Editorial Announcement

We learnt with great regret of the death of Dr John GP Williams after a long illness. An obituary and appreciation of his major contributions to British and international sports medicine will appear in the December issue of the journal.

From January 1996 the *British Journal of Sports Medicine* will be published and co-owned by the BMJ Publishing Group. A new editor is being appointed and Dr Peter Sperryn has by agreement between the BASM Executive and the BMJ Publishing Group stepped down as editor. An appreciation of Dr Peter Sperryn's work for the journal will appear in the New Year, by which time a new editor will be in post.

A comparison of methods of predicting maximum oxygen uptake

S Grant, K Corbett, A M Amjad†, J Wilson‡ and T Aitchison†

Department of Physical Education and Sports Science, †Department of Statistics, and ‡Institute of Physiology, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK

The aim of this study was to compare the results from a Cooper walk run test, a multistage shuttle run test, and a submaximal cycle test with the direct measurement of maximum oxygen uptake on a treadmill. Three predictive tests of maximum oxygen uptake—linear extrapolation of heart rate of $\dot{V}O_2$ collected from a submaximal cycle ergometer test (predicted_{L/E}), the Cooper 12 min walk, run test, and a multi-stage progressive shuttle run test (MST)—were performed by 22 young healthy males (mean(s.d.) age 22.1(2.4) years; body mass 72.4(8.9 kg)) and the values compared to those obtained by direct measurement on a maximal treadmill test. All of the subjects were regular exercisers. The mean(s.d.) from the various tests in $\text{ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ were as follows: treadmill 60.1(8.0), Cooper 60.6(10.3), MST 55.6(8.0), and predicted_{L/E} 52.0(8.4).

The Cooper test had a correlation with the treadmill test of 0.92, while the MST and the predicted_{L/E} had correlations of 0.86 and 0.76 respectively. Both the MST and predicted_{L/E} showed systematic underprediction of the treadmill value. On average, the MST was $4.5 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ (s.e. 0.9) lower than the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ while the predicted_{L/E} was $7.8 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ (s.e. 1.4) lower than the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$.

These findings indicate that, for the population assessed, the Cooper walk run test is the best predictor of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ among the three tests.

(Br J Sports Med 1995; 29: 147–152)

Keywords: maximum oxygen uptake; prediction of maximum oxygen uptake; field tests

Aerobic power or maximum oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$), the maximum rate at which oxygen can be consumed, is a commonly used measure of cardiorespiratory (or aerobic) fitness. Direct measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ is expensive both in terms of time and cost of precise gas analysis. Thus, various predictive tests have been devised to evaluate aerobic fitness. These include performance related measures, for example walking or running for a given time,¹ performing a multistage progressive shuttle test (MST) with increases in speed every minute² or the measurement of heart rate during given workloads and extrapolating to a predicted maximum heart rate to determine an estimate of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$.³ The Cooper and MST can cater for large numbers of subjects simultaneously but have the

Address for correspondence: Dr Stanley Grant, Department of Physical Education and Sports Science, University of Glasgow, 77 Oakfield Avenue, Glasgow G12 8LT, Scotland, UK.

disadvantage that they are maximal and thus create a potential health risk. In addition, these tests require a high degree of motivation to elicit a truly representative maximal effort. A submaximal test on a cycle ergometer does not rely on subject motivation but is time consuming.

The validity of the Cooper, MST, and linear extrapolation methods has been assessed separately but no study has compared all three tests within the same population. A limitation of some of the previous studies is that only the correlation between the predicted score and direct measurement has been given. Correlations do not provide the whole picture. At the time of writing this paper, the Physiology Section of the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences is compiling a guide for field testing. The results from this study can contribute to the current body of knowledge relating to field testing. A study which compared the Cooper, MST, and linear extrapolation methods in a wide ranging young male population would provide information on the applicability of these tests.

The present study was designed to compare three predictive tests commonly used in the Department of Physical Education and Sports Science at the University of Glasgow—Cooper walk/run test, multistage shuttle run test (MST), and linear extrapolation with the direct measurement of maximum oxygen uptake on a treadmill.

Methods

Subjects

Twenty-two healthy males from the university community volunteered to participate in the study. All subjects gave informed consent and the study was approved by the local ethics committee. The subjects were involved in a variety of sports, mostly of an endurance nature, but at differing levels of ability and training status.

Design

The subjects performed four tests on three separate days. On day one subjects performed a submaximal cycle ergometer test followed 30 min later by a direct measurement on a treadmill. These tests were carried out first as they were laboratory based. Thus, it was possible to monitor the ECG of each subject before he underwent the other maximum tests. The Cooper test and the MST were carried out on separate days with the order of testing randomized. An interval of at least two days was allowed between test days.

Submaximal cycle ergometer test

This predicted_{L/E} protocol was a modification of the YMCAs of American protocols.⁴ The initial workload selected was based on the responses to the warm-up, body mass and training status of the athlete, to elicit a steady state heart rate around 100 beats·min⁻¹. The other two workloads were selected to elicit steady state

heart rates between 120–140 beats·min⁻¹ and 150–170 beats·min⁻¹.

After a 3 min warm-up the test consisted of three continuous submaximal exercise bouts of 5 min duration on a Monark cycle ergometer (818 Monark Crescent, Varberg, Sweden). Cycling was performed at 60 revs per minute. Heart rates were measured during the fourth and fifth minute of each stage using a three lead ECG S & W Medical cardio aid (type CB600M) to measure heart rate. Expired air was collected in the final minute of each stage. Subjects were connected to a Douglas bag by a rubber mouthpiece, Hans Rudolph 2700 valve, and standard tubing. Gases were analysed using a PK Morgan CO₂ analyser, type 801A, and a Taylor Servomex O₂ analyser, type 570A. Volume was measured using a Parkinson Cowan volume meter.

All analysers were calibrated before each test with known gas concentrations.

To allow for comparison of various methods of extrapolation, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was predicted using the linear extrapolation method as follows: (a) the measured submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$ and heart rate were extrapolated to the measured maximum heart rate; (b) the measured submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$ and heart rate were extrapolated to the predicted maximum heart rate (220 minus age); and (c) the estimated $\dot{V}O_2$ ⁵ and measured heart rate were extrapolated to the predicted maximum heart rate (220 minus age).

Maximal treadmill test

Thirty minutes elapsed between the submaximal test and the maximal treadmill test. During this time the subjects were given a warm-up on the treadmill and familiarization with the treadmill, nose clip, and mouthpiece was provided. The maximal treadmill test protocol was based upon that recommended by the British Association of Sports Science.⁶ Heart rate and oxygen consumption were measured as for the submaximal cycle ergometer test.

Multistage progressive shuttle run test (MST)

This test was performed on a hard synthetic surface located in an indoor sports arena. Subjects ran between two lines 20 m apart in time with a sound signal which was emitted from an audio cassette. The frequency of the sound signals increased every minute. The test was terminated when the subject was no longer able to follow the set pace and did not reach the targeted line on three consecutive occasions. The level attained and the number of shuttles at that level allowed a prediction of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ to be made according to Ramsbottom *et al.*² When possible, subjects ran in pairs in order to provide a source of motivation.

Cooper 12 min walk/run test

This test was also performed in the indoor arena, on a 234 m jogging track. The warm-up included both aerobic activities and flexibility exercises. The subjects ran in pairs in order to provide a source of motivation. A prediction of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ from the distance covered at the end of the 12 min period was obtained using the table

Table 1. Summary statistics of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ scores derived from various tests (mean(s.d.))

Treadmill ($\dot{V}O_{2\max}$)	Cooper ($\dot{V}O_{2\max}$)	MST ($\dot{V}O_{2\max}$)	Predicted _{L/E} ($\dot{V}O_{2\max}$)
60.1(8.0) ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	60.6(10.3) ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	56.6(8.0) ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	52.0(8.4) ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹

provided by Cooper.¹ The subjects who ran more than 2 miles had $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ predicted by applying the distance run to the Cooper regression equation.

Statistical analysis

The basis of the statistical analyses were regressions of each test (that is, Cooper, MST and predicted_{L/E}) separately on the treadmill value (that is, the predictive test was the response variable and the treadmill value the explanatory variable). Separate standard *t* test of the slopes being equal to 1 and the intercepts being equal to 0 (that is, no bias) were carried out for each of these regressions.

In the illustrations of the effect of calibration, a measurement value of 60 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ was selected for each test separately to 'predict' the corresponding treadmill value. The simple approximate formula for the 95 % confidence interval was used:

$$\frac{60-A}{B} \pm \frac{2S}{B}$$

Where A, B and S are the estimated intercept, slope and s.d. of the regression of the test on the treadmill value.

Results

The mean (s.d.) age of the subjects was 22.1(2.4) (19–29 years) and the means(s.d.) body mass was 72.4(8.0) kg (56.4–92.6 kg).

The mean(s.d.) from the various tests in ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ are given in Table 1. The linear extrapolation method used the measured maximum heart rate.

Scattergrams of the relationships between the direct measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ on the treadmill and the scores obtained on the Cooper, MST, and predicted_{L/E} respectively are given in Figures 1–3 and show that there is an almost constant underestimation of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ for both the MST and predicted_{L/E} values.

As none of the slopes of the regression of each of the various predicted values on measured treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was significantly different from 1, then any bias on the predicted values can be assumed constant across the range of measured treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ measured (that is, 45–75 ml⁻¹·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Accordingly, estimates of such systematic biases, as well as correlation coefficients, for each predicted value are given in Table 2. The bias is the respective test $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ minus the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ and both the MST and predicted_{L/E} tests showed a significant systemic underestimation. In addition, all of the predicted values correlated reasonably well with the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ and of these, the Cooper test gave the highest correlation.

Figure 1. The relation between the Cooper and treadmill tests

Figure 2. The relation between the MST and treadmill tests

Figure 3. The relation between the predicted_{L/E} and treadmill tests

A substantial correlation and no systematic bias are required if a good prediction of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ is to be made (that is > 0.8). The information in Table 2 shows that not only are the results from the Cooper test unbiased, but that the Cooper test has the best correlation.

Prediction of maximum oxygen uptake: S Grant et al.

Table 2. Summary of the performance of each predicted value with the measured treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ($\text{ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$). (a) Heart rate extrapolated to measured maximum heart rate in treadmill test using measured submaximal steady state $\dot{V}O_2$; (b) Heart rate extrapolated to predicted maximum heart rate (220 minus age) using measured submaximal steady state $\dot{V}O_2$; (c) Heart rate extrapolated to predicted maximum heart rate (220 minus age) using estimated $\dot{V}O_2$ for given workloads

Test	Correlation with treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$	Bias (s.e.)† to $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$	Significance of bias (P value)
Cooper	0.92	+0.5(0.9)	0.6
MST	0.86	-4.5(0.9)	< 0.0001
Predicted L/E (a)	0.76	-7.8(1.4)	< 0.0001
Predicted L/E (b)	0.74	-8.2(1.3)	< 0.0001
Predicted L/E (c)	0.76	-8.4(1.6)	< 0.0001

†This is the estimated average difference of the test and the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ (i.e. test minus treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$)

Table 3. Illustration of the predictive performance for each test at a target $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ of $60 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$

Cooper	(53, 66)
MST	(56, 75)
Predicted _{L/E}	(56, 86)

Table 4. Percent* differences between measured and predicted submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$

Workload	Percent difference means(s.d.)
1	5.2(18.0)
2	-0.3(11.1)
3	1.9(5.7)

*Expressed as (measured-predicted)/measured $\times 100$

For each of the three tests a value of $60 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ was assumed for that particular test and the corresponding treadmill value calculated from the appropriate regression (see Table 3).

Both MST and predicted_{L/E} predictions of the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ are shifted significantly to the right of the target value of $60 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, and both have a large range of prediction. The Cooper test is best as it at least straddles the target value of $60 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$.

Across the full range of measurement, $45\text{--}75 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, there was consistent bias and large variability for the MST and predicted_{L/E} tests but markedly less so for the Cooper test.

A comparison of the measured and predicted submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$ for the cycle ergometer was made. The percentage differences between measured and predicted submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$ are given in Table 4. There was no significant difference between the measured and predicted $\dot{V}O_2$.

Discussion

The direct treadmill based measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ of $60.1(8.0) \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ represents a relatively fit

group of individuals, similar to that reported by McCutcheon *et al.*,⁷ who found values of $61.5(7.1) \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ in a group of recreational sportsmen. However, it should be noted that the sample group in this study was not a homogeneous group. For example, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ranged from $44.9 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ to $75.3 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$.

Cooper 12 min walk/run test

For the Cooper test the correlation coefficient of 0.92 relative to the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ in this study compares favourably with the corresponding values of 0.90 and 0.84 in the studies of Cooper¹ and McCutcheon *et al.*,⁷ respectively. Other studies have reported a range of correlation coefficients (0.7–0.9) in other categories of subjects including 14- to 15-year-old boys, young female athletes, and 12-year-old boys and girls.^{8–10}

The age variation, status and number of subjects, and the homogeneity or otherwise of the group must be considered when comparison with other groups is made. In the original study, Cooper assessed 115 US Air Force personnel with a mean age of 22(range 17–54 years). The $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ of the group ranged from $31\text{--}59 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. None of the Cooper subjects could complete more than two miles within 12 min compared to 50% in this study. Anderson¹¹ stressed that high correlations are to be expected in groups with a large age variation as in the Cooper study. The wide range of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ values in this study could contribute to the high correlation. McArdle *et al.*¹² highlighted the potential problem of comparing predictive tests with direct measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ in a homogeneous group. They stated that when the original Cooper data were confined to college men the correlation was lowered from 0.90 to 0.59.

The correlations between this study and the Cooper study are similar. However, correlations do not give the whole picture. A formal hypothesis test shows that there was no systematic bias for the Cooper test in this study. However, McCutcheon *et al.*⁷ found that the Cooper test gave a systematic underestimation of $4 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ in their male and female subjects (mean age of 25 years).

Some of the subjects ran over two miles. For these subjects it was assumed that the Cooper regression equation applied. This assumption was considered to be acceptable, as the basis of the Cooper test is that the average running speed is dependent on oxygen uptake.

Multistage shuttle run test

It might have been expected that the MST and Cooper tests would provide similar predictions as they both involve running. The MST showed a slightly lower correlation of 0.86 between the directly determined $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ and the predicted $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ from the MST. These results are similar to the correlations between the MST and direct measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ reported by Ramsbottom *et al.*¹² (0.83), Paliczka *et al.*¹³ (0.93), and Leger and Lambert¹⁴ (0.91). However, the systematic underestim-

ation of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ of $4.5 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ has not previously been reported.

There are several possible reasons for the results in this study. During the MST it was observed that some subjects turned more economically than others. This factor was particularly noticeable at higher speeds when turning technique is important. Anaerobic power may have a role to play in MST scores. After turning at the end of each shuttle the subject must accelerate to obtain the desired speed.

The 'drive' phase during each acceleration increases the likelihood of an anaerobic contribution to energy expenditure and as the speed increases the more this anaerobic component will be needed. Furthermore, during the latter stages of the MST the anaerobic metabolism component will be increased as the individual's aerobic system becomes fully taxed. Thus, an individual with a low anaerobic power may underperform in the MST relative to his aerobic power.

Comparison of the Cooper and MST tests

Both the Cooper and MST tests involve running. Therefore, it is somewhat surprising that the MST showed a systematic underprediction while the Cooper did not.

The less accurate prediction of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ using the MST compared with the Cooper test suggests that the Cooper test should be used in preference to the MST in field testing. However, while the MST has been shown to give a systematic underestimate of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$, it may still be sensitive to changes in training status. In addition, the MST may be more relevant to games players, for example hockey or soccer (where turning is a feature of the game).

As the derivations of both the MST and Cooper estimation of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ are from regression analysis with the direct measurement, the anaerobic component in both tests is embedded in the predictive equation. It can be assumed that the anaerobic component will have a bearing on the distance travelled. If low anaerobic power is a possible explanation for the underprediction in the MST it is unclear why there was not a similar underprediction with the Cooper test.

No physiological variable was monitored to gain an impression of the degree of effort in the MST and Cooper tests. However, subjects were highly motivated and they ran in pairs to provide a source of motivation. At the end of the tests most subjects displayed signs of severe distress suggesting that maximum effort had been given.

Linear extrapolation

While lack of motivation may be a reason for differences between predicted and measured $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ values in the MST and Cooper tests, this cannot be the explanation for the systemic underestimate of $7.8 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ using the predicted_{L/E} method.

There are three assumptions in a linear extrapolation prediction: (a) similar maximum heart rates for all subjects of the same age; (b) the heart rate/oxygen

consumption relationship is linear; and (c) the mechanical efficiency of all subjects is the same.

The heart rates from the three submaximal points were extrapolated to the measured maximum heart rate in the treadmill test. There was no significant difference on average between the measured maximum heart rate and predicted maximum heart rate (220 minus age). Thus it is not surprising that extrapolation to the age predicted heart rate (220 minus age) does not make any meaningful difference to the prediction (a correlation of 0.76 based on the measured maximum heart rate against 0.74 based on predicted maximum heart rate and the bias remains similar).

Individual subject correlations between heart rate and $\dot{V}O_2$ on the three submaximal workloads were calculated and had a median value of 0.99, with a range of 0.91–1.00. When such correlations were calculated on the bias of the three submaximal and the maximum values, the median correlation was again 0.99, with a range of 0.93–1.00, and indicated a very strong linear relationship across all workloads for heart rate of $\dot{V}O_2$.

There was no evidence of any significant difference between the predicted and measured $\dot{V}O_2$ at the three submaximal workloads. There was a strong indication, particularly at the first and second workloads, of a wide range in oxygen cost.

Further, the correlations based on the measured and predicted submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$ respectively with the direct measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ were very similar (0.74 and 0.76 respectively). Overall, therefore, there was no indication that the measurement of submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$, or indeed maximum heart rate, made any significant contribution to $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ prediction.

The underprediction found in this study has been recorded by other researchers who have estimated $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ from an extrapolation to maximum heart rate using measured $\dot{V}O_2$ and heart rate.^{15–17} Zwiren *et al*¹⁸ and Louhevaara *et al*¹⁹ both reported an overestimate using heart rate/workload points from two and three submaximal loads respectively to predicted maximum heart rates.

It is plausible that the reason for the low predictive capacity of the predicted_{L/E} method can be partly explained by the fact that a running $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ is known to be higher than a $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ measurement using cycling (except if cyclists are used). A cycling $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ is around 10% lower than a running $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$.^{20–22} Thus, it would be expected that the treadmill $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ value of $60 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ would be around $54 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ on a cycle. This value of $54 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ is close to the predicted_{L/E} value of $52.0 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. It should be pointed out that other studies have reported an underprediction of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ using a cycle test for prediction and direct measurement of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$.^{15–17 23 24} Studies which have used a cycle test for prediction and a treadmill test for direct measurement have also found an underprediction of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$.^{16–25}

Summary

The predictive tests used in this study yielded different $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ scores. Thus it is inappropriate to compare

$\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ scores obtained from different predictive tests. The findings from this study indicate that, among the three tests for the population assessed, the Cooper walk/run test is the best predictor of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$. Predictions from the MST and the submaximal ergometer test provided a less accurate measure of maximum oxygen uptake compared to the Cooper walk/run test and gave a systematic underestimate of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$.

References

- Cooper KH. A means of assessing maximum oxygen intake. *JAMA* 1968; **203**: 135–38.
- Ramsbottom R, Brewer J, Williams C. A progressive shuttle run test to estimate maximal oxygen uptake. *Br J Sports Med* 1988; **22**: 141–44.
- Maritz JS, Morrison JF, Peter J, Strydom HB, Wyndham CH. A practical method of estimating an individual's maximal oxygen intake. *Ergonomics* 1961; **4**: 97–122.
- Pollock ML, Wilmore JH, Fox SM. *Exercise in health and disease*. New York: W B Saunders Company, 1984.
- American College of Sports Medicine. *Guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1986.
- British Association of Sports Science. (Sports Physiology Section) *Position Statement on the Physiological Assessment of the Elite Competitor*, Second Edition, 1988.
- McCutcheon MC, Sticha SA, Giese MD, Nagal FJ. A further analysis of the twelve minute run prediction of maximal aerobic power. *Res Q* 1990; **61**: 280–83.
- Doolittle TL, Bigbee R. The twelve minute run/walk; a test of cardiorespiratory fitness of adolescent boys. *Res Q* 1968; **39**: 491–95.
- Maksud MG. Energy expenditure and $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ of female athletes during treadmill exercise. *Res Q* 1976; **47**: 692–97.
- Jackson AS, Coleman AE. Validation of distance run tests for elementary school children. *Res Q* 1976; **47**: 86–94.
- Anderson GS. A comparison of predictive tests of aerobic capacity. *Can J Sports Sci* 1992; **17**: 304–8.
- McArdle WD, Katch FI, Katch VL. *Exercise physiology, energy, nutrition and human performance*. Second edition. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1986.
- Paliczka VJ, Nichols AK, Boreham CAG. A multistage shuttle run as a predictor of running performance in maximal oxygen uptake in adults. *Br J Sports Med* 1987; **21**: 163–65.
- Leger L, Lambert J. A maximal multistage 20 m shuttle run test to predict $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1982; **49**: 1–12.
- Davies CTM. Limitation to the prediction of maximum oxygen intake from cardiac frequency measurements. *J Appl Physiol* 1968; **24**: 700–6.
- Fitchett M. Predictability of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ from submaximal cycle ergometer and bench stepping test. *Br J Sports Med* 1985; **19**: 85–8.
- Wilmore JH, Rogby FB, Stanforth PR, Buono MJ, Constable SH, Tsas Y, et al. Ratings of perceived exertion, heart rate, and power output in predicting maximal oxygen uptake during submaximal cycle ergometry. *Physician Sports Med* 1986; **14**: 133–43.
- Zwiren LD, Freedson PS, Ward A, Wilke S, Rippe JM. Estimation of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$: A comparative analysis of five exercise tests. *Res Q* 1991; **62**: 73–8.
- Louhevaara V, Ilmarinen J, Oga P. Comparison of the Astrand Nomogram and the WHO extrapolation methods for estimating maximal oxygen uptake. *Scand J Sports Sci* 1980; **2**: 21–25.
- Miles DS, Critz JB, Knowlton RG. Cardiovascular, metabolic, and ventilatory responses of women to equivalent cycle ergometer and treadmill exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1980; **12**: 14–19.
- Faulkner JA, Roberts DE, Elk RL, Conway J. Cardiovascular responses to submaximal and maximum effort cycling and running. *J Appl Physiol* 1971; **30**: 357–461.
- Miyamura M, Honda Y. Oxygen intake and cardiac output during maximal treadmill and bicycle exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1972; **32**: 185–88.
- Kasch FW. The validity of the Astrand and Sjostrand submaximal tests. *Physician Sports Med* 1984; **12**: 47–52.
- Cink RE, Thomas TR. Validity of the Astrand-Rhyming nomogram for predicting maximal oxygen intake. *Br J Sports Med* 1981; **15**: 182–85.
- Krock LP, Hartung GH, Bisson RU, Crandall CG, Myhre LG. Predicting $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ from a submaximal test in aerobically trained and untrained men. *Physiologist* 1992; **35**: 184.