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ABSTRACT

The oxygen cost and energy expenditure of submaximal treadmill running was evaluated in 9 male distance runners. The oxygen consumption — running speed relationship was highly significant (r = .917) and linear over the entire aerobic range. The caloric cost of 0.97 Kcal/kg/km was in close agreement with values found in the literature and was independent of running speed. The caloric cost per unit distance and time increased with acceleration in running speed. The slope of the regression line of oxygen consumption on running speed appear to measure a different component of efficiency than the fractional utilization coefficient of aerobic capacity. There is apparently a wide variation in the oxygen cost of running in trained runners.

INTRODUCTION

It is generally accepted that the relationship between running speed and oxygen consumption is linear throughout the entire aerobic running range (8-24 km/hr). Most of the studies investigating this problem have used the motor-driven treadmill to maintain a constant running speed. When oxygen consumption has been determined during track running, however, the relationship is slightly curvilinear but may be represented by linear regression (Pugh, 1970). The slope of the regression line of oxygen consumption on running speed may offer one means of assessing the efficiency of running among different subjects (Foster, 1972).

There appears to be considerable variation in oxygen consumption at submaximal running speeds between trained and untrained runners (Dill, Talbott et al., 1930; Foster, 1972; Seller, 1973; Costill, Thomason et al., 1973). Improvement in running efficiency resulting from training has been shown to be 0-8% (Margaria, Cerretelli et al., 1963; Wyndham, Strydom et al., 1971; Mayhew and Andrew, 1975), although some research (Dill, Talbott et al., 1930; Dill, 1965) has indicated that it can be as high as 50-54%. There is, apparently, some discrepancy concerning the effect of training on the efficiency of running in trained subjects. Furthermore, the variability of oxygen cost during running in trained subjects is in question.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the oxygen consumption of horizontal treadmill running in trained adult men. Factors that might contribute to differences in running efficiency were analyzed. Comparisons with other studies in the literature were made to determine the variability in oxygen cost of running.

METHOD

The subjects for this study were 9 volunteers who had been training for 3-13 years. While these runners trained by running 24-128 km/wk, their performance abilities were quite varied. Physical characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table I.

TABLE I

Physical Characteristics of Trained Runners (n = 9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>VO₂ max (ml/kg/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>179.0</td>
<td>78.60</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>170.0</td>
<td>64.15</td>
<td>72.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>171.0</td>
<td>60.50</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>183.2</td>
<td>74.60</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM</td>
<td>30.16</td>
<td>179.3</td>
<td>79.30</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>68.95</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JO</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>186.5</td>
<td>70.55</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>165.7</td>
<td>55.60</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td>171.5</td>
<td>54.90</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>175.9</td>
<td>67.46</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of the subjects were familiarized with treadmill running prior to testing. Each subject was evaluated in the laboratory on from 1 to 5 separate occasions over a 14-day period. Submaximal running speeds between 134 and 295 m/min (5-11 mi/hr), in 6.7 m/min (0.25 mi/hr) increments, were assigned randomly to each subject. Four subjects ran at 12 different speeds, 2 subjects ran at 11 speeds, 1 subject ran at 8 speeds, and 2 subjects ran at 4 speeds for a total of 86 observations.

Present address: Human Performance Laboratory, Physical Education Division, Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville, Missouri 63501, USA.
Each subject reported to the laboratory at least 2 hours postabsorptive. After body weight was recorded (running shorts only), the subject performed a 5-minute warmup at 147 m/min. Following a 5-minute rest, the subject performed 3 or 4 6-minute submaximal runs, each separated by at least 15 minutes rest.

Oxygen consumption was determined by open circuit spirometry. Pulmonary ventilation, expired gas temperature, and breathing frequency were recorded using a Parkinson-Cowan (CD4) dry gas meter with an ink recorder. One-minute aliquots of expired gas were collected during the 5th and 6th minutes of each run, the mean used to calculate oxygen consumption at a given speed. The samples were analyzed within 5 minutes after collection on electronic analyzers (Beckman E-2 O₂ and MSA Lira 300 CO₂ analyzers) that were routinely calibrated throughout the testing using reference gases previously analyzed on a Lloyd-Gallenkamp chemical apparatus. Heart rate was recorded during the last 15 seconds of the 5th and 6th minutes by telemetered electrocardiography, the mean representing the heart rate at a given speed.

After all submaximal runs had been completed, each subject performed a grade-incremented maximal oxygen intake test (Costill, Thomason et al., 1973). Depending on the runner’s ability, a treadmill speed between 215-268 m/min was chosen. The grade was increased 2.5% every 2 minutes until voluntary termination by the subject. One subject performed his maximal oxygen intake test 30 minutes after completing 4 submaximal runs, while all others performed the VO₂ max test on a separate day.

RESULTS

The oxygen consumption — speed relationship in this study was observed to be linear over the entire range of running speeds (Figure 1). The correlations between oxygen consumption and speed were high for each individual (Table II) and indicate that a linear regression may be applied to each runner. The overall correlation \( r = .917 \) was slightly lower and the standard error of estimate \( \text{SEE} = 3.5 \text{ ml/kg/min} \) slightly greater than those for each individual, although the regression remained linear. The prediction equation for 86 observations was: \( \dot{V}O₂ (\text{ml/kg/min}) = 0.1991 \text{ Speed (m/min)} - 0.82 \).

![Figure 1. Relationship between running speed (m/min) and oxygen consumption (ml/kg/min) in 9 trained runners. \( \dot{V}O₂ (\text{ml/kg/min}) = 0.1991 \text{ Speed (m/min)} - 0.82 \, (r = .917, \text{SEE} = 3.5 \text{ ml/kg/min}). \)]
The caloric cost of running is shown in Table II. The caloric cost per unit distance (Kcal/km) varied only slightly from those found by others (Boje, 1944; Margaria, Cerretelli et al., 1963; Howley and Glover, 1974). The low relationship between speed and caloric cost per unit distance (r = -.019) indicated the energy cost of running a given distance is independent of the speed at which it is run (Margaria, Cerretelli et al., 1963). There is, however, a linear increase in energy expenditure per unit body weight and time (Kcal/kg/min) over the entire running range (Figure 2). The regression equation for the 86 observations in this study was: Energy (Kcal/kg/min) = 0.001 Speed (m/min) - 0.01 (r = .920 SEE = 0.017 Kcal/kg/min). This agreed very closely with the equation produced by Costill and Fox (1969).

If the slopes of the regression lines of oxygen consumption on running speed were used as measures of efficiency (Foster, 1972), there was considerable difference in the economy of running in these runners. A 36.8% difference was observed between the most efficient and the least efficient runners. If the oxygen consumption at 268 m/min was determined using each individual's prediction equation (Table II), the efficiency difference was 22.5%, which is still well above the accepted advantage thought to be derived from training (Margaria, Cerretelli et al., 1963; Mayhew and Andrew, 1975). The efficiency difference in the present study was also greater than the 13.2% found for a comparable sample (Costill, Thomason et al., 1973).

DISCUSSION

It may be concluded that the oxygen consumption —
equal within the individual studies. Between studies, however, there is considerable variation in the oxygen cost of running at similar submaximal speeds. Table III suggests that the variation is minimal at about 241 m/min (~10%) and maximal at either end of the continuum (>30%). This may have been, in part, due to the sampling methods employed since extrapolation of VO\textsubscript{2} values at higher and lower running speeds may not be accurate (Daniels, Krahenbuhl et al., 1976). As Daniels (1974a) has pointed out, these variations in efficiency may greatly affect the performance ability of endurance runners. Unfortunately, no common performance criterion was administered to the subjects in the present study.

If the slope of the individual runner’s oxygen consumption – speed regression line is used as a measure of running efficiency, several interesting comparisons arise. VO\textsubscript{2} max was significantly related to the slope of the regression line \((r = .700)\), which indicates that individuals with greater aerobic capacities tend to be less efficient runners. This fact is supported by observations on champion runners (Daniels, 1974b; Cureton, Boileau et al., 1975). Perhaps the greater maximal oxygen intake of superior runners allows them to be somewhat less efficient while running and yet maintain oxygen supply commensurate with demand at higher speeds.

Although the years of training for each runner was not significantly related to VO\textsubscript{2} max \((r = -.041)\), it was significantly related to running efficiency as measured by the regression slope \((r = -.621)\). Thus, while most of these runners may have reached a stable VO\textsubscript{2} max, the years of training appear to contribute to their improved efficiency. This may add support to the supposition that year-round and life-long training for endurance athletes.

The recent employment of the fractional utilization coefficient of the maximal aerobic capacity as a measure of expressing running economy (Costill, Thomason et al., 1973) prompted a comparison with the slope of the regression line. The resulting correlation was low and insignificant \((r = -.033)\), suggesting the two factors might measure different components of efficiency. Indeed, it has been suggested that %VO\textsubscript{2} max represents not only the relative oxygen cost of submaximal running, but also the potential of an individual to extend himself to a maximal level (Costill, Thomason et al., 1973; Mayhew and Andrew, 1975). Further study of the regression slope as a measure of running efficiency appears warranted.

Finally, it is interesting to note that when oxygen consumption is expressed relative to body weight, there...
appears to be an optimal running speed for these subjects. Using the mean oxygen consumption values for each speed observed in the present study, two distinct regression lines appear evident (Figure 3). The intersection of the two regression lines occurs at approximately 185 m/min, or 11.1 km/hr. Sellers (1973) found his untrained subjects to show optimal oxygen consumption at 11.0 km/hr. While this speed is far below any training or racing speed employed by the present subjects, it suggests that in running, as in walking (Ralston, 1958), there is an optimal movement speed.

In conclusion, while there is a linear relationship between oxygen consumption and running speed, there is considerable variability in the submaximal oxygen cost of trained runners. The degree to which such variability affects running performance is worthy of further investigation. Also, the fact that individuals with higher aerobic capacities exhibit lower efficiencies further supports the need for a high VO$_2$ max in distance running.

![Figure 3. Relationship between running speed and mean oxygen consumption in 9 trained runners.](image)
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