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Abstract
Development of the concept of “athlete’s heart” is traced through early clinical and radiographic studies to modern echo-cardiography and magnetic resonance imaging. It is noted that the lower limits of criteria for the diagnosis of a “pathological” enlargement of the heart have frequently been revised in an upward direction, as the prevalence of large hearts has been recognised in both endurance and power sports competitors who are in good health. Belief that hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is the commonest cause of sports related death in young adults is traced to weak diagnostic criteria and frequent republication of a very small group of cases. Although the existence of a congenital myocardial dystrophy is now well established, this condition is extremely rare, and has no particular predilection for athletes. Genetically based screening tests may become available in the future, but the exclusion of young adults from sports participation on echocardiographic criteria appears costly and ineffective. For most people, the development of a large heart is not a pathological sign — rather, it is a desirable outcome that will enhance performance on the sports field, and will allow longer independence in old age.
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Ostler commented “no man becomes a great runner or oarsman who has not naturally a capable if not a large heart”. Nevertheless, for many years a substantial proportion of sports physicians were worried that the large heart of the endurance athlete, far from being advantageous, was actually a risk factor for sudden death on the sports field “because it indicates undue strain or because of the danger of eventual degeneration”.

In the early days of the Olympic movement, Henschen was able to demonstrate enlargement of the heart in cross country skiers by careful percussion of the chest. He wrote “an enlarged heart is a good thing if it can perform more work over an extended period of time”. Other features that can be detected on simple clinical examination include a prominent, displaced apical impulse and a right ventricular lift. However, recognition of the large heart of endurance competitors became more widespread with the advent of radiography, as examination of the cardiac shadow began to supplement the very crude percussion techniques of clinicians such as Henschen.

At first, PA radiographs were used simply to measure cardiothoracic ratios. Investigators quickly found that the cardiothoracic ratios of many athletes approached or exceeded the anticipated normal limit of 0.5–0.7. Soon, German cardiologists with an interest in sports medicine had added a lateral view to the PA radiograph, and by taking three linear measurements from the radiographs, an estimate of cardiac volume was obtained. The measurement necessarily remained imprecise, for unless the x-ray machine was ECG triggered, the radiographs might have been obtained either in systole or in diastole! In an average young man, the reported volume was about 700 ml, but in an endurance athlete the figure could rise as high as 1000–1100 ml, apparently without adverse consequences for health.

Later, the radiographic information was combined with an examination of ECG voltages. Left ventricular hypertrophy was diagnosed if the sum of SV1 and RV5 exceeded 35 mm (3.5 mV), and right ventricular hypertrophy if the sum of RV1 and SV5 exceeded 10–5 mm (1.05 mV). Using such criteria, it was estimated that 14–85% of Olympic athletes and marathon runners had developed left ventricular hypertrophy. Other investigators developed more complicated evaluations of the ECG, based on voltage and non-voltage criteria, but correlations with radiographic, echocardiographic, or ultimate necropsy evaluations remained poor, in part because the hypertrophy of the chest muscles typical of many athletes attenuated electrocardiographic voltages.

At this period in medical history, many of the population suffered from cardiac enlargement due to after effects of rheumatic heart disease such as mitral regurgitation. In such individuals, the size of the heart increased with the severity of the disease process, and it is thus not surprising that many physicians, particularly in North America, also regarded the cardiac enlargement of an endurance athlete as an adverse sign. It is not easy to distinguish physiological from pathological hypertrophy on PA chest radiographs. In principle, there are important differences of appearance between the rheumatic heart and the heart of the endurance athlete. In a person with the end
results of rheumatic valvar disease, the enlarged cardiac shadow is due mainly to a poor ejection fraction, so that the end systolic volume of the left ventricular chamber is generally increased. In contrast, much of the increase in end systolic cardiac dimensions of the endurance athlete is due to a hypertrophy of the ventricular wall. The firm, rounded contours of the hypertrophied heart should thus stand in marked contrast with the sagging, distended form of a failing myocardium.40 However, in practice the PA cardiac silhouette is formed by variable contributions from the two ventricles and the atria, and it proved very difficult to distinguish the left ventricle from the other chambers. Many physicians were also slow to admit that they had erred in fulminating against competitors with a supposed pathological enlargement of the heart. Thus warnings against “athlete’s heart” continued until quite recently.17-20

Echocardiographic and magnetic resonance imaging data
As is commonly the case in medical science, technological developments – the introduction of wide angle, two dimensional echocardiography21-23 and magnetic resonance imaging24 25 – led to new interest in the “athlete’s heart” and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy as possible causes of sudden, exercise related death in athletes. Rost and Hollmann26 went so far as to describe hypertrophic myocardopathy as an “ultrasound-specific” disease. The new techniques allowed cardiologists to make relatively accurate measurements of both wall thicknesses and the dimensions of the ventricular chambers.27 A much clearer distinction could now be drawn between the distended heart of the person with cardiac failure and the strong hypertrophied heart of the person who was in good physical condition. Echocardiography also suggested differences between the heart of the endurance athlete, where the thicker ventricular walls seemed an adaptation to an increase in volume loading of the heart, and the heart of the competitive sports with an isometric demand, where there was a thickening of both septal and free walls of the ventricle without any increase in left ventricular end diastolic diameter.12 23 28-30 Nevertheless, the limits of resolution for echocardiography, approximately 2 mm for an individual measurement31 remain of a similar order to many of the differences that have been discussed, both between athletes and sedentary individuals, and between the healthy and those with supposedly pathological enlargement of the heart. As with many laboratory procedures, the methodology allows the detection of group differences but provides limited information on the status of the individual patient.

Echocardiographic studies have shown as many as 60% of endurance athletes with a posterior wall diastolic thickness that exceeded the supposed normal value of 11 mm.5 7 32 33 In consequence, normal limits for diastolic wall thickness have been revised upward, to 16 mm.34-36 18 mm,37 or even 19 mm.38 The new standards have left little margin of distinction between physiological hypertrophy and the 17-18 mm wall thickness typical of pathological forms of pressure overload (aortic stenosis, hypertension) or volume overload (aortic or mitral regurgitation).19 Moreover, it is hard to believe that the hypertrophy seen in the athlete has any pathological significance, since even very high values regress towards normality over several months of inactivity, and the regression of moderate hypertrophy can be even more rapid.39

Part of the apparent problem is dimensional. Many athletes have a body mass that is much above the population average, and, if the cardiac dimensions of endurance athletes are expressed per unit of lean body mass, only the septal thickness is usually greater than in control subjects.40 In resistance athletes, the body mass is usually very high, but the heart weight per kg of body mass remains normal.34-41

Diagnoses based on septal thickness and ventricular cavity ratios
In recent years, the diagnosis of pathological enlargement has been based upon the thickness of the interventricular septum and the calculation of various ratios. There is substantial hypertrophy of the interventricular septum in both endurance and resistance athletes, but again it is hard to accept this as a pathological finding, given its wide prevalence. Some 60% of basketball players and 83% of child swimmers have septal thicknesses that exceed accepted norms for sedentary populations. Many cardiologists have been concerned if the ratio of interventricular septum to left posterior ventricular wall thickness exceeded some arbitrary limit (commonly 1.3:1).12 But in fact septum to wall thickness ratios can rise as high as 2.0 in athletes, much higher than the values that are encountered in patients with pathological pressure or volume overload,43 44 and it has yet to be shown convincingly that the high ratios observed in athletes are either dangerous or pathological.12 Oakley and Oakley45 defined an athlete who had a ratio of 1.5. Four years after ceasing training, both the electrocardiogram and the echocardiogram of this individual were interpreted as normal.

Perhaps the most useful diagnostic measurement is the ratio of septal thickness to left ventricular end systolic or end diastolic diameter.46-48 Currently, the upper limit of normality for the septal thickness to end systolic diameter ratio has been set at 0.48 (3 SD above normal values).12 Other potential indicators of pathological enlargement include a discrepancy between cardiac dimensions and ergonomic performance29 and associated abnormalities of cardiac rhythm.

Cardiac hypertrophy and myocardial ischaemia
Many physicians have found it hard to believe that it is acceptable for the thickness of the heart wall to increase to the extent that is observed in top athletes. Although a little hypertrophy might help competitive performance, if a thickness or a thickness ratio
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increased beyond some figure (which it has been necessary to revise repeatedly in an upward direction), then they have maintained that the condition should be regarded as pathological, particularly if there are associated ECG abnormalities, limited ventricular compliance, or poor diastolic filling.54

It has been argued that as the cross section of the ventricular wall is increased, the diffusion pathway from the myocardial capillaries to the cardiac mitochondria is increased, leaving the heart more vulnerable to ischaemia and such fatal complications as cardiac arrest or ventricular fibrillation. Further, it has been recognised that in some instances, the situation of the enlarged heart is aggravated by a congenitally determined myocardial dystrophy,50-54 with consequent disturbance of the electrical pathway,55 mitral regurgitation,56 or hypotension.56

There are several fallacies in the argument that an increase in heart size necessarily predisposes the individual to myocardial ischaemia. Firstly, when the heart hypertrophies, there is generally a parallel development of the myocardial capillaries, as in hypertrophied skeletal muscle. Thus the blood supply to a hypertrophied heart remains at least as good as that to a hypotrophic heart. More importantly, the main determinants of myocardial hypoxia are (1) the relation of myocardial work rate to myocardial oxygen supply, (2) the tension developed in the ventricular wall, and (3) the relative duration of the systolic phase of the cardiac cycle.

(1) Relation of myocardial work rate to myocardial oxygen supply – The myocardial work rate during a bout of exercise is approximated by the product of heart rate and systolic blood pressure. Because of myocardial hypertrophy, the endurance athlete has a large ejection fraction, and can sustain a larger stroke volume than a less well trained person during vigorous exercise. From this, it follows that a given external task can be performed at a lower heart rate and a lower cardiac work rate than would be the case in a sedentary individual (although of course the athlete will usually exercise to a much higher peak work rate than an untrained person). The myocardial oxygen consumption at a given external work rate is correspondingly reduced in the athlete, so that during ordinary daily life the risk of myocardial ischaemia is much less than in a sedentary person.

(2) Tension developed in the ventricular wall – According to the Law of Laplace (as modified for a thick walled structure), the total tension exerted by the ventricular wall is approximately proportional to the product of intraventricular pressure and the average ventricular radius.57 In the endurance athlete, the large average radius of the ventricle may cause some increase of tension for a given arterial pressure. But because the cross section of the ventricular wall is also much greater in the athlete than in a sedentary individual, the force exerted per unit of wall cross section at any given level of intraventricular pressure is actually lower in the athlete than in the untrained person.55

(3) Relative duration of the systolic phase of the cardiac cycle – The tension in the ventricular wall is high during systole, irrespective of the individual’s training status. The high intramural pressure occludes perforating coronary vessels, and myocardial perfusion thus occurs mainly during diastole. Because ventricular hypertrophy is associated with a large stroke volume, the heart rate is slower and the relative length of the diastolic phase of the cardiac cycle is much longer for an athlete than for a sedentary person, both at rest and at a given submaximal work rate. This in turn allows greater myocardial perfusion in an athlete than in a sedentary person for much of the day, although training has little influence upon the heart rate during maximal effort.

Risk of sudden death during exercise

One of the main reasons for fear of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is that occasionally a young endurance athlete dies on the sports field.50-58 It is reasoned that cardiac hypertrophy provides an explanation of such deaths, and that the condition should have been detected by a careful preparticipation examination,59-62 despite growing evidence that attempts at preparticipation screening are not cost-effective.63

The causes of sudden, exercise related death in the young athlete are varied.50 64-67 Sometimes there is an undetected congenital anomaly – for instance, an abnormal origin of the coronary vessels – so that the chances of myocardial ischaemia during intensive exercise are increased. Sometimes there is an aneurysm of the circle of Willis which ruptures, causing death from cerebral bleeding. Sometimes there is an infection of the myocardium due to recent influenza or some other viral disorder. But where no other cause can be assigned, blame is attached to myocardial hypertrophy, particularly a hypertrophy of the interventricular septum. Details of the hypothesis have changed a little in recent years – in place of fears regarding myocardial ischaemia, many cardiologists now argue that myocardial hypertrophy affects the electrical conducting system, and that this predisposes to abnormalities of heart rhythm. Others maintain that the underlying problem is almost always an inherited dystrophy of cardiac muscle with a disarray of the myocardial fibres, and that there is little relation between ventricular hypertrophy and sudden death in the absence of this anomaly.56

Many investigators have attached blame to an excessive interventricular septal thickness, a finding commonly established only at necropsy examination. However, the dice seem heavily loaded in favour of proving that septal hypertension is associated with athletic deaths, since training thickens both the ventricular wall and the interventricular septum, and the necropsy examination is being performed upon a person who has died on the sports field.

In order to establish the postulated pathological significance of septal thickening, it would be necessary to make either a prospective comparison of outcomes between athletes with supposedly normal septa and those with thickened septa, or alternatively to carry out a blinded necropsy comparison between
athletes who died on the sports field and others at a similar level of training who were killed in traffic accidents. The practical problem in arranging a prospective trial of this type is that the sudden death of young athletes on the sports field is an extremely rare event. Most physicians who are interested in studying the question have difficulty in accumulating more than 10 or 20 personal cases over a lifetime of practice, and in only a small proportion of the 10 or 20 cases is myocardial hypertrophy even a potential explanation of the incident. The costs of organizing a prospective trial that would have a reasonable statistical probability of demonstrating a difference of septal thickness between athletes who die and those who survive would thus seem prohibitively large. Possibly, such a trial could be arranged on a cooperative, multicentre basis, by having each of a group of investigators identify and follow athletes where the septum appeared to be extremely thick, following also two or three control subjects with normal septa, but matched for their involvement in endurance sport.

An alternative approach would be to focus retrospectively upon those who have died during athletic competition. The distribution of septal thicknesses in this population could then be compared with that of a second group of endurance athletes who were killed in traffic accidents. In order to obtain adequate numbers, the trial would have to be organised on a multicentre basis, and one immediate obstacle would be that whereas details of the athletic involvement of a person dying on the sports field would be readily available at the inquest, it would be difficult to obtain adequate detail on the sports histories of those involved in traffic accidents, or indeed to ensure that other aspects of personal lifestyle were well matched between the two groups of cases.

We may conclude that (1) the case against cardiac enlargement is currently far from established, and (2) experimental obstacles are such that it will be difficult to obtain conclusive proof from either prospective trials or retrospective necropsy examinations in the foreseeable future.

**Sudden death from hypertrophic cardiomyopathy**

Some investigators have boldly claimed that hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is the commonest cause of death in young athletes.\(^{17-19,37-68}\) In fact, extreme forms of “athlete’s heart” are quite uncommon, even in highly conditioned athletes, and the total number of exercise related deaths is very low (for example, around 100 per year in the USA).\(^{69}\) Most incidents occur in the coronary-prone age range, and are probably due to coronary atherosclerosis rather than ventricular hypertrophy. A computerised search of the literature\(^ {64}\) covering a 50 year period unearthed a total of four likely cases of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in athletes who were under the age of 40 years.

The conclusion that hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is a common cause of exercise related death seems to have stemmed from a paper by Maron et al.\(^ {18}\) These investigators collected case histories on 29 athletes between the ages of 13 and 30 who had died in the USA over a period of several years; 19 of the 29 were said to have hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, although only in eight of the 19 did the septum to free wall ratio meet the minimum diagnostic criterion of 1.3/1.0. At best, the whole hypothesis seems to have been built upon less than two deaths per year across the United States! Others have used extremely loose criteria, classifying as “probable hypertrophic cardiomyopathy” all deaths where the cardiac mass exceeded 400 g in the absence of other systemic, valvar, or cardiac disorders.\(^ {37}\) Even when this simplistic approach was adopted, the total incidence across the USA was apparently only about five cases per year. Spirito et al.\(^ {66}\) have further pointed out that almost all reports of the condition around the world have come from two laboratories. The view that the condition is widely prevalent is due, at least in part, to a repeated description of the supposed 19 cases of Maron et al.\(^ {18}\) in a minimum of 25 independent publications.

There remains the possibility that the individual who dies while exercising is affected by an extremely rare inherited malfunction of the β myosin heavy chain,\(^ {69}\) but there is no particular reason for this disorder to affect endurance athletes. Moreover, the overall frequency of the anomaly is hardly high enough to form a basis for health policy.

**Epidemiological evidence**

In deciding upon the health significance of cardiac hypertrophy, we are left with a final epidemiological option. We know that on average, endurance athletes have a larger heart than sedentary individuals or competitors in other types of sport. What is the longevity of the endurance athlete relative to that of the general population?

A recent study by Sarna and associates\(^ {30}\) looked at a sample of 2613 athletes who had represented Finland in either the Olympic Games, the World championships, or the European championships between 1920 and 1965. Endurance athletes survived to an average age of 75.6 years, compared with 69.9 years in a sample of 1712 sedentary adults, and 71.5 years for those who had been Finish champions in power sports. Thus there was no evidence that participation in endurance activity had led to any shortening of lifespan – rather, survival appeared to be enhanced by such competition. Sarna et al. adjusted their data for a number of covariates, but the comparison remains incomplete, since there are likely to have been differences of personal lifestyle, particularly cigarette consumption, between the endurance athletes and the general population. The effect of cigarette smoking is sufficiently powerful to have masked any small disadvantage from exercise precipitated sudden death. Moreover, it is uncertain how far either endurance athletes or the participants in power sports continued their exercise involvement in the long interval between competition and the average age at death.
Given that any risk of death during exercise is extremely small, and we cannot design a satisfactory experiment to see whether cardiac enlargement contributes to this phenomenon, the best advice for the moment is probably to avoid costly and ineffective preparticipation medical examinations that could precipitate costly invasive procedures, cardiac phobias, and premature cessation of sports involvement in those successful athletes who have large hearts.

Benefits of cardiac hypertrophy

To this point, we have not considered possible benefits of cardiac hypertrophy. It is well established that cardiac hypertrophy is associated with a large stroke volume and thus a large maximum cardiac output. Peak oxygen transport in turn is closely associated with peak cardiac output. Thus the person with a large heart is likely to have a large maximum oxygen intake. In consequence, they are able to undergo any given physical task at a slower and presumably smaller fraction of their maximum oxygen intake. Many aspects of exercise related strain, from the increase of blood pressure to the sense of personal fatigue, are less for the person who has developed a large maximum oxygen intake.

If cardiac hypertrophy is advantageous for a young person, it becomes even more important for an older individual. Peak oxygen intake decreases progressively with each year of survival beyond early adult life. In a sedentary person, the loss averages about 500 $\mu$L·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ per year. Thus, by the age of 75–80 years, a sedentary person lacks a sufficient margin of aerobic power to climb even a slight slope without becoming excessively breathless. Soon, even the minor chores of daily life become very fatiguing, and the last 10 years of life are spent in growing institutional dependency.

The rate of aging in an endurance athlete is difficult to ascertain, because the volume of training is often reduced as a competitor becomes older. But tests on those who have maintained their training programmes suggest that the absolute rate of deterioration may be a little slower than in a sedentary person – a number of estimates for active individuals lie in the range 400–450 $\mu$L·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ per year. Given a much higher initial aerobic power, and possibly a slightly slower aging effect, the endurance athlete has a much greater maximum oxygen intake than a sedentary person at any given age during their retirement years. Equating subjects in terms of oxygen transport rather than chronological age, the advantage to the endurance competitor may be as much as a 10–20 year reduction of biological age. Thus such a person does not need institutional support until an age of 90 or even 100 years. Given that regular exercise has a much smaller influence upon the survival prospects of an elderly person, the probability is that an endurance athlete will die before physical condition has deteriorated to the point that extensive institutional support is required.

This seems an important argument in favour of developing and maintaining a large heart. Physicians have for too long worried about the one in 10 million chance that athletic participation might cause sudden death, while neglecting the growing problem of sedentary senior citizens who require full time care in geriatric wards. Survival prospects are probably increased somewhat by endurance exercise. But survival alone is not the most important goal of treatment. The true assessment of medical advice is its impact upon quality adjusted life expectancy. And in such terms, a large heart is indeed beautiful.

Conclusions

Although ventricular hypertrophy is sometimes suggested to be the commonest cause of sudden exercise related death in the young adult, the total number of exercise induced deaths is very small, and their relationship to ventricular hypertrophy is far from established. There is a rare inherited form of myocardial dystrophy that predisposes to sudden death, but this is not common to athletes. Detailed preparticipation studies of an athlete’s heart are unwarranted unless there is a family history of early cardiac death. In general, the “athlete’s heart” is a beneficial adaptation to training, enhancing work capacity and reducing the likelihood of dependency in old age.
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