No acute changes in postural control after soccer heading
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Background: Soccer heading has been proposed as a potential cause of cerebral dysfunction.
Objective: To examine the acute effects of two types of soccer heading on postural control.

Methods: Collegiate soccer players were randomly assigned to one of four groups: control, linear heading, simulated rotational heading, or rotational heading. Each subject completed a baseline postural stability assessment on day 1. On day 2 the same assessment was completed for the control subjects. The simulated rotational heading group completed a simulated heading drill before postural stability testing. The linear and rotational heading groups performed a heading drill with 20 balls at 88.71 km/h (55 mph), before postural stability testing. Separate one between (group), three within (surface, eyes, and day), mixed model, repeated measures analyses of variance were conducted on values for total sway and mean centre of pressure.

Results: The mixed model analysis of variance of results showed no significant differences (p > 0.05) for the interactions of interest for either variable. Results suggest no acute changes in measures of postural control in soccer players completing either a linear or rotational soccer heading drill of 20 balls at a fixed speed.

Conclusion: Non-significant interactions between surface, eyes, day, and group indicate that sensory interaction of the balance mechanism components are not compromised by the heading drill. This research supports previous studies suggesting that there are no acute risks associated with routine soccer heading. A direct comparison between these findings and those suggesting long term chronic deficits, however, cannot be made. Other studies that report chronic cerebral deficits in soccer players may have resulted from factors other than soccer heading and warrant further examination.

METHODS

Forty collegiate soccer players (18 men, 22 women) volunteered for the study. Each subject read and signed the consent form approved by university’s institutional review board. They completed a brief questionnaire on age, height, weight, total number of soccer seasons played, and total number of previously diagnosed concussions. They were then randomly assigned to one of the four groups and followed the testing protocol outlined in Table 1. Twenty four hours separated day 1 and day 2 testing sessions, and all day 2 testing was completed immediately after the heading drill or simulated heading drill.

An athlete was considered ineligible for participation if they were currently receiving treatment for a lower extremity injury or concussion. Subjects were tested during the offseason to avoid concurrent heading from practice sessions. Each subject was asked to refrain from physical activity before testing.

The heading simulation group performed simulated rotational heading. This consisted of jumping and rotating the head about 90° as if redirecting a corner kick into the goal. At no time did the subject’s head contact a soccer ball. This drill was completed 20 times over a 20 minute period.

For the linear and rotational heading, a JUGS soccer machine (JUGS International, Tualatin, Oregon, USA; fig 1) propelled each ball at an exit velocity from the machine of 88.71 km/h (55 mph) as indicated by the speed dials. The

Abbreviation: COP, centre of pressure
usual in a soccer match, but this volume appears to be necessary. More headers were performed in this study than is testing session and adjusted to the appropriate pressure as 0.70 bars of pressure. Each ball was checked before each direction in which they hit the ball (to the left or right), but to the trajectory and into a soccer goal. Subjects selected the purpose of this action was to redirect the ball perpendicular rotating the head 90˚ at the moment of ball impact. The same speed. A rotational header involved jumping and contract the neck musculature isometrically as the ball approached. The ball was struck with the frontal bone just below the hairline and directed straight back to the machine. The instruction was to perform linear headers only.

The rotational heading group rotationally headed 20 balls over 20 minutes. To perform this manoeuvre, the subject stood in the same position on the field as the linear heading group and accepted balls from the soccer machine at the same speed. A rotational header involved jumping and rotating the head 90˚ at the moment of ball impact. The purpose of this action was to redirect the ball perpendicular to the trajectory and into a soccer goal. Subjects selected the direction in which they hit the ball (to the left or right), but were required to hit all 20 balls in the same direction.

We inflated soccer balls to the manufacturer’s guidelines of 0.70 bars of pressure. Each ball was checked before each testing session and adjusted to the appropriate pressure as necessary. More headers were performed in this study than is usual in a soccer match, but this volume appears to be consistent with previous work.

We evaluated postural control using the “foam and dome” protocol initially reported by Shumway-Cook and Horak and implemented by Ingersoll and Armstrong for concussion evaluation. A Kistler type 9286A force platform (Kistler Instruments, Inc Wintertthur, Switzerland), Airex Balance Pad (Airex, Sins, Switzerland), and a visual conflict dome were used. We constructed the conflict dome from a Chinese lantern and a sweatband with an “X” placed on the inside surface for the athlete to focus on during testing. Before testing, the athletes removed their shoes and socks and were instructed to remain as still as possible with their hands on their hips and their feet shoulder width apart. Each subject completed three trials of each of the six conditions that altered the visual and somatosensory inputs (fig 2). The order of the test conditions was randomised. Previous work with similar protocols reported an intrarater reliability of 0.92 and interrater reliability of 0.90.

Each trial lasted 20 seconds, with data collected at 100 Hz using the Bioware version 3.20 software (Kistler Instruments). A computer based spreadsheet program (Excel2000; Microsoft, Seattle, Washington, USA) calculated total sway and COP from the raw coordinates recorded by the Bioware software. We defined total sway as the sum of the COP movements occurring during the trial. COP was the mean distance the subject maintained their centre of pressure from the $x = 0$, $y = 0$ coordinate as previously used.

### Data analysis

Two separate two between (group, sex), three within (surface condition, eye condition, and day), mixed model, and repeated measures analyses of variance for total sway and COP were tested for significant interactions. The sex interactions of interest were non-significant, therefore the subjects were combined by group. Sex was removed as a between subject factor, and two separate one between (group), three within (surface condition, eye condition, and day), mixed model, and repeated measures analyses of variance for total sway and COP were tested for significant interactions. We implemented Pillai’s Trace criterion for data analysis interpretation as recommended by Keselman for robustness to test assumption violations. We conducted separate one way analyses of variance on the test group for age, height, weight, number of seasons played, and total number of previous concussions. Finally, partial $\eta^2$ was calculated for the total sway and the COP interaction data. The level of significance was set at $p<0.05$ for all calculations, and all statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (Chicago, Illinois, USA) version 11.0.

### RESULTS

Table 2 gives basic data on the subjects in the test groups. Analysis of variance showed no significant differences ($p>0.05$) among the subject groups for age, height, weight, number of seasons played, and total number of previously diagnosed concussions.

Figures 3–6 show means (SD) for total sway and COP. We examined the group × eye, group × surface, group × eye × surface, group × eye × day, group × surface × day, and group × eye × surface × day interactions reported by SPSS. Analysis of variance conducted on total sway and the COP variables

---

**Table 1** Subject groups and testing order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject group</th>
<th>Day 1 testing</th>
<th>Day 2 intervention</th>
<th>Day 2 testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (4 men, 6 women)</td>
<td>Baseline postural control assessment</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Postural control assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading simulation (4 men, 6 women)</td>
<td>Baseline postural control assessment</td>
<td>20 simulated rotationally headed balls</td>
<td>Postural control assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear heading (4 men, 6 women)</td>
<td>Baseline postural control assessment</td>
<td>20 linearly headed balls</td>
<td>Postural control assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational heading (6 men, 4 women)</td>
<td>Baseline postural control assessment</td>
<td>20 rotationally headed balls</td>
<td>Postural control assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day 1 and 2 testing were separated by 24 hours. Day 2 testing immediately followed the intervention.

---

**Figure 1** JUGS soccer machine and Adidas soccer balls.
showed no significant main effect (p > 0.05) for the interactions of interest (tables 3 and 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Soccer heading has drawn criticism for potentially exposing the player to subconcussive forces that may result in chronic deficits similar to those seen in boxers. These deficiencies are similar to the acute deficits seen in concussed athletes. The most substantial finding in this study is the lack of significance supporting acute changes in postural control after a heading drill. A finding of no difference in measures of postural control between test groups suggests that soccer heading has no acute effect on balance-sensory interaction. Previous studies on both acute and subacute sufferers of head injury indicate that they have difficulty in processing sensory information to maintain normal balance during both quiet and challenging conditions.

**Table 2** Basic information on the test groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Seasons played</th>
<th>Total No of concussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>19.90 (1.10)</td>
<td>173.23 (10.29)</td>
<td>69.55 (11.15)</td>
<td>14.60 (3.63)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading simulation</td>
<td>19.10 (1.10)</td>
<td>168.66 (12.17)</td>
<td>66.77 (11.24)</td>
<td>14.20 (2.70)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear heading</td>
<td>19.30 (1.25)</td>
<td>167.89 (10.46)</td>
<td>69.36 (12.13)</td>
<td>15.10 (2.69)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational heading</td>
<td>19.50 (1.24)</td>
<td>177.29 (9.70)</td>
<td>75.05 (11.19)</td>
<td>15.00 (2.71)</td>
<td>0.80 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All subjects</td>
<td>19.45 (1.24)</td>
<td>171.78 (10.97)</td>
<td>70.18 (11.40)</td>
<td>14.73 (2.86)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). There were 10 subjects in each group.
standing and under conditions of perturbation. We think it unlikely that 20 linearly or rotationally headed balls would have a similar effect.

Several researchers have shown that acute symptoms of concussion include deficits in postural control. These symptoms are most prominent immediately after head trauma, reportedly from decreased sensory integration capabilities, and subside within three days of injury. If the heading of 20 balls had acutely damaged the integration process, deficiencies would have become apparent with increased postural control measures of total sway and COP. It is possible that variations in movement strategy may have masked differences, making the test measure insensitive. Previous work with subjects with somatosensory or vestibular deficits has shown that measures of postural control may remain similar to those of control subjects, although the movement strategies about the ankle and hip differ. Horak et al suggest that appropriate movement around the ankle requires proper somatosensory input from that joint and vestibular information is necessary to maintain appropriate motion about the hip.

Previous studies of concussed patients have shown that their COP is maintained further from the centre of their base of support than in uninjured subjects. In a static stance, the maintenance of COP closer to the limits of stability means that only a small perturbation will initiate a fall, placing the person at greater risk of toppling over. Concussed patients also appear to show an increase in total sway, indicating greater movement of the COP within the limits of stability. Although such patients may wobble within their limits of stability, a greater total sway may not indicate an increased risk of falling. The location of the COP within the limits of stability may better predict equilibrium than total sway. If COP is maintained near the limits of stability with very little total sway, there is a greater risk of falling than if a large total sway is centred within the base of support. The increases in total sway and maintenance of COP further from the centre of the base of support observed in concussed subjects appear to result from sensory integration deficits.

The central nervous system maintains postural control on both a conscious and subconscious level. Conscious actions (such as rising from a chair) are combined with subconscious reflexive actions that regulate muscle tension during the task. The somatosensory, vestibular, and visual senses provide environmental information to the central nervous system on limb proprioception and kinaesthesia, head acceleration, and referencing to a fixed point. Signals from these senses are processed within the basal ganglia (caudate nucleus, putamen, and globus pallidus), which initiate a response based on the current environmental conditions. The cerebellum integrates this information along with the intended actions of the motor cortex and coordinates the reflexive actions accordingly. An efferent signal is generated and passes through descending projections that influence alpha motor neurons, which innervate individual muscles acting to maintain postural stability. After a sports related head injury, athletes seem unable to apply visual and vestibular senses to maintain optimal balance. Thus, the cerebellum falsely integrates the current status of body position and erroneously signals the brain stem to activate inappropriate movements.

![Figure 3](http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/38/7/564/F3)

**Figure 3** Total sway on day 1 in the four test groups (controls, heading simulation, linear heading, rotational heading). Values are mean (SD).

![Figure 4](http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/38/7/564/F4)

**Figure 4** Total sway on day 2 in the four test groups (controls, heading simulation, linear heading, rotational heading). Values are mean (SD).
motor control patterns which result in non-optimal postural control strategies.

In this study, the postural control mechanism appears to be acutely unaffected by the linear and rotational heading drills. Had we seen acute deficits, we would have suspected trauma to the cerebellar or brain stem regions. Cerebellar injury would probably have occurred from a contrecoup injury. This may result from the impact of the ball moving the subject's head in a whiplash-like motion, damaging the neural fibres of the cerebellum. Damage to the axonal fibres of the brain stem is also feasible, but less likely to occur from linear heading than rotational heading. These fibres are aligned longitudinally and provide increased resistance to tension loads\textsuperscript{33} that may result from the impact of the ball. The axonal fibres, however, do have a "selective vulnerability to rotational loading"\textsuperscript{33}, which may make them susceptible to injury during rotational heading. The heading manoeuvres performed in this project were controlled motions of the head. This allowed the neck musculature to prevent any extreme motion of the head about the neck, protecting the fibres of both the cerebellum and brain stem. Damage to these areas can and do occur in sports when an athlete cannot counter the external force to the head with the neck musculature. This is commonly seen in boxing.

The forces of impact from a boxing punch and a soccer ball have been reported to be similar. Atha et al\textsuperscript{34} reported a single punch acceleration of 53 g from a professional boxer. Peak accelerations from linearly headed balls can range from 49.3 to 54.7 g when kicked at 35–40 mph from 30 yards away.\textsuperscript{35} 36 The number of blows to the head received by soccer players and boxers throughout their careers also appears to be similar. During a 50–180 match career, an amateur boxer sustains a median of eight blows to the head during a fight.\textsuperscript{19} 27 This can amount to 400–1440 blows over total competition. A top level soccer player has a slightly greater total exposure, with an average of 6.66 headers per game or about 2000 headed balls over a 300 match career.\textsuperscript{29} Despite the source, a blow to the head always has the potential to cause damage.

Acceleration of the head that leads to neural damage may be more likely to occur during boxing than soccer. If the fighter does not see a punch coming or have time to tense the neck musculature, the risk of injury is increased. A soccer player will typically see the ball coming and can prepare for the impact, making neural injury unlikely during routine heading. In rare instances however, a player may unexpectedly take a blow to the head from a ball kicked at short range. The lack of preparation for a header can result in a whiplash-type injury which may lead to neural damage and concussion.\textsuperscript{19} This was unlikely in our study in which subjects were isolated from other players, allowing maximal heading technique. In matches, however, a player may be knocked by one of the opposition while attempting to head a ball, producing less than optimal heading technique. In conclusion, proper heading technique, paying attention to the game, and playing with skillful players may...
be the best defences against sustaining an injury from soccer heading. A variety of coaching manuals are available that discuss these topics in detail.

The postural control assessment in this study only evaluated one area of cerebral function. Neuropsychological tests would be valuable in assessing other areas of cognitive processing after head injury. We chose not to include a neuropsychological assessment, however, because similar research did not find any neuropsychological deficits after a comparable heading drill.\(^1\) The authors did state that the volume of headers may not have been sufficient to elicit detectable cognitive deficits. Other evaluations of chronic impairments in soccer players with neuropsychological tests and magnetic resonance imaging have produced similar negative results.\(^6\) However, neuropsychological analysis of professional soccer players suggests a risk of chronic deficits in cognitive function from repeated soccer heading.\(^3\) Other investigations using electroencephalography\(^9\) and computed tomography\(^10\) have also found chronic deficits, and therefore further investigation is warranted.

Some methodological limitations of this study should be considered. Although our results show medium to large effect sizes, a small sample size and therefore lack of power may blur our non-significant findings. An a priori power analysis allows a loose correlation with other studies of the chronic neuropsychological deficits of soccer players.

### What is already known

Results on the effect of soccer on cognitive function are mixed. European studies on professional and amateur soccer players suggest that they may be at risk of chronic neuropsychological deficits. Similar American studies have found neither acute nor chronic neuropsychological deficits.

### What this study adds

Head injury evaluation requires a battery of tests to assess distinct areas of brain function. This study is the first to examine the acute effects of heading on postural control and adds to the growing evidence that heading in soccer is safe.

### Table 3 Mixed model analysis of variance for total sway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Partial $\eta^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x surface</td>
<td>3,36</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye x surface</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye x day</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x surface x day</td>
<td>3,36</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye x surface x day</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REFERENCES


### Table 4 Mixed model analysis of variance for mean centre of pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Partial $\eta^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x surface</td>
<td>3,36</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye x surface</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye x day</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x surface x day</td>
<td>3,36</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x eye x surface x day</td>
<td>6,72</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33 Arboagost KB, Margules SS. A fiber-reinforced composite model of the viscoelastic behavior of the brainstem in shear. J Biomech 1999;32:865–70.
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Abdominal pain in long distance runners: case report and analysis of the literature
F C Dimeo, J Peters, H Guderian
Abdominal pain is a common complaint among participants in endurance sports. It may be severe, recurrent, and resistant to treatment. There is no direct evidence of the cause of this phenomenon. This report is of a long distance runner who had severe pain in the upper right abdominal quadrant during strenuous exertion. The symptom had been present for several years and did not respond to conservative treatment. Laparoscopy showed congenital supernumerary ligaments binding the gallbladder to the abdominal wall. The complaint resolved after cholecystectomy and resection of adhesions. There was evidence of chronic cholecystitis on histopathological examination. Two years after the operation, the patient remains free of symptoms. The differential diagnosis of abdominal pain in athletes is discussed.


Electrical twitch obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ETOIMS) for myofascial pain syndrome in a football player
J Chu, I Takehara, T-C Li, I Schwartz
Background: Flare up of acute lower back pain associated with myofascial pain syndrome (MPS) may require various forms of treatment including activity restriction and bracing.

Electrical twitch obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ETOIMS) is a promising new treatment. It involves the use of a strong monopolar electromyographic needle electrode for electrical stimulation of deep motor end plate zones in multiple muscles in order to elicit twitches.

Case report: An elite American football player with MPS symptoms failed to respond to standard treatments. He then received ETOIMS which completely alleviated the pain. After establishing pain control, the athlete continued with a further series of treatments to control symptoms of muscle tightness.

Conclusions: ETOIMS has a promising role in pain alleviation, increasing and maintaining range of motion, and in providing satisfactory athletic performance during long term follow up.


Fracture shaft of clavicle, an indirect injury from bench pressing
I P S Gill, Chima Mbuabegbu
Injuries related to weight training are becoming increasingly common as the trend for body resistance training grows. There have been numerous injuries related to repeated stress, but this appears to be the first reported case of a fracture of the shaft of clavicle related to violent muscular contraction. It occurred in a 28 year old man during bench pressing. He was treated conservatively and the fracture united in six weeks. Resistant trainers should be made aware of the risks of heavy weight training and need proper supervision.
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