Effect of 14 weeks of resistance training on lipid profile and body fat percentage in premenopausal women
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Abstract

Objectives—To study the effects of a supervised, intensive (85% of one repetition maximum (1-RM)) 14 week resistance training programme on lipid profile and body fat percentage in healthy, sedentary, premenopausal women.

Subjects—Twenty four women (mean (SD) age 27 (7) years) took part in the study. Subjects were randomly assigned to either a non-exercising control group or a resistance exercise training group. The resistance exercise training group took part in supervised 45–50 minute resistance training sessions (85% of 1-RM), three days a week on non-consecutive days for 14 weeks. The control group did not take part in any structured physical activity.

Results—Two way analysis of variance with repeated measures showed significant (p<0.05) increases in strength (1-RM) in the exercising group. There were significant (p<0.05) decreases in total cholesterol (mean (SE) 4.68 (0.31) v 4.26 (0.23) mmol/l (180 (12) v 164 (9) mg/dl)), low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (2.99 (0.29) v 2.57 (0.21) mmol/l (115 (11) v 99 (8) mg/dl), the total to high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol ratio (4.2 (0.42) v 3.6 (0.42)), and body fat percentage (27.9 (2.09) v 26.5 (2.15)), as well as a strong trend towards a significant decrease in the LDL to HDL cholesterol ratio (p=0.057) in the resistance exercise training group compared with their baseline values. No differences were seen in triglycerides and HDL cholesterol. No changes were found in any of the measured variables in the control group.

Conclusions—These findings suggest that resistance training has a favourable effect on lipid profile and body fat percentage in healthy, sedentary, premenopausal women.
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Hyperlipidaemia is a well documented risk factor for cardiovascular disease, and is the leading cause of death in men and women in the United States. 1,2 Several epidemiological studies have shown that low concentrations of total serum cholesterol and low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, as well as a normal body fat percentage, are associated with decreased cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality. 3–6 Considerable research has also been devoted to the effect of exercise on lipid metabolism. Regular physical activity has been shown to improve lipid and glucose metabolism by increasing insulin sensitivity and serum high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and decreasing serum LDL cholesterol and triglycerides. 6–9 Acute exercise has also been shown to improve lipid profiles. 6–11 Therefore, the therapeutic effect of exercise is a widely recognised strategy to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease.

The effect of aerobic exercise on serum lipids has been the focus of most study. Favourable changes in triglycerides, LDL and HDL cholesterol have been reported in men after acute aerobic exercise 12–14 and chronic endurance training. 4 The effect of aerobic exercise on lipid metabolism in women, who have higher HDL cholesterol than men, 15,16 has been less studied. In one study of women no change was seen in HDL cholesterol after aerobic training. 17

Many women take part in resistance training, either as a supplement or alternative to aerobic training. High intensity resistance training has been reported to improve body composition and strength, 15,20 with no significant change in aerobic capacity. 7,8,21 The effect of acute 18 and chronic 20–21 resistance training on lipid metabolism has been studied less than aerobic training, but there are reports of improved lipid profiles in both men and women after high intensity resistance training. 22–24 However, there is a dearth of well controlled studies on the effect of resistance training on lipid metabolism in premenopausal women. Reductions in total and LDL cholesterol have been reported in premenopausal women after resistance training at 70% of one repetition maximum (1-RM). 23 We are aware of only one mixed study of men and women 24 that reported favourable changes in lipid metabolism after a more intense (>80% of 1-RM) resistance training regimen. The results of some studies are difficult to interpret owing to design weaknesses, inadequate control of factors known to influence lipid metabolism, and lack of statistical power. Therefore, the purpose of this investigation was to study the effects of a supervised, intensive (85% of 1-RM) 14 week resistance training regimen on lipid profile and body composition in healthy, sedentary, premenopausal women.
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Methods

SUBJECTS

Subjects were recruited by advertising in the campus newspaper and by word of mouth. Fifty women expressed an interest in the study, with 40 of these meeting the criteria for participation. Thirty healthy, sedentary, non-smoking premenopausal women took part in the study. Subjects completed health history and exercise questionnaires. Criteria for inclusion were sedentary lifestyle (no planned physical activity for the previous nine months) and normal menstrual function (10–12 menstrual cycles a year). Each subject was informed of the procedures of the study and gave their informed consent in accordance with the Institutional Review Board of Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA.

DESIGN

About one week before the start of the 14 week resistance training programme, height and mass were measured, together with skinfold measurements for estimation of the percentage of body fat. A blood sample was obtained by venepuncture for measurement of lipid profile. Baseline strength was assessed by estimated 1-RM resistance exercise stations. Subjects submitted details of their diet over three days for nutritional analysis. They were then matched according to baseline body mass, height, and age and randomly assigned to either the resistance exercise training or sedentary control group. After completion of training, height, mass, body fat percentage, and strength were again measured. Blood samples and three day dietary records were also obtained at this time.

HEIGHT AND BODY MASS

The body mass and height of subjects in exercise clothes without shoes were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.5 cm, respectively, with a Continental 150.0 kg capacity scale/stadiometer (Continental Scale Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA).

SKINFOLDS

Triceps, suprailium, and anterior thigh skinfolds were measured three times on the right side of the body to the nearest 0.5 mm with a Lange caliper (Cambridge Scientific Instruments, Cambridge, MD, USA). All skinfolds were measured by the same technician (BP). A reliability criterion of 2 mm was established for triplicate measures, and the mean of these measurements was used for analytical purposes. To minimise experimenter bias the technician was unaware of baseline measurements and subsequent calculations until all the data were collected. Body density was estimated by the equation of Jackson, Pollock, and Ward, with subsequent calculation of body fat percentage by the Siri equation.

LIPID PROFILE

Subjects reported to the human performance laboratory between 7:00 am and 10:30 am, 12 hours after eating a meal. Subjects were asked to refrain from strenuous physical activity before blood sampling. Further blood samples were drawn after the study, three days after the last exercise bout, to measure the chronic effect of exercise. Blood samples (10 ml) were collected by venepuncture from an antecubital vein with serum separator tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lanes, NJ, USA). Samples were centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 minutes, with serum extracted and stored in polypropylene vials at −20°C. Before and after training serum total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides were measured by enzymatic assay procedures (Laboratory Corporation of America, Norfolk, VA, USA). Interassay coefficients of variation (2.3% and 2.1%) for low and high total cholesterol controls, respectively, and 6.5% on an HDL cholesterol control (mean 1.27 mmol/l) comply with National Cholesterol Education Programme recommendations. Very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were calculated using the following equations:

$[\text{VLDL-C}] = \frac{[\text{TG}]}{5}$

$[\text{LDL-C}] = [\text{T-CHOL}] - [\text{HDL-C}] - [\text{VLDL-C}]$

where $C =$ cholesterol; $\text{TG} =$ triglycerides.

NUTRITIONAL ANALYSIS

Subjects were instructed not to alter their diets during the study and shown how to maintain a three day dietary record, including a weekend day. They were given instructions about portion size. Dietary data were analysed (Food Processor, Salem, OR, USA) for total calories and fat calories.

MEASUREMENT OF 1-RM

Several familiarisation sessions were carried out for both control and exercise groups before estimation of baseline 1-RM. Each subject took part in only one of the several familiarisation sessions. From the resistance mass and number of repetitions performed, 1-RM was estimated with Power 5.1 software (LJC, Carone Corp, Hudson, FL, USA) before and after training to assess strength in each of eight resistance exercises: leg press, leg extension, leg curl, latsimus dorsi pull, bench press, military press, biceps curl, and triceps extension. Validity coefficients for this software are 0.99 (reported by the manufacturer) and 0.93 (measured in our laboratory). For each exercise a resistance was selected, based on the subject’s capability, which would result in fatigue after 8–15 repetitions. If more than 15 repetitions of a resistance could be performed, the resistance mass was increased.

EXERCISE PROTOCOL

The resistance exercise training group took part in a supervised, progressive, 14 week strength training programme, with 45–50 minute sessions three times a week. Subjects were informed that attendance was required at more than 80% of sessions in order to remain in the study. They were asked to refrain from any other physical activity throughout the study. The control group was instructed to avoid structured exercise or activities other than those required for normal daily living throughout the study.
**Results**

**BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SUBJECTS**

Thirty subjects took part in the study, but the results of six were excluded—one subject from the control group reduced her estimated energy intake compared with baseline; two other control subjects failed to report for blood collection after the study; and three subjects in the resistance exercise training group failed to attend the required 80% of the total exercise sessions. The results are based on the remaining 24 subjects (12 control subjects; 12 from the resistance exercise training group) who completed the study. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the subjects. There were no differences at baseline between the groups in age, body composition, lipids, estimated 1-RM measurements, or intake of total and fat calories.

**COMPLIANCE WITH TRAINING**

Subjects showed a high degree of compliance with training, completing 39 (1) (mean (SD) 94 (3)% of the 42 training sessions.

**EFFECT OF RESISTANCE TRAINING ON LIPID PROFILE**

Fourteen weeks of resistance training resulted in a 9% decrease in total cholesterol (4.68 (0.31) v 4.26 (0.23) mmol/l; p<0.05; fig 1A), 14% decrease in LDL cholesterol (2.99 (0.29) v 2.57 (0.21) mmol/l; p<0.05; fig 1B), and a 14.3% decrease in the total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio (4.20 (0.42) v 3.66 (0.42); p<0.05; fig 1C).

At the end of training the total cholesterol was significantly lower (p<0.05) in the resistance exercise training group than in the control group. In addition, a strong trend towards a 14% decrease in LDL cholesterol (2.99 (0.29) v 2.57 (0.21) mmol/l; p<0.05; fig 1B), and a 14.3% decrease in the total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio (4.20 (0.42) v 3.66 (0.42); p<0.05; fig 1C).

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The effects of resistance training on total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, body fat percentage, body mass, and estimated 1-RM for each exercise station were analysed by repeated measures analysis of variance using SAS 6.09 PROC GLM. The criterion for main (group, trial) and interaction (group × trial) effects was α=0.05, with a Bonferroni adjustment for appropriate post hoc comparisons.

Table 1 Baseline measurements of physical characteristics, and energy intake. Results are shown as means (SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Controls (n=12)</th>
<th>RT* (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>26.0 (6.0)</td>
<td>28.0 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>165.0 (7.0)</td>
<td>162.5 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>65.5 (7.3)</td>
<td>68.8 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total calories (kJ)</td>
<td>6699 (1910)</td>
<td>7297 (1774)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat calories (kJ)</td>
<td>2067 (644)</td>
<td>2427 (724)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RT = resistance training.

**EXERCISE TRAINING**

Training comprised exercises to load the major muscle groups (legs, arms, trunk, and lower back) performed at 85% of 1-RM; 45–50 minute sessions three times a week. Subjects performed two sets of eight repetitions and went to exhaustion on the third set. The rest interval between sets was 30 seconds to one minute. Before training, all subjects were shown the proper technique. The principal investigator (BP) suggested the initial resistance for a given subject based on the subject’s body mass. Subjects performed bench press; leg press, extension, and curl; and latissimus dorsi pull using a circuit training machine (DVF, Newport News, VA, USA). Bicep curls, military press, and triceps extension exercises were performed using free weights. A standardised warm up of eight repetitions at 60% of 1-RM for each exercise preceded each training session. Subjects were given an exercise log sheet each week on which was recorded the number of repetitions completed during the third set for each exercise. At the end of each week these data were assessed using Power 5.1 software to generate a new estimated 1-RM for each exercise. Resistance was then increased as necessary to maintain 85% of estimated 1-RM in each muscle group throughout the study. During the course of the study, training resistance was increased two or three times for triceps extension and leg curls; and five or six times for leg press and extension, bench press, latissimus dorsi pull, military press, and biceps curl.

To convert total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol to mg/dl multiply mmol/l by 38.46.

To convert triglycerides to mg/dl, multiply mmol/l by 87.72.
significant decrease in the LDL to HDL cholesterol ratio ($p=0.057$), table 2) was seen in the resistance exercise training group. Triglycerides and HDL cholesterol were not significantly changed by training (table 2). No changes were seen in any of these variables in the control group.

**EFFECT OF RESISTANCE TRAINING ON BODY MASS AND COMPOSITION**

Body mass was not significantly changed in either group. A small, but significant decrease in the body fat percentage was seen in the resistance exercise training group (27.9 (2.09) to 26.5 (2.15)%; $p<0.05$), but was unchanged in the control group. Although resistance training did not significantly change HDL cholesterol, there was a significant correlation ($r = -0.77$, $p=0.0034$) between the percentage change in estimated body fat and the percentage change in HDL cholesterol. For all subjects, there was a trend towards a significant association between percentage change in body fat and the percentage change in total cholesterol ($r=0.36$, $p=0.08$) and a significant association between percentage change in body fat and the percentage change in HDL cholesterol ($r = -0.41$, $p=0.05$).

**EFFECT OF RESISTANCE TRAINING ON STRENGTH**

After 14 weeks of resistance exercise training, significant increases ($p<0.05$) in the estimated 1-RM were seen for all eight strength exercises. Total strength, defined as the sum of the estimated 1-RM for eight exercises (Σ1-RM_est), increased by 27% (mean (SE) sum before training 239 (15.3) kg; mean (SE) sum after training 303 (20.6) kg; $p<0.05$). Estimated 1-RM in the control group either remained unchanged (leg press and extension, latissimus dorsi pull, bench press, military press, biceps curl) or significantly decreased (leg curl, triceps extension; $p<0.05$), with a decrease of 5% ($−12$ (8) kg; $p<0.05$) in total strength. A significant association was found between the percentage change in total muscle mass and the percentage change in total body fat ($r = −0.41$, $p=0.05$). No significant increases were seen for all eight strength exercises.

**NUTRITIONAL INTAKE**

Nutritional analysis showed no difference between groups in their intake of total ($p=0.40$) and fat calories ($p=0.22$) at baseline. After training, dietary records were returned by six or seven subjects in the resistance exercise training group. There was no difference in the intake of total and fat calories between baseline and after training in these eight subjects.

**Discussion**

The key finding of this study was that 14 weeks of high intensity resistance training significantly decreased total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and the total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio in previously sedentary, premenopausal, eumenorrheic women. This finding is in agreement with reports of previous resistance training studies. Boyden et al reported similar decreases in these variables in premenopausal women after resistance training at 70% of 1-RM, a lower intensity than that used in this study. Goldberg et al studied a single group of men and women and reported decreased total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and decreased ratios of LDL/HDL cholesterol and total/HDL cholesterol after 16 weeks of resistance training at 84% of 1-RM, an intensity similar to that used in this study. The results of this study lend additional support to the hypothesis that chronic resistance training can favourably affect serum lipid profile in apparently healthy, premenopausal women.

In our study the training was monitored, well controlled, and progressive. Training resistance was increased several times (two or three increases for triceps extension and leg curls; five or six increases for leg press and extension, bench press, latissimus dorsi pull, military press, and biceps curl) over 14 weeks. By comparison, Boyden et al increased training resistance only twice over the entire five month study. In contrast with the study of Goldberg et al, our study featured a larger sample size, randomised group assignment with a sedentary control group, and focused exclusively on women. Control and training subjects were well matched at baseline for demographic, body composition, lip, and strength variables. Estimated 1-RM increased significantly in all eight resistance exercises after training while strength decreased in control subjects. For all subjects, a significant association between the percentage change in 1-RM and the percentage change in total cholesterol was found ($r = −0.59$, $p=0.0026$). An even stronger association between these variables was found for subjects in the resistance training group ($r = −0.86$, $p=0.0003$) compared with the control subjects, where no correlation was found.

**Table 2**  **Effect of 14 weeks of resistance training on lipid profile and body fat percentage. Results are shown as means (SE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before training</th>
<th>After training</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[T-CHOL] (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.47 (0.29)</td>
<td>4.60 (0.29)*</td>
<td>3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT†</td>
<td>4.68 (0.31)</td>
<td>4.26 (0.23)*</td>
<td>9‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[LDL-C] (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.73 (0.23)</td>
<td>2.81 (0.26)</td>
<td>2.5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT*</td>
<td>2.99 (0.29)</td>
<td>2.57 (0.21)*</td>
<td>14‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[HDL-C] (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.08)</td>
<td>4.7‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>1.20 (0.10)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.10)</td>
<td>5.9‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TG] (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.13)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.10)</td>
<td>5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0.99 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.10)</td>
<td>14‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[LDL-C]/[HDL-C] ratio</td>
<td>Controls 2.13 (0.20)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.4‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>2.68 (0.36)</td>
<td>2.26 (0.37)‡</td>
<td>18.7‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[T-CHOL]/[HDL-C] ratio</td>
<td>Controls 3.55 (0.22)</td>
<td>3.52 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.8‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT*</td>
<td>4.20 (0.42)</td>
<td>3.66 (0.42)*</td>
<td>14.3‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage</td>
<td>Controls 25.64 (1.97)</td>
<td>25.65 (2.02)</td>
<td>0.03‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT*†</td>
<td>27.90 (2.09)</td>
<td>26.51 (2.15)*</td>
<td>8.72‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT = resistance training; T-CHOL = total cholesterol; LDL-C = low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C = high density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG = triglycerides.

*RT- after < RT-baseline; $p<0.05$.
†RT- after < controls-after; $p<0.05$.
‡RT- after < RT-baseline; $p=0.057$.

To convert [T-CHOL], [LDL-C], and [HDL-C] to mg/dl, multiply mmol/l by 38.46.

To convert [TG] to mg/dl, multiply mmol/l by 87.72.

In our study the training was monitored, well controlled, and progressive. Training resistance was increased several times (two or three increases for triceps extension and leg curls; five or six increases for leg press and extension, bench press, latissimus dorsi pull, military press, and biceps curl) over 14 weeks. By comparison, Boyden et al increased training resistance only twice over the entire five month study. In contrast with the study of Goldberg et al, our study featured a larger sample size, randomised group assignment with a sedentary control group, and focused exclusively on women. Control and training subjects were well matched at baseline for demographic, body composition, lip, and strength variables. Estimated 1-RM increased significantly in all eight resistance exercises after training while strength decreased in control subjects. For all subjects, a significant association between the percentage change in 1-RM and the percentage change in total cholesterol was found ($r = −0.59$, $p=0.0026$). An even stronger association between these variables was found for subjects in the resistance training group ($r = −0.86$, $p=0.0003$) compared with the control subjects, where no correlation was found.
Most of the research on this issue has concerned the effect of aerobic exercise on lipid profiles. Although VO2MAX was not measured in this study, high intensity resistance training has been reported to have no significant effect on cardiorespiratory fitness in men and women. Although increased serum HDL due to endogenous or exogenous hormones, or differences than their male counterparts. These differences between men and women may be due to endogenous or exogenous hormones, or both. Although increased serum HDL cholesterol has been reported in men after resistance training, it was not significantly increased in response to the training stimulus used in this study or other studies of female subjects. Resistance training regimens of five months at 70% of 1-RM and 16 weeks at 84% of 1-RM failed to increase serum HDL cholesterol in female subjects who had baseline values of 53 mg/dl (1.38 mmol/l) and 77 mg/dl (2.0 mmol/l), respectively. Baseline HDL cholesterol of subjects in our study (47 mg/dl) was greater than the HDL cholesterol after training for the men in the study of Hurley et al. Possibly, resistance training is not an effective stimulus to increase HDL cholesterol in women or other subjects with high baseline values.

Decreased body mass and body fat have also been associated with decreased total cholesterol, decreased LDL cholesterol, and increased HDL cholesterol. Body mass was not significantly changed in this study. Other studies have reported no change in body fat after resistance training, but the estimated body fat percentage was decreased after resistance training in this study. The lack of a significant association between the percentage change in total cholesterol and the percentage change in body fat (r=0.08, p=0.81) suggests that our finding of decreased total cholesterol after resistance training was not confounded by the modest, but significant decrease in body fat percentage. Although no significant change was observed in HDL cholesterol in this study, the percentage change in HDL cholesterol was significantly associated with a change in body fat percentage (r= -0.41, p=0.05). However, the percentage change in HDL cholesterol was not significantly associated with a percentage change in 1-RM (r= -0.11, p=0.61). In the resistance trained subjects, high correlations were found between the percentage change in HDL cholesterol and the percentage change in body fat (r= -0.77, p=0.003), and the percentage change in total cholesterol and the percentage change in 1-RM (r= -0.86, p=0.0003). These associations suggest that increased strength may exert a greater influence on decreasing total cholesterol in young women, whereas a decreased percentage body fat may exert a greater influence on any observed increase in HDL cholesterol, a variable which appears to be resistant to change in this group.

Serum triglycerides were not affected by the resistance training in this study, a finding which is in agreement with similar studies of male and female subjects after high intensity resistance training, as well as a study of women after aerobic exercise training. The observed 14% decrease in triglycerides after high intensity resistance training was not significant owing to the large variance in triglycerides. In addition, the percentage change in triglycerides was not significantly associated with the percentage change in body fat (r=0.16, p=0.61) in the resistance exercise training group.

Serum lipids have been reported to vary during the menstrual cycle, with increased total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol during the late follicular phase compared with the late luteal phase. These fluctuations are thought to be related in part to cyclical changes in progesterone concentration. In our study it was not feasible to obtain samples during the same phase of each subject’s menstrual cycle. Although this may be a possible limitation, we believe that the effect of cyclical menstrual fluctuations in lipid profiles, which might be seen as a threat to the validity of our study, was controlled, in part, by the presence of a well matched sedentary control group, in whom there was no change in lipid profile. Recommendations for future studies include within-subject control of the menstrual phase and a resistance training regimen of longer duration.

In summary, significant decreases in total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and the total/HDL cholesterol ratio were observed in previously sedentary, eumenorrheic, premenopausal women after 14 weeks of well controlled, intense (85% of estimated 1-RM) resistance training which elicited significant increases in strength. HDL cholesterol and triglycerides were not significantly changed after training. Training was also associated with a small, but significant, decrease in estimated body fat percentage, but no change in body mass. In conclusion, intense resistance training appears to be an effective stimulus for favourably altering lipid profiles in this group.
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Resistance exercise and lipid profile in premenopausal women

Many women engage in resistance (weight) training as a supplement or alternative to aerobic exercise. A high intensity resistance training programme which increased strength was also effective in decreasing total cholesterol and low density lipoprotein cholesterol in premenopausal, apparently healthy women. This type of exercise training appears to be an effective strategy to improve blood lipids and reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease.
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