The use of local anaesthetic in professional football of various codes is widespread, but has not been generally discussed in the medical literature. This discrepancy represents a huge gap in the published sports medicine knowledge base. The major explanation for the non-publication of the use and complications of local anaesthetic injections is that the practice is considered unethical by some medical authorities, including FIMS. The only major sporting body known to have published guidelines on the use of local anaesthetic is the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) (guidelines available at www.ncaa.org), although these do not list specific examples and leave the decision at “the discretion of the individual treating physician”. Various references in non-medical journals can be cited to illustrate potential pitfalls of local anaesthetic injections. These include cases where compensation has been obtained through allegations of medical negligence.

Despite these risks generally being known, the use of local anaesthetic is attractive because it is perceived that the risks are often less than the potential benefits. This paper presents a case series from a single practitioner working as team doctor for a professional football team. It is appreciated that this form of medical presentation is science at one of its lowest levels. It is nevertheless hoped that the study will be the first step towards filling the gap between “real world” practice and published knowledge.

METHODS
The study was conducted over six years. For the first two years (1996 and 1997), I was team doctor to a professional Australian Football League (AFL) team, the Sydney Swans. For the years 1998–2001 inclusive, I was team doctor for a professional National Rugby League (NRL) team, the Sydney Roosters. During 2000 and 2001, I was also the team doctor for the New South Wales (NSW) Rugby League State of Origin team. In the AFL and NRL competitions, teams play one 80 minute match a week.

This case series includes all matches played in the preseason, regular season, and finals by the first and second grade teams of the Swans (1996–1997) and Roosters (1998–2001). It also includes the six State of Origin matches played by the NSW team over 2000–2001. These are representative matches (similar to international or “All star” games) that combine players from a number of different club teams. All documented cases were recorded of local anaesthetic topically applied or injected to reduce pain to allow players to train or play matches with injury. Cases were not included when the anaesthetic was used only to allow a procedure such as suturing of a laceration to be undertaken or was given for pain relief only and the player did not play or train.

The diagnosis, drug used, method and time of administration, and associated complications were all recorded in an injury database. Verbal consent was obtained from the player on every occasion; written consent was not obtained. For State of Origin rugby league matches, consent was also obtained from the club doctor of each player’s regular team.

A major complication was defined as:

1. Degenerative arthritis of a major joint (knee, ankle, hip, foot joint other than phalangeal, glenohumeral, elbow, first carpometacarpal, or radiocarpal) arising subsequent to intra-articular injection or total block of that joint;

2. Rupture of a major tendon—for example, Achilles or other foot and ankle tendon, patellar, quadriceps, adductor, proximal hamstring, rotator cuff, distal biceps, distal triceps—while under local block;

3. Fracture or refracture of a major bone—for example, all bones of lower limb other than phalanges, clavicle, scapula, humerus, scaphoid, radius, and ulna—while under local block;

4. Rupture of a major ligament—for example, the anterior, posterior, or lateral cruciate ligament of the knee—or dislocation of a major joint—for example, glenohumeral—while under local block;

5. Inadvertent block of a major motor nerve that prevented a player from being able to play—for example, femoral, obturator, common peroneal, sciatic;

6. Joint or other subcutaneous infection;
(7) damage to an important viscus—for example, pneumothorax where intercostal block was performed;
(8) any injury that was possibly worsened by local anaesthetic use that subsequently required surgery, although if surgery was considered inevitable before the decision to use local anaesthetic and the surgery also subsequently provided a definitive cure, then the complication was considered minor;
(9) any injury that was possibly worsened by local anaesthetic and had a role in limiting the duration of a player’s professional football career.

A minor complication was defined as:
(1) degenerative arthritis of a joint not considered above to be a major joint—for example, interphalangeal joint or acromioclavicular joint—arising subsequent to intra-articular injection or total block of that joint, and not requiring surgery;
(2) inadvertent sensory (but not motor) nerve block over a wider range than planned;
(3) failure of the local anaesthetic procedure to block pain enough for the player to participate meaningfully in the game;
(4) any injury that was possibly caused or worsened by local anaesthetic use that caused the player to miss match playing time (but is not listed under major complications) and which eventually fully resolved.

The database was also used to record all cases of players missing games through injury, players leaving the field through injury, players having surgery, and any reasons for players leaving the team at the end of the season. These records were used to help assess whether a player had suffered a complication, as listed above.

RESULTS

The study covered 337 football games (221 Roosters, six NSW State of Origin, 110 Swans). This included 10 NRL finals, four AFL finals, and eight reserve grade finals (four rugby league and four Australian football). During this period, I treated and recorded in an injury database 2851 injuries (1521 for the Roosters, 1256 for the Swans, and 74 for the NSW State of Origin team).

Table 1 details the injuries that were managed with the assistance of local anaesthetic (both topical and injectable).

The average number of players per team per match playing with the aid of local anaesthetic injections was 1.7 in the rugby league games (10.2% of all players) and 1.4 in the Australian football games (6.8%). When topical application of local anaesthetic is considered in addition, the average numbers were 1.9 in the rugby league games (11.1%) and 1.6 in the Australian football games (8.0%). The usual drug used for painkilling injections was bupivacaine (sometimes administered with a vasoconstrictor agent such as adrenaline, if the injury was not to a peripheral structure such as a finger or toe). The usual drugs used for topical (transdermal) administration were a combination of lignocaine and prilocaine.

The proportion of players taking the field with the aid of local anaesthetic was significantly higher in the rugby league than the Australian football games ($\chi^2 = 15.1, p<0.001$), and was significantly higher in first grade games than reserve grade games ($\chi^2 = 214, p<0.001$). It was significantly higher in finals matches and State of Origin matches than regular season matches ($\chi^2 = 76.2, p<0.001$), and significantly higher in regular season matches than preseason matches ($\chi^2 = 54.9, p<0.001$).

Most injections were given before the start of play. Some injuries were injected on match day acutely, and therefore without the use of imaging or time for the player to reflect on the decision to use local anaesthetic (table 1).

There were six major complications (table 2) and 11 minor complications (table 3).

No player had a career limiting injury associated with local anaesthetic use. Only one player retired from football after playing his last match with the aid of a local anaesthetic. He had anaesthetic injected into his superior tibiofibular joint, which had suffered a grade 2 sprain, for the last four games of the season; he was able to run at training during the week without requiring local anaesthetic. He did not suffer any complication from this injection and the injury fully recovered shortly after the season ended, but he was not offered a contract by any professional team for the following season, and elected to retire.

An injury commonly managed with local anaesthetic that gave rise to two major complications was sprain of the acromioclavicular joint (table 2). Not all such injuries were treated with local anaesthetic, because in some cases either the doctor or the player decided that it should not be used. There was one case in which local anaesthetic was not used, and the player continued to play with an acromioclavicular joint injury and developed osteolysis which required surgical management (distal clavicle resection). Table 4 presents a summary of the management of acromioclavicular joint injuries. Although this is a non-randomised intervention with low power, the relation between the use of local anaesthetic and the development of symptomatic osteolysis appears to be weak ($\chi^2 = 0.24, p>0.6$). There also does not appear to be a strong relation between number of injections used and risk of osteolysis. Of the two cases of osteolysis after local anaesthetic, one player had received 16 local injections, and the other had received only two. One player who received 24 local
injections to the acromioclavicular joint had his symptoms spontaneously resolve at the end of the season without surgery.

A case of chronic plantar fasciitis was the one injury in this series that appeared to be improved by the use of a local anaesthetic injection during a game. In this case, the player described feeling a tear while running which was only moderately painful because of the local anaesthetic block. The next morning, the pain he usually felt during his first step had disappeared, but was replaced by a dull ache when running; this lasted for three weeks, after which he became almost completely symptom free. In this case, I believe that the original pain arose from a chronic tightness of the plantar fascia, and that a rupture of the fascia at the region of tightness occurred and effectively “cured” the original condition.

**DISCUSSION**

The use of local anaesthetic may allow players to return to sport at an earlier stage. This may have enormous benefits for players of a professional sport. This study shows that such procedures are not without risk, but that these risks may be acceptable for both the player and the doctor.

Only one previous study in rugby league has looked at the use of local anaesthetic injections. In this study, five injuries (three acromioclavicular joint sprains, one rib fracture, and one groin tendon tear) over three seasons were managed in this way. Although these are similar injuries to those presented in this study, the use of painkilling injections in other teams in the past or present cannot be assumed by the rates presented in this study. It is known that local anaesthetic injections have been used in professional rugby league in Australia since at least 1975, when a famous incident occurred during the Grand Final: a player was unable to kick because of inadvertent motor nerve block. It is worth noting that in all six seasons presented in this study, the first grade team played in the finals series and was a premiership contender. The motivation for the players to return to the field early was...
In this case series, local anaesthetic was used more often in rugby league than Australian football. This probably reflects the relative injury profiles of the two sports. Contact mechanism injuries that have a good prognosis for spontaneous healing but are very painful in the short term are the injuries that are most amenable to the use of local anaesthetic. Injuries of this type are more common in rugby league than Australian football. This probably reflects the numbers of cases described are too few to present an exhaustive profile of the risks. Guidelines for anaesthetic use in professional football are almost non-existent because of "lack of scientific evidence".14

The findings and conclusions of this study should not be seen as being definitive or representative of any group of doctors, as the numbers of cases described are too few to present an exhaustive profile of the risks. Guidelines for anaesthetic use in professional football are almost non-existent because of "lack of scientific evidence". This situation can only be remedied by publication of clinical case series (such as this), followed by larger controlled studies with long term follow up.
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