The dipsomania of great distance: water intoxication in an Ironman triathlete
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CASE REPORT

Of 371 athletes (62% of all finishers) whose weights were measured before and after the 226 km South African Ironman Triathlon, the athlete who gained the most weight (3.6 kg) during the race was the only competitor to develop symptomatic hyponatraemia. During recovery, he excreted an excess of 4.6 litres of urine. This case report again confirms that symptomatic hyponatraemia is caused by considerable fluid overload independent of appreciable NaCl losses. Hence prevention of the condition requires that athletes be warned not to drink excessively large volumes of fluid (dipsomania) during very prolonged exercise. This case report also shows that there is a delayed diuresis in this condition and that it is not caused by renal failure.

The symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise has been recognised more and more often since its first description in 1985 and 1986. A recent review details 57 cases, many severe, that have since been reported, mostly in ultra-endurance athletes. Not included in that review are 26 severe, that have since been reported, mostly in ultra-endurance athletes. Not included in that review are 26 such deaths are particularly regrettable if they occur in athletes or military personnel who began the fatal exercise bout in apparently perfect health. Two contrasting theories have been proposed to explain this novel phenomenon.

Whereas some have consistently proposed that the condition cannot occur in the absence of a substantial fluid overload to which the usual Na deficit incurred during exercise plays an insignificant role, others have conjectured that the condition occurs either in dehydrated runners who have incurred sufficiently large Na deficits, or, alternatively, that “both large salt losses via sweat and excessive water intake, singly or in combination, contribute to lowering serum Na during prolonged exercise.” As argued previously, the current guidelines of the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) favour the latter interpretation because the ACSM position stands on heat and cold illnesses during distance running and on exercise and fluid replacement both promote the practice of Na replacement during exercise to prevent hyponatraemia but fail to mention any possible dangers associated with excessive fluid consumption.

In the face of such continuing uncertainty, we report a further case study that confirms certain important causative factors and management principles for this potentially fatal condition. The data support the argument that this condition is both foreseeable and preventable and results from a specific, relatively recent paradigm shift in the drinking advice given to athletes participating in endurance events.
sounds. There were no pulmonary rales on auscultation of the lung bases.

Immediate blood analysis using conventional methods revealed a serum \([\text{Na}^+]\) of 127 mmol/l, serum \([\text{K}^+]\) 5.8 mmol/l, blood glucose 5.8 mmol/l, serum (urea) 6.4 mmol/l, and serum [creatinine] 98 \(\mu\)mol/l; the latter two values were unchanged from before the race. A diagnosis of uncomplicated fluid overload hyponatraemia with post-exercise hypotension was made, and the patient was nursed in the head down position with the foot of the bed raised, our standard method for the treatment of hypotension. We concluded that his state of hypotension despite fluid overload was due to a low peripheral vascular resistance.

He was placed on no fluids by mouth but was given an additional 16 NaCl tablets, each containing 250 mg Na\(^+\) to be ingested over the next following four hours. Management was expectant, awaiting a natural diuresis to correct the state of self-induced water intoxication.

During the next four hours, despite frequent encouragement to empty his bladder, the patient passed urine only twice with a total volume of about 500 ml. The urine was a straw colour. His condition was otherwise unchanged, and his serum [Na\(^+\)] fell marginally to 125 mmol/l. As he was the sole remaining patient in the medical tent at the end of the race at midnight, he was transferred by ambulance to the nearest hospital where he was given 50 mg furosemide intramuscularly on admission. Overnight he passed a further 4.1 litres of urine and was discharged from hospital at midday the following day, fully recovered, with a normal serum [Na\(^+\)] concentration (136 mmol/l). He did not receive any intravenous fluids in hospital, and only after his serum Na\(^+\) concentration had normalised was he again allowed to ingest fluids.

Subsequent analysis of the first urine sample passed by the athlete one hour after he finished the race showed [Na\(^+\)] 46 mmol/l, [K\(^+\)] 86 mmol/l, [urea] 69 mmol/l, and [creatinine] 10.8 \(\mu\)mol/l. Later questioning revealed that he had ingested about 750 ml/h during the cycle leg but that in the first 3 km of the run he had ingested 750 ml where after he began to drink “as much as possible” as he felt poorly and assumed this was due to dehydration. This practice was the exact opposite of the advice given to the athletes in the briefing before the race. He ingested water, Coca Cola, and a sports drink, as well as energy bars and a high energy meal replacement solution.

As most of the data had been collected routinely for most of the triathletes in that race as was the case in the 2000 South African Ironman Triathlon, only one (0.2% of entrants) developed symptomatic hyponatraemia and completed the race with a serum [Na\(^+\)] below 130 mmol/l (fig 1A). This compares with reported incidences of biochemical and clinically diagnosed hyponatraemia of 27% and 18% in the Hawaiian and New Zealand Ironman Triathlons respectively. Thus it appears that the incidence of hyponatraemia in the 2001 South African Ironman Triathlon was unusually low for a race of this kind.

As has now been repeatedly shown, there was an inverse relation between the degree of weight loss during the race and [Na\(^+\)] concentration after the race in 371 triathletes in the 2001 South African Ironman Triathlon so that those who lost the least weight had the highest serum [Na\(^+\)] after the race. Note that the athlete who gained the most weight during the race (arrowed) had the lowest serum [Na\(^+\)] after the race and was the only athlete of 598 competitors to develop symptomatic hyponatraemia. There was a significant linear relation between the degree of weight loss during the race and total performance time in 498 triathletes in the 2001 South African Ironman Triathlon such that those who lost the most weight finished the race in the shortest time.

**DISCUSSION**

In this race, in which the changes in weight and serum [Na\(^+\)] of 371 participants (62% of the total race finishers) in the Ironman triathlon were measured, only one (0.2% of entrants) developed symptomatic hyponatraemia and completed the race with a serum [Na\(^+\)] below 130 mmol/l (fig 1A). This compares with reported incidences of biochemically and clinically diagnosed hyponatraemia of 27% and 18% in the Hawaiian and New Zealand Ironman Triathlons respectively. Thus it appears that the incidence of hyponatraemia in the 2001 South African Ironman Triathlon was unusually low for a race of this kind.

As has now been repeatedly shown, there was an inverse relation between serum [Na\(^+\)] after the race and the degree of weight lost during it so that those who lost the least weight showed the largest reductions in race serum [Na\(^+\)] (fig 1A). Hence the most logical explanation for the near absence of cases of hyponatraemia in this Ironman triathlon must be that all but five triathletes (0.83% of all...
Table 1 Medical diagnoses in 48 competitors treated in the medical tent at the finish of the 2001 South African Ironman Triathlon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>No of athletes</th>
<th>% of starters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscle cramps</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal symptoms</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise associated collapse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower back pain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal ulceration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional distress</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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finishers) lost weight during the race, probably because all the entrants were advised to drink only 500–800 ml/h during the race and no more. Indeed, a previous study has shown that the incidence of hyponatraemia in the New Zealand Ironman Triathlon was dramatically reduced by the introduction of a more conservative drinking policy, in which the number of aid stations in the cycling and running legs was reduced. That same policy was adopted for this Ironman race. In contrast, competitors in a recent (1999) Hawaiian Ironman Triathlon were advised to drink at rates of 760–2000 ml/h depending on whether or not they classified themselves as light, moderate, or heavy sweaters. The Hawaiian Ironman Triathlon has traditionally experienced a high incidence of hyponatraemia.

Thus as a result of the conservative drinking policy in the South African Ironman Triathlon, most finishers lost weight during the race and maintained their serum [Na⁺]. In contrast, the triathlete who gained the most weight during the race (arrowed in fig 1A) developed symptomatic hyponatraemia, thereby becoming the subject of this case report. That athlete’s absolute weight gain of 3.8 kg approximates to an estimated total fluid gain of at least 5.0 kg. The approximate accuracy of this estimation is also suggested by the fluid excess that he excreted as urine (about 4.6 litres) during the first 18 hours of recovery. As respiratory and other sources of water loss were not measured during recovery, his total fluid excess at the finish of the race would have been greater than the 4.6 litres he passed as urine.

Hence these data confirm the finding that, with a single exception, all those athletes who have developed the symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise and for whom the data are available have been substantially overhydrated at the time they sought medical care, with the most overhydrated athletes being the most symptomatic. This evidence refutes the conjecture that the symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise always occurs in the presence of dehydration, as a result of large and uncompensated Na⁺ losses, or that Na⁺ losses alone can cause this condition in persons who remain normally hydrated during exercise.

Indeed, the information collected from this athlete can be used to estimate the likely contribution made by any associated Na⁺ deficit in the cause of this patient’s hyponatraemia and so to address the accuracy of the conclusion that a Na⁺ deficit alone can cause the symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise in persons who remain normally hydrated during very prolonged exercise, for example, during a 90 km ultramarathon foot race.

The magnitude of the Na⁺ loss in sweat necessary to produce hyponatraemia has been estimated for athletes who drank sufficiently during a 90 km ultramarathon foot race so that they replaced all their sweat losses, but only with water, leaving an uncorrected Na⁺ deficit. Using the premise that total body water is 62.9% of the body mass (or 44 litres in a 70 kg athlete) and the extracellular fluid volume is 39.8% of the total body water (or 17.5 litres in a 70 kg athlete), an athlete who completely replaced 8.6 litres of sweat during the 90 km race with pure water would develop Na⁺ deficits of 215, 430, and 650 mmol if his sweat [Na⁺] were respectively 25, 50, or 75 mmol/l. The calculated serum [Na⁺] after the race for the three different sweat Na⁺ concentrations would be respectively 135, 130, and 125 mmol/l, only the latter of which might possibly cause symptomatic hyponatraemia.

These calculations generate two important conclusions. Firstly, these are exceptionally high sweat Na⁺ concentrations for physically fit and heat acclimatised athletes. The inference is that Na⁺ losses incurred by trained athletes during a 90 km foot race are unlikely to be sufficient to cause clinically relevant hyponatraemia, a conclusion that was also drawn in the paper that originally described the condition. This is an important acknowledgement that is missing from the overall conclusions of that publication as quoted in the introduction to this paper.

Secondly, these data show that, even if such pathological sweat Na⁺ losses were indeed possible, they would still not be sufficient to lower the serum [Na⁺] to the mean value of 121 mmol/l measured in patients with symptomatic hyponatraemia, nor to the extremely low concentrations of 113–116 mmol/l measured in the most severe cases. Hence the next conclusion of that paper is that a fluid excess of 5.1, 3.3, or 1.6 litres must be present to cause the serum [Na⁺] to fall to 121 mmol/l in athletes with the respective low, moderate, and high sweat Na⁺ concentrations.

Hence these calculations disprove any theory that a Na⁺ deficit alone can cause the symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise, except perhaps in the rare individual with pathologically high sweat [Na⁺]. That this modified conclusion must be correct is also shown by the clinical evidence that, as again confirmed by this report, with one exception, all 24 case studies of symptomatic hyponatraemia in which the relevant data have been measured, either gained weight during exercise or showed a positive fluid balance during recovery. Furthermore, the athletes who lost the most weight would be the most likely to have incurred the greatest Na⁺ deficits as they had probably replaced the least fluid and Na⁺. Yet the most dehydrated athletes are always those with the highest serum [Na⁺] (fig 1A). Nevertheless, this method of calculation can also be used to determine the extent to which a possible Na⁺ deficit may have contributed to the hyponatraemia in the triathlete reported here.

This athlete had a starting body weight of 81.7 kg which corresponds to an estimated total body water of 51.4 litres and a total extracellular fluid volume of 20.5 litres according to these formulae. We do not know the serum [Na⁺] immediately before the race, only the value measured three days earlier when the athlete was slightly lighter (80 kg). However, for the purposes of this calculation, we have presumed that his serum [Na⁺] of 143 mmol/l was the same on both days. This value equates to a total extracellular fluid Na⁺ content of about 2932 mmol. As total body water expanded by at least 5 litres during the race, the corresponding increase in the extracellular fluid volume would have been 2.0 litres. Hence total Na⁺ content of the extracellular fluid at the end of the race, when the serum [Na⁺] was 127 mmol/l, would have been 2858 mmol, indicating a calculated loss of only 74 mmol Na⁺ during the race. This is substantially less than the amounts of 215–650 mmol estimated for a substantially shorter foot race (90 km), but is nevertheless of similar magnitude to the Na⁺ deficits of 20–300 mmol estimated in other ultradistance athletes who have developed the symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise.
The lower Na⁺ deficit in this athlete can perhaps be explained either by the intake of Na⁺-containing foods and energy supplements during the race or as a result of a lower than expected sweat Na⁺ concentration.

Hence these measurements and approximate calculations confirm that it is the fluid excess of 3–6 litres that causes this hyponatraemia, and that Na⁺ supplementation is unlikely to prevent its development during exercise and might even exacerbate the symptoms in those who become overhydrated during exercise because Na⁺ supplementation might increase whole body fluid retention, thereby theoretically exacerbating the cerebral oedema. Therefore prevention of this condition requires that athletes at risk drink less during exercise as already proposed in the original case reports. Indeed, this case also proves that the ACSM proposals that athletes should increase their Na⁺ intake before and during exercise to prevent this condition are not likely to be effective because, despite being profoundly hyponatraemic, this athlete finished with only a very small calculated Na⁺ deficit (74 mmol). Even if he had not developed that magnitude of Na⁺ deficit, his serum Na⁺ concentration after the race would have still been 130 mmol/l, as a result of the 2 litre expansion of his extracellular fluid volume.

Rather, athletes should be advised to “optimise rather than maximise fluid intake during extreme exercise”. Indeed, as the only athlete to develop symptomatic hyponatraemia in this race was also the athlete who gained the most weight during the race (fig 1A), these data also prove that the only personal factor necessary to produce this condition is the practice of drinking “as much as possible” during exercise, i.e., that is, dipsomania. This has been confirmed by laboratory studies, especially that of Speedy et al., which showed that, in response to fluid overload at rest, subjects who had previously developed symptomatic hyponatraemia in the New Zealand Ironman Triathlon developed the same levels of hyponatraemia as did control runners who had completed the same race but without the development of hyponatraemia. Thus symptomatic hyponatraemia cannot develop without fluid overload; but the severity of the hyponatraemia will be determined by the degree to which the kidneys are able to correct the fluid imbalance and prevent a fluid overload.

An interesting clinical paradox also shown by this case report was that, despite profound fluid overload, the affected athlete passed little fluid during the first five hours after the race. As serum [urea] and [creatinine] were unchanged, the absence of an appropriate diuresis was not due to a transient exercise induced renal failure of the kind previously described in an ultramarathon runner who lost 11% of her body weight during a 90 km foot race. It is perhaps understandable why, in the absence of any urine production, it is a common error for the clinician to assume that the athlete is dehydrated and in need of intravenous fluid therapy. Yet providing intravenous fluids to an athlete with hyponatraemia could be potentially fatal.

Although there are no controlled clinical trials to determine the most effective treatment of exercise associated hyponatraemia, the experience of Ayus et al. and Davis et al. suggests that the use of slow intravenous Na⁺ replacement may expedite recovery in those who are the most ill, as was also suggested in one of the original case reports. This athlete developed a diuresis only after he had received furosemide some five hours after he first presented in the medical tent at the race finish. The earlier use of furosemide in this case may have spared the need for hospital admission. In future, we will treat mild, uncomplicated cases of symptomatic hyponatraemia in those athletes who ignore our advice to drink only “ad libitum” during exercise, with oral Na⁺ and intramuscular furosemide starting within 45 minutes of admission to the medical facility, provided that the athlete has yet to produce an adequate diuresis (>600 ml/h).

Finally, it is of interest that, even though a large number of athletes in the 2001 South African Ironman Triathlon finished with a weight loss greater than 5% (7% of finishers; greatest individual weight loss = −9 kg or −12% of body weight; fig 1A), the incidence of medical diagnoses in that group was no greater than in the group who lost the least weight during the race (unpublished). Indeed, the incidence (8%) of medical diagnoses (table 1) in competitors in this race was less than half the more usual incidences of between 17% and 17–20% in other Ironman triathlons. Furthermore, there was no apparent detrimental effect of higher levels of dehydration on finishing time in the race, because the triathletes who were the most dehydrated at the finish were also among the fastest (fig 1B). Nor was there any relation between higher levels of dehydration and changes in rectal temperature (unpublished) as also shown in our previous study of competitors in the 2000 South African Ironman Triathlon.

In addition, all these “dehydrated” triathletes were released from the medical tent within 15–30 minutes of admission for blood sampling and without the need for intravenous fluid in a single instance. Yet the sole athlete who acted according to the popular “dehydration myth” assuming that his fatigue could only be reversed by drinking “as much as possible”, developed a profound fluid overload and was released from hospital only 29 hours after the race start, 17.5 hours after he had finished the race.

We again emphasise that the practice of self induced fluid overload (dipsomania) poses far greater health risks during very prolonged exercise than does “dehydration”. Furthermore, to help athletes to understand the entirely preventable nature of this condition, it is proposed that, in future, “distance runners’ dipsomania” should become the popular term, better to identify the single most important and avoidable factor causing the symptomatic hyponatraemia of exercise.
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