Football boot insoles and sensitivity to extent of ankle inversion movement
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Background: The capacity of the plantar sole of the foot to convey information about foot position is reduced by conventional smooth boot insoles, compared with barefoot surface contact.

Objective: To test the hypothesis that movement discrimination may be restored by inserting textured replacement insoles, achieved by changing footwear conditions and measuring the accuracy of judgments of the extent of ankle inversion movement.

Methods: An automated testing device, the ankle movement extent discrimination apparatus (AMEDA), developed to assess active ankle function in weight bearing without a balance demand, was used to test the effects of sole inserts in soccer boots. Seventeen elite soccer players, the members of the 2000 Australian Women’s soccer squad (34 ankles), took part in the study. Subjects were randomly allocated to start testing in: bare feet, their own football boots, own football boot and replacement insole, and on the left or right side. Subjects underwent six 50 trial blocks, in which they completed all footwear conditions. The sole inserts were cut to size for each foot from textured rubber “finger profile” sheeting.

Results: Movement discrimination scores were significantly worse when subjects wore their football boots and socks, compared with barefoot data collected at the same time. The substitution of textured insoles for conventional smooth insoles in the football boots was found to restore movement discrimination to barefoot levels.

Conclusions: The lower active movement discrimination scores of athletes when wearing football boots with smooth insoles suggest that the insole is one aspect of football boot and sport shoe design that could be modified to provide the sensory feedback needed for accurate foot positioning.

Many sports involve activities that place high physical demands on the lower limbs, and injuries to the knee, foot, and ankle are common. A prospective study of 10 393 basketball playing episodes found an overall injury rate of 18.3 per 1000 participations, with the ankle joint the most common serious injury site. Data collected using soccer players showed that knee and ankle injuries represented 71.4% of lost match time for one Australian National League soccer team.

Aspects of footwear have relevance to injury research, but other than as a shock absorber, the potential role of the sport shoe as an interface between the moving body and the support surface has received little attention in the sports medicine literature. As the point of contact in this interface, the plantar sole is important.

The extent of innervation of the plantar sole of the foot, as seen in the sensory homunculus, has been attributed to its evolutionarily older grasping function, appropriate for a more arboreal existence. However, the principle of evolution that nothing is retained unless it serves a survival function would suggest that in the 3.5 million years since the Laetoli footprints, the sensory representation of a non-grasping foot should have reduced. Yet this does not seem to be the case, as tactile thresholds on the sole are similar to those for the palm. Interest in the sensory capacity of the plantar sole and speculation about its function was stimulated when Watanabe and Okubo showed that postural sway was reduced when blindfolded subjects stood on a stippled metal surface as opposed to a smooth milled surface. More recently, it has been suggested that the plantar sole provides a “dynamometric map” of pressure differences in regions beneath it.

Further evidence for the role of the sensory information arising from the sole of the foot in posture control comes from patients with diabetic neuropathy, which has resulted in loss of plantar cutaneous sensation. For patients with reduced sensitivity after microvascular free flap reconstruction, the amount of body sway was found to be increased compared with normals, and sway was related to the size of the affected skin region. In their study of older adults with moderate plantar sensitivity, Maki et al investigated special footwear insoles, which they designed to reduce instability and risk of falling in these patients. They found stabilising reactions to be facilitated from a segment of flexible polyethylene tubing taped to the boundary of the plantar surface of the foot. However, an easier to use form of stimulation is a modified shoe insole.

If the plantar sole of the foot reflects changing pressure patterns and thus provides important information about the disposition and movement of the body’s centre of mass, then this capacity is masked to some extent by footwear. With few exceptions, one of the principles of construction for both athletic and ordinary footwear has been to provide a smooth insole to contact the plantar sole. Associated with this has been the development of increased shock absorption by the shoe sole, sometimes by the inclusion of new synthetic materials and air or gel cells. When combined with the ubiquity of smooth walking surfaces, the result is a substantial reduction in the stimulation to the plantar sole. Some researchers have, however, documented the negative effects of this trend. Stacoff et al found significant foot slip within court shoes during a cutting manoeuvre. Less information about foot movement in relation to the shoe therefore would seem to be worse for maintaining balance, and Robbins et al have likewise argued that less information about foot position is worse for maintaining balance. Consistent with this view, McKay et al found that more injuries occurred when there was a greater degree of cushioning and shock absorption in the sole of basketball shoes.
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It can be argued that the effects of reduced information available from the plantar sole are not critical in landing from a jump (where the time needed to adjust foot angles is too great to prevent injury once ground contact has been made) but are critical in terms of foot carrying angle in swing phase. Foot carrying angle during swing is important for maintaining clearance and in preparing foot posture for the next ground strike. 

Crosbie and Ko have shown that, when stepping over a kerb under natural environmental conditions out of doors, accurate planning for obstacle avoidance occurs some distance before the obstacle is reached. A similar mechanism may operate for walking, running, and changing direction on smooth surfaces. If inversion, plantarflexion, and rotation angles during swing phase are set relative to the perceived angles from the previous stance phase, then proprioceptive error could accumulate to the point where the position of the foot and ankle at the start of stance results in excessive motion and injury (fig 1). Chen and coworkers have shown that a relatively small change in the angulation of the foot and ankle significantly increases the risk of catching the ground (tripping) during the swing phase of gait. From this research, they have computed the increase in trip probability contingent upon increases in the error associated with inversion and plantarflexion foot carrying angles during swing phase. If the trajectory of the swinging leg is represented graphically, as in fig 2, the optimum swing trajectory can be described as the peak of a normal distribution.

Clearly, if the leg is swung higher than the optimum trajectory, there would be little risk of tripping, but the energy cost would be greater and the movement inefficient. However, if the subject is less able to approximate the optimum swing trajectory—that is, has more error in the positioning of the swing leg, or more error in the position of the foot at toe off—then the subject’s leg may swing lower. Accordingly, the risk of tripping or a less controlled foot strike is greater, and the potential for injury increases.

To achieve optimum stimulation at footfall for providing the information base from which foot carrying angles during swing are set, a greater amount of plantar sole stimulation than that arising from smooth insoles would be beneficial. If it were possible therefore to enhance information from the plantar sole, one effect of this would be to improve sensitivity to different extents of ankle inversion. 

Previous research on this topic found a significant decrement in ankle movement discrimination in elite netball players when wearing sport shoes as compared with bare feet. Other authors have suggested that aspects of modern sport shoe design may contribute to some lower limb injuries. Within this context we have considered the football boot as an environmental buffer modifying the function of the lower limb complex. To test changes to football boots, however, requires a lower limb functional test. The concept of using whole motor tasks (functional movements) in injury rehabilitation and as a means of assessment of recovery of function is well established in the therapeutic literature. To test the effects of football boots on the making of functional movements, an apparatus and methodology appropriate to the model was needed. Accordingly, an automated testing device, the ankle movement extent discrimination apparatus (AMEDA), which has been developed to assess active ankle function in weight bearing, but without a balance demand, was used in this study to test the effects of textured sole inserts in soccer boots. Because balance scores are related to subject height, mean movement discrimination score was correlated with height as a check on balance involvement.
METHODS

Subjects

Twenty-two elite soccer players, the members of the 2000 Australian Women’s soccer squad, enrolled in the study. Five were excluded because of an existing injury. No participating subject reported any history of musculoskeletal injury to the lower limb or back or any ankle pain in the six weeks preceding the study, nor had any history of visual or vestibular disturbance affecting balance. Final testing was undertaken on 17 subjects (34 ankles) (mean (SD) age 24 (5) years, height 168 (7) cm, and weight 63 (7) kg). Twelve subjects preferred the right foot for kicking, five were left foot kickers, and one had equal preference for both sides. The project was approved by the human ethics committee of the University of Sydney, and each subject signed a consent form.

The AMEDA was used, as it provides discrimination scores representing subjects’ sensitivity to small differences in extent of ankle inversion. It provides a method of assessing lower limb active movement function that fulfils the validity criteria described by Ashton-Miller—that is, a weight bearing position, functional movement velocities, and adequate trials to assess a subject’s ability to discriminate movement extent. The device consists of a swinging plate which rotates about an axle under the long axis of the foot being tested (fig 3). While standing astride, with one foot on a fixed plate and the foot being tested on the movable plate, subjects make an active inversion movement which moves the plate and the outer side of the foot down until contact is made by the rim of the plate on an adjustable metal stop. They then return the plate to the horizontal stopped position at the same steady pace, and make a judgment as to the extent of inversion.

Subjects were randomly allocated to start testing in: bare feet, their own football boots, own football boot with experimental insole, and on their left or right side. Whenever boots were worn, team socks were also worn. Subjects underwent six 50 trial blocks on the AMEDA, in which they completed all footwear conditions. The sole inserts were cut to size for each boot from the textured finger profile rubber sheeting described above (four nodules/cm²) (fig 4). This density is greater than commercially available “massage” sandals. To establish that this material could be worn as insoles during testing, the first author wore a pair in conventional work shoes for a week without discomfort.

Figure 3 (A) Subject standing on the ankle movement extent discrimination apparatus (AMEDA) platform. (B) Subject making an active inversion movement which moves the plate and the outer side of the foot down until contact is made by the rim of the plate on an adjustable metal stop.

Figure 4 Rubber matting purpose cut to serve as textured insoles.
To generate pairwise receiver operating characteristic curves. Thereafter non-parametric signal detection analysis was used to set the vertical stops for each downward movement, and the experimenter manually recorded the subject's response. For each stimulus—for example, the number of times a “2” was used when stimulus 1 was presented. The five inversion extent stimuli were then presented 10 times in random order, with no feedback being given to the subjects. A laptop computer was used to record the responses, and the experimenter manually recorded the subject's response. Raw scores were collated, and cast into 5 × 5 matrices representing the frequency with which each response was used for each stimulus—for example, the number of times a “2” was used when stimulus 1 was presented. Thereafter non-parametric signal detection analysis was used to generate pairwise receiver operating characteristic curves. Mean area under these curves was used as the discrimination score with a possible maximum of 1.0 for perfect discrimination and 0.5 representing chance responding (fig 5). A 2 × 2 analysis of variance was conducted on these data, with the first factor being the side of the foot tested (left or right) and the repeated measures factor being shoe condition (bare foot or boot or boot and textured insole).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the mean scores (× 100) for inversion movement discriminability for the right and left ankles under each of the testing conditions. To examine these data, contrasts were written within a repeated measures analysis of variance. Movement discriminability scores were significantly worse when subjects wore their football boots and socks, as compared with the data from the barefoot condition collected during the same session ($F_{1,16} = 6.68, p = 0.02$). The substitution of textured insoles for conventional smooth insoles in the football boots significantly improved discrimination ($F_{1,16} = 5.00, p = 0.04$). Although there was no overall effect of side, there was a side-footwear interaction effect whereby left foot scores were better when barefoot, and right foot scores were better in the two boot conditions ($F_{1,16} = 9.66, p < 0.01$). When the scores under the two boot wearing conditions (insole/no insole) and the two sides (left/right) were examined in a separate 2 × 2 analysis of variance, there was a significant advantage associated with wearing textured insoles ($F_{1,16} = 5.00, p = 0.04$) and from using the right foot during testing ($F_{1,16} = 8.49, p = 0.01$) but no interaction ($F_{1,16} = 0.20, p = 0.66$), indicating that the insoles improved discrimination equivalently for the left and right sides.

Correlations between the mean discriminability values for each of the conditions (barefoot, boots, boots and insole, and subject height) were determined (table 2). The matrix of correlations of discrimination ability under different conditions shows that a subject who performs well under one footwear condition tends to also perform well under the other conditions. The lack of a significant correlation between the AMEDA test scores and subject's height supports the contention that the obtained ankle movement discrimination score is independent of balance.

DISCUSSION

The finding of higher active movement discrimination scores for athletes when tested barefoot rather than when wearing football boots with smooth insoles is consistent with previously published data, and the performance enhancement observed with the textured insole together suggest that the contact surface of the insole is one aspect of football boot and sport shoe design that may reduce sensory feedback needed for movement sensitivity. In 1991, Robbins and Gouw hypothesised that athletic footwear could be improved “simply by adding surface irregularities to insoles” (p 217). We used a rubber football boot insole, similar to the textured rubber compound that Robbins and Gouw used as a plantar contact surface for the feet of their seated subjects, to test this prediction, and showed enhanced performance on a task requiring ankle inversion movements, in upright standing and with normal weight bearing. Robbins et al. have argued that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barefoot</th>
<th>Boots</th>
<th>Boots + insole</th>
<th>Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barefoot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9*</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boots</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boots + insole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01.
Elliott boots were worn. When subjects were barefoot, the data here change.
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This paper adds to the growing body of literature highlighting the importance of psychophysical feedback loops in efficient foot and lower leg function. Sensory feedback is now seen as one of the most important requirements for accurate foot positioning, and is an area of intense interest in athletic footwear design and falls research. This study emphasises the ability of a relatively simple intervention to positively influence athletic performance. The researchers may now like to consider further investigating the role of the dimpled shoe insoles in a more functional sporting task setting.
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