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Summary
Testosterone and cortisol in male marathon runners (n=11) were determined in saliva samples (n=28) collected during the three rest days preceding a competitive marathon and in the samples collected at 08.00h on the race day. An Eysenck Personality Inventory was completed on the first rest day and psychological state was assessed on rest days and on the morning of the marathon by completion of visual analogue scales for anxiety, depression, hostility and libido at four times each day. Anxiety, depression and hostility were positively inter-correlated. Extraversion and depression were negatively correlated. At 08.00h on the day of the marathon, anxiety and hostility scores were significantly higher than those on rest days, but depression and libido scores were unchanged. No relationship was found between depression or libido and any hormonal parameter. Race day cortisol correlated negatively with hostility, and changes in cortisol (09.00h) between the race day and the mean rest-day levels correlated with the corresponding changes in anxiety.
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Introduction
As marathon running is a popular recreational activity, attention has been directed in this pilot study towards the introduction of techniques better suited to assessing the effect of strenuous exertion on endocrine function and on changes in mood. The runners' 'high' is well described as is also a feeling of 'well being' after running. This has been shown to be associated with plasma endorphin levels\(^1\). Exercise is also advocated as an effective therapy for chronic depression\(^2\), and it may well be that such increased endorphinergic activity contributes to the efficacy of this treatment.

Surprisingly little, however, is known concerning the relationship between mental state and stress hor-
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Although in a marathon run, the group activity covers only a relatively short period of time, it might be argued that 'conditioning of the mind' begins well in advance of the race itself with the clear identification of elite runners. Furthermore, despite the spatial separation which occurs during the marathon, it remains a group activity since runners pace themselves, in part, by relative distance from other participants.

Thus the possible interrelationships between hormonal and psychological indices of mood in marathon runners was of interest. Whilst monitoring changes in mood and endocrine function, both before and after the marathon, are worthwhile objectives, it was thought advisable in this pilot study to terminate collection of psychological data at the commencement of the run. Logistical considerations suggested that collection of data sheets, indicating mood change during rest days and just prior to the marathon, would be simplified and high compliance rates achieved by selection of a fixed point in time where all participants would be present.

Methods

Of 15 normal male recruits who participated in the Cardiff marathon, four were hospital staff, whereas the other 11 were from local running clubs. All participants were drug-free, had a mean age of 34.7 years (7.1 SD) and had participated in at least one previous marathon. All had been in training for at least two months prior to the marathon. Training schedules var-
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ied. The maximum distance run in training ranged from 35 to 70 miles per week. All trained well enough to complete the marathon, but none had expectations of winning. Lack of compliance in completion of questionnaires or in the collection of saliva caused four subjects to be omitted from this study.

Participants were instructed how to collect saliva (by allowing it to collect in the floor of the mouth and then gently spitting it out into wide-necked screw-capped tubes of 5 ml capacity). Samples were collected nine times a day at fixed times (08.00, 09.00, 10.00, 12.00, 14.00, 16.00, 18.00, 20.00 and 22.00 hours) on each of the three rest days preceding the marathon. On the day of the marathon, samples were collected at 0800 hours and again just prior to commencement of the marathon at 0900 hours. Although, during the marathon, sampling was based on distance run rather than time, after completion of the run the same sampling regimen as on rest days was resumed.

To facilitate collection and storage of the samples, participants were provided with a box containing the requisite numbers of tubes. As soon as practicable after collection, samples were deep-frozen at -20°C.

Assay procedure
Cortisol and testosterone concentration in saliva samples were determined by in-house radioimmunoassays. In brief, the procedure for cortisol was direct13, that for testosterone required solvent extraction4. Anti-cortisol sera were raised against the immunogen prepared from the same derivative (cortisol-3-OCMO) as that used for iodination and coupled to a magnetisable solid-phase antiserum. That for testosterone used a [H]-radioiodigand, and required dextran-coated charcoal for separating the antibody-bound and free fractions.

Within and between assay coefficients of variation for high, medium and low quality control samples for cortisol (29.3, 11.8 and 3.0 nmol L⁻¹) did not exceed 11 per cent and 13 per cent. The coefficients of variation for high and low quality control samples for testosterone (466 and 310 pmol L⁻¹) did not exceed 8 per cent and 9 per cent. The precision profile, constructed according to Ekins12, indicated that these assays had lower limits of sensitivity of 0.5 nmol L⁻¹ and 9 pmol L⁻¹ respectively. Measurement of cortisol and testosterone therefore required 50 μL and 200 μL aliquots of saliva respectively. All samples were processed for both cortisol and testosterone. Mean rest day values were calculated, as also were associated mean hormone ranges. The daily range was taken as the difference between the highest and lowest values for an individual on a given day, and the mean hormone range as the group mean of these individual ranges on that day.

Psychometric indices
On the three days prior to the run, and on the morning of the run, well accepted visual analogue scales were completed at 08.00, 12.00, 18.00 and 22.00 hours in order to monitor mood. These were 10-centimetre lines representing anxiety, depression, hostility, and libido14. The subject marks the line at the point which represents his mood at that time. The mid-point of the line represented ‘normal’ for the subject, and extreme ends represented ‘very anxious – calm and peaceful’, ‘sad and unhappy – joyful and happy’, ‘angry and aggressive – friendly’, ‘no sexy thoughts – extreme sexy thoughts’. The Eysenck Personality Inventory was also completed by each subject on the first of the three rest-days15.

The associations between hormonal concentrations and psychometric indices were assessed using the Pearson correlation matrix and its non-parametric equivalent, the Spearman rank correlation matrix.

Results and discussion
This communication describes initial attempts to examine possible interrelationships between changes in mood and endocrine function in the period leading up to a marathon. Endocrine function was assessed by salivary sampling, since this caused no pain or apprehension. The negligible disturbance in the life style or competitive ability of the runners caused by salivary sampling led to the ready acceptance of this technique for investigating endocrine activity. Acceptance of the visual analogue scales, used to assess changes in mood, was also good, and a number of significant associations between psychometric indices were demonstrated.

States of anxiety, depression and hostility were all positively associated (Table 1). Since these associations are expected, the data provide evidence for the validity of the visual analogue scales. Libido, defined here as tendency towards sexy thoughts, was negatively associated with hostility (r = -0.54). Libido and depression were also negatively associated, but this association did not reach significance (r = -0.44).

It is also of interest that extraversion, a ‘trait’, was associated negatively with the ‘states’ of hostility and depression.

There were no significant differences in anxiety, hostility, depression and libido between the three rest days. However, the anxiety score at 08.00 hours on the day of the marathon (70.6 ± 9.1; n = 11) was significantly greater than (P < 0.0001) the mean value of scores obtained at comparable times on rest days (44.6 ± 18.4; n = 33). Hostility scores at 08.00 hours on the day of the marathon (30.1 ± 21.4; n = 11) were also significantly higher (P < 0.05) than mean values on rest days (37.1 ± 17.3; n = 33). By contrast, depression and libido scores (43.6 ± 13.3; 38.8 ± 21.4) did not differ significantly from those obtained on rest days.

Associations were also sought between psychometric indices and hormonal parameters (Tables 2 and 3). In these athletes, neither the psychological states of anxiety, depression and libido nor the traits of extraversion and neuroticism was associated with any hormonal parameter. There was, however, a significant negative correlation between ‘race day 08.00 hours cortisol’ and ‘mean rest day hostility scores’. Since hostility and subservience are likely to show a
negative correlation, these data imply a positive correlation of cortisol with subservience. Studies in monkeys, have also demonstrated such a positive correlation. The score on the lie scale correlated positively with mean cortisol, cortisol range and mean 08.00 h cortisol on rest days. Since a high score on the lie scale indicates a tendency to give the expected and acceptable answer, this is a further indication of subservience. Thus, the correlation of the lie scale with cortisol also accords with the earlier findings of Keverne.

While the 08.00 h levels of cortisol on the race day were negatively associated with age, other cortisol parameters showed no such correlation, which is in keeping with consensus opinion that adrenal activity is not age-dependent. In marked contrast, age was negatively associated with all parameters of testosterone and this correlation was highly significant for race day 08.00 h values. Negative correlation of salivary testosterone with age in an unselected population has been previously reported. No correlation was found in this study between testosterone and libido. This is of interest since moderate running training has been previously associated with both reduced testosterone and, less convincingly, loss of libido.

Whilst anxiety itself did not correlate with any cortisol parameter, in the volunteers who completed the psychological scales just prior to the marathon (n=5), differences between 09.00 h control day and 09.00 h race day scores for anxiety were correlated (r=0.6) with changes in cortisol at these times. In this smaller group, the correlation failed to achieve significance. These data are shown in Figure 2. Sutton and Casey also noted a significant increase in pre-race plasma cortisol compared with rest day values and presumed this was due to 'stress'. Others conclude that plasma cortisol may increase before exercise but that this increase depends, in part, on fitness and training. The relationship between cortisol and anxiety reported in the present paper is essentially in keeping with those of Allen et al. who found no significant changes in hormone levels in the four to five week period preceding a stressful event (university examination) although anxiety, depression and distress increased. On the day of the examination, however, mood changes were associated with significant increases in cortisol.

The preliminary data presented here suggest an interrelationship between psychological and hormonal parameters in marathon runners. The response of endocrine systems to mood change may be subtle and subject to some delay. Thus effective monitoring of response requires multiple sampling regimens, for which the frequent easy collection of saliva is an attractive method. The combination of simple self-rating psychological scales and measurement of salivary steroids appears to be well suited for future investigations of possible associations between mood and endocrine function.
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