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Abstract
An elite level fencer sustained a penetrating wound to the upper arm after his opponent’s blade broke. Standard care for a deep puncture wound was given but it was some time before the athlete presented symptoms of a pneumothorax, which was confirmed by radiograph. Although resolution of this case was unremarkable, the possibility of penetrating thoracic injury, even when the point of entry is well outside the thorax and the athlete is not immediately symptomatic, should be born in mind by medical personnel working with fencers.
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Pneumothorax is an uncommon injury in non-contact sports. Fencing, although defined as a non-contact sport, involves fast and powerful blade contact with various parts of an opponent’s body (principally the torso). Despite its heritage of lethal intent, there is little evidence to indicate that fencing is a hazardous activity.1-3 However, there is an inherent risk of serious injury especially when blades break, because of the probability of lacerations or penetrating wounds from rigid remnants. The thoracic wall (right side for right handed fencers; left for left handed fencers) is particularly vulnerable. It is left exposed as fencers align themselves in the typical en garde position, that is, along the frontal plane with the fencing arm abducted, exposing the axilla and lateral thoracic wall to the opponent’s blade. Incidents of penetrating wounds are well known to competitive fencers but are not well documented in published reports in general medical or sports medicine journals. The present case is an example of a complex penetrating wound involving a pneumothorax from a broken blade.

Case report
A right handed elite level American fencer was competing in an epee tournament. During a thrusting manoeuvre his opponent’s blade struck the guard on the victim’s weapon and was fractured. The remnant of the blade (still attached to the opponent’s handle) continued its forward momentum scraping the volar surface of the victim’s right arm penetrating it at a point 12 cm distal to the anterior axillary line (Fig 1). The fencer experienced an unusual discomfort in his back but remained unaware of his injury until a large amount of blood became evident on the upper sleeve of his outer garment. The match was discontinued and the wound was inspected and a compression bandage applied. Chest pain was noted to be present, specifically when the fencer was lying flat. Vital signs were normal and chest auscultation revealed equal breath sounds. The fencer was transported to hospital for further evaluation. In the emergency department the fencer continued to experience chest discomfort remarkably similar to his remembered asthma attacks as a child. Breath sounds were noted to be slightly diminished on the right side and a chest radiograph was requested.

Reference
While in the radiology department the fencer became acutely diaphoretic, dyspnoeic, and hypotensive. The chest radiograph revealed a 40% tension pneumothorax (fig 2). Subcutaneous emphysema was present. A chest tube was inserted in the fifth intercostal space with prompt resolution of symptoms and re-expansion of the lung.

The fencer remained as an inpatient for the next five days. His stay was uncomplicated except for an unexplained weight loss of 6.8 kg (15 pounds). A two year follow up revealed full and complete recovery.

Discussion
There have been at least three fatalities in elite level fencing competition from direct penetration of the thorax by broken blades.4 Non-fatal episodes of varying severity have also been noted.1 The fencing arm of epee fencers is also susceptible to puncture wounds because it is a valid target in this weapon.5 Finally, broken blades being deflected into an opponent’s head or neck after catching on the sleeve during an attack have resulted in several fatalities.1

Given the nature of the sport and a history of fatal (though infrequent) incidents, participant safety has been a major concern of fencing authorities. Current regulations require that protective clothing be made of kevlar or ballistic nylon with a minimum rating of 800 Newtons. Recognising the particular vulnerabiltiy of the exposed axilla, rules require the wearing of an 800 N plastron, an extra garment worn under the jacket and designed to cover the upper quadrant of the torso on the fencing arm side as well as the proximal half of the fencing arm. Blades must be made of fracture resistant maraging steel which breaks with a flat rather than a jagged edge, decreasing the likelihood of penetration of the protective clothing. Unfortunately, most equipment regulations are compulsory only for international competition. The use of less durable protective clothing and poorer quality blades by the majority of fencers places them at risk of penetrating wounds. The recent death of an epee fencer in France highlights the need for medical personnel working with fencers to be aware of the aetiology, variations, and complications presented by these injuries.

The pneumothorax in the present case is thought to have occurred in the following manner: the fractured epee penetrated the fencer’s protective garment, passed through the skin of the arm, and tunnelled in the deltopectoral space anterior to the axillary triangle before entering the chest cavity in the second or third intercostal space. There were no peripheral neurovascular deficits in the arm or hand to indicate injury to the axillary structures.

Tension pneumothorax can occur even when trauma is well removed from the thoracic cavity.1 For unknown reasons, penetration of the thorax in this case was not demonstrated until well after the patient’s first physical examination. This incident is also interesting in that it circumvented the protection provided by the plastron by entering the arm below the distal end of the plastron and travelling through the arm to the thoracic wall. The same mechanism was probably partially responsible for at least one fatality.4

Although the clinical features of this case were unremarkable, it is noteworthy as a sports specific example of damage removed from the site of penetration and for the significant time lag between infliction of the wound and subsequent demonstration of the pneumothorax.