Postural corrections after standardised perturbations of single limb stance: effect of training and orthotic devices in patients with ankle instability
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Abstract

Objective—Soccer players with functional instability of the ankle joint have shown impairment of postural control in single limb stance. The aim of this study was to examine the effect of stance perturbation. Methods—A standardised method for the study of postural corrections after perturbation (Equitest) was used. Female soccer players with and without functional instability were examined. Results—The subjects showed a relative change from ankle to hip synergy at medially directed translations of the support surface. This impairment was restored after eight weeks of ankle disk training. The effect of a shoe and brace did not exceed the effect of the shoe alone. Conclusions—Functional instability seems to be related to impaired ability to retain equilibrium in single limb stance by means of ankle corrections. A positive effect of ankle disk training leading to functional restoration was confirmed.
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Injuries to the lateral ligaments of the ankle are among the most common injuries occurring in sports and active life. The most common residual disability after both conservative and surgical treatment is called “instability”. Two forms of instability are known. Mechanical instability can be defined as lack of adequate stabilising structures and mobility exceeding the physiological limits; the cause is injury to the capsulo-ligamentous structures. Functional instability can be defined as recurrent sprains or a feeling of giving way of the ankle, or both; the cause is not clear. Freeman, who was the first to discuss this disability in functional terms, found functional instability in 40% of patients who had sustained lateral ligament injury. He suggested that the main cause was proprioceptor damage to the joint, producing proprioceptive deficit and impaired postural control. Other proposed causes include muscular weakness, occult instability, and tarsal coalition.

It has been suggested that the regulation of posture and stance is organised in hierarchical and stereotypic patterns. Automatic responses to perturbations, based on two patterns of trunk-leg coordination, have been postulated, including motions of the ankle, knee, and hip joints and associated muscle synergies. The first is the “ankle strategy”, when muscle contractions start at the ankle and a torque is generated which rotates the body towards the support surface. The other pattern is “hip strategy”, when the hip is flexed or extended in the direction of the sway perturbation. A shear force is then generated against the support surface, which moves the centre of mass back over the centre of support. However, these data are based on studies of postural control in the sagittal plane in two-leg stance. Few data exist concerning postural control in the frontal plane in single limb stance. While the centre of gravity moves forward in walking and running, the support limb places the support area beneath the centre of gravity, and anterior-posterior force represents the transfer of body weight along the line of progression. Implicit in the mediolateral force are postural adjustments relative to the narrow support area. We suggest that the mediolateral component of postural control in single limb stance resembles postural adjustments in the single support phase in walking and running.

Stabilometry, an objective measurement of postural sway by means of the trajectory of the application point for the reaction force, has been used to confirm that ankle joint function correlates well with the ability to maintain equilibrium in single limb stance. When the ankle is functionally normal, it can govern corrections of posture. If this motion pattern of postural control is insufficient, equilibrium has to be maintained by movement of upper segments of the body. Impaired postural control indicates that the risk of ankle joint injury is increased even in previously uninjured soccer players. In transverse direction, the body initially aims at keeping movements controlled by supporting the tibial and ankle joint movements. If the muscular torque fails and the end of the physiological range of motion is reached, the capsuloligamentous structures are loaded. If they fail, a sprain will occur. The two malleoli hold the talus on each side, provided that the distance between the talar and medial malleolus is unchanged. This condition is fulfilled only when the malleoli and the ligaments of the inferior tibiofibular joint are intact. Soccer players with previous ankle problems seem to be injury-prone. Players
with functional instability had pathological stabiliometry values, but the degree of mechanical instability was not reflected in the figures. This means that the ankle injury is related to defective postural control and functional instability with a risk for renewed injury.

Two non-operative methods of treatment have been suggested: braces, such as the Air Stirrup, and ankle disk training. The latter improves postural control and reduces the risk for injury.

The aim of the present study was to use an available standardised method for the study of postural control and examine the relations between ankle and hip synergies. We also studied the latency of the postural responses after perturbation in order to investigate ankle joint function in single limb stance after perturbation of the support surface.

**Methods**

The method used in this study was developed by Lew Nashner (Equitest, Neurocom International Inc, Clackamas, Oregon, USA). It has been used for different purposes in the study of postural control. An objective measurement of stance has been available by means of static posturography such as stabiliometry, which is the quantification of postural sway on a stable platform. However, a dynamic test stresses the postural capacity. This test is performed while the subject stands on a dual force plate enclosed by a visual surround. The platform can be made to move in the anterior-posterior direction by means of a hydraulic servomotor. Embedded within the load cells of the force platform are strain gauges which measure vertical and horizontal (shear) reactive forces exerted against the support surface.

A standard method has been developed for the two-legged stance in the sagittal plane. The force plate system is divided into two semi-independent plates, originally meant for each foot but in this study placed at right angles to the supportive foot so that the anterior part of the foot is on one plate and the posterior on the other. The two force plates were moving together.

Recordings were done with the subject looking straight ahead at a fixed point. The subject stands on one foot on the forceplate, and the other leg is raised and flexed at the knee. The arms are crossed over the chest (figure).

The computerised Equitest records the resultant vertical force in anteroposterior and mediolateral positions. A simple external biomechanical model is used, which is based on the hypothesis that ankle rotation is performed in the plane of the force plate. The sway and the alignment of the centre of mass is calculated. At “ankle strategy” the postural sway of the body is caused by the body rotating as a rigid mass about the ankle joints. In that case there are no horizontal components of force exerted against the support surface. The alternative “hip strategy” means that hip joint activity generates horizontal forces against the support surface that are proportional to the second derivative (acceleration) of the hip joint angle. Conversely, changes in vertical force position during hip sway only occur when the hip sway also causes changes in the centre of mass sway angle.

Constant velocity translations of both plates in forward or backward directions produced mediolateral sway in a direction 90° to the subtalar axis.

The translations were of different sizes and the amplitudes have been adjusted to compensate for differences in subject height. The duration of each translation is the same regardless of patient height (table 1).

For translation trials, delay times between trials were random, between 1-5 and 2-5 s. The mean for three successful recordings is used for the evaluation.

Small and medium translations were used (table 1). Latency is defined as the amount of time it takes after a perturbation starts for the patient to begin actively resisting the perturbation. Measurements start at 100 ms, which is just below the fastest possible human response to force plate perturbations. Active force response latencies are determined separately for the force plates, and consequently for the anterior and posterior parts of the foot. We

---

**Table 1** The following formulas show how the translation sizes are adjusted to patient height (H, m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation size</th>
<th>Linear amplitude</th>
<th>Equivalemt sway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small translations</td>
<td>Amplitude (10 m) = (1.27H)</td>
<td>0-7 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (ms) = 250</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium translations</td>
<td>Amplitude (10 m) = (3.18H)</td>
<td>1-8 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (ms) = 300</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large translations</td>
<td>Amplitude (10 m) = (5.71H)</td>
<td>3-2 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (ms) = 400</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
used the fastest of the two response latencies for evaluation. The Equitest determines the variations in the mediolateral positions of the centre of vertical force on the plates (perpendicular to the subtalar joint axis of the actual ankle).

Strategy scores show the amount of ankle and hip joint motion used by the patient to correct for the small and medium force plate displacements in medial and lateral directions. Because hip sway and horizontal forces are related by a second order differential equation, it is0.1136

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ankle strategy</th>
<th>Medial</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
<th>Medial</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small</strong></td>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td><strong>Small</strong></td>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>192 151</td>
<td>200 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before training</td>
<td>23 16</td>
<td>26 13</td>
<td>10 8</td>
<td>6 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>205 161</td>
<td>192 166</td>
<td>73 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before training</td>
<td>18 19</td>
<td>28 22</td>
<td>14 14</td>
<td>17 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After training</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>205 156</td>
<td>191 169</td>
<td>82 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 16</td>
<td>18 15</td>
<td>6 17</td>
<td>8 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>Without shoe</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>172 152</td>
<td>207 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>60 10</td>
<td>26 17</td>
<td>4 23</td>
<td>5 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoe + brace</td>
<td>191 152</td>
<td>216 168</td>
<td>86 64</td>
<td>98 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>27 13</td>
<td>21 14</td>
<td>7 19</td>
<td>5 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05.
injured due to the inverting external torque. This situation can be defined as an unstable position and may be the pathomechanism for "functional instability". 

The applied point of application of the reaction force represents the point around which the body is rotating, affected by the torque produced by the gravity force lever arm (the distance between the point of application of the reaction force and the line of action for gravity force). It is postulated that no shear forces are produced if isolated ankle synergy is used. This is not possible unless the subject is in a complete static position without restitution of the centre of gravity position. If the centre of gravity is accelerated, a shear force is produced according to \( F = ma \). The force \( F \) is generated either by the above described misalignment of the centre of gravity over the centre of force (ankle synergy) or by hip motion (hip synergy). 

We have shown that the size of the shear forces increases with hip synergy compared to ankle synergy, and the actual calculation therefore seems relevant, as it gives a relative measure. However, it cannot be used as an absolute measurement. Hip synergy must be taken into account if the centre of gravity is displaced near or even next to the border of the area of support, or if a large disturbance torque is exerted which cannot be counteracted by the ankle alone. The hip is actively moved and the centre of gravity trajectories back over the area of support. Large shear forces are produced due to acceleration forces counteracted by friction from the ground. This is the mechanism of hip synergy, which provides the opportunity to use larger muscle groups acting directly on the upper segments.

At translation the area of support is moved away from the line of gravity. The horizontal shear forces between foot and plate are initially created by the body's inertia. Measurements start after 100 ms, when the correctional movements produce their effects. The subject's aim is to move the body back over the area of support. Medium translation moves the subject a longer distance within the same period of time. It is therefore natural that hip synergies are used to a larger extent at medium than at small translations. Ankle synergy is sufficient only in the theoretical situation when ankle motion can displace the centre of pressure lateral to the line of gravity. If the perturbation appears when the line of gravity is in the periphery of the narrow support area and the ankle is already in a corrective position, there will be greater postural problems and hip synergies have to be taken into account.

The impaired strategy after perturbation among subjects with functional instability is in line with our previous results. The main finding is that ankle function is related to coordination. Impaired function is related to a change from ankle synergy towards hip synergy for postural adjustments. A restitution has also been shown previously. However, this study shows the value of including the type of synergy as a qualitative variable in the pattern of motion. The sensory proprioceptive function at the ankle was not directly evaluated, but if there had been any deficit, latency would probably have been affected. This was not seen.

It has been suggested that bracing improves proprioception and restores impaired postural control. However, the findings of these investigations involved subjects without shoes. Our results show that the amount of improvement in ankle synergy shown could probably have been provided by wearing shoes. Even if a brace were to improve postural patterns without shoes, the effect would not be clinically significant.

The variables that were impaired among subjects with functional instability were small and medium translations in the medial direction. Although the following correctional motion is evasion, we know from a previous study that this provocation gives an initial short period (20–30 ms) of inversion.

Different results have been presented aimed at studying the role of functional instability. Two types of correctional movements are generated. Although central programming of postural response patterns has been suggested, peripheral sensory information might modify the patterns. Diener et al have shown 70–100 ms latency responses in the ankle muscles after surface perturbations. The "long loop" responses are not simple unmodified reflexes. We can see that no change in latency was produced by training. Instead, a change in the relation between ankle/hip synergies was seen, suggesting that the postural correction pattern was modified by training. The Equitest system is standardised, simple to use, and provides the possibility of evaluating patients with functional instability of the ankle joint.

In conclusion, we found that female soccer players with functional instability of the ankle joint had less ankle synergy on postural perturbation. Recordings at dynamic equilibrium and dysequilibrium after perturbation showed that regulation of posture is primarily based on corrections at the ankle joint. Ankle disk training caused a restitution of the postural patterns. An ankle brace did not alter the postural patterns, but an improvement was seen when a shoe was worn.
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