changes have led to the development of new effective defensive techniques, the overhand dig, a common and effective defensive action used to stop hard-driven spikes in the back court (figure 3). This has been described as an additional mechanism for finger injuries in beach volleyball.

However, describing the exact injury mechanisms can be difficult, as they usually happen quickly and often involve several players. Questionnaire data may therefore be inaccurate. To obtain more precise information on the exact mechanisms of acute volleyball injuries, systematic analyses of videos of real injury situations is a better approach.

Does player position matter?

Previous studies agree that most injuries occur close to the net, related to the three front players on each team: attackers and the opposing team’s blockers. This study extends our understanding by providing the first position-specific injury rates, correcting for exposure, documenting that centre players had a higher injury incidence than other player functions. Centre players are positioned in the middle of the front row, and are substituted for specialised defensive players, liberos, in the back row after serving. This means that centre players have nearly all their exposure in the front row, they jump and land frequently when blocking and spiking, and thus have a greater risk of contact with teammates or opponents. Liberos, in contrast, had the lowest injury risk and a lower proportion of ankle sprains than other player functions, a finding supported by previous studies among club players. This observation is not surprising, as all their exposure is in the back row, where they are normally not involved in the net duels typically leading to ankle sprains. Also, even if they are not involved in blocking actions by the net, they had a greater proportion of finger and thumb injuries, which may be explained by a high frequency of overhead defensive actions in the back row, as discussed above.

Outside hitters displayed a relatively large proportion of shoulder injuries. This is logical, as they are the main attackers. The extremely mobile shoulder joint allows hitters to swing high for a spike, which over time may cause shoulder overuse problems.

Practical implications

Preventive efforts in volleyball should focus on acute ankle and finger/thumb injuries, as well as overuse problems affecting the knee, lower back and shoulder. Several intervention strategies exist to reduce the risk of ankle sprain, including practising proper footwork at the net, proprioceptive exercises integrated in regular conditioning programmes, modification of the centre line, and consistent use of external ankle braces. Supervised injury prevention programmes can reduce the risk of acute ankle sprain significantly. To prevent knee overuse injuries, several factors should be considered, for example, activity modification, volume of jump training, degree of knee flexing and ‘toeing in’ while landing, and the composition of the playing surface. Tailored strengthening and conditioning exercises for the thigh, hip and core muscles to effectively absorb impacts during landing from repetitive jumps may also be useful. To prevent finger/thumb injuries, proper technique when spiking and blocking is essential, but technique training for overhead defensive actions in the back row may also be important. How to prevent overuse injuries? There is less evidence available for prevention of overuse problems to the lower back and shoulder, but it is suggested that preventive measures should focus on load reduction (limiting the number of spikes and jump serves) and correction of technique, as well as any underlying imbalances of strength and flexibility through an appropriate training programme, including scapular stabilisation and core strengthening.

Methodological considerations

This study had a response rate of 97.4%, which indicates high compliance by the team medical staff. At least at the senior level, teams had professional medical staff who knew their players very well and could be expected to provide reliable data. Still, like any surveillance programme, the results presented must be considered as minimum estimates of injury incidence.
We found that overuse problems accounted for 20.7% of all injuries. Most likely, this represents a gross underestimate of the true magnitude of overuse problems in this elite player population. A study of world-class beach volleyball players documented that while a ‘traditional’ cohort study approach using a time-loss injury definition suggested that injury risk was very low, a concurrent survey of pain problems in the shoulder, knees and lower back demonstrated that overuse injuries were highly prevalent. The same can be expected of this study. To obtain a more valid picture of overuse problems among players, the Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre (OSTRC) Overuse Injury Questionnaire has been developed, but this approach is not easy to implement in an international event setting.

SUMMARY
The injury risk among world-class volleyball players is low. Senior players are at a higher risk of injury than juniors, while there is no difference between males and females. The risk and pattern of injuries vary according to player function. Preventive measures should focus on acute ankle and finger sprains, and overuse injuries in the knee, lower back and shoulder.

What are the new findings?
- The injury risk among world-class volleyball players is low.
- Senior players are at a higher risk than junior players, while there is no difference between males and females.
- The risk and pattern of injuries vary according to the player function.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the near future?
Preventive measures should focus on acute ankle and finger sprains, and overuse injuries in the knee, lower back and shoulder.
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