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ABSTRACT

Five trained ileostomates completed a marathon in a cool environment without ill effect. During the race, the ileostomy losses of sodium (1.0-2.7 mmol.h⁻¹) and of water (0.2-19 ml.h⁻¹) were small, but urinary excretion of sodium was very low (0.2-0.75 mmol.h⁻¹) despite drinking a combination of water and glucose-electrolyte solution. The concentration of potassium in the ileostomy discharge tended to increase, also suggesting a sodium retaining state. Healthy ileostomates after suitable training are successful marathon runners, but the prevalence of mild salt depletion in ileostomates generally suggests that it may be advisable for them to take only glucose-electrolyte solutions when competing at any ambient temperature or when preparing for a marathon which is to take place in a warm environment.

INTRODUCTION

After colectomy and ileostomy for ulcerative colitis, an ileostomate is very likely to return to work and full physical activities (Daly, 1968; Morowitz and Kirchner, 1981; Ritchie, 1971) including a wide range of sports (Daly, 1968; Kennedy et al, 1982). However, many studies have shown that states of mild or borderline salt depletion are very common in these people despite their apparent health (Clarke et al, 1967; Hill et al, 1975; Turnberg et al, 1978; Newton et al, 1982; Haalboom et al, 1983; Kennedy et al, 1983). Ileostomates with a large volume of ileostomy discharge are likely to have symptomatic salt and water depletion in warm weather (Gallagher et al, 1982). As endurance running could pose the same risk to ileostomates, this report details fluid and electrolyte balance data in a team of ileostomates competing in the 1983 London Marathon and experience of other ileostomates habitually running long distances.

METHODS

Subjects

Five adult males having had colectomy and ileostomy for ulcerative colitis entered the 1983 London Marathon as a team representing the Ileostomy Association of Great Britain (Table I). They and two other ileostomates used to marathon running, completed a questionnaire on their ileostomy function, diet, training programme and experience. None of the subjects had Crohn's disease, none had had additional abdominal surgery (e.g. resection of small intestine, cholecystectomy, gastrectomy).

Method of Study

Timed collections of urine and ileostomy discharge were made in each subject for 3-4 h before the race and 5-6 h subsequently to include the race period. The subjects were weighed in their running kit on calibrated beam balances, the blood pressure and pulse were taken and a 14 ml venous blood sample withdrawn. This whole procedure was repeated within 5 minutes of finishing the race. One subject refused venepuncture and another was misdirected at the race finish and did not have post-race examination and data are therefore not presented.
The blood, ileostomy fluid and urine samples were stored at 4°C for 24 h. The plasma was analysed by automated multichannel biochemical analysis (M300 Vickers) and automated haematological analyses (Coulter Counter) performed. Glucose concentration was measured in an oxalated plasma sample using a glucose oxidase electrode system (23A glucose analyser, Yellow Springs Instrument Co., Yellow Springs, Ohio). Sodium and potassium were measured in urine and a 1300 g x 15 min supernate of 50:50 w/v dilution of the ileostomy discharge using a flame photometer (IL 33 Instrumentation Laboratories).

Race Conditions
The marathon was run over a course mainly on the level but with an appreciable drop for the first five miles, in a temperature of 10.2°C, relative humidity 74%, wind speed 6-8 knots. The weather was cloudy with 7 mm rainfall before the start of the race. Atmospheric pollutants were:— smoke 20-30 μg.m⁻³ and sulphur dioxide 40 μg.m⁻³.

All runners were offered water or a proprietary glucose electrolyte drink (X-L 1, Collett-Marwell A/S, Asker, Norway) containing glucose 24.9 mmol.l⁻¹, fructose 33.0 mmol.l⁻¹, sodium chloride 5.6 mmol.l⁻¹ and citrate 7.6 mmol.l⁻¹ if correctly diluted according to the maker's instructions.

### RESULTS

All five ileostomates completed the marathon without ill effect in times of 3 h 5 min to 4 h 38 min (Table I), but observations and specimens were not obtained on subject 5 who unfortunately was misdirected away from the assessment room. Subject 4 refused venepuncture.

### Weight Loss

Weight losses of 1-3 kg were incurred, the greatest weight loss being in the fastest runner (subject 2, who also drank the least). Urine output (Table II) averaged 37 ml.h⁻¹ before the race (one subject could not produce any urine before the start) and increased in most subjects, two of whom had to urinate during the race. Because in these two the urine collection at the finish is an unknown fraction of the total input in the race, their urinary data are quoted as minimum outputs. Urine sodium concentration and output tended to fall, in one subject (number 5) to an unrecordably low level, despite taking glucose-electrolyte solution in addition to water drinking.

### Ileostomy Output

Volume of ileostomy discharge and its sodium concentration showed no uniform change in the group during the race but its potassium concentration tended to increase in contrast to the relatively unchanged urinary potassium concentration.

### TABLE I

Details of the five male ileostomates running the marathon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Ileostomy Age (years)</th>
<th>Previous Marathons</th>
<th>Finish Time</th>
<th>Weight Loss in Race (kg)</th>
<th>Blood Pressure Start</th>
<th>Pulse Start</th>
<th>Pulse Finish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 – 25</td>
<td>150/80</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 – 58</td>
<td>130/80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 – 38</td>
<td>140/80</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 – 59</td>
<td>125/75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 – 53</td>
<td>120/80</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II

Details of ileostomy function and urine output in four ileostomates running a marathon during race (R) and pre-race (P).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Volume drunk in race ml.h⁻¹</th>
<th>Volume P</th>
<th>Volume R</th>
<th>Sodium Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ P</th>
<th>Sodium Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ R</th>
<th>Output Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ P</th>
<th>Output Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ R</th>
<th>Potassium Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ P</th>
<th>Potassium Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ R</th>
<th>Output Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ P</th>
<th>Output Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ R</th>
<th>Output Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ P</th>
<th>Output Conc. mmol.l⁻¹ R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>&gt;2.3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>&gt;4.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>&gt;4.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urine collections during race in subjects 1 and 4 were incomplete.
potassium concentrations. Total losses of water (9.5-19 ml.h⁻¹, sodium 1-2.7 mmol.h⁻¹) and potassium (7-19 mmol.h⁻¹) during the race were small.

Plasma Biochemistry

Changes in plasma biochemical parameters are shown in Table III with reference values found in a group of 90 normal (colon-intact) competitors in another marathon run in a cool environment (Whiting et al, 1984). The ileostomates tended to have lower plasma sodium, higher urea and total protein than the normal marathon runners. Their plasma albumin levels were within the range for normal marathon runners, which was a little higher than for the reference normal for our laboratory. After the race, increases in blood urea, urate and bilirubin occurred in all subjects and these were all most pronounced in subject 2 who ran fast and lost the most weight.

Other parameters, notably plasma Na and glucose concentrations were unaffected. There was no significant change in haematoctit or platelet count and the neutrophil leucocytosis incurred was within the range expected for a marathon run (Maughan, 1983).

DISCUSSION

A wide range of sporting activities are undertaken by ileostomates (Kennedy et al, 1982) who are encouraged by their medical advisers to lead a full life. Running is an ideal sport for the ileostomate as it allows sustained aerobic exercise and competition without physical contact. Endurance running may increase the risk of salt depletion in ileostomates, who have lower total body sodium and water than colon-intact normal subjects (Turnberg et al, 1978; Clarke et al, 1967). After a period of adaptation (Levene et al, 1962) to ileostomy there is a flow from the stoma of about 8 ml.h⁻¹ under fasted, resting conditions (Ladas et al, 1983) and a sodium loss in the ileostomy ejeecta of about 70 mmol day⁻¹ which continues unchanged when sodium intake is reduced (Kramer, 1966) in comparison with normal faecal sodium losses of about 5 mmol day⁻¹. Ileostomates tend to take more salt than the remainder of the population (Bingham et al, 1982) but have small urine volumes and lower urinary sodium excretion (McNeil et al, 1982).

The group of ostomates in this study conform with this general picture, having low urine Na output, but were able to run a marathon, usually regarded as an achievement of the extremely fit. However, the data contain suggestions that the mild salt depletion has become more pronounced during the run, despite environmental conditions which would probably allow completion of a marathon with less than 100 mmol Na loss in sweat (Costill, 1972).

A large comparison group of normal subjects were not studied in this race but comparison with the data of Whiting et al (1984) from the 1982 Aberdeen Marathon, suggests that the ileostomates ran under some dehydration stress. The increase in plasma urate seen in subject 3 for example, is similar to those seen in subjects undertaking exercise without adequate fluid replacement (Somerville et al, 1980). This subject, who on the evidence of pre-race urine output, was the most dehydrated of the group, ran the fastest race and probably drank the least of the group. He consequently finished the race with the greatest weight loss (3 kg). Subject 1 however may have overdone the rehydration and had a large diuresis (>50 ml.h⁻¹) but still only excreted 0.2 mmol.Na h⁻¹.

Increasing dietary sodium intake may simply increase the loss of sodium and water via the stoma (Kramer, 1966) but consumption of glucose-electrolyte solutions by normal ileostomates over a four week period, increase their urinary Na excretion (Newton et al, 1982) and the use of glucose-polymer-electrolyte solutions is successful.

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma Concentration</th>
<th>Na mmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Urea mmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Creatinine mmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Uric acid mmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Calcium mmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Phosphate mmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Protein g.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Albumin g.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Bilirubin μmol.l⁻¹</th>
<th>AAT u.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Alk Phos u.l⁻¹</th>
<th>Glucose mmol.l⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal range for general population</td>
<td>135-50</td>
<td>2.5-8.0</td>
<td>30-110</td>
<td>&lt;4.7</td>
<td>2.1-2.6</td>
<td>0.6-1.4</td>
<td>62-82</td>
<td>30-43</td>
<td>&lt;23</td>
<td>&lt;43</td>
<td>20-90</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data for normal male marathon runners*</td>
<td>Mean 140 146 4.7 6.3 93 122 .36 .40 2.4 2.5 .87 1.2 67 72 47 51 11 16 26 34 73 87 5.3 5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 2 2 .9 1.1 9 22 .06 .07 .07 .12 .15 .25 2.9 8.6 1.8 2.8 5.0 6.3 12 12 18 20 1.0 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileostomates</td>
<td>Subject 1 133 137 4.8 7.3 42 51 .33 3.8 2.35 2.40 1.0 1.1 81 78 44 43 20 22 31 30 51 56 4.0 4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2 135 134 8.4 9.4 93 120 .42 .50 2.5 2.6 1.2 1.1 83 83 44 46 2 43 53 58 57 52 3.5 4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 3 134 133 7.0 8.1 54 106 .30 .38 2.4 2.8 0.9 1.1 84 81 45 45 13 23 47 58 68 64 4.9 3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values for non-ostomate male marathon runners from Maughan (1983)
in obtaining positive sodium balance in patients with high volume output jejunostomy or ileostomy (Griffin et al., 1982). For intact-gut subjects undergoing endurance exercise, no great benefit has been shown by drinking glucose-electrolyte solutions rather than drinking water (Costill and Sparks, 1973; White and Ford, 1983; Maughan, 1983), though this may not apply to the ileostomate who already is in borderline sodium and water balance.

Despite these theoretical hazards, one 63 year old ileostomate who completed the questionnaire had successfully completed a marathon in a temperature of 88° in Florida, whilst taking water and some glucose-electrolyte drinks. One female ileostomate has run a marathon in 3 h 22 min and takes no electrolyte supplement.

The increased incidence of urolithiasis in ileostomates (Maratka and Nedbal, 1964; Morowitz and Kirsner, 1981; Ritchie, 1971; Bambach et al., 1981) is presumably related to their low urine volume and low sodium and magnesium excretion, and marathon runners also share this risk (Milvy et al., 1981) for the same reasons and also possibly because of an increase in uric acid excretion during exercise (Somerville et al., 1980).

Plasma biochemical changes were otherwise unremarkable in comparison with normal (Whiting et al., 1984). Plasma sodium and albumin tended to be lower and total protein higher than other marathon runners but this may reflect the norm for ileostomates. An increased salt-retaining state is also probably reflected by the high potassium concentration in the ileostomy fluid post-race. Aldosterone and other salt retaining steroids increase potassium concentration in colonic and ileal contents (Kramer and Levitan, 1972) and higher potassium in ileostomy ejecta have been noted (McNeil et al., 1982) in those ileostomates with high plasma aldosterone. These salt-retaining states tend to occur in those subjects with high ileostomy volume output and in individuals who had had a colectomy for Crohn's colitis rather than for ulcerative colitis. It is noteworthy that none of the seven ileostomates on whom data were obtained had had colectomy for Crohn's disease.

What advice should be given to the ileostomate who wishes to undertake marathon running? There would seem to be no need to advise against endurance sports undertaken in a cool climate. Common sense attitudes towards training with a gradual increase in speed and duration of runs as in any other competitor should be advised.

Ileostomates in general tend to be a cautious, possibly introverted group (Kennedy et al., 1982) and may therefore be more likely than the average person to follow medical advice. Diet should pose few problems (Gazzard et al., 1978; Thomson et al., 1970). Avoidance of foods recognised as causing an increase in ileostomy fluid output such as onions, mushrooms, pineapple, would clearly be sensible before a race but ileostomates eat less vegetables than the general population (Bingham et al., 1982) anyway as they tend to avoid those foods which cause their ileostomy output to increase.

TABLE IV
Start (S) and finish (F) haematological data in three ileostomates completing a marathon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White Cells</th>
<th>Haemoglobin</th>
<th>Haematocrit</th>
<th>Platelets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ostomate Mean</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon Runners*</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal values for non-ileostomate marathon runners from Maughan (1983).

From the current study, a beneficial effect of glucose-electrolyte drinks is not proven, but in view of the evidence for borderline sodium depletion in ileostomates in general and the success of glucose-electrolyte drinks in improving their sodium balance (Newton et al., 1982) it would seem reasonable to advise that these should be taken when running in a warm climate or in the training period prior to a run in a warm climate. Ileostomates having a large volume of ileostomy discharge, usually those who have had Crohn's colitis or a second operation for obstruction or adhesions, are more likely to be sodium depleted and should therefore be more cautious. Ileostomates should be warned against taking large amounts of salt, which may have the effect of increasing the ileostomy dejecta volume (Kramer, 1968) by its osmotic effect.

Management of the stoma during the race or in training caused problems to two of the subjects. The continuous movement is likely to work the appliance loose if it is not carefully applied. One ileostomate was wearing a Seward type bag with a metal buckle which had rubbed the stoma causing some bleeding. Most ileostomates covered the bag with a cloth pouch to obviate skin chafing.

In conclusion, it might be said that some features of life with an ileostomy are well suited to marathon running: ileostomates are lighter by 4 kg than age- and sex-matched controls (McNeil et al., 1982) and at least some of this difference is not due to malnutrition.
but merely the absence of the weight of the colon and its contents. Many non-ostomate runners are troubled with diarrhoea (Fogoros, 1980) which typically starts shortly after beginning a run and may be sufficient to interfere with training and require specialist investigation. Nothing is usually found and it seems most likely that this is a variant of irritable bowel syndrome. Most marathon running ileostomates note a decrease in the ileostomy discharge during a race (personal observations by a questionnaire) which suggests that alteration of colonic motility and secretion is the origin of “runner’s trots”.
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BOOK REVIEW

Title: RHEUMATOLOGY — Bailliere’s Concise Medical Textbook
Author: David M. Grennen
Publisher: W. B. Saunders, Eastbourne
Price: £5.95

This book is written for medical students and MRCP examination candidates. It is well laid out with heavy type headings for each subsection and a reasonable index that makes revising for examination much easier. One of the strengths, for the student, of this little book of 225 pages, is the tables that list differential diagnoses and the diagnostic indices, for this is the way the student gleans many last minute facts, but an index of these would have been helpful.

There is plenty of detail of laboratory tests and their rationale: diagrammatic and photographic illustrations are generally clear but more precise indication to the medical student of what to look for would have been helpful, even when used, some arrows are hard to see. Small facts like the upside down knee X-ray and wrong figure reference (myelography) should easily be corrected in later editions.

Overall a well presented precis that still deals in detail with rheumatic disease including the numerous exotica that appear in this subject. What a shame that manipulation, which in its own right has spawned an industry, should summarily be dismissed and there are one or two treatment modalities that are not even mentioned in this area, but I expect that reflects the needs of examination rather than the requirements of the patients.

At the price it is good value and well recommended.

M. F. T. Read