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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this investigation was to determine if a new proposed arm ergometer protocol was advantageous in eliciting higher peak oxygen uptake (peak VO₂) when compared with two protocols currently referred to in the literature. Ten male subjects were tested on three different exercise protocols; a discontinuous test (DT), a continuous test (CT) and a new proposed jump-max test (JMT). The CT began at a work rate of 33 watts (W) (40 rpm) with the power output (PO) being increased 16 W every 3 minutes. The DT began without resistance on the ergometer flywheel (50 rpm) and the work rate was increased by 25 W every 3 minutes with a 1-minute rest between stages. The JMT began with a 3-minute pretest to determine a PO which elicited a HR of 120 ± 5 beat min⁻¹. After a 2-minute rest, subjects began exercise at the predetermined work rate (80 rpm) with the PO being increased 20 W each minute of the test. Oxygen uptake was measured minute by minute via open circuit spirometry. Peak VO₂ was higher (p < 0.05) in the JMT (X ± SEM = 2.36 ± 0.06 l.min⁻¹) when compared with either (X ± SEM = 2.16 ± 0.07 l.min⁻¹) or the CT (X ± SEM = 2.04 ± 0.10 l.min⁻¹). No difference (p > 0.05) existed in peak VO₂ between the CT and the DT. These data suggest that the proposed JMT may result in a higher measured peak VO₂ in subjects when compared with either DT or CT of moderate to long duration.
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INTRODUCTION

Interest in the physiological responses to arm work has grown over several years. Previous work has examined both the circulatory and metabolic response to arm exercise (Davies and Sargeant, 1974; Glaser et al, 1980; Sawka et al, 1980; Powers et al, 1984b), as well as the effects of work rate and speed on exercise efficiency (Powers et al, 1984a). In designing physiological experiments to study the acute or chronic effects of work using the upper body musculature, it is of interest to assess the peak oxygen uptake (peak VO₂) during arm exercise. Surprisingly, given the extensive use of arm crank ergometry in both physiological and clinical research, little attention has focussed on the type of protocol employed to measure peak VO₂ during arm exercise. At present, to our knowledge, only two studies have addressed the question of what is the optimal protocol for determination of VO₂ peak during arm work (Washburn and Seals, 1983; Sawka et al, 1983).

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if a new proposed arm crank ergometer (ACE) protocol was advantageous in eliciting a higher peak VO₂ when compared with two protocols currently used in the literature.

METHODS

Ten male subjects, age (mean ± SD) 21.8 ± 5.8 years and weight 77.8 ± 12.7 kg volunteered to participate in this study. Prior to participation, subjects gave informed consent in accordance with the Louisiana State University Committee on human research. All subjects were trained aerobically but none were engaged regularly in training using the upper body.

Subjects reported to the laboratory at the same time of the day on three non-consecutive days. On each occasion, the subject performed one of three randomly assigned incremental protocols designed to determine peak VO₂ for arm ergometry; a discontinuous test (DT), a continuous test (CT) and a new proposed jump max test (JMT).

The CT used the protocol proposed by Shaw et al (1974). Briefly, the test began at a work rate of 33 W (40 rpm) with the power output (PO) being increased by 16 W every 3 min. The test was terminated when the subject could no longer maintain the desired PO.

The DT required the subject to exercise 3 min while allowing a 1-min rest between stages as proposed by Schwade et al (1977). The test began with the subject cranking at a rate of 50 rpm without resistance of the ergometer flywheel. The PO was increased 25 W every stage until the subject could no longer maintain the required work rate.

The JMT began with a 3-min incremental pretest to determine a work rate that would increase the subject’s heart rate (HR) to 120 ± 5 beat.min⁻¹. The pretest began at a work rate of 30 W (80 rpm) with the PO being increased 20 W every 30 seconds until the subject reached the target HR (i.e. 120 ± 5 beat.min⁻¹). If the subject reached the target HR prior to 3-min, the PO was reduced by 50% for the remainder of the pretest. Following the pretest, the subject was given a 2-min recovery period. The JMT began at the work rate that elicited the target HR of 120 ± 5 beat.min⁻¹. Each stage of the JMT was 1-min in duration with PO increments of 20 W (80 rpm).

Each test was performed on a Monark cycle ergometer mounted on a table. An adjustable seat was used to position the subject’s shoulder at the height of the axis of rotation of the ergometer crankshaft and the arms were fully extended horizontally during cranking. Additionally, the legs were not braced and the feet were placed flat on the floor. Oxygen uptake (VO₂), carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) and expired ventilation (V̇e) were measured min-by-min via a Beckman Metabolic Measurement Cart which was calibrated prior to each experiment using standardised gases verified via gravimetric analysis. HR was determined over the last 15-seconds of each work rate using electrocardiographic recordings.

The data were analysed using analysis of variance. A Duncan’s multiple range test was used post-Hoc to determine where significant differences existed. Significance was established at the 0.05 level of confidence.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mean values (± SEM) for peak VO₂, peak HR, peak PO, peak VE, and total test time are contained in Table I. Note that the JMT differed from the CT in all the aforementioned variables. Further, the JMT differed from the DT in peak VO₂, peak PO and total test time. Finally, the DT differed from the CT in only peak PO and test time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Jump max</th>
<th>Continuous</th>
<th>Discontinuous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO₂ (l.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.06**</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR (beat.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>177.00 ± 4.00*</td>
<td>161.00 ± 6.00</td>
<td>171.00 ± 7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak PO (W)</td>
<td>190.00 ± 10.10**</td>
<td>106.00 ± 6.00</td>
<td>130.00 ± 7.20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VE (l.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>93.00 ± 7.90*</td>
<td>73.00 ± 5.90</td>
<td>83.00 ± 8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total test time (min)</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.30**</td>
<td>12.50 ± 0.90</td>
<td>23.80 ± 1.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < 0.05 different from both continuous and discontinuous tests
*p < 0.05 different from continuous test

These data clearly support the idea that the new proposed JMT is preferred in many respects to the CT and DT. For example, the JMT yielded a significantly higher peak VO₂ and peak PO when compared with either the CT or DT. Further, time required to complete the JMT was significantly shorter than that required to complete either the DT or CT.

Thus, these data support the idea that protocol selection to determine peak VO₂ for ACE is particularly important. Recent evidence has suggested that peak VO₂ during upper body exercise is probably limited by peripheral factors (i.e. local fatigue and muscle perfusion) rather than central circulatory factors (Glaser et al, 1980; Kamon and Pandolf, 1972; Magel et al, 1978). Therefore, it would seem that an incremental ACE test that reached peak power outputs rapidly could minimise the effects of local fatigue and thus elicit a higher peak VO₂ than protocols that require a longer work period. This appeared to be the case in the present experiments.

In addition, peak VO₂ values for arm work could also be affected by crank rate, as a slower cranking speed would necessitate development of greater muscular tension to perform the same PO (Sawka et al, 1983). At high levels of muscular tension,. Further, the CT in only peak PO and total test time. Finally, the DT differed from the CT in only peak PO and test time.

DISCUSSION

The total test time of the JMT is shorter than that of the CT (40 and 50 rpm, respectively) and thus decrease blood flow and limit oxygen uptake (Sawka et al, 1981; Sawka et al, 1983). The chosen crank rates for the CT and DT (40 and 50 rpm, respectively) were adapted directly from published literature (Shaw et al, 1974; Schwade et al, 1977). The decision to use 80 rpm for the JMT was based on the aforementioned concept of minimising intramuscular pressure at a given PO and data by Powers et al (1984a).

In summary, we believe that the proposed JMT to determine peak VO₂ during ACE offers advantages over previously published protocols in terms of time saving and the attainment of a higher peak VO₂ and PO.
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