Can we manage sport related concussion in children the same as in adults?

P McCrory, A Collie, V Anderson, G Davis

Evidence based guidelines are required for the management of concussive injury in children

Consensus guidelines for managing sport related concussion in adults have been increasingly widely implemented.1 So far, there are no guidelines that enable clinicians to manage similar sporting concussive injuries in children. Furthermore, there are a number of important anatomical, physiological, and behavioural differences between adults and children that suggest that adult guidelines will need to be either modified or rewritten to manage injuries in this age group.

Epidemiology of concussion in children

The annual incidence of traumatic brain injury (TBI) in adults is remarkably constant worldwide and has been estimated at between 180 and 300 cases per 100 000 population.1,2 This is believed to be an underestimate of the true incidence as an equivalent number of mild injuries are treated by general practitioners and do not result in hospital admission.3 Direct sport participation accounts for approximately 15–20% of all such TBI4 and in children a further smaller percentage of TBI is associated with play activities.5

In children aged 15 years and under, the estimated incidence rate of TBI is 180 per 100 000 children per year of which approximately 85% are categorised as mild injuries.6 In the US, it has been estimated that more than 1 million children sustain a TBI annually and that TBI accounts for more than 250 000 paediatric hospital admissions as well as more than 10% of all visits to emergency service settings.7

In child and adolescent populations, few well controlled studies exist to identify the age specific frequency and outcome of sport related concussive injuries.

Intrinsic differences between child and adult concussion

The most common cognitive sequelae of concussive injuries in children are the same as for adults, namely reduced speed of information processing, poor attention, and impaired executive function.8–11 Concussion may also have a significant negative secondary impact upon educational and social attainment, as these processes are critical for performing common day to day activities in childhood and adolescence, such as acquiring new knowledge and attending to school work. The nature, magnitude, and duration of these post-concussive cognitive impairments are yet to be determined, and the academic and social consequence for the child remains unknown at this time. In addition, it has been reported that children may suffer from a variety of post-concussion behavioural sequelae despite normal neuropsychological testing.12–14

It is also known that brain tolerance to biomechanical forces differs between adults and children.15 In broad terms, a two to three fold greater impact force is required to produce clinical symptoms in children compared to adults. This is due to a combination of factors, including an age dependent physiological response to mechanical stress, the differing geometry of the skull and brain, and the constitutive structural properties of the head. This means that if a child exhibits clinical symptoms after head injury, then it is reasonable to assume that they have sustained a far greater impact force compared to an adult with the same post-concussive symptoms.

There are rare but well recognised post-traumatic clinical sequelae that occur predominantly in children and teenagers, such as diffuse cerebral swelling.16–18 The concussive impact, however trivial, sets in train the rapid development of cerebral swelling that usually results in brainstem herniation and death. Its cause is unknown but is thought to involve disordered cerebral vascular autoregulation. Although repeated concussive injuries have been proposed as the basis for this syndrome (the so called second impact syndrome), the evidence for repeated concussion as a specific risk factor is not compelling.19 It is more likely that a single impact of any severity may result in this rare complication; however, participation in sport simply draws attention to incidental concussive injuries in this setting. There is also limited published evidence that a specific genetic abnormality predisposes to brain swelling following mild head injury in children.19

Neuropsychological differences between children and adults

Over and above any cognitive effects of concussion, there is an additional issue that makes assessment difficult, namely the fact that the brain is cognitively maturing during this period. This has two major implications. Firstly, the child’s brain potentially may be more vulnerable to the impact of head injury than the more mature adult brain due to the disturbances of neuronal maturation caused by brain trauma.20 Secondly, unlike adults where cognitive function is relatively stable over time, children’s cognition continues to develop. Thus any assessment of baseline or post-injury cognitive function needs to factor in the normal maturation in cognition that is occurring over this period. Pilot unpublished cross sectional data collected in 180 healthy children using a computerised cognitive test paradigm (CogSport) indicates substantial improvement in performance between the ages of 9 and 18 years on tests of simple and choice reaction time, working memory, and new learning. The largest improvements in test performance occur between the ages of 9 and 15, with minimal changes after this age paralleling adult performance (fig 1). These developmental changes are of comparable magnitude to post-concussive impairments observed on computerised cognitive post-injury assessment in adults.21–23 This has the potential for confusion in assessment given that maturational improvements occurring between baseline and post-concussion testing may offset any injury related cognitive impairment in concussed children and adolescents.

Risk factors for sequelae and poor recovery after concussion in children

It has been argued that individuals are not at equal risk for symptoms and cognitive dysfunction following concussion, and also that some individuals may be at higher risk of sustaining concussion than others. There is circumstantial evidence that a prior history of brain injury is a risk factor for subsequent concussive injury in children.24–26 Other potential risk factors that may predict more severe neurobehavioral...
sequelae following injury, especially in younger children where the brain is less mature, include the presence of premorbid cognitive, attention, and behavioural impairments. 15–26

It has also become a widely held belief that having sustained a sport related concussive injury, an athlete is then more prone to future concussive injury. The evidence for this in sport is limited with most studies being methodologically flawed. 27–29 It has been suggested that individuals with more aggressive playing styles may be at the greatest risk of concussion.

Although helmet use may be effective in preventing superficial head injury in children, its role in preventing concussion and other forms of traumatic brain injury remains unproven. Furthermore, recent studies have shown a differential behavioural response of children to protective equipment with some adopting increased risk taking behaviour and hence paradoxically increasing their risk of sustaining a concussive injury by wearing a “protective” helmet. 30–32

There is also evidence that an individual’s genetic make up may predict outcome from head injury. In particular, an association has been identified between the apolipoprotein E e4 allele and poor clinical outcome in adult patients with mild head injury. 33 Although only in the early stages of understanding, the interaction between genetic and environmental factors may be critical in the development of post-concussive phenomena. These data suggest that it may be possible to identify individuals who are at greater risk for poor outcomes from concussion and in the future management practices may need to be tailored to incorporate such information.

CAN WE USE ADULT CONCUSSION RETURN TO PLAY STRATEGIES IN CHILDREN?

There have been numerous attempts in the past to formulate evidence based concussion management guidelines, 15 with that developed at the recent Vienna Consensus Conference 1 being most widely accepted today. In broad terms, this approach recommends baseline cognitive testing to enable accurate individual assessment of recovery, in order to guide return to play following concussion.

There are no current guidelines for diagnosis and management of concussion in children beyond generic recommendations for observation and neuroimaging following childhood mild head injury. 34 This is also reflected in the variable specialist clinical management that may be offered in this situation. In a recent pilot study of paediatric neurosurgical management of sport related concussive injury in children, there was no consensus between the surgeons being studied as to the significance of specific clinical symptoms or on recommendations regarding hospitalisation, time off school and sport, or the use of protective equipment following injury. 35

The “comparison to own baseline” model of assessment remains a powerful method of assessing change in cognitive function after concussion, and in the absence of conflicting evidence, should be adopted as a conservative approach to identifying post-concussion cognitive deficits in children as it is in adults. The central issue is how often baseline testing should be conducted. During the period of rapid cognitive maturation (8–15 years of age), baseline testing would have to be performed at least 6 monthly to enable accurate comparison for serial testing. Apart from elite junior athletes, such regular testing would be beyond the resources of most sports and individuals. For any child or adolescent athlete participating in collision sport or where there is a significant risk of concussion, annual cognitive testing should be considered. Any statistical decision about whether cognition has changed from baseline following concussion must also include an adjustment for developmental changes in cognition. This in turn requires knowledge of how performance changes on specific tests over time. Figure 1 demonstrates the cognitive maturation process that is maximal between 8 and 15 years of age and gives an estimate of the degree of this change. Beyond 15 years of age an annual baseline test would be suitable, as for adult athletes, and be applied in the same fashion as for adults and without any developmental increment.

THE CLINICAL MANAGEMENT OF CONCUSSION IN CHILDREN

Current adult management of concussion involves an initial diagnosis using a validated assessment tool such as the Maddocks questions 36 or the Standardized Assessment of Concussion. 37 Neither tool has been specifically tested or validated in children with concussion.

One preliminary study suggests that high school aged children (14–18 years) may also have prolonged cognitive recovery when compared with young adults (18–25 years), but that symptom recovery is equivalent between these groups. 38 This finding raises the possibility that symptom ratings and cognitive testing may be differentially sensitive to concussion in minors and adults; however, there is no such information available for younger children. This in turn raises concerns as to how sport related concussion assessment may be performed in these age groups and the validity of existing assessment tools.

Return to play concussion guidelines recommend baseline cognitive evaluation of all individuals participating in contact and collision sport. 7 The heterogeneity of concussion ensures that individual comparison to baseline allows more sensitive identification of post-concussion symptom elevation and cognitive dysfunction than arbitrary classification according to a retrospective grading scale. 39–43

Statistical models used to determine the significance of any observed post-concussion cognitive change require knowledge of how cognitive test performance and symptom ratings change in

Figure 1 Mean (and standard error) response speed on four cognitive tasks in nine different age bands, from 8 to 25 years of age.
healthy, uninjured individuals. In adult populations (and potentially children aged 16 years and over) available data suggest that cognitive performance remains relatively stable over time on tests commonly used in concussion management.

These findings support the need for prospective serial investigation of cognitive and behavioural function in healthy and concussed children and adolescents, including specific assessment of how cognitive processes (including response variation and change within individuals) are affected. Such data will be invaluable in informing the development of concussion management guidelines in this population, and the interpretation of post-concussion cognitive test data.

The issue of neuroimaging is often raised for children following mild head injury. With young patients, this issue is often problematic given that they may require a general anaesthetic in order to obtain adequate images, although new generation spiral CT scanners are able to perform extremely rapid imaging sequences. If imaging is desired by the health practitioner in this setting or by the subsequent development of symptoms of intracranial pathology, then CT scanning is the imaging modality of choice in the emergency setting. The American Academy of Paediatrics guidelines state that there is no indication for routine use of skull x-ray in paediatric concussion and “no data are available that demonstrate that children who undergo CT scanning early after minor closed head injury with loss of consciousness have different outcomes compared with children who receive observation alone after injury.”

In broad terms, a previously neurologically healthy child with a concussive injury who has normal mental status, no abnormal or focal abnormalities on neurological exam, and no physical evidence of skull fracture simply requires observation by a competent caregiver. The risk of clinically significant intracranial pathology in this setting is less than 0.02% although earlier studies had suggested higher figures. It is likely that many of these early studies suffered from selection bias and over estimated this risk in this situation.

SUMMARY

At the present time, there are no evidence based guidelines using which sport related concussive injury in childhood and adolescence can be scientifically managed. There are significant differences between adults and children in this regard and a child who is symptomatic following head injury is likely to have sustained a far greater impact force as compared to an adult with the same post-concussive symptoms.

The extent and duration of the cognitive effect on children with acute concussive injuries is variable and there may be persistent effects on scholastic performance and behaviour long after the clinical concussive symptoms and measurable neuropsychological impairment have resolved. Even subtle and transient impairments in attention and information processing skills can have a dramatic effect on the young person’s capacity to cope with school demands, with these issues being particularly critical for those at later secondary school levels.

Based on pilot data, cognitive maturation is greatest in those under 15 years of age and beyond this time plateaus to an adult level of performance. Although comparison to baseline cognitive performance remains a powerful method of assessing function following a concussion injury, its application in children under 15 years of age is problematic given the rapid cognitive maturation that is occurring in this period. With regular baseline testing, an “adult” management strategy could be adopted in this age group, whereas in its absence only an estimate of normal age related cognitive function can be made. Beyond 15 years of age, it would be reasonable to follow the adult concussion management consensus guidelines utilising a “return to baseline” approach.

It is suggested that concussive symptoms take longer to resolve than in adults although this may be a surrogate marker of the biomechanical differences between children and adult concussion as outlined above. It is critical therefore that concussed children and adolescents not resume sport, school, or training until all the physical symptoms fully resolve. This is important also because of the risk of diffuse cerebral swelling that may occur in children after a single head injury no matter how trivial the impact may be. The adoption of a conservative adult management strategy with a thorough assessment of symptom resolution followed by “return to baseline” cognitive function remains the most appropriate management strategy in this age group.

Further research is required to characterise the duration and nature of the subclinical cognitive impairment that may exist during this recovery period in children. Increased awareness of these issues by those involved in the management of a child with concussion may assist in avoiding problems caused by this putative impairment.
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LEADER

In recent years, there has been great interest in examining the psychological effects of athletic injuries. This has also extended to interventions in which coping strategies have been suggested to enhance recovery. Concussive injuries, which are common to many sports, hold particular problems in this regard. For example, a concussed athlete may be prone to experience isolation, pain, anxiety, and disruption of daily life as a result of the injury. This may be a problem for individual sport athletes—for example, professional skiers—who do not have the support of team mates to help them through their rehabilitation and recovery, as well as team sport athletes whose team mates may inadvertently pressure them to return to play.

Besides the physical loss resulting from an injury, there may also be psychological distress. Commonly reported emotion responses resulting from athletic injury have included anger, denial, depression, distress, bargaining, shock, and guilt. These are particularly seen in career ending injuries. Such emotional distress can negatively affect the athletes’ recovery process.

‘‘…concussed athletes in team sports seem to have fewer long term problems’’

Injured athletes have also reported feelings of isolation and loneliness. Researchers found that athletes prevented from participating in their activity have lost contact with their team, coach, and friends. For example, Gould et al. examined the emotional reactions of US national team skiers to season ending injuries and found that 66.6% cited lack of attention and isolation as a source of stress during their injury. In another study of injured athletes, Brewer et al. surveyed 43 sports medicine practitioners to discover side effects of psychological distress. These side effects included exercise addiction, weight control problems, family adjustment, and substance abuse. These problems have been reported individually as well as being associated with depression and anxiety and have been shown to cause severe health complications.

Injured athletes have reported different levels of satisfaction with the social support they have received after injury. In particular, team mates have been shown to have a greater affect on the emotional state of injured athletes than coaches or medical professionals. This leads one to speculate that individual sport athletes may experience different adjustment difficulties while recovering from a concussion. This may also suggest why concussed athletes in team sports may experience different emotional support while recovering from an injury. In another study of injured athletes, Brewer et al. surveyed 43 sports medicine practitioners to discover side effects of psychological distress. These side effects included exercise addiction, weight control problems, family adjustment, and substance abuse. These problems have been reported individually as well as being associated with depression and anxiety and have been shown to cause severe health complications.

Injured athletes have reported different levels of satisfaction with the social support they have received after injury. In particular, team mates have been shown to have a greater affect on the emotional state of injured athletes than coaches or medical professionals. This leads one to speculate that individual sport athletes may experience different adjustment difficulties while recovering from a concussion. This may also suggest why concussed athletes in team sports seem to have fewer long term problems, such as persistent post-concussion symptoms. In particular, team mates are likely to have experienced similar injuries, there is a greater corporate memory of such injuries and hence more reassurance as to the likely recovery time frame and validation of subjective symptoms experienced by the injured athlete.

UNIQUENESS OF CONCUSION INJURIES

A number of unique characteristics of concussion injuries exist. Firstly, a concussion is an ‘‘invisible injury’’. This
means there are no crutches, swelling, stitches, or other visual signs of the injury. This makes it very difficult for a casual observer to identify the athlete as injured. A second unique characteristic of concussion injuries is the overlap of post-concussive symptoms with psychological responses to injury. A third problem may be a loss of fitness (through loss of both aerobic and resistance training activity), particularly if the post-concussive symptoms persist. A fourth problem, unique to professional sport, is the relentless media commentary that typically occurs after injury. This increases pressure on injured athletes to regain their sporting place.

"... it is often not considered acceptable to spend prolonged time rehabilitating a concussion injury."

Another issue surrounding concussion injuries is the lack of acceptance or understanding of long term rehabilitation. Whereas it is often considered necessary to rehabilitate an orthopaedic injury for a number of months, it is often not considered acceptable to spend prolonged time rehabilitating a concussion injury. This may result in more anxiety and frustration for the athlete and coach. Finally, concussion injuries are unique because there is at present no standard intervention technique. For most other injuries, rehabilitative treatments are available—for example, physiotherapy, medication, exercise, surgery, etc. However, this is not the case for concussion injuries; the athlete may leave the doctor with either no specific management or at best a minimally structured treatment plan.

SOCIAL SUPPORT GROUPS

It is not uncommon for people who have experienced life events such as addiction, illness of a family member, injury, or a significant loss to have difficulty dealing with the stress and anxiety of their situation. As a result of the distress encountered, different methods of coping and psychological support have been developed. Throughout the last 20 years, the role of social support in dealing with disease has increased significantly.1 Support groups have been developed to educate, prevent isolation, and help in coping.2 Positive outcomes from support groups have been proven effective for sufferers of AIDS,3 4 cancer,5 obsessive compulsive disorder,6 and pregnancy loss,7 and families of critically ill patients.8 It has also been shown that general social support is beneficial for athletes suffering from injuries.9 10 A support group for injured athletes may also provide the same benefits as it has for non-athletic populations.

Intervention strategies and psychological rehabilitation techniques have included imagery, relaxation, modelling, goal setting, positive self talk, social support and support groups, pain management, simulation training, education, stress management, and cognitive reconstruction.9 10 11 Social support and communication have been two most commonly suggested rehabilitation strategies. Lynch12 stressed the importance of the sport psychologist in encouraging athletes to discuss their experiences with others. These interactions were designed to help reduce the injured athlete’s feelings of isolation and loneliness.

SOCIAL SUPPORT IN ATHLETIC INJURY REHABILITATION

Two distinct types of social support have been used during injury rehabilitation in an athletic setting: support groups and peer modelling.13 Support groups for injured athletes have allowed injured athletes to come together to voice their concerns, share ideas about coping, learn vital performance enhancement strategies, and realise that they are not alone.14 The goal is that athletes will support one another both mentally and physically by helping deal with the demands of rehabilitation and not participating in their sport.15

Numerous studies on non-athletic populations have been published showing the benefits of support groups in reducing anxiety, depression, and isolation and enhancing coping strategies.16 17 18 To date there have been very few academic sources that have addressed the use of support groups for athletic injury and only one for concussion.19 20 Granito et al20 offered anecdotal support for an injured athlete support group programme, and Horton et al21 attempted to determine if participation in social support groups could reduce negative psychological side effects in concussion. It was shown that participants in the experimental concussed group improved their mood state, reducing effects such as anger, confusion, frustration, anxiety, depression, and isolation.

Social support has also been proven to have a significant effect on rehabilitation adherence. Udry22 reported that the most discriminating factor for rehabilitation adherence was level of social support. Athletes who perceived social support for their rehabilitation had better rehabilitation adherence, higher levels of motivation, and adopted a goal mastery orientation towards their rehabilitation.23

SUMMARY

The use of sport psychology techniques in the management of concussion may assist in solving some of the real and very practical problems facing clinicians, namely certain aspects of the post-concussive syndrome and the influence of anxiety and other adverse psychological states, which in turn may impact on injury outcome. Techniques derived from other areas of psychology such as peer modelling may be successfully used in this setting.


doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2004.011999

Authors’ affiliations
G A Bloom, A S Horton, K M Johnston, McGill University, Montreal, Canada
P McCrory, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Correspondence to: Dr Johnston, Department of Neurosurgery, Kinesiology & Physical Education, McGill University, McGill Sport Medicine Centre, 475 Pine Ave West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3W 1S4; Karen.Johnston@mcmill.ca

REFERENCES

Manual therapy

To treat or not to treat: new evidence for the effectiveness of manual therapy

M M Sran

Manual therapy has been shown to be effective for certain conditions but more research is needed to identify other suitable patients.

Recent randomised clinical trials found manual therapy to be more effective than other methods of conservative management for low back and neck pain.1–3 On the other hand, some randomised clinical trials,4–7 systematic reviews,8–10 and meta-analyses11 concluded that there was no evidence that spinal manipulative therapy is superior to other standard treatments for patients with low back or neck pain. This provides the clinician with a Shakespearean quandary—to treat or not to treat using manual therapies? Therefore this leader addresses the question: what explains these apparently inconsistent data?

DEFINITIONS AND SEARCH STRATEGY

The term manual therapy has many connotations, but for this leader it includes manually performed assessment and treatment methods (which can include joint, neural tissue, and/or muscle techniques). The term manipulation is typically used to describe small amplitude thrust techniques performed with speed.12–16

I searched Medline, Cinahl, and Embase databases for randomised clinical trials comparing spinal manual joint techniques (mobilisation with or without manipulation) or manipulation only with other conservative treatments for back or neck pain. Only studies published as full papers, in English, between 1 January 1998 and 31 December 2003 were included. Pilot studies were not included. Table 1 outlines search strategies for each database. Thirteen studies met the criteria (table 2). One study of bone setting by Finnish folk healers who lacked formal education16 was excluded as all other studies involved formally educated professionals.

Examining the trials for homogeneity revealed that the mean age of participants was similar among the studies and most participants were white (except for two studies17 18). Thus factors related to the population studied did not appear to explain the conflicting results. There were, however, at least four factors that differed among the interventions that constituted manual therapy, and I focus on these differences to see whether they explain the conflicting outcomes.

DIFFERENCES IN MANUAL THERAPY THAT MAY EXPLAIN STUDY FINDINGS

Whether or not the study used manual therapy or manipulation only

Four of the 13 studies reported better results in the manual therapy group than the other group(s).19 20 21 22 Five of the remaining nine studies used manipulation only, and all but one23 reported no significant difference or a poorer response than the other group(s).7 8 10 11

Use of a variety of manual therapy techniques, rather than joint manipulation alone, appears to yield better results. For example, Jull et al24 studied the effectiveness of manual therapy delivered by physical therapists, specific exercise therapy delivered by physical therapists, combined manual and specific exercise therapy, and a control group, for treatment of cervicogenic headache. At the 12 month follow up, both manual therapy and specific exercise groups had significantly reduced headache frequency and intensity, neck pain, and disability. In this study,1 manual therapy included both low velocity cervical joint mobilisation techniques and high velocity manipulation techniques. These results are relevant to physical therapists with postgraduate certification in manual therapy, as they are well trained in both of these techniques. Similarly, Hoving et al25

Table 1 Search strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>MeSH headings</th>
<th>Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medline</td>
<td>Manipulation, orthopaedic</td>
<td>Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manipulation, chiropractic</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manipulation, osteopathic</td>
<td>1998–2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical therapy techniques</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Musculoskeletal manipulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparative study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Back or neck) and pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinahl</td>
<td>Manual therapy</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chiropractic</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chiropractic manipulation</td>
<td>1998–2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manipulation, osteopathic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spine (Back or neck) and pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embase</td>
<td>Manipulative medicine</td>
<td>Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Back or neck) and pain</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998–2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Population characteristics (n)</td>
<td>MT limited to manipulation only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aure et al</td>
<td>20–60 years; chronic LBP &gt; 8 weeks, less than 6 months (49)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoving et al</td>
<td>18–70 years; pain or stiffness in the neck for at least 2 weeks (183)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jull et al</td>
<td>18–60 years; cervicogenic headache at least 1 ×/week for 2 months–10 years (201)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moseley</td>
<td>Chronic LBP &gt; 2 months (57)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giles &amp; Muller</td>
<td>17 years or older; mechanical back or neck pain for a minimum of 13 weeks (115)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al</td>
<td>20–59 years; LBP lasting at least 3 weeks but less than 6 months (178)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronfort G et al</td>
<td>20–65 years; mechanical neck pain for at least 12 weeks (191)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherkin et al</td>
<td>20–64 years; LBP minimum 7 days after seeing physician (321)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis et al</td>
<td>21–65 years; acute LBP of less than 2 months (295)</td>
<td>No (manipulation plus muscle energy techniques)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Population characteristics (n)</td>
<td>MT limited to manipulation only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsieh et al.</td>
<td>18 years or older; LBP&gt;3 weeks and less than 6 months (200)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurwitz et al.</td>
<td>18 years or older; LBP (681)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan et al.</td>
<td>20–60 years; chronic neck pain at least 3 months (167)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David et al.</td>
<td>18–75 years; neck pain&gt;6 weeks duration (70)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MT, Manual therapy; ET, exercise therapy; LBP, low back pain.

The dose of manual therapy or manipulation (minutes, sessions, weeks) varies widely in the field, with different practitioners using methods and techniques based on published guidelines or clinical practice guidelines written by experts in the field. It is important to consider factors such as the provider's qualifications, the patient's condition, and the clinical setting when determining the appropriate dose of manual therapy. The optimal dose is also a consideration, as knowing the optimal treatment duration has obvious implications on cost-effectiveness, but probably also has an impact on the effectiveness of manual therapy. Despite the importance of these factors, there is great variability in the protocols used in these studies, and the choice of intervention is often based on the discipline of the practitioner, the patient's condition, and the available evidence. There is no clear agreement on the optimal dose or duration of manual therapy, and more research is needed to determine the most effective and efficient treatment protocols. The treatment guidelines for manual therapy are typically based on current available evidence, and there is no consensus on the impact of manual therapy on pain and function. However, the available evidence suggests that manual therapy may be effective in managing pain and improving function for patients with chronic pain, and it is recommended as a part of a comprehensive treatment plan. Further research is needed to determine the optimal treatment protocols and to determine the impact of manual therapy on pain and function for patients with chronic pain.
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ELECTRONIC PAGES

BJSM Online case reports: http://bjsm.bmjournals.com/

The following electronic only articles are published in conjunction with this issue of BJSM.

Aseptic bone necrosis in an amateur scuba diver
G D M Laden, P Grout
A case is reported that provides further evidence of an old occupational hazard, dysbaric osteonecrosis, presenting in a new population (sports scuba divers) who also appear to be at risk. It highlights the need for an accurate diagnosis of diving related illness.
(Ref 36; Br J Sports Med 2004;38:e19) http://bjsm.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/38/5/e19

Abdominal coarctation in a hypertensive female collegiate basketball player
B Sloan, S Simons, A Stromwall
The purpose of the preparticipation examination is to identify health conditions that might adversely affect an athlete while participating in sport. Hypertension is the most common. This case report details a female basketball player found to be hypertensive, and complaining of fatigue, at her preparticipation physical examination. Presentation, diagnostics, treatment, and final outcome of coarctation involving the abdominal aorta are summarised.
(Ref 37; Br J Sports Med 2004;38:e20) http://bjsm.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/38/5/e20

Clinical and magnetic resonance imaging features of cricket bowler’s side strain
D Humphries, M Jamison
The clinical features of 10 cases of lateral trunk muscle injury in first class cricket pace bowlers are described. Typically the injury occurs during a single delivery, is associated with considerable pain, and prevents the bowler from continuing.

The clinical picture is typical of a muscular or musculotendinous injury. The most consistent clinical tests were focal tenderness on palpation and pain with resisted side flexion towards the painful side. The magnetic resonance imaging in 70% of cases was consistent with an injury to the internal oblique, the external oblique, or the transversalis muscles at or near their attachments to one or more of the lowest four ribs. The injury occurs on the non-bowling arm side. Recovery can be prolonged. The injury was a recurrence in six of the 10 cases. The biomechanics of the injury are not yet understood.
(Ref 38; Br J Sports Med 2004;38:e21) http://bjsm.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/38/5/e21