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Abstract

Two dimensionally guided M mode and Doppler echocardiographic data for 578 male subjects (106 non-athletic and 472 athletes) were analysed from two aspects: (a) in the young adult category (19–30 years of age), competitors in different groups of sports were studied; (b) in the different age groups (children, 10–14 years; adolescent juniors, 15–18 years; young adults, 19–30 years; adults, 31–44 years; older adults 45–60 years), data for athletes and non-athletes were compared. Morphological variables were related to body size by indices in which the exponents of the numerator and denominator were matched. Morphological signs of athletic heart were most consistently evident in the left ventricular muscle mass; in the young adult group, the highest values were seen in the endurance athletes, followed by the ball game players, sprinters/jumpers, and power athletes. A thicker muscular wall was the main reason for this hypertrophy. Internal diameter was only increased in the endurance athletes, and this increase was more evident in the younger groups. The E/A quotient (ratio of peak velocity during early and late diastole) indicated more effective diastolic function in the endurance athletes. The values for E/A quotient also suggested that regular physical activity at an older age may protect against age dependent impairment of diastolic function.

To avoid such spurious trends, we attempted to use indices in which power terms match.1 2 Preferring to keep the relation to BSA, we suggested that linear variables are related to the square root of BSA, with volumes and weights related to the cube of the square root of BSA. These indices did not show any correlation with body measures, so it became possible to compare data for subjects of different age, body size, or weight. Similar suggestions have recently been made by others.3 4

In this study, these modified indices were used to analyse the echocardiographic results of a large number of male athletes and non-athletes. The results are discussed from two aspects. In the young adult athletes, the effects of their different sports are investigated; data for power athletes, sprinters/jumpers, ball game players, and endurance athletes are compared with each other and with data for young adult non-athletic subjects. A comparison is also made across the ages: from childhood to older age, data on athletes are compared with those on non-athletic healthy subjects.

As the main morphological characteristic of athletic heart—that is, myocardial hypertrophy—can also occur in several pathological states, training induced morphological modifications should be considered together with some functional parameters. In addition to the morphological variables, resting heart rate and E/A quotient—that is, the ratio of early and late transmitral flow velocity—will be given. The latter is a sensitive indicator of diastolic function—that is, left ventricular compliance. A decrease in the quotient unambiguously indicates an impairment of the diastolic function as the result of either advanced age5 7 or some pathological event.6 10

Subjects and methods

Table 1 gives some basic characteristics of the subjects. They were separated into five age groups as follows: children, 10–14 years; adolescents/juniors, 15–18 years; young adults in the age range at which most top class competition occurs, 19–30 years; adults, 31–44 years; older adults, 45–60 years.

The controls were healthy males of comparable age who were not taking any medication and had no history of cardiac disease. The athletes were competitors of variable ability.

The child athletes were soccer players and swimmers who performed 8–10 hours of physical training a week. Adolescent and junior athletes were top level middle distance and long distance runners, competitive cyclists, triathletes, waterpolo and basketball players, and weight lifters.
The group of young adults was subdivided according to the type of sport. The power athletes were top level (members of national teams or first class competitors) judo competitors and weight lifters. The sprinters/jumpers group contained second class track and field athletes and top level short track skaters. The ball players were top level and second class waterpolo and soccer players and second class handball, basketball, and volleyball players. The endurance athletes were top level road cyclists, kayak canoeists, pentathletes, and triathletes, and second class triathletes and long distance runners. Data for some athletes (fencers, gymnasts, etc) who were not classified into groups were calculated in the total.

The adult group contained several pentathletes, cyclists, and canoeists still competing at the top level and some lower level ball game players. Theball game players were top level and second class handball, basketball, and volleyball players. The endurance athletes were top level road cyclists, kayak canoeists, pentathletes, and triathletes, and second class triathletes and long distance runners. Data for some athletes (fencers, gymnasts, etc) who were not classified into groups were calculated in the total.
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ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS AT DIFFERENT AGES

Table 4 gives the means of the measured values of IVST, LVPWT, and EDD and the calculated value of LVM in non-athletic and athletic groups of different age, and table 5 gives the exponent corrected indices.

Significant differences were seen between the athletic and non-athletic groups in IVST, LVPWT, and LVM in the adolescent, young adult, and adult groups, while, for EDD, intergroup differences were significant only for the children, adolescents, and young adults (table 4).

The morphological cardiac dimensions relate to the exponent corrected body size measure (table 5) were very similar in the control subjects of different age; only the oldest group seemed to have a slightly higher WT and LVM.

Concerning training induced LV hypertrophy, however, some differences were observed in both its extent and the share of its two components at the different ages. In the athletic children, EDD was significantly higher than in the non-athletic ones, and WT was slightly but not significantly larger. In the adolescent/junior groups, the difference in WT reached significance. In young adults, differences in WT were more pronounced than in EDD. In the adult groups, hypertrophy was only manifest in WT, and significant differences were absent in the older adults. As a result, differences in LVM increased from those in children (18.7%) to those in adolescent/juniors and young adults (25.6% and 26.2% respectively), then decreased in the adult group (16.9%), and had disappeared in the older adults. WT/EDD was not significantly different in the children and in the adolescent/junior group; the difference from controls was significant in the young adult group (11.0%), reached a maximum in the 31–44 year age group (39.8%), and disappeared again in the older groups.

Except for in children, the E/A quotient was significantly higher in the athletic groups at all ages. It should be noted that differences between the three adult age groups (young adults, 8.9%; adults, 20.4%; older adults 34.4%) consistently increased (table 5).

**Discussion**

In our recent reports and one from another group, it has been shown that heart indices in which the dimensional exponents of numerator and denominator match do not correlate with body size whereas simple BSA related indices do. The corrected indices would seem to be more suitable for comparing subjects of different body size. In our material—for example, the values of non-athletic control subjects of different age proving to be very similar—are more pronounced than in the older adults may actually have been an age dependent hypertrophy, partly caused by slightly higher blood pressure (141.4 (14.6)/94.55
(8.79) mm Hg). In this study, the results of 578 male subjects were analysed using this correction.

Our observations on the different types of sport played by young adults (19–30 years) were very similar to the results of other publications in which a large amount of data on different athletes were also compared.\textsuperscript{17–21} 'LVM, the most consistent determinant of training induced hypertrophy, ranked the subjects in the order non-athletes, power athletes, sprinters/jumpers, ball game players, endurance athletes. This was attributable to exercise induced differences in EDD rather than WT which had a consistently higher value in all competitive groups.

Our results agree with reports\textsuperscript{13 18 22–25} indicating that concentric and eccentric hypertrophy cannot be clearly distinguished in training induced myocardial hypertrophy. WT/EDD and 'WT were not the highest in the power athletes, indeed, the latter was found to be slightly lower than in any of the other athletic groups. It cannot be ruled out, however, that the power athletes investigated here had a relatively short training history, not long enough to develop pronounced thickening of the LV wall. In fact, of the athletic groups, the endurance athletes had the lowest WT/EDD, but even they had values that greatly exceeded those of the non-athletes.

It seems best not to categorise by using concentric and eccentric hypertrophy, but to state that an increase in WT can be expected with any kind of regular physical training, while an increase in EDD seems to be caused predominantly by endurance activity.

Several reports deal with data on children, adolescents, and/or young athletes.\textsuperscript{26–30} Few of them, however, compare young, adult, and older athletes with respect to training induced modifications. Our data indicate that an increase in 'LVM is already apparent in childhood; it reaches near maximal values at the adolescent/junior age, and remains maximal in young adults. In the younger groups, 'WT shows a smaller increase and 'EDD shows a more pronounced increase than in adult athletes, and therefore WT/EDD remains unchanged. A significant increase in this ratio is first observed in the young adult groups. This difference can be explained by the classic observation that regular physical training first induces ventricular dilatation, and muscular hypertrophy only begins to develop some time later.

Immediately after the competitive age range, training induced modifications in the structure and function of the heart seem to remain significant, and only the extent of the difference tends to decrease.

At an older age (45–60 years), morphological differences are likely to disappear, mostly as the result of an increase in 'WT even in untrained subjects. Morphological cardiac changes in the older group need a more detailed analysis, especially as few older athletes are engaged in such extensive and intense physical training as is usual at a younger age. This more detailed analysis should also be extended to the functional characteristics of the heart.

The present results show, however, that 'LVM hypertrophy and increased WT/EDD ratio and 'WT can no longer be regarded as unambiguous signs of an athletic heart. To characterise the condition of the heart, it is useful to estimate some functional signs, primarily the E/A quotient, which indicates diastolic function.

Two main questions arise with respect to the E/A quotient. Does regular physical training cause an increase in normal values in the young\textsuperscript{31–35} or not\textsuperscript{36–39}? Is regular physical training able to prevent the age dependent impairment of left ventricular diastolic function\textsuperscript{40–42} or not?\textsuperscript{43 44}

In answer to both questions, our results support a beneficial effect of regular physical training. However, the observations on the young adult athletes show that the type of physical training has an important role. No increase was found in the strength athletes, whereas, in the other groups, there was a more or less pronounced increase, indicating that dynamic, mostly endurance-type, training appears to be necessary to elicit a higher compliance of the left ventricle. This inference is in accordance with most other data: Pearson et al\textsuperscript{33} found no increase in weight lifters, and, in the studies reporting a positive effect of physical training, the athletes were mostly of the endurance type.\textsuperscript{32 33 35}

It is obvious that a few years of training is not enough to induce such modifications, and that is why there is no difference in childhood. In the 15–18 year and 19–30 year groups, however, an increase in the E/A quotient was evident.

With respect to public health, the most important fact seems to be that the E/A quotient was the highest in the older than in the non-athletic subjects. Thus, our results support the suggestion that regular physical training may prevent age dependent impairment of left ventricular compliance.\textsuperscript{40–42} It is difficult to explain why other authors\textsuperscript{43 44} have failed to find any beneficial effect. Obviously, the results of such investigations depend on several factors, including the exact age of the subjects and the volume and intensity of the activity. As our older athletes had mostly performed at the top level in their youth, we suggest that a more effective positive influence of regular physical training on diastolic function can be expected when athletic conditioning is sufficiently intense at a younger age and has been continuously maintained throughout the years.
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Take home message

Numbers can lead and mislead, depending on how you use them!