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ABSTRACT

Physical efficiency tests were performed on urban school boys drawn from higher socio-economic status in comparison to rural school boys. The height and weight records of the subjects indicating growth process showed that the rural boys attained less physical growth than their urban counterparts. The Vital Capacity and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate data expressed either per unit of height or body surface area were significantly lower in rural boys. These findings indicated a poor development of the thorax in the rural group. However, the determined grip strengths for both the groups were similar. The grip test might reflect improvement of muscle mass in case of rural boys as a result of regular physical activity employing the arm muscles.

INTRODUCTION

The energy required for the performance of physical work is ultimately derived from the food. It is well appreciated that the capacity of an organism to accomplish physical work deteriorates with continued calorie undernutrition. Moreover, subnutrition delays growth during childhood as well as the onset of the adolescent growth spurt. In man, this fact is well documented from the effects of famine due to various causes (Wolf, 1935; Ellis, 1945; Howe and Schiller, 1952; Kimura et al, 1959).

An important variable in the physical performance of the population is the socio-economic status, especially the state of nutrition. It has also been reported that the growth differences were more closely related to home conditions than to the strictly economic status of the families (Bransby et al, 1946; Abramson and Ernest, 1954; Scott, 1961). Other experiments on work performance conducted on healthy volunteers kept in starved or semi-starved conditions were shown to cause the reduction of performance capability (Keys et al, 1950). However, a major problem of a vast number of populations in under-developed and developing countries is the restricted protein and calorie intake from childhood. There is a paucity of literature with determinations of physical efficiency levels of adolescents who are in a continuous state of subnutrition since their childhood (Areskog et al, 1969; Bisht et al, 1972).
This study was thus undertaken on the Indian adolescent boys to assess the influences of nutritional status and socio-economic level on growth and some physical performance capabilities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighteen boys from an urban school (Calcutta, West Bengal) and nineteen boys from a rural school (Rajbalhat Village, District of Hooghly, West Bengal) were selected for this study, aged between 10 and 14 years. The urban school students were from comparatively higher socio-economic status than the rural boys, belonging to families of an income group between Rupees 1,000/- to 1,400/- whereas the rural boys were from families with an income range between Rupees 300/- to 500/- per month (£1 ≈ Rs 18). The dietary surveys of the experimental subjects were carried out by the questionnaire technique of Madhavan and Swaminathan, (1966). From early childhood there were more frequent gastro-intestinal upsets and minor febrile illnesses in the rural group. The height and weight of the subjects were recorded and the surface area was determined following Dubois nomogram (Vijayaraghavan et al, 1971 a, b). Vital capacity (VC) and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) were measured by using Wright's Respirometer (BOC, England) and Wright's Peak Flowmeter (Clement Clarke International Ltd.) respectively. Grip Strength (GS) was determined for both the hands using Hand-Dynamometer (Anand Agencies, India). All the tests were done at least three times allowing a reasonable time internal of approximately 5 min between tests, and the highest result was accepted. The values of VC and PEFR were corrected to BTPS.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Age/Year</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Surface area (m²)</th>
<th>Grip strength (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Boys</td>
<td>(10-14)</td>
<td>139-170</td>
<td>25.5-51.0</td>
<td>(1.04-1.50)</td>
<td>(12-40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 18</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>149.5 ± 9.1**</td>
<td>35.7 ± 7.1*</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.15***</td>
<td>19.5 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Boys</td>
<td>(10-14)</td>
<td>122.3-154.5</td>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>(0.91-1.22)</td>
<td>(5-26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>142.3 ± 8.0</td>
<td>28.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.11</td>
<td>18.8 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ***P<0.001
** P<0.01
* P<0.05

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>VITAL CAPACITY</th>
<th>PEAK EXPIRATORY FLOW RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total litres</td>
<td>ml/cm of height L/m² of surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Boys</td>
<td>(1.60-3.40)</td>
<td>(16.95-21.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 18</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.46***</td>
<td>16.64 ± 2.32***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Boys</td>
<td>(1.60-2.50)</td>
<td>(10.85-16.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.30</td>
<td>13.61 ± 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ***P<0.001
** P<0.01
* P<0.05
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are summarised in Tables I & II.

The records of height and weight of the subjects indicating the growth process show that the rural boys attained less physical growth than the urban boys (Table I), and had a smaller surface area. This development in the higher socio-economic group has been reported by several workers as well (Meredith, 1951; Berry and Cowin, 1954; De and Nagchaudhuri, 1976). The past history of the experimental subjects and their dietary intake reflected the poor health status of the rural boys as against those from the urban area. Similar observations have also been reported by various other authors (Berry and Cowin, 1954; Benech et al, 1960; Scott, 1961; Sastry and Srikanthia, 1975; Rao and Satyanarayana, 1976 a, b).

The mean vital capacity, either expressed per unit height or per unit body surface area was significantly lower in the rural group of boys from that of the urban group (Table II). The PEFR (Expressed per unit height or unit surface area), an indicative of the performance of respiratory muscles and status of elastic tissue in the lungs, was significantly higher in the urban group than that of the rural group. The obvious conclusion that could be drawn from these findings indicate a poor development of respiratory muscles in rural group. However, whether the development of elastic tissue of the lung was also affected or not has to await further experiment.

Although the performance level of the skeletal musculature of the urban boys by using hand dynamometer, was slightly more than those of the rural boys, the difference was not statistically significant. The present experiments revealed an inferior work performance of the respiratory muscles in rural boys but not of arm muscles. The explanation of the findings lies in the social living of the rural boys who had to use their arm muscles to provide help either in cultivation or in weaving etc, whereas the urban children do not perform muscular work using hand muscles. The grip test method therefore, may reflect improvement of muscle mass in the rural boys as a result of regular physical activity employing the arm muscles.

Similar studies on 10 year old boys carried out in Paris, have shown lower values of vital capacity of the boys who had relatively less height and also significantly lower arm muscle strength by grip test (Benech et al, 1960). The rural group in the present study may simulate the physical performance level of the Paris group reported above with a significant difference from that group in the arm muscle performance. This difference may be related to the occupational situations being different in these two groups.

The respiratory performance test of the present study of the rural boys, obviously less nourished than their urban counterpart showed values of these tests which were similar to those reported under-nourished age group of subjects. (Bisht et al, 1969; Areskog et al, 1969; De et al, 1978).
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