A STUDY OF MAXIMUM OXYGEN UPTAKE AND HEART RATE DURING WORK AND RECOVERY AS MEASURED ON CYCLE ERGOMETER ON NATIONAL INDIAN SPORTSMEN

S. K. VERMA, MSc, L. S. SIDHU, MSc, PhD, and D. K. KANSAL, MSc

Research Centre in Physical Education and Sports and Department of Human Biology, Punjabi University, Patiala, India

ABSTRACT

We have studied 73, top level Indian sportsmen for VO$_2$ max and heart rates during work and recovery. High negative values of 'r' between VO$_2$ max and heart rate during work and recovery have been observed. Absolute VO$_2$ max, VO$_2$ max/kg body wt/min and VO$_2$ max/kg lean body mass/min are found to play an important role in influencing recovery of heart rate, more so in the initial phases. A similar trend has been observed while studying the influence of work heart rate on the recovery of heart rate after a standard exercise. Regression equations for the prediction of VO$_2$ max from recovery pulse scores, have been worked out for Indian sportsmen.

INTRODUCTION

It is an established fact that maximum oxygen uptake (VO$_2$ max) plays an important role in the determination of physical work capacity under aerobic conditions (Astrand 1956, Newton 1963, Ribisl and Kachadorian 1969) and it is superior to any other single test for evaluating physical work capacity (Astrand and Rodahl 1970 and de Vries 1975). Various other investigators (Astrand and Saltin 1961, Balke 1963, Cooper 1968, Taylor et al 1955, Doolittle and Bigbee 1968) have supported the idea of utilising maximum oxygen uptake as a measurement of cardiorespiratory fitness.

The direct determination of aerobic power i.e. the maximum oxygen uptake is time consuming and requires both expensive equipment and highly trained technicians. Certain difficulties may also be encountered while carrying out this test on older people and it is impracticable for use with large groups (Jokl 1958, Shephard 1969). Because of these difficulties there is a need to link VO$_2$ max with some simple physiological parameter which might indirectly allow the measurement of VO$_2$ max. Most of the tests for the determination of physical work capacity are based on a linear relationship of work heart rate and oxygen uptake or work intensity (Kamon and Kent 1972, Magel 1971, Maritz 1961). If the position and slope of this line is determined from measurements made during submaximal exercise then it is possible to predict probable values of physical work capacity. Shapiro et al, 1976, achieved further simplification of these tests in which VO$_2$ max was predicted from the recovery heart rates after submaximal exercise. In these tests heart rate was measured at the beginning of recovery in the period 5-15 s after cessation of work. According to Shapiro et al heart rate during first 5-15 s of the recovery is influenced by work heart rate and VO$_2$ max. However, he has not studied what happens in the subsequent part of recovery. In the present study we have to be concerned with the relationship of VO$_2$ max and work heart rate with recovery pulse during different phases of recovery after cessation of standard work at 150W for 3 min on a cycle ergometer. Equations for the prediction of VO$_2$ max from the heart rate during work and recovery for Indian sportsmen have also been worked out. Perhaps this is the first such study on Indian athletes and sportsmen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted on 73 Indian national sportsmen belonging to 3 different sports disciplines viz. basketball, hockey and athletics, during their training camps at the Netaji Subhash National Institute of Sports. They were from different parts of India and included Pathans, Tamils and Punjabis.

VO$_2$ max of all the sportsmen was determined by a progressive step-increment test using an electronically controlled cycle ergometer. Each subject was required to pedal at a constant rate of 45 r.p.m. with the help of a tachometer.

The selection of the pedalling speed for the aerobic power test was left to the subjects so as to suit them. Majority of the subjects of this study chose 45 r.p.m. as the pedalling speed. So to keep uniformity, the above said speed was selected for all the subjects. In choosing this speed, there was no limitation of the cycle ergo-
meter. The speed of our cycle ergometer can go up to 90 r.p.m.

The exercise test was started at a relatively light work rate of 150W. Every 3 min thereafter the work load was increased by 20W until the subject stopped pedalling. During the test each subject was verbally encouraged to pedal for as long as possible. The test was terminated when the subject could no longer maintain the pedalling rate. Subjects breathed through a dry gas meter during exercise and expired gas samples were collected at the end of each work load. The gas samples were analysed for CO₂ and O₂ using Haldane’s technique. VO₂ max was chosen as the highest observed oxygen uptake value in the series of consecutive O₂ measurements.

Each subject was asked to report again for a sub-maximal exercise test which was conducted within 3-4 days after the determination of VO₂ max. For this test the subject was asked to pedal the cycle ergometer at a constant rate of 45 r.p.m. for 3 min at 150W. The heart rate was measured after every minute during exercise and recovery. However, first recovery pulse was recorded from 15-30 s after cessation of exercise. Lean body mass (LBM) was calculated by using the formula given by Durnin and Rahman (1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table I gives the mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of various physiological and morphological parameters studied on 73 Indian national sportsmen. Most of the parameters have shown a high degree of variation, one reason for this variability may be that the sportsmen in this study belong to different physical activities. The absolute VO₂ max shows the maximum value of coefficient of variation, however, when VO₂ max is expressed in terms of body weight or LBM, the value decreased considerably.

### Table I:
Means and Standard Deviations of various Physiological and Morphological Parameters studied in Indian national sportsmen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Coefficient of Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. VO₂ max (l/min)</td>
<td>3.879</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>21.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. VO₂ max (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>54.24</td>
<td>6.170</td>
<td>11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. VO₂ max (ml/kg LBM/min)</td>
<td>62.33</td>
<td>7.110</td>
<td>11.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Absolute lean body mass (LBM) in kg</td>
<td>61.74</td>
<td>9.378</td>
<td>15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Body Weight in kg</td>
<td>71.18</td>
<td>12.000</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Work heart rate at 150W</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>16.600</td>
<td>11.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Recovery pulse 15-30 s (beats/15 s)</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>4.900</td>
<td>16.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recovery pulse 60-75 s</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>18.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Recovery pulse 120-135 s</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>3.700</td>
<td>18.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correlation coefficient ‘r’ computed among various parameters is given in Table II. The high negative values of ‘r’ between VO₂ max and heart rate during work and recovery suggest that the higher values of VO₂ max in sportsmen are responsible for lower heart rates during work and subsequent recovery. We found that absolute VO₂ max has a very high relationship with work heart rate and recovery pulse of 15-30 s. However, a significant relationship of VO₂ max also existed with the later phase of recovery but the magnitude of relationship was found to decrease considerably after first minute of recovery. The values of correlation coefficients between VO₂ max and the various recovery pulse scores, especially with the later phase of recovery, improved considerably when the former was expressed in terms of body weight or LBM. Further it is interesting to note that the recovery pulse score of a particular period has a lesser value of ‘r’ with VO₂ max (expressed

### Table II:
Correlation Table of Parameters in Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Max VO₂</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>-0.765</td>
<td>-0.632</td>
<td>-0.343</td>
<td>-0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. VO₂ max/kg/min</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.163*</td>
<td>-0.700</td>
<td>-0.720</td>
<td>-0.870</td>
<td>-0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. VO₂ max/kg LBM/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.184*</td>
<td>-0.670</td>
<td>-0.697</td>
<td>-0.518</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Absolute lean body mass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.514</td>
<td>-0.330</td>
<td>-0.086*</td>
<td>-0.120*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Work heart rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 15-30 s Recovery pulse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 60-75 s Recovery pulse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 120-135 s Recovery pulse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values non-significant at 5% level
in terms of body weight or LBM) as compared to that of its preceding recovery pulse score indicating thereby a clear deteriorating effect of recovery time on the relationship between VO$_2$ max, and recovery pulse scores. This indicates that VO$_2$ max, VO$_2$ max/kg body wt/min and VO$_2$ max/kg LBM/min play an important role in influencing the recovery. In the initial part of recovery the role of these parameters is well marked, but it decreases with the progress of recovery.

The high relationship of VO$_2$ max/kg body wt/min with the 15-30 s and 60-75 s recovery pulse observed in our study support the earlier findings of McArdle et al. (1972). However, the absolute VO$_2$ max in this study correlated moderately well with the recovery pulse scores which differs from the observations of McArdle et al. This may be due to the sex differences involved between the choice of subjects and different exercises chosen in the two studies. We used a cycle ergometer to deliver standard exercise while McArdle et al used the step test.

The high values of correlation coefficients between VO$_2$ max and different recovery pulse scores also suggests that recovery pulse scores of different intervals after cessation of a standard exercise can give a good measure of VO$_2$ max. The regression lines for the prediction of VO$_2$ max, VO$_2$ max/kg body wt/min and VO$_2$ max/kg LBM/min from the heart rate during work and recovery after a standard submaximal exercise at 150W for 3 min on the ergometer are illustrated in Figs 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

The correlation coefficient of work heart rate with all the three phases of recovery viz. 15-30 s, 60-75 s, and 120-135 s recovery pulse scores is found to be .860, .696 and .556 respectively (Table II). It is observed that all the values of 'r' are highly significant. It is evident from these values that the magnitude of relationship between work heart rate and recovery pulse scores decreases as the recovery advances. The high correlation between work heart rate and 15-30 s recovery pulse agrees with the earlier reported observations of Shapiro et al (1976), but in our study the correlation obtained is .86 as compared to 0.98 reported by Shapiro et al (1976). This may be due to the different timings of recovery pulse chosen in the two studies. We have used 15-30 s for our
first 15 s recovery period, whereas Shapiro et al used 5-15 s which is an earlier counting period than we could use. This also shows that the influence of work heart rate on recovery decreases as the recovery advances.

It is well established that a linear relationship exists between work heart rate and oxygen intake at submaximal work loads, but the same relationship does not exist during recovery. The factors which govern the rate of decrease of heart rate during recovery are not well investigated. Further it is reported by many investigators that the magnitude of work done determines the rate of decrease of heart rate during recovery (Royce 1969, Cotten 1971, McArdle et al 1969, Ryhming 1953 and Shephard 1966). In our study it is shown that the recovery heart at the beginning of recovery is strongly influenced by the heart rate during work and VO$_2$ max. The subsequent part of recovery however, is also influenced by the VO$_2$ max and work heart but the influence of these parameters on recovery heart rate decreases as the recovery progresses.
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