The medical view of post-traumatic automatism

Automatism or automatic behaviour was originally described in the Hippocratic corpus in relation to sleepwalking and other nocturnal behaviours. Despite its long history, this area of automatism remains confused and imprecise in the medical and legal literature. Within English common law, it is a fundamental principle that the intent (mens rea) and the act (actus reus) must occur together to constitute the crime. As such, the absence of a mens rea means that the person at that point in time lacks the intent to commit a crime.

In the legal view, post-traumatic automatism is a form of “sane” automatism because it results from an external factor, for example, a blow to the head, rather than from a disease of the mind (which is responsible for “insane” automatism). As a legal defence under English law, if successful, post-traumatic automatism leads to acquittal rather than the judge deciding the disposal as in the case of insane automatism.

In recent years, a number of cases of footballers appearing before disciplinary tribunals for striking and other charges have claimed in their defence that they suffered a prior concussive injury and at the time of the alleged incident were suffering from a “post-traumatic automatism” and as a result were not responsible for their actions. In one celebrated case in Australian football, this defence was successful and resulted in the sport’s administrative body developing specific guidelines to outlaw this potential defence. This topic of post-traumatic automatism has only a limited amount of published information to guide practitioners, players, administrators, and lawyers and this paper seeks to establish appropriate medical guidelines in this area.

The legal view of post-traumatic automatism

Although the medical and English legal definitions of automatism differ slightly, in courtrooms the legal one clearly takes precedence. The most accepted legal definition is that given by Viscount Kilmuir LC in the House of Lords appeal in the case of Bratty v Attorney General for Northern Ireland. This case involved a defence of automatism caused by epilepsy. He ruled that “... the state of a person who though capable of action, is not conscious of what he is doing ... it means unconscious, involuntary action and it is a defense because the mind does not go with what is being done ...”. In the same case, Lord Denning said: “... no act is punishable if done involuntarily and an involuntary act in this context ... means an act which is done by muscles without any control by the mind ... a reflex action ... or an act done by a person who is not conscious of what he is doing, such as an act done whilst suffering a concussion ... . However to prevent confusion it is to be observed that in the criminal law an act is not to be regarded as an involuntary act simply because the actor does not remember it ...” (Bratty v Attorney General for Northern Ireland: [1961] 46 Cr. App. R. 1, 7, 8. AC 401).

Traumatic brain injury and concussion

Traumatic brain injury encompasses a spectrum of injury ranging from mild to severe. This injury spectrum is usually subdivided according to the Glasgow Coma Score, which is a standardised score administered at six hours post-injury. A mild injury would score 13–15; a moderate injury 8–12, and a severe injury <8. This scale is largely dependent on the patient’s level of conscious state. Consumption of alcohol may be a confounding factor in accurately assessing such a scale.

Mild brain injury may be subclassified further and the term “concussion” is often used to describe the mild end of this injury subtype. Such injuries are commonly seen in sport, following falls or assaults, and after motor vehicle crashes. It is important therefore to clarify what is meant by concussion and how the behaviour of such a patient reflects the clinical stages of recovery from such an injury.

The Committee on Head Injury Nomenclature of the Congress of Neurological Surgeons has developed a definition of concussion. The American Medical Association and the International Neurotraumatology Association have subsequently endorsed this “consensus” definition of concussion, which has now become the accepted definition by most researchers in this field. The Congress of Neurological Surgeons definition states that concussion is “... a clinical syndrome characterised by the immediate and transient post-traumatic impairment of neural function such as alteration of consciousness, disturbance of vision or equilibrium due to mechanical forces”.

The clinical “stages” of concussion

There is a relatively limited range of clinical symptoms and psychological effects that is seen following a concussive injury. The subject usually passes through a series of stereotypical stages of recovery. The typical sequence of recovery from a concussive injury would be as follows:

Stage 1: Immediately post-injury—There may be a period of unconsciousness for seconds or minutes but the subject usually awakens spontaneously. On waking, there may be little in the way of retrograde memory disturbance (that is, memory loss prior to the accident) although this becomes...
more prominent in the ensuing minutes to hours. The subject may be unsteady if attempting to stand. If questioned the subject will appear confused and disoriented. He or she will not be capable of responding to questions or commands. If an observer approaches the subject in this phase, the subject usually repetitively says “what happened” every few seconds and is incapable of understanding any explanation. Some purposeless motor activity may be present. This purposeless motor activity is often termed “cerebral irritability”. This stage lasts for a variable period of time but generally has recovered by 20 to 30 minutes post-injury. In the stage of post-traumatic amnesia, a subject may show automatisms.

**Stage 2: Following recovery of post-traumatic amnesia—** Once the period of acute post-traumatic amnesia has resolved (over 20–30 minutes) the subject is then capable of responding to command, is orientated to time, place, and person, and will be able to remember information presented to him. Any unsteadiness will have resolved by this time. The subject may report headache, blurred vision, and/or nausea at this time. Headache is a universal although non-specific symptom post-injury. If neuropsychological testing is performed at this time, characteristic deficits such as slowed information processing, will be evident.

**Stage 3: Clinical recovery—** The acute symptoms (headache, nausea, etc) settle over several days. During this period, the memory functions normally although the subject continues to show deficits on formal neuropsychological testing. Such deficits may not be obvious to an observer or often to the patients themselves.

**Stage 4: Cognitive recovery—** The clinical symptoms have fully resolved by this time and the neuropsychological function returns to normal over a variable period, depending upon the severity of injury, but typically would vary from 3–4 days for a mild concussion up to 2–3 weeks for a severe concussion.

Ritchie Russell* made a number of observations about the clinical phenomenon of concussion and automatic behaviour. He described a stage of “cerebral irritation” that: “…is seen in all degrees of concussion. The concussion football player often moves his limbs restlessly or talks meaninglessly, and not a few cases of head injury are violent and abusive when seen in the outpatient department. Though in slight injuries, the duration of this stage is brief when compared to what may be seen in severe cases, it seems that the condition has the same significance in both types of case. In the stage of irritability, consciousness is not fully recovered and the patients have no subsequent recollection of their actions. It is probable that these irritable states merely represent a stage in the recovery of consciousness. The mental and higher cerebral functions have not yet recovered, and owing to the lack of their control, the more primitive and less vulnerable motor activity is running wild…”

In medical parlance, Russell’s concept of “cerebral irritability” reflects the legal parlance of a post-traumatic automatism.

**Automatism in the setting of concussion**

Although not well studied in this setting, the consensus of expert opinion would regard “automatism” or irritability following concussion to be typically manifest by signs such as:

- Thrashing or flailing limbs in a non-directed manner
- Refusing to be directed (for example, onto a stretcher or into an ambulance)
- Wildly swinging arms if directly confronted or restrained
- Aimless wandering in a “robotic” fashion.

Furthermore, any automatisms by definition could only occur during the phase of post-traumatic amnesia following the trauma. This period usually resolves over 20–30 minutes following a typical concussive injury. Post-traumatic automatisms do not encompass goal directed or purposeful activity. The typical occurrence is a concussed footballer rolling about on the ground or attempting to try and get up and who is incapable of following directions to get onto a stretcher. If such a player does get to his feet an observer may describe them as resembling a robot or automaton staggering around but with no purpose. In many ways, the increasing complexity of behaviour directly reflects more specific intent in that specific activity.

In practice, establishing a defence of post-traumatic automatism depends largely on a thorough knowledge of the clinical and neuropsychological features of mild brain injury, clinical assessment, and review of the evidence. Transcripts of witness statements, police interviews, especially if video or audiorecorded, and contemporaneous notes by police or medical staff often provide the most useful sources of information on which an opinion in this regard may be based.

The criteria that would need to be established to prove a defence of epileptic automatism were originally proposed by Fenwick and modified by Wright and colleagues. In this paper, we propose a more comprehensive requirement specifically for post-traumatic automatism. This should include:

1. The presence of a documented concussive brain injury
2. The automatism occurring in the stage of post-traumatic amnesia (Stage 1 above) as evidenced by documentation of post-traumatic amnesia or impaired memory for new learning
3. The automatism behaviour represents a reactive and/or purposeless response to stimulation or confrontation
4. No premeditation, planning, or concealment is demonstrable
5. The absence of goal directed, purposeful, or proactive behaviour.

The usefulness of forensic psychiatric examinations is limited in this setting. In the absence of intent due to post-traumatic automatism, psychiatric determination of the person’s state of mind adds little to this process. Psychiatrists seldom have clinical experience of acute head injury and are ill equipped to judge the neurological aspects of this problem. Although the psychiatrist may correctly concern himself with the individual’s capacity to form intent, nevertheless in the case of post-traumatic automatism, this is not usually relevant. Intent is not a psychiatric concept. In court, the issue of intent is usually left to the jury to determine (see R v Maloney [1985] AC 905, [1985] 1 All ER 1025, [1985] 2 WLR 648).

**Conclusion**

The issue of post-traumatic automatisms is complex, with different legal and medical views on the subject. Although the phenomenon of automatic behaviour is well established following epileptic seizures or in the setting of sleepwalking or other nocturnal parasomnias, the issue of post-traumatic automatisms is complex as the issue depends on a characterisation of the individual’s conscious state and the stage of concussion they were in at the time of the alleged behaviour. This paper seeks to establish guidelines for the assessment of this phenomenon.

P Mccrory

Neurologist & Sports Physician
31 Grosvenor Parade, Balwyn
Victoria 3103, Australia
pmccrory@computerve.com

www.bjsportmed.com
Performance in motor sports

Motor racing is one of the most physically and mentally challenging of all sports, not only for racing drivers themselves, but also for the teams that play an integral role in the eventual performance of the car. It is my belief that, for such a demanding and popular sport, sports medicine is seriously underused. Drivers and teams are faced with continuous pressure to perform, yet have far less involvement with sports medicine staff than many Olympic athletes, tennis professionals, and footballers of all codes, particularly from a preventive perspective. In this article, I will outline some of my experiences as a sport psychologist and fitness consultant with racing car drivers and teams, identifying some of the challenges in a growing field.

Before going into detail, it should be noted that motor racing is a major sport in the United States with numerous race tracks and series, including Formula One, motor racing drivers must be able to communicate effectively with their pit manager on their headset radio and ingest fluids through their specially designed hydration systems. Pit crews, including engineers, mechanics, tyre specialists, and managers, must work as a close team, follow the instructions of a leader, and conduct their physically demanding tasks, whether it be loading fuel or changing tyres. In this sport there is no room for error. Any mistake may cost a life.

Sport psychology for motor racing teams need not be reactive, as many people perceive. Most sport psychologists, including myself, accept that a certain amount of work will be in response to crisis situations, but prefer to work in a proactive, preventive manner. This allows skill development, team building, and equipping individuals and teams with the tools necessary to perform to their maximum. There are numerous research papers to verify the effectiveness of psychological interventions in sports. Goal setting, visualisation and imagery, anxiety control, effective communication and team building are some of the areas that can assist performance in motor sports, when tailored correctly and specifically. In my opinion any racing driver or team that does not take advantage of physical and mental professionals are themselves unprofessional.

With racing drivers specifically, setting goals and reviewing track maps to plan how to best drive a course is vital. This enables the opportunity for visualisation and imagery to take place, so that the driver has practically driven the course many times before arriving there. This can be guided imagery, where drivers can have a “perfect” lap described to them. Modern simulators also assist enormously in this area. The mind is tailored for the conditions, the car, and the strategy. Educating a driver about breathing techniques and other thought control skills for remaining calm at times of pressure, such as in qualifying and at the start of a race, also plays an integral part of psychological development. For example, there are often many people around a driver, such as sponsors, fans, and the media, before he/she gets into the car. Some drivers use the clicking of their seat belt as it fastens as a trigger to block any distractions and “switch on”. At this time, deep breathing, using the force of the seat belt on the chest and stomach as a cue to relax and focus, may be used to lower heart rate and direct focus. In addition, reminding themselves of cue words developed earlier with regard to race strategy must be used. For example, “be aggressive and smooth” or “be conservative” may be used, depending on conditions. After competition, confidence, concentration, physical state, and ability to follow their plan and implement skills under pressure must be reviewed.

The individuals and teams that I have worked with discuss and record goals for the year (or season), as well as for each race meeting. These are reviewed accordingly. Potential scenarios are discussed, as well as strategies for handling them. The roles of individuals in the team and exactly who they are accountable to on the day of the race are discussed. All of these sessions are prepared in advance with the team management, who are in control of this process. Visits are also made to the workshop, so that my role is integrated with the “team”.

I do travel with the team when possible, which only facilitates my education and adds to my role, particularly with the drivers. Often, however, on the day, the work involved comprises observing, discussing general matters, or dealing with crises.

Recently, I travelled to hospital with a driver who had rolled his car on a corner at around 200 km per hour. The
What is the most appropriate treatment for patellar tendinopathy?

Since the demise of the concept of an inflammatory basis for tendinopathy, treatment has been based on the degenerative theory. However, an alternative theory of a failed healing response may be more fitting, and treatment that maintains or encourages the healing response in the tendon is required. Currently, treatment remains empirically based, as it is not known what interventions may best stimulate a healing response.

The conservative treatment of patellar tendinopathy recorded in the literature includes combinations of rest, exercise, especially eccentric exercise, modalities including ultrasound, heat, and cryotherapy, frictions, biomechanical adjustment, and pharmaceutical treatment. Many of these treatments are based on “clinical experience” rather than appropriately analysed data.

The surgical management of patellar tendinopathy includes several different operative procedures and postoperative rehabilitation protocols. The choice of surgical treatment of patellar tendinopathy appears to be based on the surgeon’s preference.
Recent literature reviews9 10 document a dearth of controlled trials in the treatment of patellar tendinopathy. Hence, a review of treatment of patellar tendinopathy that used a randomised allocation or were prospective in nature was undertaken.

Ten randomised trials were reviewed. These studies investigated the effects on the patellar tendon of anti-inflammatory medication (n = 7), exercise (n = 2), and local massage (n = 1). No randomised surgical papers were identified, hence three prospective studies on the surgical treatment of this condition were included.

Conservative treatment

EXERCISE

Two papers11 12 review the effect of exercise regimens on patellar tendinopathy. These studies focus on strengthening of the muscles around the knee in subjects with jumper’s knee, and measuring changes in strength, pain, and function after an eight and 12 week intervention. Outcome measures varied, but both measured changes in strength and pain; one study measured function (return to sport) as well.11

A reduction in pain in the study period was apparent in one study, without quadriceps strength gains. Conversely, the other12 study showed improvement in quadriceps work in the study period, but it is unclear if there was a concurrent reduction in pain.

MASSAGE/FRICTIONS

One study13 compared treatment of patellar tendinopathy with a massage device with those treated traditionally (stretching, frictions, electrophysical modalities). The main outcome measure used in this study was a scale designed to evaluate long term changes in the patellofemoral joint,14 which may lack sensitivity to symptoms and functional changes in patellar tendinopathy. Results indicated that the main outcome measures did not differ between groups, and, although the authors concluded that the device improved clinical outcomes in patellar tendinopathy, it is difficult to deduce from the data reported that the device offered a better outcome than the traditional treatment.

PHARMACOTHERAPY

Three studies investigated iontophoresis or phonophoresis using corticosteroid,15–17 two studies examined the effect of corticosteroid injection18 19 and two studies tested the outcome of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (one orally20 and one transcutaneously).21

Iontophoresis with corticosteroid improved outcome in the treatment group, whereas phonophoresis with corticosteroid showed no difference in outcome when compared with phonophoresis with placebo.

The studies that used injection indicated a good response to this intervention. The study of Capasso et al20 indicated that aprotinin offered a better outcome than either corticosteroid or placebo. The use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for tendon pain appears to be warranted in subjects with short term symptoms.

Surgical treatment

There have not yet been any randomised studies of surgical treatment in patellar tendinopathy. Three studies have a clear prospective design. Khan et al22 showed that 73% of the subjects that underwent surgery for patellar tendinopathy had good results. Panni et al23 included both conservative and surgical treatment in their study. Subjects who had failed the conservative treatment were operated on, and all of these subjects were reported to have good or excellent outcome.

Testa et al24 investigated the efficacy of percutaneous tenotomy, and reported that the technique is more effective in mid-tendon pathology than in proximal tendon pathology. This study reported that nearly 40% of subjects had poor results, and isokinetic testing showed persistent strength deficits across all outcomes.

Discussion

It is surprising that so few studies in patellar tendinopathy have a randomised or prospective design, and the few papers in this series have raised several issues in study design.

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA

Most authors used clinical assessment for diagnosis without confirmatory imaging. Pain and palpation tenderness were almost exclusively used, only two studies documented using pain on resistance of muscle contraction or other functional testing.15 16 As tendon pain does not refer widely, the exact location of the pain would add important diagnostic accuracy to these tests.

It can be argued that imaging is not necessary to diagnose patellar tendinopathy, as the presence of abnormal imaging does not indicate absolutely that the pain is coming from the tendon.25 26 There is also an argument for “imaging normal” patellar tendinopathy,27 although this remains a contentious issue among tendon researchers.

Despite these arguments, confirmation of pathology within the tendon in conjunction with clinical tests would guarantee that the best diagnostic criteria are used, and future studies should include imaging as part of the spectrum of tests needed to confirm the diagnosis.

Palpation is used as both a diagnostic criterion and an outcome measure in this series of studies. Thus it is important that palpation is both a reliable and valid test for patellar tendinopathy. Palpation is a reliable test, but not necessarily a valid diagnostic test for this condition,28 as it does not correlate with either imaging changes or symptoms.

Therefore, there is no specific criterion for the diagnosis of patellar tendinopathy, and the criteria used in future studies should include symptoms with objective or functional muscle testing, the pain documented on a pain map, and diagnostic imaging.

LENGTH OF SYMPTOMS

Length of symptoms before intervention also varied widely, some studies excluding those tendons with long term symptoms (subjects with symptoms for less than five days23) whereas other studies excluded those with short term symptoms (subjects with symptoms for longer than six months24). It could be argued that these two opposing exclusion criteria are therefore investigating subjects with different conditions.

Studies that exclude long term symptoms risk including tendons without overuse tendinopathy, and the efficacy in these studies (using anti-inflammatory intervention) may be because a true tendinopathy does not exist and because of an inflammatory component to the subjects’ pain. Combined with the poorly documented and restricted diagnostic criteria, it is possible that these subjects do not have tendon mediated pain. Short term tendon pain (what this is pathologically is unknown) is not a management problem, as it appears from this series to respond well to anti-inflammatory medication. Studies of treatment efficacy should be directed at tendons that have long term symptoms, as it is these that constitute the difficult to manage group.
STUDY NUMBERS
Four studies that examined the effect of corticosteroids on tendinopathy used subjects with symptoms in several body sites. This may make the conclusions drawn about effectiveness of anti-inflammatory drugs less valid for patellar tendinopathy.

In the remaining studies the subject numbers were relatively small: only one study had more than 100 subjects, and the remaining studies had less than 50. It is possible that these studies would have a type 2 statistical error.

STUDY LENGTH, TREATMENT, AND FOLLOW UP
The treatment protocol was very short in most studies (less than three weeks in six studies), except for those studies investigating exercise as an intervention (six to eight weeks). Only one study extended the follow up beyond the end of treatment, and evaluated subjects 12 months after treatment was completed. As patellar tendinopathy is a recurrent condition, these studies provide no evidence that there is any long term efficacy for any of these treatments.

OUTCOME MEASURES
Outcome measures are all subjective in patellar tendinopathy. Authors used unvalidated or adapted knee pain scales, scales not specifically designed for tendinopathy, or generic tendon scales that are not necessarily appropriate or specific for the patellar tendon. The outcome scale used in both conservative and surgical papers (excellent, good, fair, poor) lacks specificity and sensitivity in quantifying outcome after treatment for patellar tendinopathy.

The use of palpation as an outcome measure raises similar problems to its use as a diagnostic test for patellar tendinopathy. There is no evidence that tenderness to palpation is a valid outcome measure. The use of a gauge to standardise and quantify palpation tenderness may improve the validity of this outcome measure; however, normative data for tendons are required.

CONCURRENT TREATMENT
Most of the studies had either controlled or uncontrolled treatment or exercise during the study period that may have influenced outcome. Uncontrolled exercise was not described, as prescription was based on the individual response to treatment. In only three studies were the subjects specifically requested to refrain from other treatments and exercise.

Conclusions
CONSERVATIVE TREATMENT
Studies of patellar tendinopathy have been consistently reported in the literature for 30 years. Many of these studies have suggested that it is resistant to treatment and recurrent in nature. Similarly, many authors suggest the need to exhaust conservative treatment options before proceeding to surgery. Despite this, there are only three studies that have investigated conservative non-pharmacological intervention. Hence more studies on the conservative treatment of patellar tendinopathy are needed. From the literature reviewed for this paper, it is impossible to suggest that any one conservative treatment is more appropriate than any other to treat patellar tendinopathy.

SURGICAL TREATMENT
No studies on the surgical treatment of patellar tendinopathy fully met the criteria outlined in the methods section. When prospective studies were included, only three surgical studies were appropriate to review, all with relatively small subject numbers. None of the studies offers great insight as to the effectiveness of surgery on this tendon.

Coleman et al reviewed 25 patellar tendon surgery papers and identified the methodological flaws in many of them. Their criticisms of the literature included: the nature of the studies (mainly retrospective case series); bias in subject recruitment and data collection; insensitive outcome measures (Kelly system); and the postoperative regimens (variable).

Similarly to conservative treatment, the literature does not offer any indication of the effectiveness or otherwise of surgical treatment of patellar tendinopathy.

Summary
Patellar tendinopathy affects athletes in many sports and at all levels of participation, but has a particular affinity for elite, jumping athletes. These athletes can endure months of frustratingly slow rehabilitation, with treatment that appears to be based on little else other than the personal experience of the treating practitioner. The studies examined in this review indicate that it is impossible to recommend any treatment for this condition. Until many more studies are completed, both athletes and clinicians will remain frustrated with the limited treatment options that have been shown to have a beneficial effect on patellar tendinopathy.
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Our continued fascination with the element oxygen (O₂), first discovered by Joseph Priestley (1733–1804), is eminently justified, for without it we would simply not survive. Photosynthesis breathes life into what was 1000 million years ago considered to be a reductive atmosphere containing only 1–2% O₂. Contemporary estimates now suggest that the green plants on earth combine a total of 150 billion tons of carbon (from CO₂) with 25 billion tons of H₂ (from H₂O) to liberate 400 billion tons of O₂ each year, thus accounting for the present day atmospheric content of O₂ (20.9%), which has persisted for the last one tenth of the Earth’s existence.²⁶

Few are more acutely aware of the importance of the elixir of life than mountaineers when exposed to the innocuous effects of hypobaric hypoxia during ascent to terrestrial high altitude. Since the French mathematician Blaise Pascal (1623–1662) first showed that barometric pressure (and hence the inspired partial pressure of O₂ (PₐO₂)) decreased with altitude, scientists have developed a fascination with the maximal altitude that humans could achieve without the unsporing assistance of supplemental O₂. The ensuing battle between scientific prediction and human performance soon blossomed into one of the most colourful sagas in the history of high altitude mountaineering and physiology.³

The aristocratic Italian climber, the Duke of Abruzzi, rocked the scientific world at the turn of the last century by completing an “oxygenless” ascent to 7500 m in the Karakoram despite ominous predictions by the British Alpine Club, “…21 500 ft (6553 m) is near the limit at which man ceases to be capable of slightest further exertion.”³¹ Naturally, it was not long before attention turned towards Mt Everest, which in 1892 had been declared the highest mountain in the world. Mountaineers were irrevocably drawn to the ultimate challenge, and, in 1924, Edward Norton (1884–1954) ascended to within 300 m of its summit, completing an “oxygenless” ascent to 7500 m in the Karakoram despite ominous predictions by the British Alpine Club, “…21 500 ft (6553 m) is near the limit at which man ceases to be capable of slightest further exertion.”³¹
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Few are more acutely aware of the importance of the elixir of life than mountaineers when exposed to the innocuous effects of hypobaric hypoxia during ascent to terrestrial high altitude. Since the French mathematician Blaise Pascal (1623–1662) first showed that barometric pressure (and hence the inspired partial pressure of O₂ (PₐO₂)) decreased with altitude, scientists have developed a fascination with the maximal altitude that humans could achieve without the unsporing assistance of supplemental O₂. The ensuing battle between scientific prediction and human performance soon blossomed into one of the most colourful sagas in the history of high altitude mountaineering and physiology.³

The aristocratic Italian climber, the Duke of Abruzzi, rocked the scientific world at the turn of the last century by completing an “oxygenless” ascent to 7500 m in the Karakoram despite ominous predictions by the British Alpine Club, “…21 500 ft (6553 m) is near the limit at which man ceases to be capable of slightest further exertion.”³¹ Naturally, it was not long before attention turned towards Mt Everest, which in 1892 had been declared the highest mountain in the world. Mountaineers were irrevocably drawn to the ultimate challenge, and, in 1924, Edward Norton (1884–1954) ascended to within 300 m of its summit, completing an “oxygenless” ascent to 7500 m in the Karakoram despite ominous predictions by the British Alpine Club, “…21 500 ft (6553 m) is near the limit at which man ceases to be capable of slightest further exertion.”³¹
Summit PIO2 (42.5–43 mm Hg) was obtained (a) at a terrestrial altitude of 6300 m with subjects inspired 14% O2 during the American Medical Research Expedition to Everest and (b) in a decompression chamber during Operation Everest II. The regression line has been extrapolated to predict the corresponding PIO2 values at: (a) 3.5 METs (assuming that 1 MET = 3.5 ml/kg/min), which equates to the lowest maximal value required for successful ascent of the last 100 m of Mt Everest during the summer months (barometric pressure about 251 mm Hg) assuming an average energy expenditure equivalent to 85% of a climber’s VO2MAX (point B); (b) 3.9 METs which is considered the lowest maximal value required for a winter ascent such as that achieved by Sherpa Ang Rita (point C); (c) 1 MET which equates to a climber’s basal metabolic rate (point D).

to a decline in PIO2, primarily because of a decrease in alveolar PO2 (PAO2). Extrapolation of this relation predicts the PIO2 at a variety of energy expenditures ranging from 1 metabolic equivalent (MET) to 3.9 METs compared with the measured maximum of about 4.5 METs (assuming that 1 MET = 3.5 ml/kg/min). Whole body VO2MAX is expressed in relative terms and further reduced to METS to normalise for the unavoidable differences between studies in subject body mass, which is an undoubted confounding variable during attempts to fit pooled data.

The value of 3.5 METS (point B on fig 1) was selected because 3 METs is considered the minimum energy expenditure required for a safe ascent to the summit during the summer months assuming that the climber is working at about 85% of his maximum, which is not unreasonable (J S Milledge, personal communication). Messner’s total body mass including equipment was reported to be 74 kg,10 at about 85% of his maximum, which is not unreasonable despite a mere <1 mm Hg reduction in the PO2, compared to (a); (c) 1 MET which equates to a climber’s basal metabolic rate (point D).

Seasonal variations in barometric pressure at these extreme altitudes have a major impact on systemic O2 transport and are thus of considerable physiological significance. Previous theoretical calculations have identified that a mere 4 mm Hg decrease in summit barometric pressure would reduce a climber’s predicted VO2MAX by about 10%. Thus, point C on fig 1 refers to the predicted VO2MAX of a climber based on the previous assumptions attempting to summit during the winter months, a remarkable feat that was achieved on 22 December 1987 by Sherpa Ang Rita. Retrospective analyses indicated that the summit pressure during this ascent was 247 mm Hg,15 only 3–4 mm Hg lower than that observed during the American Medical Research Expedition to Everest (AMREE6) and Operation Everest II (point A).

Incorporating this information into the linear function presented in fig 2 (based on the data summarised in table 1), we can identify the corresponding altitude at each of the respective PO2 values which equates to 9972.7 m (3.5 METS, point B), 9662.1 m (3.9 METS, point C), and 11 913.9 m (1 MET, point D).

Assuming a constant growth rate of 3 cm/year and present (2001) height of 8848.92 m, a climber with a sea level VO2MAX that is comparatively demanding, accounting for 26% of an individual’s VO2MAX when compared with the data obtained by Pugh et al. Hypoxic chemosensitivity may also affect these predictions, with previous studies indicating that climbers with a “brisk” hypoxic ventilatory response are capable of reaching higher altitudes on the mountain. However, the hyperventilatory responses observed at high altitude are energetically more demanding, accounting for 26% of an individual’s VO2MAX at 5050 m compared with 5.5% at sea level (assuming a mechanical efficiency of 5%). Thus it would appear that the mechanical power of breathing may impair a climber’s ability to perform external work on the mountain. The limits for reaching the theoretical summit are also affected by subtle changes in barometric pressure as the comparative example of Messner’s summer ascent versus Sherpa...
Ang Rita’s winter ascent clearly indicates; a successful winter bid would have to occur about 10 millennia before a summer bid despite a mere 3–4 mm Hg difference in summit pressure. More pronounced seasonal changes in summit pressure, which can differ by up to as much as 11.5 mm Hg at Everest’s present altitude, could further reduce the theoretical timescale of an oxygenless ascent. Changes in the geological (summit growth rate) and climatic (FiO₂ and barometric pressure α altitude relation possibly as the result of global rewarming) assumptions on which these calculations are based have obvious implications for summit dates; whether the predictions are correct, only time will tell!

Despite its appeal, the risks inherent in an ascent to such extreme altitudes by “fair means” are quite considerable and can increase a climber’s susceptibility to hypoxic brain damage, as studies showing clear evidence for residual impairment of central nervous system function after return to sea level would seem to suggest. Furthermore, recent epidemiological data clearly indicate that an oxygenless ascent/descent of Mt Everest and K2 is associated with more fatalities, probably because of the proposed therapeutic benefits of supplemental O₂ as a means of reducing the incidence of “medical” (related to altitude illness) and “traumatic” (caused by accident as the result of misjudgement) deaths. Thus, although high altitude mountaineers may find some reassurance that, at least for the next 40 millennia, an oxygenless ascent will have some chance of succeeding, the ethical implications of such a remarkable feat warrant due consideration. The physical and intellectual challenge posed by Everest lives on!
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