LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

VO₂ slow component and performance in endurance sports

EDITOR,—I read with interest the leader by Veronique Billat entitled “VO2 slow component and performance in endurance sports”. Oxygen uptake kinetics in general, and the aetiology of the VO₂ slow component phenomenon in particular, are certainly topics of great conceptual and practical importance. However, there were several points of contention within Dr Billat’s article that should be brought to the attention of readers so that an informed and balanced debate can take place.

Dr Billat states that “A high range of work (rates) can be identified at which there is a sustained increase in blood lactate . . . with minimal or no responses decline beyond a baseline value.” These two sentences, which are applied to a description of the physiological responses to moderate intensity exercise, are clearly inconsistent. It is also stated that during glycogen-loading exercise “only lactate stabilises at about 80% in high level marathon runners”. This suggests that VO₂ will reach a steady state close to 80% VO₂max irrespective of the absolute work rate within the moderate exercise intensity domain. However, it should be remembered that moderate intensity exercise is, by definition, any exercise that is performed below the lactate threshold. Therefore constant load moderate exercise can lead to the attainment of a steady state VO₂ of between 10% and 80% VO₂max depending on the absolute work rate and fitness of the individual subject.

In her article, Dr Billat appears to support the widely held view that the maximal lactate steady state velocity and the critical velocity are. . . the directly determined critical velocity and should support this position with references. I also find it difficult to understand Dr Billat’s assertion that “. . . the amplitude of the slow component is not linked to endurance at all”. It seems implausible that a phenomenon that is causing VO₂ to rise inexorably towards its maximum, and that is generally associated with a profound and increasing metabolic acidosis, is not related to endurance exercise performance. Indeed, in her own article, Dr Billat reports that, after a training programme that increased the slow component, the time to exhaustion at the high intensity training pace was doubled.

Of greatest concern to me is Dr Billat’s statement that “. . . fit endurance athletes . . . have NO slow component”. In several years of work in this field, I have yet to observe a single person (elite, well trained, recreationally active, or sedentary) in whom a VO₂ slow component has not been shown during high intensity treadmill running. Dr Billat’s reports of minimal slow components in highly trained runners can be explained by methodological problems in her studies. The subjects in the studies were not exposed to “square wave” exercise functions as is conventional in the serious study of VO₂ kinetics but, rather, the treadmill belt velocity was increased gradually until the subjects reached the required velocity (which was not appreciably greater than the critical velocity) before timing was started. The VO₂ slow component was then defined simply as the difference in VO₂ between the value at three minutes and the value at the end of exercise. Given the faster VO₂ on-kinetics in fit subjects, the reduced slow component in subjects who are aerobically fit or who have a high proportion of type I muscle fibres, and the fact that the slow component typically emerges at two minutes into heavy treadmill exercise, it is possible that Dr Billat’s methods lacked the sensitivity to detect the slow component. Dr Billat’s work in identifying the type of training session that maximises the time spent at VO₂max may well be of some conceptual value. However, I feel that it should be acknowledged that there is no evidence that this type of training is more effective than other types in improving VO₂max or any other physiological correlate of endurance performance.

In conclusion, Dr Billat’s observations and ideas are interesting and deserving of further study but I feel strongly that they should not be presented as fact (as they were in her article) until they can be confirmed by other groups. An over-reliance on unpublished observations or anecdotal, and uncorroborated studies in review articles is unscientific and potentially misleading.

ANDREW JONES
Manchester Metropolitan University
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Impact of professionalism on injuries in rugby

EDITOR,—Garraway and colleagues have recently written an important follow up study to their 1995 survey on rugby injuries in senior rugby clubs in the Scottish Borders. This comprehensive review of rugby injuries and their implications sets standards in injury definition and information gathering. They have now studied the same model and population to assess the changes over the last four years and to define increased injury rates, which may have caused some concern. The authors conclude that this increase in injuries has resulted from the introduction of professionalism, which has been the major change in the game during the period by both model study and the follow up. The emergence of paid players and the marketing of the sport has undoubtedly accelerated changes in the game. However, it is important to look at what professionalism means. A professional player is financially rewarded for what he does, which increases the imperatives of pay, the pressure of his employers, the opportunity for increased fitness and strength, and the desire to return to sport too soon after injury. All of these factors are understandable and were to be expected.

However, hand in hand with the payment of top players has come a much broader professionalism. There are changing attitudes throughout rugby, and indeed throughout sport in general. The growth in sports science, which has led to increased access to available information concerning nutrition, fitness, strength, and training techniques, has fuelled the desire for sportsmen and women, professional or amateur, to be better and more competitive. This approach to sport and, the increasing emphasis on winning, is further driven by the general commercialisation of sport as a pastime.

Rugby union is an evolving game. Changes to the Laws and style of play have been introduced to make the game faster and more attractive, and must continue to be closely monitored to define the emergence of new injury patterns. The dramatic increase in injuries shown in this study is all the more concerning if it focuses attention on the tackle and so called protective equipment, as the authors rightly suggest, but also on the increasing intensity and frequency of training and playing.

N J HENDERSON
Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon,
Dept of Orthopaedics and Trauma,
Stoke Mandeville Hospital NHS Trust,
Mandeville Road, Aylesbury,
Buckinghamshire HP21 8AL, UK

Hormones, offspring sex ratios, and weekly training distances of male runners: implications for overtraining

Editor,—Mackelvie et al report that, within a group of male runners, free testosterone levels correlate negatively and highly significantly with the weekly training distance (p<0.005). Crawford et al reported that the offspring sex ratio (proportion male) of a sample of male runners varied significantly by their weekly training distance (table 1). Inspection of these data suggests that the regression of sex ratio on training mileage is U shaped (χ² = 16.7, df 4, p<0.005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weekly training mileage</th>
<th>Sons</th>
<th>Daughters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–70</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70+</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Numbers of sons and daughters sired by male runners, by their weekly training mileage around the time of conception (data of Crawford et al.)

There is now abundant evidence that the sex ratio of offspring of mammalian (including human) parents is partially dependent on the hormone levels of both parents around the time of conception.1

The relevant hormones almost certainly comprise testosterone, oestrogen, and the gonadotrophins, and probably progesterone. However, others—for example, growth hormone and thyroid hormones—may be implicated.

These data on sex ratios need confirmation, but, if confirmed, they would suggest endocrine involvement in overtraining. While the right arm of the U of the regression does not imply pathology, it is certainly suggestive of a process that is associated with pathology. So I suggest that hormone assays should be performed on male runners, particularly those who run more than 50 miles a week. One may wonder whether their established testosterone deficit is accompanied by an even more extreme gonadotrophin deficit.

WILLIAM H JAMES
The Galton Laboratory, University College London, W1F 2HE, UK
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What is a sports medicine specialist?

Editor,—I feel that I must respond to the recent article on sports medicine specialists. After an editorial and several papers on the recognition of sports medicine as a specialty, this paper listed the 18 attributes considered necessary to be a sports medicine specialist. Of these only four (items 5, 10, 12, and 16) seem to me to be specialist. The others items are either attributes of all doctors (items 1, 2, 6, 7) or attributes of all doctors (items 5, 8, 9, and 11, possibly 12, 13, 14, 17, and 18) or attributes of all doctors (items 1, 2, 6, and 7). Surely sports doctors and specialists should be more than that? They should be able to discuss the pros and cons of exercise, should have detailed knowledge of the risks and benefits of different sports, and should be promoting the concepts of wellness and injury prevention. I think it would be useful to know the original background of the selected group of sports specialists who drew up this list although I suspect it will be they will be part of the orthopaedic specialty or that of accident and emergency. If any doctor can do what they suggest it is “special”, and that you get free at point of contact in the NHS, I would question the validity of the concept of sports medicine as a specialty.

As an aside, if stabilisation of the cervical spine is the second most important attribute of a sports medicine specialist, how many sports specialists attending aquatic events know how to effect that in water and recover the casualty?

P SCHUR
Wiggo Cottage, 155 Main Road, Wybunbury, Nantwich
Chester CW5 7LR, UK

Authors’ reply
We welcome Dr Schur’s letter and are glad that this small pilot study has stimulated his response. Indeed, his thoughts on the matter really bring one back to the title of the article—“What is a sports medicine specialist?”

The choice of Delphi methodology was specifically to allow a wide range of input to reach an initial consensus. We attempted to maximise the breadth of the study by offering both examples of similar studies and inviting as many responses as possible. Those involved in the pilot study had all shown their commitment and expertise in sports medicine by holding the Diploma in Sports Medicine. Their backgrounds were varied: nine are general practitioners, two are sports physicians, one is an orthopaedic surgeon, and one an accident and emergency specialist. Seven of the GPs also run sports medicine clinics. The sporting backgrounds of those contributing to the study were also diverse, covering many major and minor sports.

Every person involved in sports medicine will have their own viewpoint based on personal experience, qualifications, sporting background, age, and outlook and a full study involving a much larger sample size will hopefully expose this. We look forward to the full study involving many of the cross section of members of BASEM (British Association of Sport and Exercise Medicine) in a greater number of rounds to get a more specific consensus.

By completing studies such as this, it should be possible to convince the sceptics that sports medicine is indeed a specialty which requires recognition rather than something which any doctor or first aider can provide competently.

B THOMPSON
Sports Medicine Clinic, Craigavon Area Hospital, Portadown, Northern Ireland BT63 5QQ

OMCNALLY
S O NEILL
D MACAULEY
Institute of Postgraduate Medical and Health Sciences, University of Ulster, Ulster BT37 0QB, Northern Ireland


This well presented paperback contains true/false and short answer questions based on recent articles from the British Medical Journal and the British Journal of General Practice. Chapters cover specialties, diseases, or treatment areas. Sports medicine is pitifully represented by only five questions (one less than the HIV section!); however, at least the GP reader is reminded therein of the implications of prescribing for sportmen.

www.bjsportmed.com

BOOK REVIEWS


As a general practitioner working in sports medicine and on a PCG board, I found this an interesting and stimulating book. Its main drawback is that it is written for an American audience, but it is a welcome resource and it would be good to have in a library or PCG/PCT office.

It is split into four main sections: the scientific background; strategies for planning action; approaches for intervention, including partnership working with social services, workplace, and schools; a large appendix including national reports and handbooks. I particularly liked the emphasis on the cycle of change, with many ideas on how to influence people at different stages of commitment to physical activity, which is a welcome evolution and synthesis of current health promotion ideas. As an HImP lead, I found ideas on the wider picture in the community and partnership working extremely helpful. Many general practitioners yearn for health promotion to be effective, and there is a lot here to encourage this to happen. At the end of each chapter is an extensive list of references, further reading, literature review, and information on related organisations, many of which have web and email addresses encouraging further research.

I have two recommendations: firstly, it could do with an appendix of UK organisations; secondly, all the resource information could come on an accompanying floppy disc or CD-ROM, which would make copying and further use very enticing. This would make a good book into something quite exceptional.

Analyst: A Langton
GP/Sports Physician, Monk’s Park Surgery, Bristol
Doctor to Bristol Rovers FC and England FA Youth Team

Always worded slightly differently from those seen previously in a quiz book making them difficult to revise verbatim. The author admits that this book is not intended as a definitive text, and the subjects discussed are open ended with the aim of stimulating further reading. I would dispute the author’s claim that the book can be used as an aide memoir in the consulting room; although it contains many facts and figures, it would be difficult to extract them quickly in view of the lack of a subject index.

The book was enjoyable and it highlighted one’s tendency to study disproportionately on subjects in which one is already knowledgeable. However, the evidence base is very restricted and the British Journal of General Practice has recently received criticism from the profession for lacking flair and relevance to mainstream general practice.

This book could persuade GPs to begin their personal development plans, thereby avoiding accusations from postgraduate tutors of becoming overspecialised in certain areas (in my case sports medicine) while neglecting others of less personal interest but no less importance to everyday practice.

Analysis

Presentation 16/20
Comprehensiveness 10/20
Readability 14/20
Relevance 15/20
Evidence basis 8/20
Total 63/100

STEVE MCNALLY
General Practitioner, Southport, and Medical Officer, Liverpool FC Academy

NOTES AND NEWS

Sports and Exercise Working Group of the Royal College of General Practitioners

The Sport and Exercise Medicine Working Group of the Royal College of General Practitioners have elected a new chairman, Dr Paul Jackson, medical officer for English Rugby, who has a particular interest in medical education. This group has been very active in recent years and has organised a number of meetings, including the successful joint meeting with the BMA on the benefits and hazards of exercise. Sport and exercise medicine will also feature on the main programme of the 2001 spring meeting of the Royal College of General Practitioners.

Olympic success for Great Britain

Many members of BASEM were involved in the preparation of the Great British team for the 2000 Olympics. This was the most successful games in recent memory, with British athletes winning medals in many sports and many other remarkable performances outside the medals. The success of this team was greatly assisted by medical and scientific support. Lottery funding aided athletes in training but was also important in financing medical and scientific support. The UK Sports Institute will play an important part in the continuing success of British sport and the development of sport and exercise medicine.

A journey through sports medicine, ethics, and the law

The Institute of Sports Medicine held a very successful meeting in association with the Section of Sports Medicine of the Royal Society of Medicine in November. It was entitled “A journey through Sports Medicine, Ethics and the Law” and it addressed some very difficult topics. Sport and medicine have a complex relationship and, while medicine can help athletes recover from injury and return to top level, medicine also has the potential to aid performance through illegal and unethical means. The participation of high profile members of the legal profession and media in this meeting reflects the major changes in sport and has implications for all those involved with high level sport.

Sports medicine and the Royal Society of Medicine

Dr John Lloyd Parry is President of the Section of Sports Medicine, of the Royal Society of Medicine, which was founded in 1994 with Sir Roger Bannister as its first President. Dr Parry has put together a very exciting programme for 2001 which, in addition to the academic programme, includes away days to Burghley Horse Trials, Wimbledon All England Tennis and Croquet Club, and a golf tournament at The Belfry. Further details are available from the RSM (tel: 020 7290 2987; email: sports@roysocmed.ac.uk).

2003 conference on sports medicine

The 2003 conference on sports medicine will be a joint venture between BASEM and BASES. It will take place in Sheffield and will be hosted by the Sports Research Institute. The theme of the conference is reflected in the title “The total athlete”, a particularly apt title reflecting the partnership organisation and the content. The conference officers include Professor Roger Bartlett, who is chairman of the committee including Dr Simon Till as Treasurer, Professor Ian Maynard, BASES coordinator, and Dr Bryan English, BASEM coordinator.

Current concepts

The “Current Concepts” series, designed to provide an advanced update in key areas of sport and exercise medicine, has its next meeting on 8 December at Churchill College, Cambridge. These meetings offer continuing medical education for sports medicine and musculoskeletal medicine specialists and are particularly suitable for those at post diploma level and those who have completed an MSc.

Appointment of new editor

Dr Paul McCrory has been appointed editor of the British Journal of Sports Medicine. He is a neurologist in Melbourne, Australia, and has a long and distinguished career in sport and exercise medicine research and publishing. We wish him every success in his new role.