

Eccentric and concentric isokinetic knee flexion and extension: a reliability study using the Cybex 6000 dynamometer

Raymond Che Tin Li, Yi Wu, Nicola Maffulli, Kai Ming Chan, Julie L C Chan

Abstract

Objective—To determine the reliability of the Cybex 6000 isokinetic dynamometer in measuring the knee muscle performance concentrically and eccentrically.

Methods—18 male and 12 female subjects with no previous knee injuries, who had not previously undergone any isokinetic testing, were studied. The flexor and extensor muscles groups of both knees were tested at 60° s⁻¹ and 120° s⁻¹ with the continuous concentric-eccentric cycle testing protocol. Variables studied included peak torque, total work, and average power. The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC 2,1) was used to determine the reliability with P < 0.05.

Results—Peak torque showed significantly greater ICC than the total work and average power, with test-retest reliability ranging from 0.82 to 0.91 for peak torque, from 0.76 to 0.89 for total work, and 0.71 to 0.88 for average power. Average variability for the three variables studied ranged from 9% to 14%. The ICCs for the three variables studied were significantly greater at 120° s⁻¹. The knee extensor muscle group showed greater test-retest reliability, and the results of isokinetic testing in the concentric contraction mode were more reproducible.

Conclusions—The Cybex 6000 isokinetic dynamometer shows high reliability in measuring isokinetic concentric and eccentric variables. Some fluctuation should be allowed when evaluating variations of muscle performance between tests.

(Br J Sports Med 1996;30:156-160)

Key terms: reliability; isokinetic testing; concentric contraction; eccentric contraction

Isokinetic assessment of muscle performance is widely used for epidemiological studies, for performance prediction, and for rehabilitation purposes.¹⁻⁹ Several such dynamometers are now commercially available, the existing dynamometers are constantly upgraded, and new brands are coming on the market.

Several investigators studied the reliability of various isokinetic muscular variables.^{1 2 10-29} Harding *et al* studied test-retest reliability of concentric peak and average torques of the knee extensor and flexor muscles using the Kin-Com.¹³ Feiring *et al* measured peak torque and total work output of knee extensor and flexor muscles using the Biodex dynamo-

meter.³⁰ In both studies, high test-retest reliability interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were shown (ICCs 0.936 and 0.952, respectively).

Using the Kin-Com, eccentric peak torque values of the knee extensor muscle group showed excellent reliability (ICC 0.94),¹⁴ while Tredinnick and Duncan¹⁰ reported moderate to good reliability (ICCs 0.47 to 0.86) for eccentric peak torque of the knee extensor muscle group. Using the Lido Active dynamometer, McCrory *et al*¹² reported that the reliability of eccentric contractions of the knee extensor muscle group was less good than concentric reliability, and Steiner *et al*,¹ in subjects both with and without tibio-femoral pathology, reported moderate to excellent reliability in average peak torque (ICCs 0.58 to 0.96), total work (ICCs 0.63 to 0.93), and power (ICCs 0.67 to 0.93) for knee eccentric muscle performance.

Recently, Frontera *et al* studied test-retest reliability of the knee extensor and flexor muscles in 178 45 to 78 year old subjects of both sexes using the Cybex II+ dynamometer.¹⁹ They concluded that at least two tests should be performed to determine average peak torque accurately in older subjects.

Bandy and McLaughlin¹⁷ studied the intra- and interdynamometer reliability of concentric muscle contraction using the Cybex II+ and the Cybex 6000, concluding that the two dynamometers were comparable when measuring concentric muscle contraction.

In this study we determined the reliability of the Cybex 6000 isokinetic dynamometer by measuring knee flexor and extensor muscle performance both concentrically and eccentrically.

Methods

The knee flexor and extensor muscle groups of the dominant and non-dominant knee were tested in 18 males (age 27, SD 6.9 years) and 12 females (age 26, SD 5.8 years) (table 1) with no previous knee injury. Dominance was determined by asking the subjects to kick a ball placed in front of them. None of the subjects had previously undergone isokinetic testing.

Table 1 Demographic data

Subjects	n	Age (years)		Height (cm)		Weight (kg)	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Male	18	27	6.9	174	4.19	67	6.67
Female	12	26	5.8	163	3.65	54	4.72

Hong Kong Centre of Sports Medicine and Sports Science
R C T Li, research physiotherapist
K M Chan, professor

Haushan Hospital, Shanghai Medical University, Peoples' Republic of China
Y Wu, medical officer

Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Chinese University of Hong Kong
N Maffulli, visiting lecturer

Department of Physiotherapy, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong
J L C Chan, physiotherapist

Correspondence to:
Mr Raymond Che Tin Li,
Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology,
Room 74039, 5th Floor,
Clinical Sciences Building,
Prince of Wales Hospital,
Shatin, New Territories,
Hong Kong.

Accepted for publication
2 August 1995

DYNAMOMETER

All tests were performed using the Cybex 6000 isokinetic dynamometer calibrated before and after each test according to the manufacturer's instructions.³¹ RCTL performed all the calibrations and tests, which were always supervised by at least one of the other authors.

TESTING PROTOCOL

Several protocols have been used to test knee flexor and extensor muscles performance concentrically and eccentrically.^{2 11 13 18 32 33} Some examples are isolated concentric knee extension,^{18 32} concentric knee extension-flexion cycles with¹³ and without¹¹ pause, continuous passive movement mode,¹² and concentric-eccentric knee extension cycle with¹⁰ and without^{1 2 32 34-38} a pause between cycles. The continuous concentric-eccentric contraction cycle,^{1 2 33 35-38} originally described by Bennett *et al*³³ and subsequently used by Kramer,² was applied in this study.

The knee extensor and flexor muscle groups were bilaterally tested at the angular velocities of 60° s⁻¹ and 120° s⁻¹ with five cycles at each velocity. The muscle contraction variables measured were average peak torque, average peak torque, total work output, and average power.

POSITIONING

Each subject was positioned on the knee testing table of the Cybex 6000 isokinetic dynamometer with the stabilisation straps across the chest, and a horizontal pad over the middle third and proximal half of the distal third of the thighs.³¹ The trunk was leaning against the back rest of the testing table. This was inclined so that the hips were at an angle of 110° with the trunk. The knee joint axis was aligned with the mechanical axis of the dynamometer. The shin pad was placed just superior to the medial malleolus, and the two stoppers were anchored to allow 85° of movement from 5° to 90° of knee flexion.³¹

TEST SEQUENCE

Each subject was tested on two separate occasions at the same time of the day (± 2 h) 4 to 10 d apart, using the same warm up routine and the same testing protocol. On the first visit, demographic data were obtained, and leg dominance was determined. Before starting the actual test, a standard adaptation session included a detailed explanation of the difference between concentric and eccentric contractions, and gave the opportunity for the subject to experience how these muscle actions were going to be tested. Before the test, each subject performed a 3 min general warm up including stretching exercises to the lower limb muscles. Immediately after the warm up, each subject was positioned on the knee testing table. Each test consisted of a trial phase of three continuous concentric-eccentric cycles, a 1 min rest, and an actual test phase of five continuous concentric-eccentric cycles. Of the three trial cycles, the first two were submaximal, and the final one was maximal. Subjects received verbal encouragement to

produce maximal efforts throughout the five cycles of the actual test phase.

The knee extensor muscle group of the dominant limb was tested first at 60° s⁻¹, and then at 120° s⁻¹, with a 2 min rest between the two speeds. Each subject was instructed to extend the knee from 90° to 5° of flexion against the shin pad of the dynamometer arm during the concentric phase, and then to resist the dynamometer as it pushed in the opposite direction from 5° to 90° of knee flexion during the eccentric phase.

After a 3 min rest, the dominant knee flexor muscle group was tested. Each subject was instructed to flex the knee from 5° to 90° during the concentric phase, and then to resist the dynamometer from 90° to 5° of knee flexion during the eccentric phase. The non-dominant knee was then tested in exactly the same manner as the dominant one after a 5 min rest.

DATA ANALYSIS

Although it is acknowledged that there can be significant interactions between dominant and non-dominant sides, the results for each limb were considered separately. Data were entered in the Microsoft Excel V.4.0 database.³⁹ Descriptive statistics was calculated. A paired *t* test was used to compare the averages of all the individual variances between the two tests.

The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC 2,1) was used to determine the reliability of peak torque, average torque, total work and average power obtained from each test.

The percentage difference for all the variables between the two tests were calculated using the formula:

$$\frac{\text{Result of the 1st test} - \text{result of the retest}}{\text{Result of the 1st test}} \times 100$$

Significance was set at $P = 0.05$.

Results

Descriptive statistics concerning peak torque, total work, and average power for all the muscles tested in males and females in both tests, and the percentage difference between the two tests, are given in tables 2, 3, and 4.

Males were significantly stronger than females, and were able to produce a higher total work and to express a significantly higher average power during the tests (Student's *t* test, $0.05 < P < 0.001$) (tables 2, 3 and 4).

No significant dominance or gender differences were found in the ICC values for peak torque, average torque, total work, and average power between the two tests ($P > 0.05$, paired *t* test).

All the variables studied (peak torque, total work and average power) showed high reliability between the two tests with a significance of less than 5% (tables 5 and 6). The averages of the percentage differences for peak torque were 9.5(SD 2.07)% for the knee extensor muscle group and 9.02(3.18)% for the knee flexor muscle group; for total work the values were 11.9(3.95)% for the knee extensor muscle group and 13.7(2.58)% for the knee

Table 2 Peak torque (in Nm) and percent difference (% Diff) values during eccentric and concentric contractions of knee extensor and knee flexor muscles in session 1 and 2. Values are mean(SD) ($P < 0.01$).

	Knee extensor muscle			Knee flexor muscle		
	Session 1	Session 2	% Diff	Session 1	Session 2	% Diff
Male (n = 36)						
Concentric						
60° s ⁻¹	176.2 (37.0)	173.5 (34.8)	7.0 (4.3)	93.5 (15.6)	96.1 (17)	11.5 (6.9)
120° s ⁻¹	148.8 (34.1)	152.4 (28.6)	8.3 (7.2)	90.4 (17.4)	93.7 (18.1)	9.3 (7.5)
Eccentric						
60° s ⁻¹	195.0 (46.7)	193.1 (53.5)	10.6 (7.5)	105.2 (17.8)	103.0 (22.3)	6.5 (4.7)
120° s ⁻¹	196.9 (57.0)	197.0 (54.4)	11.6 (15.0)	111.7 (22.4)	105.6 (25.1)	9.9 (6.5)
Female (n = 24)						
Concentric						
60° s ⁻¹	99.5 (16.6)	100.5 (17.9)	6.6 (5.1)	55.7 (7.7)	54.8 (9.0)	6.3 (5.7)
120°	82.0 (13.7)	84.0 (12.3)	11.0 (9.2)	52.4 (10.4)	51.7 (9.3)	4.5 (3.0)
Eccentric						
60° s ⁻¹	122.2 (30.7)	124.6 (30.4)	11.9 (8.4)	64.8 (13.8)	62.3 (13.2)	9.8 (9.7)
120° s ⁻¹	125.9 (30.1)	121.2 (31.7)	8.9 (7.9)	66.9 (15.8)	65.9 (10.9)	14.4 (10.9)

Table 3 Total work (in J) and percent difference (% Diff) values during eccentric and concentric contractions of knee extensor and knee flexor muscles in session 1 and session 2. Values are mean(SD) ($P < 0.01$).

	Knee extensor muscle			Knee flexor muscle		
	Session 1	Session 2	% Diff	Session 1	Session 2	% Diff
Male (n = 36)						
Concentric						
60° s ⁻¹	160.9 (31.6)	161.3 (32.3)	5.4 (4.1)	90.1 (18.9)	90.1 (18.4)	11.9 (9.9)
120° s ⁻¹	144.9 (34.8)	150.5 (28.1)	9.9 (7.6)	80.7 (18.4)	87.0 (16.8)	12.8 (13.5)
Eccentric						
60° s ⁻¹	167.3 (37.1)	173.9 (44.9)	12.7 (8.7)	97.7 (23.2)	99.0 (23.8)	14.8 (11.8)
120° s ⁻¹	168.0 (49.5)	178.6 (46.0)	13.5 (16.9)	101.2 (29.3)	98.7 (22.9)	13.0 (8.8)
Female (n = 24)						
Concentric						
60° s ⁻¹	98.6 (15.3)	101.3 (16.3)	10.0 (8.5)	51.1 (10.6)	53.9 (7.5)	15.3 (11.5)
120°	85.6 (13.1)	86.9 (13.8)	10.0 (7.9)	45.7 (8.9)	45.4 (8.8)	9.1 (8.0)
Eccentric						
60° s ⁻¹	105.0 (29.9)	113.9 (30.4)	18.5 (20.8)	60.1 (15.4)	61.8 (16.2)	17.7 (13.4)
120° s ⁻¹	112.8 (31.5)	119.7 (31.5)	14.9 (18.7)	60.0 (14.5)	63.3 (16.0)	14.7 (9.2)

Table 4 Average power (in W) and percent difference (% Diff) values during eccentric and concentric contractions of knee extensor and knee flexor muscles in session 1 and session 2. Values are mean(SD) ($P < 0.01$).

	Knee extensor muscle			Knee flexor muscle		
	Session 1	Session 2	% Diff	Session 1	Session 2	% Diff
Male (n = 36)						
Concentric						
60° s ⁻¹	110.4 (22.9)	111.7 (22.7)	6.1 (4.5)	61.8 (12.2)	62.4 (12.6)	12.1 (9.1)
120° s ⁻¹	195.3 (51.5)	201.2 (38.1)	10.9 (7.9)	110.5 (25.4)	117.6 (24.8)	15.1 (13.5)
Eccentric						
60° s ⁻¹	112.0 (24.8)	117.1 (29.6)	6.0 (11.8)	14.64 (15.4)	65.3 (16.7)	14.8 (12.8)
120° s ⁻¹	213.7 (61.3)	229.7 (58.4)	13.5 (17.9)	126.0 (36.8)	122.3 (28.3)	12.0 (10.3)
Female (n = 24)						
Concentric						
60° s ⁻¹	66.9 (12.8)	70.1 (11.9)	11.2 (11.1)	37.1 (8.5)	37.7 (8.1)	16.4 (11.2)
120°	113.9 (18.9)	115.2 (19.8)	12.3 (9.8)	62.9 (12.2)	61.2 (13.5)	11.7 (8.7)
Eccentric						
60° s ⁻¹	72.9 (18.9)	79.6 (18.1)	16.9 (16.9)	40.5 (10.2)	42.1 (10.7)	16.3 (13.9)
120° s ⁻¹	137.7 (39.4)	153.6 (40.5)	16.3 (19.2)	74.1 (18.3)	79.7 (20.4)	14.6 (9.2)

Table 5 Interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) for eccentric knee extensor and flexor muscle groups peak torque, total work, and average power ($P < 0.05$)

	Peak torque		Total work		Average power	
	60° s ⁻¹	120° s ⁻¹	60° s ⁻¹	120° s ⁻¹	60° s ⁻¹	120° s ⁻¹
Male (n = 36)						
Knee extensor	0.84	0.86	0.83	0.83	0.80	0.84
Knee flexor	0.83	0.83	0.78	0.88	0.77	0.86
Female (n = 24)						
Knee extensor	0.82	0.83	0.80	0.81	0.83	0.83
Knee flexor	0.83	0.84	0.78	0.82	0.76	0.84

Table 6 Interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) for concentric knee extensor and flexor muscle groups peak torque, total work, and average power ($P < 0.05$)

	Peak torque		Total work		Average power	
	60° s ⁻¹	120° s ⁻¹	60° s ⁻¹	120° s ⁻¹	60° s ⁻¹	120° s ⁻¹
Male (n = 36)						
Knee extensor	0.90	0.91	0.82	0.89	0.85	0.88
Knee flexor	0.84	0.88	0.83	0.82	0.80	0.82
Female (n = 24)						
Knee extensor	0.83	0.85	0.81	0.84	0.80	0.82
Knee flexor	0.82	0.90	0.76	0.84	0.77	0.83

flexor muscle group; and for average power, they were 12.7(3.44)% for the knee extensor muscle group and 14.1(1.93)% for the knee flexor muscle group.

Discussion

In this study, we used a continuous concentric-eccentric test cycle², as it should reflect physiological activities during which different muscle actions are employed cyclically, rather than isolated concentric or eccentric actions. A slight modification of the original protocol^{2 33} was to increase the number of repetitions from three to five to maximise the chances that maximum strength production would occur after the third contraction.^{18 32 40}

In agreement with previous studies,^{2 10 11 17 41 42} peak torques of both knee extensor and extensor muscle groups obtained from continuous concentric-eccentric cycles at the angular velocity of 60° s⁻¹ and 120° s⁻¹ were

highly reliable (ICCs 0.82 to 0.92). Total work and average power reliability during knee extension and flexion was also high.^{2 10}

In most instances, the ICCs of peak torque at 120° s⁻¹ were greater than those at 60° s⁻¹ (table 1),² in contrast with the report of Steiner *et al.*¹ A possible reason for this observation is that subjects found it more difficult to maintain maximum effort throughout the entire range of motion at the slower speed. This would also explain why the reliability of total work and average power were greater at the faster speed.

The majority of concentric peak torque and total work ICCs tended to be higher than those obtained with eccentric contraction modes,¹¹ while the average concentric and eccentric power ICCs were similar.² Although eccentric muscle action is physiologically part of normal activity, eccentric testing is unusual, possibly resulting in inhibition of maximum contraction in unaccustomed individuals. This may explain why eccentric muscle tests showed lower reliability than concentric tests.

When considering the different muscle groups, the ICCs associated with knee extension were greater than those produced by knee flexion. Also, ICCs tended to be slightly higher in males. The ICCs associated with total work and average power tended to be lower than those associated with peak torque.

Considerable inter-test variation has been reported when measuring muscle performance.^{1 11 43} Thorstenson *et al.*, testing isokinetic concentric knee extension in a test-retest design on separate days, found a 13.7% difference in peak torque.⁴³ Steiner *et al.* reported a 14% difference in average peak torque, and a 20% difference in total work and average power between two tests,¹ while Montgomery *et al.* found a 7% difference in concentric knee muscle actions between tests.¹¹ In the present study, we found differences ranging from 9.0% to 9.5% for average peak torque, from 11.9% to 13.7% for total work, and from 12.7% to 14.1% for average power. Some fluctuation should be allowed when evaluating changes of muscle performance between tests, as even a 15% change between two tests may not constitute a significant improvement or deterioration in muscular performance.¹

In conclusion, the Cybex 6000 isokinetic dynamometer shows high reliability in measuring isokinetic concentric and eccentric peak torque, total work, and average power of knee extensor and flexor muscle groups at the angular velocities of 60° s⁻¹ and 120° s⁻¹ when using the continuous concentric and eccentric cycle. Isokinetic concentric strength measurements were more reliable than the measurements of eccentric strength. The ICCs for the three variable studies were greater at 120° s⁻¹ than at 60° s⁻¹. The measurements of the knee extensor muscle group were slightly more reliable than those of the knee flexor muscle group. Although the continuous concentric-eccentric cycle testing protocol offers a clinically viable testing method, individual reliability should probably be established on selected patients.

- 1 Steiner LA, Harris BA, Krebs DE. Reliability of eccentric isokinetic knee flexion and extension measurements. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993;74:1327-35.
- 2 Kramer JF. Reliability of knee extensor and flexion torques during continuous concentric-eccentric cycles. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1990;71:460-4.
- 3 Hislop HJ, Perrine JJ. The isokinetic concept of exercise. *Phys Ther* 1967;47:114-7.
- 4 Osternig LR. Isokinetic dynamometry: implications for muscle testing and rehabilitation. In: Pandolf KB, ed. *Exercise and sports science review*. New York: Macmillan, 1986.
- 5 Brown LP, Niehues SL, Harrah A, Yavorsky P, Hirshman. Upper extremity range of motion and isokinetic strength of the internal and external shoulder rotators in major league baseball players. *Am J Sports Med* 1988;16:577-85.
- 6 Wilk KE, Andrews JR, Arrigo CA, Keirns MA, Erber DJ. The internal and external rotator strength characteristics of professional baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 1993;21:61-6.
- 7 Pedegana LR, Elsner R, Roberts D, Lang J, Farewell V. The relationship of upper extremity strength to throwing speed. *Am J Sports Med* 1982;10:352-4.
- 8 Thistle HG, Hislop HJ, Moffroid M, Lowman EW. Isokinetic contraction. A new concept of resisted exercise. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1967;48:279-82.
- 9 Moffroid M, Whipple R, Hofkosh J, Lowman E, Thistle H. A study of isokinetic exercise. *Phys Ther* 1969;49:735-46.
- 10 Tredinnick TJ, Duncan PW. Reliability of measurements of concentric and eccentric isokinetic loading. *Phys Ther* 1988;68:656-9.
- 11 Montgomery LC, Douglass LW, Deuster PA. Reliability of an isokinetic test of muscle strength and endurance. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1989;10:315-22.
- 12 McCrory MA, Aitkens SG, Bernauer EM. Reliability of concentric and eccentric measurements on the LIDO Active isokinetic dynamometer [abstr]. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1989;21(suppl):S52.
- 13 Harding B, Black T, Bruulsema A, Maxwell B, Straford P. Reliability of a reciprocal test protocol performed on the Kinetic Communicator. An isokinetic test of knee extensor and flexor strength. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1988;10:218-23.
- 14 Snow C, Johnson K. Reliability of two velocity-controlled tests for the measurement of peak torque of the knee flexors during resisted muscle shortening and resisted muscle lengthening [abstr]. *Phys Ther* 1988;68:781.
- 15 Molnar GE, Alexander J. Objective, quantitative muscle testing in children: a pilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1973;54:225-8.
- 16 Harries UJ, Bassey EJ. Torque-velocity relationships for the knee extensors in women in their 3rd and 7th decades. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1990;60:187-90.
- 17 Bandy WD, McLaughlin S. Intramachine and intermachine reliability for selected dynamic muscle performance tests. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1993;18:609-13.
- 18 Mawdsley RH, Knapik JJ. Comparison of isokinetic measurements with test repetitions. *Phys Ther* 1982;62:169-72.
- 19 Frontera WR, Hughes VA, Dallal GE, Evans WJ. Reliability test in 45- to 78-year-old men and women. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993;74:1181-5.
- 20 Hortobagyi T, Katch FI. Reliability of muscle mechanical characteristics for isokinetic squat and bench press exercise using a multifunction computerized dynamometer. *Res Q Exerc Sports* 1990;16:191-5.
- 21 Wilhite MR, Cohen ER, Wilhite SC. Reliability of concentric and eccentric measurements of quadriceps performance using the Kin-Com dynamometer. The effect of testing order for three different speeds. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1992;15:175-82.
- 22 Barbee J, Landis D. Reliability of Cybex computer measures [abstr]. *Phys Ther* 1984;64:737.
- 23 Gross MT, Huffman GM, Phillips CN, Wray J. Intramachine and intermachine reliability of the Biodex and Cybex II for knee flexion and extension peak torque and angular work. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1991;13:329-35.
- 24 Farrell M, Richards JC. Analysis of the reliability and validity of the kinetic communicator exercise device. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1986;18:44-9.
- 25 Greenfield BH, Catlin PA, George TW, Hasting B, Mees K. Intra- and inter-rater reliability of reciprocal, isokinetic contractions of the quadriceps and hamstrings as measured by the MERAC. *Isokin Exerc Sci* 1991;1:207-15.
- 26 Thompson MC, Shingleton LG, Kegerreis ST. Comparison of values generated during testing of the knee using the Cybex II+ and Biodex model B 2000 isokinetic dynamometers. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1989;11:108-15.
- 27 Patterson LA, Spivey WE. Validity and reliability of the LIDO active isokinetic dynamometer. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1992;15:32-6.
- 28 Heitman RJ, Kovaleski JE. Test-retest reliability of isokinetic knee extension and flexion torque measurements in persons who are mentally retarded. *Clin Kinesiol* 1993;47:17-20.
- 29 Perrine DH. Reliability of isokinetic measures. *Athletic Training* 1986;21:319-21.
- 30 Feiring DC, Ellenbecker TS, Derscheid GL. Test-retest of the Biodex isokinetic dynamometer. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1989;11:298-300.
- 31 Lumex Inc. The Cybex 6000 extremity system product

- information brochure. Ronkonkoma, NY: Cybex, 1991:4-40.
- 32 Johnson J, Siegel D. Reliability of an isokinetic movement of the knee extensors. *Res Q* 1982;49:88-90.
- 33 Bennett JG, Stauber WT. Evaluation and treatment of anterior knee pain using eccentric exercises. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1986;18:526-30.
- 34 Loredan Biomedical. LIDO active isokinetic rehabilitation system manual. Davis, California, USA: Loredan Biomedical Inc, 1989.
- 35 Griffin JW. Differences in elbow flexion torque measured concentrically, eccentrically, and isometrically. *Phys Ther* 1987;67:1205-8.
- 36 Rizzardo M, Wessel J, Bay G. Eccentric and concentric torque and power of the knee extensors of females. *Can J Sports Sci* 1988;13:166-9.
- 37 MacIntyre D, Wessel J. Knee muscle torques in patello-femoral pain syndrome. *Physiother Can* 1988;40:20-4.
- 38 Kramer JF, Hill K, Jones IC, Sandrin M, Vyse M. Effect of dynamometer application arm length on concentric and eccentric torques during isokinetic knee extension. *Physiother Can* 1989;41:100-6.
- 39 Microsoft Corporation. Microsoft Excel 4.0 for Windows. *User's guide 2*. 1993;1:17-20.
- 40 Sawhill JA, Bates BT, Osternig LR, Hamill J. Variability of isokinetic measures [abstr]. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1982;14:177.
- 41 Francis K, Hoobler T. Comparison of peak torque values of the knee flexor and extensor muscle groups using the Cybex II and LIDO 2.0 isokinetic dynamometers. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1987;8:480-3.
- 42 Trudell-Jackson E, Meske N, Highenboten C, Jackson L. Eccentric/concentric torque deficits in the quadriceps muscle. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1989;11:142-5.
- 43 Thorstensson A, Grimby G, Karlsson J. Force-velocity relations and fibre composition in human knee extensor muscles. *J Appl Physiol*. 1976;40:12-16.

BASM

Forthcoming Regional Events

East Midlands Region	6 June 1996	Gender differences in sport , Dr Ros Carbon Psychology in sport , Dr Brian Miller <i>For both the above, contact Dr Kate Kerr</i>
Eastern Region	19 June 1996 5 Oct 1996	Children in sport , University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield GP seminar: Sports Medicine Colchester General Hospital Postgraduate Centre <i>For both the above, contact Dr Colin Crosby on 0181 203 0111</i>
London & South East	7-9 Nov 1997	BASM National Congress , Metropole Hotel, Brighton <i>Contact Dr Malcolm Read on 01483 486413</i>
Northern Region	July 1996	Drugs in sport...beating the system?! <i>Contact Andrew Walton on 0191 491 1808</i>
Northern Ireland	31 Oct- 3 Nov 1996	BASM Congress (Northern Ireland) <i>Contact Dr Robin Harland on 01762 348100</i>
Scotland	10 May 1996 10-12 May 1996	AGM , Carlton Highland Hotel, Edinburgh Advanced module covering spinal surgery, disabled sports, rugby and football injuries and casualty scenarios, Carlton Highland Hotel <i>For both the above, contact Dr Faith Gardner on 01563 537 306</i>
South West Region	8 June 1996	Upper limb injuries , Swindon <i>Contact Dr Andy Langton on 01275 856 611</i>
Joint BASM/ Royal National Orthopaedic Trust	8-9 Aug 1996 21 Sept 1996	The foot and ankle in sports Sports medicine for GPs <i>For both the above, contact Carol Winston, Postgraduate Manager, 0181 954 2300 x236</i>
Joint BASM/ BIMM	5-6 Oct 1996	The lumbar spine - practical examination and treatment , Dr Bryan English, Dr John Tanner, Dr Philip Bell and others, Blackberry Orthopaedic Clinic, Milton Keynes <i>Contact Joanne Bratby on 01908 604666</i>
FA/Royal College of Surgeons, Edinburgh	6-7 July 1996	8th Joint Conference on Sports Injury , Lilleshall <i>Contact FA Medical Education Centre on 01952 605928</i>