Effects of endurance training on the isocapnic buffering and hypocapnic hyperventilation phases in professional cyclists
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Abstract

Objectives—To evaluate the changes produced in both the isocapnic buffering and hypocapnic hyperventilation (HHV) phases of professional cyclists (n = 11) in response to endurance training, and to compare the results with those of amateur cyclists (n = 11).

Methods—Each professional cyclist performed three laboratory exercise tests to exhaustion during the active rest (autumn: November), precompetition (winter: January), and competition (spring: May) periods of the sports season. Amateur cyclists only performed one exercise test during the competition period. The isocapnic buffering and HHV ranges were calculated during each test and defined as V0, and power output (W).

Results—No significant differences were found in the isocapnic buffering range in each of the periods of the sports season in professional cyclists. In contrast, there was a significant reduction in the HHV range (expressed in W) during both the competition (p<0.01) and precompetition (p<0.05) periods compared with the rest period. On the other hand, a longer HHV range (p<0.01) was observed in amateur cyclists than in professional cyclists (whether this was expressed in terms of V0, or W).

Conclusions—No change is observed in the isocapnic buffering range of professional cyclists throughout a sports season despite a considerable increase in training loads and a significant reduction in HHV range expressed in terms of power output.
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Although high maximal oxygen uptake (VO2MAX) is required for professional cyclists to perform well, other physiological characteristics, such as the ability to maintain high percentages—that is, 90%—of VO2MAX during prolonged periods (>30–40 minutes), play a more relevant role in successful endurance cycling. Moreover, several authors have shown that the physical performance of runners, swimmers, and cyclists may show significant improvement despite no change in VO2MAX. Recently, we reported no significant differences in the VO2MAX values of professional and amateur cyclists. Thus it seems that, after a particular level of adaptation to exercise, improvement in performance is unrelated to changes in VO2MAX.

On the other hand, the first increase in blood lactate concentration (lactate threshold) with no associated decrease in pH is the first sign of the onset of buffering at increasing exercise intensities. The lactic acid produced in exercising muscles is predominantly buffered by HCO3−. As a result, three physiological gas exchange phases can be identified during rapid incremental exercise testing 1: phase I, in which CO2 production (VCO2) is mainly from oxidative metabolism; phase II (“isocapnic buffering”), during which pulmonary ventilation (Vb) increases in response to the rise in VCO2 from buffering, with regulation of arterial partial pressure of CO2 (Paco2) and phase III, in which respiratory compensation for metabolic acidosis with lowering of Paco2 (“hypocapnic hyperventilation” or HHV) occurs. The points that limit these three phases are called the ventilatory threshold (VT; between phases I and II) and the respiratory compensation point (RCP; between phases II and III). The onset of respiratory compensation of exercise acidosis, when exercise intensity is further increased, marks the final transition from the buffering phase to exercise acidosis. The high workloads at which both VT and RCP occur in professional cyclists (~65% and ~90% of VO2MAX respectively) and the appreciable difference between these values and those recorded in amateur cyclists (VT ~60% of VO2MAX and RCP ~80% of VO2MAX respectively) suggest that such submaximal variables may be important indicators of performance in endurance events such as professional road races. The %VO2MAX at which RCP occurs may determine the cyclist’s potential for prolonged physical activity. In turn, changes in VT and RCP with endurance training condition the duration of the isocapnic buffering and HHV phases, reflecting the body’s general buffering capacity.

Surprisingly little research 13 14 has focused on the phases of isocapnic buffering and HHV. When these authors 14 investigated the effects of training on the range of isocapnic buffering and HHV, they observed that the increase in the RCP is larger than that of VT after high intensity endurance training in runners. To our knowledge, however, no investigation has attempted to evaluate the possible changes produced in the isocapnic and HHV phases of professional cyclists in response to endurance training during a sports season. The aim of this longitudinal study was to analyse these changes.
and to compare the results obtained in professional cyclists with corresponding data for amateur well trained cyclists.

**Methods**

**SUBJECTS**

Eleven elite (amateur category) male road cyclists and 11 professional male road cyclists participated in this study. A previous physical examination (including electrocardiographic (ECG) and echocardiographic evaluation within the previous months) ensured that each participant was in good health. Table 1 shows the age and physical characteristics of the subjects.

The amateur cyclists had competition experience of 3 (1) years (mean (SD)) in the “sub23-elite” category and had covered an average of about 24 000 km (including training and competition) during the previous season. The professional cyclists had professional competition experience of 4 (2) years and, over the last season, had covered about 32 000 km (including training and competition). Most of them had completed several three week stage races—for example, Vuelta a España, Tour de France—and several had won international Cycling Union races.

Training volume for the professional cyclists was expressed as the average number of kilometres cycled a week during each of the three periods of the sports season: active rest (autumn: November), precompetition (winter: January), and competition (spring: May). The training volume of the amateur cyclists (average number of kilometres cycled a week) during the competition period was also recorded. All the subjects wore a heart rate telemeter (Polar Vantage NV; Polar Electro, Oy, Finland) during training sessions, which allows continuous recording of heart rate for later analysis. The intensity of training was determined by estimating for each subject the percentage of his weekly training performed at a heart rate corresponding to an exercise intensity below VT (low intensity training), between VT and RCP (moderate intensity training), and above RCP (high intensity training). Figure 1 shows the training characteristics of the two groups. In brief, both training volume and intensity—that is, percentage of high intensity training accounted for about 10% of total weekly training.

**STUDY PROTOCOL**

Informed consent was obtained from each participant in accordance with the guidelines of the Complutense University. Each professional cyclist reported to the laboratory three times during the study to perform exercise tests corresponding to the rest (November), precompetition (January), and competition periods (May) of the sports season. Amateur cyclists were only required to perform one exercise test during the competition period (April-May).

**EXERCISE TESTS**

Each test was performed on a bicycle ergometer (Ergometrics 900; Ergo-line, Barcelona, Spain) following a ramp protocol until exhaustion. This protocol has been used in previous investigations performed in our laboratory on top level cyclists.2 3 15–17 Starting at 0 W, the workload was increased by 25 W/min, and pedalling cadence was kept constant at 70–90 rev/min. A pedal frequency meter was used by the subject to maintain this cadence. Each exercise test was terminated (a) voluntarily by the subject, (b) when pedalling cadence could not be maintained at 70 rev/min (at least), or (c) when established criteria of test termination

---

**Table 1 Physical characteristics of the amateur and professional cyclists studied (competition period)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amateur (n=11)</th>
<th>Professional (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>23 (3)</td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (cm)</td>
<td>176.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>179.7 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>67.1 (6.7)</td>
<td>68.2 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.6 (1.5)</td>
<td>22.2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as means (SD). There were no significant differences between means.

BMI, body mass index.
were met. During the test, subjects adopted the conventional (upright) cycling posture. Tests were performed under similar environmental conditions (21–24°C, 45–55% relative humidity). Heart rate (beats/min) was continuously monitored from modified 12 lead ECG tracings (EK56; Hellige, Freiburg, Germany). Gas exchange data were obtained using an automated breath by breath system (CPX; Medical Graphics, St Paul, Minnesota, USA). The instruments were calibrated before each test and the necessary environmental adjustments made. Ventilatory equivalents for oxygen and carbon dioxide (Ve/VO2 and Ve/VCO2 respectively) were measured from VO2, VCO2 and Ve data recorded during the tests.

DETERMINATION OF VT AND RCP
VT was determined using the criteria of an increase in Ve/VO2 with no increase in Ve/VCO2 and the departure from linearity of VEs.11 RCP was taken as that corresponding to an increase in both Ve/VO2 and Ve/VCO2.12 VT and RCP were visually detected by two independent experienced observers. If there was disagreement, the opinion of a third investigator was sought. The selection of this non-mathematical method for detection of both VT and RCP during a cycle ergometer ramp protocol has previously been reported in several studies conducted in our laboratory with professional cyclists.2 3 15–17

DISTRIBUTION OF THE RANGE OF ISOCAPNIC BUFFERING AND HHV
The isocapnic buffering and HHV ranges were defined as: VO2 and W from VT to RCP, and VO2 and W from RCP to the end of exercise respectively.14 Figure 2 shows an example of isocapnic buffering/HHV range determination in one subject.

RELATIVE BUFFERING CAPACITY
A relative value for the buffering capacity (relFB) was determined as suggested by Röcker et al.7 RelFB was defined as the proportion of buffering within the performance up to the RCP, and calculated as the difference between performance (W or W/kg) at VT and that at RCP expressed as a percentage of the latter (equations 1 and 2):
\[
\text{RelFB} = \left( \frac{W_{\text{RCP}} - W_{\text{VT}}}{W_{\text{RCP}}} \right) \times 100
\]
\[
\text{RelFB} = \left( \frac{W_{\text{RCP}}/kg - W_{\text{VT}}/kg}{W_{\text{RCP}}/kg} \right) \times 100
\]
where \(W_{\text{RCP}}\) (or \(W_{\text{RCP}}/kg\)) and \(W_{\text{VT}}\) (or \(W_{\text{VT}}/kg\)) are power output at the RCP and VT respectively.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
A one way repeated measures analysis of variance was used to compare the physiological variables in professional cyclists during the three periods of study. When this test indicated a significant difference, the post hoc Scheffé test was applied to the data. Student’s t test for unpaired data was also used to compare physiological data corresponding to the competition period in amateur cyclists and professional cyclists. All values are reported as means (SD). The level of significance was set at 0.05.

**Results**

**MAXIMAL VALUES**

Table 2 shows the maximal values of VO2, power output, Ve and heart rate. No significant differences in mean VO2, power output, or heart rate were found for professional cyclists between the seasonal periods.

Maximal values of power output were significantly higher (p<0.01) in professional cyclists than in amateur cyclists.

**VT AND RCP**

Table 3 gives the values for VO2, %VO2MAX, and power output at exercise intensities corresponding to VT and RCP. The only significant differences (p<0.05) observed were between power outputs corresponding to VT recorded at rest and during competition and between power outputs corresponding to RCP at rest, compared with both precompetition and competition values in the professional cyclists.

Mean values of VO2, %VO2MAX, and power output corresponding to VT and RCP were significantly (p<0.01) higher in professional cyclists than in amateur cyclists.

**ISOCAPNIC BUFFERING AND HHV**

Table 4 shows the mean values for isocapnic buffering and HHV ranges. There were no significant differences in the isocapnic buffering range recorded in each of the periods for the professional cyclists. In contrast, there was a
The competition period in professional cyclists.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01 compared with the rest period in professional cyclists; †p<0.01 compared with rest for professional cyclists; ‡p<0.01 for rest.

Table 4 Isocapnic and hypocapnic hyperventilation (HHV) ranges in the professional and amateur cyclists studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group period</th>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Precompetition</th>
<th>Competition</th>
<th>Amateur, competition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VT (W/kg)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.4)*</td>
<td>4.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.4)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP (W/kg)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.6)†</td>
<td>0.60 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.4)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means (SD). VO2 is expressed in ml/kg/min.

Table 3 Physiological variables at the ventilatory threshold (VT) and respiratory compensation point (RCP) in the professional and amateur cyclists studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group period</th>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Precompetition</th>
<th>Competition</th>
<th>Amateur, competition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VT (W/kg)</td>
<td>52.6 (6.9)</td>
<td>54.9 (3.8)</td>
<td>55.2 (4.2)</td>
<td>45.8 (6.3)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT (%VO2MAX)</td>
<td>71.7 (5.0)</td>
<td>71.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>73.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>60.6 (6.8)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT (W)</td>
<td>320.0 (29.1)*</td>
<td>331.5 (36.2)</td>
<td>344.0 (28.2)</td>
<td>230.7 (29.1)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT (W/kg)</td>
<td>64.8 (6.7)</td>
<td>65.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>67.4 (5.2)</td>
<td>61.3 (7.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP (%VO2MAX)</td>
<td>88.3 (3.1)</td>
<td>86.5 (3.4)</td>
<td>90.0 (3.3)</td>
<td>81.4 (5.8)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP (W)</td>
<td>403.0 (40.4)†</td>
<td>421.0 (39.6)</td>
<td>430.0 (34.2)</td>
<td>334.8 (25.4)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP (W/kg)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.6)†</td>
<td>6.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.4)‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means (SD). VO2 is expressed in ml/kg/min. *p<0.05 for rest v competition periods in professional cyclists; †p<0.05 for rest v both precompetition and competition periods in professional cyclists; ‡p<0.01 for professional cyclists (competition period) v amateur cyclists.

Discussion

The main finding of this investigation was the lack of change observed in the isocapnic buffering range of professional cyclists throughout the sports season, despite a considerable increase in training loads and the significant reduction in HHV range expressed in terms of VO2 or W).

RELATIVE BUFFERING CAPACITY

No significant differences were found between professional cyclists throughout the study in mean values of relFB (expressed in either W or W/kg; fig 3). In contrast, amateur cyclists exhibited significantly higher values (in W or W/kg) than professional cyclists.

Figure 3 Relative buffering capacity (relFB) in professional and amateur cyclists. Performance (at both the ventilatory threshold and the respiratory compensation point) is expressed in W in the top panel and in W/kg in the bottom panel. *p<0.05 for professional cyclists v amateur cyclists during the competition period.
when the intensity of exercise was expressed as \( Vo_2 \) or \( %Vo_{2\text{max}} \).

Changes in the maximal variables and in VT and RCP conditioned the isocapnic buffering and HHV ranges during the season in the professional cyclists. Whereas the isocapnic buffering range remained unchanged throughout the season (causing the similar rightward shift in VT and RCP), the HHV range was reduced significantly during the competition period when expressed as power output but not as \( Vo_2 \). The fact that power output was the major discriminator in this period of the season may be explained by: (a) the fact that the relation between \( Vo_2 \) and workload is not strictly linear especially at high exercise intensity where lactic acidosis occurs \(^{22} \); (b) the improvement in cycling efficiency associated with endurance training. The present results show a similar shift in VT and RCP in professional cyclists throughout the season, reflecting both a constant isocapnic buffering range and relFB index. In contrast, Oshima et al\(^{14} \) observed that the increase in the RCP is larger than that of VT after high intensity endurance training in runners. Similarly, Röcker et al\(^{2} \) reported a longer isocapnic buffering phase in elite 400 m runners than in endurance trained (non-elite) runners or sedentary subjects. This may suggest that intense training sessions involving anaerobic metabolism (such as those performed by 400 m runners) improve the buffering capacity—that is, the shift in RCP towards higher workouts in these athletes compared with endurance athletes—and not the oxidative capacity—that is, workload at which VT occurs—consequently extending the isocapnic buffering range. It appears, however, that the type of endurance training performed by professional cyclists—that is, 30 000–35 000 km a year during which aerobic metabolism is principally involved—induces a similar shift in both VT and RCP.

According to Oshima and coworkers\(^{14} \), the shift in RCP towards higher intensities could reflect both an increase in aerobic endurance and an exercise induced improvement in bicarbonate buffering capacity. Our findings, however, do not corroborate their hypothesis because average relFB was significantly lower in the professional cyclists than in the amateurs. The reasons for such a difference in relative buffering capacity between the two groups are not apparent, as relative training intensity was comparable in the two groups. Thus the increase in bicarbonate buffering found by other researchers\(^ {23} \) in response to hard endurance training of long duration was not confirmed in our group of professional cyclists. A genetically determined difference in muscle fibre distribution—that is, higher content of fast twitch fibres—may partly explain the higher buffering capacity of amateur cyclists.\(^ {23} \)

It could be also hypothesised that the muscle content of buffer active proteins is higher in the latter.\(^ {23} \) Thus, one adaptation to professional cycling (compared with amateur categories) may be a decrease in buffering capacity (at least in workloads between VT and RCP), which seems to be exchanged for a higher oxidative capacity. Some modification in fibre type (from slow to fast twitch) in the professional cyclists could also have been involved. The aforementioned adaptations may occur in professional cyclists after years of high volume endurance training. Although anaerobic metabolism is sometimes involved—that is, during some decisive parts of the races—average exercise intensity is mostly low to moderate (below VT) in professional cycling.\(^ {1} \)

In conclusion, it would appear that there is an improvement in aerobic capacity with no concomitant increase in relative buffering capacity during defined periods of a complete season in professional cyclists. Both the fact that VT and RCP show a similar shift and that \( Vo_{2\text{max}} \) does not change show that the isocapnic buffering range does not change over the season, leading, in contrast, to a shortening of the HHV phase. Further, the results suggest that expression of the maximal and submaximal physiological data as power output (W or W/kg) best reflects an improvement in the fitness level of these athletes.
Take home message

No change is observed in the isocapnic buffering range of professional cyclists throughout a season, despite a considerable increase in training loads and an appreciable reduction in HHV range. Of the physiological variables commonly monitored during exercise testing, power output (W or W/kg) best reflects the fitness level of highly trained cyclists.

Reflections on production of the written word

Many of my early publications were handwritten, and an ink ribbon typewriter was high-tech for me!

I have reflected that, when I began school in the late 1950s, using a stick pen (no fancy quill) and ink well was supposed to enhance our writing skills. Shakespeare would have been right at home even after 400 years!

Fortunately, my father was a police officer and required a typewriter for his reports. It must have weighed 30 pounds in order to remain still while the carriage returned, etc.

When I was at graduate school in the US during the 1970s, term papers had to be typewritten. I was pleased to discover Erasable Bond paper which made mistakes disappear.

Upon returning to England, I purchased a portable which had a ribbon containing a cover up material on its lower half.

By the time I returned to the States in the 1980s, IBM had developed the electric golfball series. Carbon ribbons made the finished article appear to have been typeset! Could it get any better than this? Apparently, yes, and in short order we advanced to electronic typewriters, word processors, and computers—a period of merely 40 years. We now, quite literally, have the world at our fingertips—within a scant four years.

What’s next? We are already embracing the replacement of dexterity with voice recognition. Then we attach our brains directly to the computer and upload, or download, in whichever direction? No need for books, or finger dexterity.

This would certainly answer the old chestnut about the redundancy of information during medical education: “By the time you graduate from medical school, half of what you learned will have become obsolete. If we only knew which half . . .”.
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