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ABSTRACT

This study examined the relationship between estimates of alactacid anaerobic power, lactacid anaerobic power and aerobic power in a sample of trained swimmers (age 14.4 yr., n = 8) and a sample of untrained boys (age 13.7 yr., n = 13). The anaerobic power outputs were estimated using a modification of the Wingate Anaerobic Test and aerobic power was estimated using a continuous, incremental cycle ergometer test. In addition to leg power outputs the swimmers' arm power using each energy system was estimated and compared with the corresponding leg value.

There was no relationship between the estimates of the power of the three energy systems with either the trained or untrained boys. Furthermore with the trained boys there was no relationship between estimates of the power of the same energy system utilised by different limbs.

The data support a specificity hypothesis of energy utilisation during exercise with both trained and untrained adolescent boys.
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INTRODUCTION

The energy requirements of muscular contraction are met by the hydrolysis of the high energy phosphagens, glycolysis and oxidative mechanisms in a sequentially contributing and overlapping fashion. The direct splitting of the phosphagens, primarily adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and creatine phosphate (CP), precedes all other exergonic processes in the muscles and can proceed at a much greater rate than their re-synthesis. The concentrations of ATP and CP in skeletal muscle however are limited to approximately 5.0 and 17.0 mmol.kg\(^{-1}\) wet muscle respectively (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1977, p. 32) and maximal exercise can only be sustained by this alactacid anaerobic system for perhaps 6s (Margaria et al, 1964).

The lactacid anaerobic system is initiated before the phosphagen stores are maximally depleted (McGilvery, 1975; Saltin et al, 1971) and ATP is re-synthesised during the catabolism of glucose/glycogen to lactic acid.
Fig. 1: Schematic representation of anaerobic energy systems.

The aerobic system is the most efficient in terms of ATP production and has a much greater capacity for energy generation than the anaerobic systems. The oxygen transport system, however, is slow to adapt to the demands of heavy exercise and the rate at which ATP can be generated through glycolysis/glycogenolysis in muscle greatly exceeds that of the aerobic system. As a result, near the beginning of exercise when mitochondrial oxygen consumption is not optimal and during very intense exercise when pyruvic acid production exceeds the mitochondrial capacity to oxidise it the lactacid anaerobic system is of primary importance.

The predominant energy system for any given
exercise is a function of the total amount of energy demanded by the exercise and the rate at which it is supplied. Furthermore recent research has identified genetically determined muscle fibre types possessing different contractile and metabolic properties (Barnard et al, 1970; Saltin et al, 1971) and it seems that preferential recruitment of specific fibre types is dependent upon the precise demands of the exercise. Consequently subjects capable of high anaerobic performance may or may not have a similar aerobic potential. The specificity or generality of adolescents' energy systems has not to our knowledge been documented and comparisons between trained and untrained children have not been investigated.

This study was therefore designed to investigate the relationship between estimates of the anaerobic and aerobic power of both trained and untrained adolescent boys and to compare our power output scores with data collected elsewhere.

METHODS

Subjects

The subjects were volunteers who were habituated to the laboratory environment. The trained group consisted of eight male swimmers who were actively engaged in seven training sessions per week swimming at least 7,000 m per session augmented with arm dominated, high intensity dryland exercises. The untrained group consisted of thirteen boys of similar age. The physical characteristics of all subjects are displayed in Table I.

Recent pilot studies have established that in our laboratory the optimal resistance for adolescent boys is 69 g.kg\(^{-1}\) and the subject must be allowed to overcome the initial inertia before the resistance is applied (Armstrong and Ellard, 1983).

The subject sat on a Monark cycle ergometer suitably adjusted for his leg length and with the required resistance of 69 g.kg\(^{-1}\) pre-set and held by an experimenter. The pedals of the ergometer were placed in the horizontal position ready for the subject to commence pedalling with his preferred leg. On the command "go" the subject, without rising from his seat, started to pedal as fast as he could until told to stop. An experimenter supported the resistance for exactly 5s at which point a timer and digital counter were activated simultaneously. The digital counter was electrically driven and recorded the number of wheel revolutions. Once the resistance had been applied the number of revolutions was noted every 5s throughout the 30s testing period. Strong verbal encouragement was given to all subjects. Power output was computed from the formula:

\[
\text{Power} = \text{no. of revs.} \times \text{resistance} \times \text{wheel circumference} / \text{time}
\]

Lactacid anaerobic power was calculated from the total number of revolutions in the final 25s of the test. Alactacid anaerobic power was computed using the peak number of revolutions in any 5s period and always occurred in the first 5s period recorded after the application of the resistance.

The anaerobic power of the swimmers' arms was determined in a similar manner. Our pilot work established that for the present subjects the optimal arm cranking resistance was 44 g.kg\(^{-1}\) and a corresponding procedure to that described for leg pedalling was used to determine alactacid anaerobic power and lactacid anaerobic power of the arms.

Aerobic Power Test

The peak oxygen uptake (peak \(\dot{\text{VO}}_2\)) was used as the criterion measure of aerobic power and it was determined using cycle ergometry in order to allow direct comparisons between aerobic and anaerobic power estimates.

Peak \(\dot{\text{VO}}_2\) with the legs was determined on a Monark cycle ergometer using a continuous, incremental protocol and a constant pedal rate of 60 rev.min\(^{-1}\). Following a three minute warm-up at an intensity of 88 W the exercise intensity was increased by increments of 29 W every three minutes until voluntary exhaustion. Each subject was given strong verbal encouragement to pedal for as long as possible with the intent of pushing him to his true maximum.
The swimmers’ peak VO$_2$ with the arms was determined by arm cranking a Monark cycle ergometer at a rate of 60 rev.min$^{-1}$. A three minute warm-up at an intensity of 29 W was followed by continuous, incremental increases of 29 W every three minutes until voluntary exhaustion. Each subject was given strong verbal encouragement to continue as long as he could.

The subjects’ respiratory gases passed via a low resistance valve into an on-line gas analysis system which was calibrated prior to each test against a Lloyd-Haldane chemical gas analyser and a Tissot gasometer. Heart rate was recorded using a bipolar lead.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The subjects’ mean maximal aerobic and anaerobic power outputs are presented in Table II. In absolute terms the mean power output of the trained group was significantly greater than the untrained group with each energy system (p < .01) but when power output was expressed relative to body weight the differences were insignificant (p > .01).

Several subjects did not exhibit an oxygen uptake plateau at the point of voluntary exhaustion but this is a well documented phenomenon in children (Åstrand, 1952; Cumming and Friese, 1967) and since each of our subjects was strongly motivated to reach his true maximum the peak VO$_2$ values were accepted as maximal regardless of whether a VO$_2$ pleateau was established. The maximum aerobic power of children using cycle ergometry is well documented (Shephard, 1971, 1978) and the mean values presented here compare very favourably with those reported elsewhere. Arm cranking VO$_2$ max in children is rarely determined but the data in the present study are similar to those we have previously reported using a biokinetic swimbench (Armstrong and Davies, 1981). The alactacid anaerobic power data fit neatly into the classification outlined by Pirnay and Crielard (1980) who concluded that in boys alactacid anaerobic power, as estimated by cycle ergometry, increases from 7.7 W.kg$^{-1}$ to 10.1 W.kg$^{-1}$ from the age of 12 to 19 years. We can find no other study with which to directly compare our arm cranking data although Bar-Or and Inbar (1978) used a similar test with younger boys and elicited absolute values substantially less than those reported here.

Several cycle ergometer tests involving supramaximal efforts, (i.e. power outputs greater than that necessary to elicit VO$_2$ max), ranging from 30s to 120s duration have been used to evaluate the lactacid anaerobic energy system (Cumming, 1973; Katch and Weltman, 1979). In the light of recent data which have illustrated that maximal exercise induces a more rapid VO$_2$ increase in children than in adults (Armstrong et al, 1982; Macek and Vavra, 1980a, 1980b) a 30s test seems to be more appropriate for use with children if the aerobic component is to be minimised. As the contribution of the lactacid anaerobic system to the power output during the initial 5s of pedalling against the resistance will be small we have chosen to utilise the power output during the final 25s of the test as our criterion of lactacid anaerobic power. There are no other studies with which to compare our data but the leg power output of our boys over the whole 30s period is in general agreement with data reported elsewhere (Bar-Or and Inbar, 1978; Grodjinovsky et al, 1980; Palgi, 1980). The swimmers’ arm power scores over the 30s period are substantially higher than those reported by other investigators using similar methodology with younger subjects (Bar-Or and Inbar, 1978; Inbar and Bar-Or, 1977).

The boys’ aerobic power with both arms and legs compares very favourably with adult data (Åstrand,
1952; Robinson, 1938) but the alactacid and lactacid anaerobic power of the legs are substantially less than adult scores obtained using similar methodology (Bar-Or, 1977; Taunton et al, 1981). This may be a function of the test in which the greater strength of the adult subjects enables them to cope with higher resistances. In contrast the swimmers’ arm alactacid and lactacid anaerobic power are comparable to the adult data presented by Ayallon et al (1974) in the only similar study available. This may be due to the high intensity arm training programme followed by the swimmers in the present study but we feel that the very low resistance utilised by Ayallon was probably less than optimal for his subjects.

TABLE III

Intercorrelation matrix (trained swimmers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. peak VO₂ (legs), ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. alactacid anaerobic power (legs), W.kg⁻¹</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. lactacid anaerobic power (legs), W.kg⁻¹</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. peak VO₂ (arms), ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. alactacid anaerobic power (arms), W.kg⁻¹</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. lactacid anaerobic power (arms), W.kg⁻¹</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All correlation coefficients are non-significant (p > .01)

In conclusion, all of the estimates of arm power and the estimates of leg aerobic power reported here compare very favourably with data reported elsewhere for both children and adults. The estimates of alactacid and lactacid anaerobic power of the legs compare favourably with other children’s data but are substantially less than the data available on adults. No relationship between estimates of the power of the three energy systems has been demonstrated with either trained or untrained boys and with the trained boys no relationship between estimates of the power of the same energy system utilised by different limbs has been found. The data therefore support a specificity hypothesis of energy utilisation during exercise by adolescent boys.
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CORRESPONDENCE

To the Editor:

Dear Sir,

ACROMIO-CLAVICULAR DISLOCATION

I thought you may be interested to learn of the “value” of an acromioclavicular dislocation. Recently I saw a 22 year old Rugby player in my clinic who had been troubled for some time with anterior knee pain. An incidental finding on general examination uncovered a dislocated right acromioclavicular joint. He told me that this injury had occurred three years previously and he had been told that no surgical treatment was necessary.

I do not intend to debate the need or possible techniques of surgical correction of acromioclavicular dislocation, but suffice it to say that this prop forward had not only a full range of pain-free movement of the affected shoulder, but used the injury to great advantage. He demonstrated the usefulness of this injury with me in the clinical area. He used the bony strut of the right shoulder to great effect to stab my cheek, and eye, and caused considerable discomfort by abrading my face. When he stood up from the scrum position his comment was “It’s great isn’t it, you can really aggravate the opposition with it. It is a shame I did not dislocate the other side as well”.

Could this case be regarded as “one in the eye” for those orthopods who advocate reduction and pinning of acromioclavicular dislocations?

Yours sincerely,

ANGUS HUGHES,
Locum Senior Orthopaedic Registrar