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T J McLoughlin, A R Snyder, P G Brolinson, F X Pizza

Background: Monophasic high voltage stimulation (MHVS) is widely prescribed for the treatment of inflammation associated with muscle injury. However, limited scientific evidence exists to support its purported benefits in humans.

Objective: To examine the efficacy of early initiation of MHVS treatment after muscle injury.

Methods: In a randomised, cross over design, 14 men performed repetitive eccentric contractions of the elbow flexor muscles followed by either MHVS or control treatment. MHVS treatments were applied five minutes and 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 hours after eccentric contractions.

Results: MHVS resulted in a significant reduction (p<0.05) in delayed onset muscle soreness 24 hours after eccentric exercise compared with controls. Elbow extension was significantly increased immediately after administration of MHVS compared with controls. No significant differences were observed between MHVS treatment and controls for maximal isometric strength, flexed arm angle, or arm volume.

Conclusions: Early and frequent application of MHVS may provide transient relief from delayed onset muscle soreness and short term improvements in range of motion after injurious exercise. However, MHVS treatment may not enhance recovery after muscle injury because of lack of improvements in strength and active range of motion.

Experimental design

In a randomised, cross over design, each subject participated in an experimental (EXP) and control (CT) condition, separated by a two week rest period. In the EXP condition, the elbow flexors were injured by repetitive eccentric contractions followed by treatment with sensory level MHVS (Rich-Mar, Inola, OK, USA) five minutes and 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 hours after injury. In the CT condition, the contralateral limb underwent the same exercise protocol involving eccentric contractions, but the electrodes were placed on the injured limb at the treatment times described previously with no electrical current applied. For the CT condition, subjects were instructed that the treatment was a subsensory form of electrical treatment. Markers of muscle injury were assessed before and after treatment for all time periods across both conditions.

Assessment of markers of muscle injury

Markers of muscle injury were assessed in the following order for all time points. Flexed and relaxed arm angles were assessed by standard goniometry. Briefly, flexed and relaxed arm angles were assessed by instructing the subjects to maximally flex the elbow joint and to relax the arm at the side with the palm supinated respectively. DOMS was assessed during passive elbow flexion and extension using a visual analogue scale (0–100 mm). Arm volume was determined by a water displacement method modified from Griffin et al and used as a gross indicator of muscle oedema.

Abbreviations: DOMS, delayed onset muscle soreness; MHVS, monophasic high voltage stimulation; TENS, transcutaneous electrical stimulation
Finally, maximal isometric strength of the elbow flexor muscles was determined at 90° of elbow flexion from a load cell interfaced with a microcomputer. All markers of muscle injury were assessed at all time points, except arm volume and DOMS, which were not assessed five minutes after the injury. About 20 minutes was required to complete the assessment of the selected markers of muscle injury.

Potential long and short term benefits of sensory level MHVS on the selected markers of muscle injury were assessed in the study. The long term effects—that is, day to day changes—of MHVS were determined by comparing measurements obtained before the stimulation treatments at all time points. Short term effects—that is, immediate changes observed after the 30 minute MHVS treatment—of MHVS were determined by subtracting measurements obtained before MHVS treatment from measurements obtained immediately after MHVS for each time point.

**Exercise protocol**

Each subject performed repetitive eccentric contractions for both the EXP and CT conditions as previously described. Subjects were seated in a modified arm curl bench with elbow positioned in full flexion while holding the handgrip. Subjects were instructed to maximally resist as the investigator forcibly extended the elbow joint for a period of four seconds. When full extension was reached, the investigator repositioned the subject’s elbow in the flexed position. This sequence was repeated until a total of 25 eccentric contractions were completed.

**Electrical stimulation protocol**

MHVS was applied at the sensory level to the EXP limb after measurements of muscle injury. In accordance with common clinical practice, treatments were 30 minutes long consisting of continuous mode stimulation (negative polarity) with pulse frequency and pulse duration of 120 pulses/s and 100 μs respectively. A total of four active electrodes (two channels) located on the anterior portion of the distal aspect of the forearm (positive electrode), the medial portion of the proximal aspect of the forearm (negative electrode), the anterior portion of the distal aspect of the biceps brachii (negative electrode), and over the bicipital groove were placed on the limb (positive electrode). No significant differences were observed in the amount of electrical current administered across the various time points for the EXP condition (data not shown).
A two way repeated measures analysis of variance was used to determine main effects and interactions for the dependent variables: arm volume, maximal isometric strength, relaxed and flexed arm angles, and DOMS. A Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc test was used to locate differences between observed means for all variables. A probability level of 0.05 was used for determination of significant differences for all analyses. All data are represented as mean (SEM).

**RESULTS**

**Arm volume**

Arm volume was significantly increased at 72, 96, and 120 h after muscle injury in both EXP and CT conditions (fig 1A), indicating increased oedema in the days after injurious muscle contractions. However, MHVS had neither long nor short term effects (fig 2A and 2B respectively) on arm volume.

**Maximal isometric strength**

Maximal isometric strength was significantly decreased immediately after repetitive eccentric contractions relative to baseline values (before the eccentric contractions), and remained below baseline values throughout the treatment period (fig 2A). However, MHVS had neither long nor short term effects (fig 2A and 2B respectively) on maximal isometric strength.

**Perceived soreness**

DOMS was significantly increased at all time points relative to the baseline values, regardless of treatment administered (fig 3A). Application of MHVS resulted in a significant reduction in DOMS relative to CT at 24 hours with a tendency to reduction at 48 hours (p < 0.072). No short term effect on DOMS was shown through MHVS treatment at any time point assessed (fig 3B).

**Range of motion**

Relaxed arm angle was significantly decreased and flexed arm angle was significantly increased at all time points relative to the baseline values, regardless of the treatment condition (fig 4A and 5A respectively). Thus range of motion was significantly reduced in the hours to days after repetitive eccentric contractions, which was not improved with MHVS treatment. MHVS had a significant acute effect on relaxed arm angle compared with CT (statistical main effect; fig 4B), but no differences were observed between MHVS and CT at any specific time point. In contrast, MHVS did not have a significant short term effect on flexed arm angle at any time point assessed (fig 5B).

---

**Figure 3** Effect of monophasic high voltage stimulation (MHVS) on delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) after eccentric contractions. (A) Long term effect. Measurements were taken before the control (CT) or MHVS treatments at the respective time points. *Significantly different from the baseline measurement (p < 0.05). †Significant difference between CT and MHVS at the respective time point (interaction; p < 0.05). (B) Short term effect. Measurements were taken immediately after the CT or MHVS treatments and subtracted from the measurements before treatment at the respective time points. All values are mean (SEM) (n = 14).

**Figure 4** Effect of monophasic high voltage stimulation (MHVS) on relaxed arm angle (RANG) after eccentric contractions. (A) Long term effect. Measurements were taken before the control (CT) or MHVS treatments at the respective time points. *Significantly different from the baseline measurement (p < 0.05). (B) Short term effect. Measurements were taken about five minutes after the CT or MHVS treatments and subtracted from the measurements before treatment at the respective time points. There was a significant difference between control and MHVS across all time points (p < 0.05). All values are mean (SEM) (n = 14).
electrotherapy is widely prescribed for the treatment of pain management when treating muscle injuries. Although TENS has been shown to be effective in attaining pain perception after injury in humans, its mechanism(s) responsible for mediating the therapeutic effects of TENS is not known, it is generally believed that it decreases pain perception by inhibiting afferent transmission of noxious impulses to higher brain centres. Garrison and Foreman showed that TENS treatment, using variables similar to those used in this study (frequency, 100 pulses/s; pulse duration 100 μs), reduced noxious nerve transmission associated with mechanical compression of the hind limb in cats. Whether a similar mechanism(s) is responsible for mediating the reduction in pain perception elicited by MHVS treatment after muscle injury in this study remains to be elucidated. Interestingly, the reduction in DOMS with MHVS treatment occurred at the time when soreness was reaching its peak—that is, 24–48 hours after eccentric contractions; p<0.072 for 48 hours compared with CT. Although the mechanism mediating this response cannot be elucidated from this study, it may be that a certain threshold level of soreness must be reached before the analgesic effects of sensory level stimulation can be manifested.

Loss of range of motion is a common consequence of muscle injury. In accordance, relaxed arm angle was decreased and flexed arm angle was increased (fig 4A and 5A respectively) in the hours to days after eccentric contractions. Interestingly, 30 minutes of MHVS treatment resulted in a short term increase in relaxed arm angle after eccentric contractions (fig 4B). Similarly, Craig et al showed that high frequency TENS resulted in improvements in relaxed arm angle after muscle injury compared with placebo and control groups. In contrast, Denegar and Perrin showed that sensory level TENS treatment did not significantly influence relaxed arm angle after muscle injury. The discrepancy in findings across the various studies are not easily reconciled. However, differences in treatment protocols and severity of muscle injury may account for the varied findings.

Clarkson et al suggested that a decrease in relaxed arm angle immediately after injurious exercise can be attributed to shortening of the connective tissue or muscle fibres themselves. The spontaneous muscle shortening, however, does not appear to be the result of active muscle contraction, as resting electromyographic activity is not increased after muscle injury. Other possible explanations include the accumulation of Ca2+ within the muscle cell and/or mechanoreceptor involvement. It is possible that MHVS activates voltage gated membrane channels resulting in a temporary restoration of calcium homeostasis in the muscle cell and/or it excites nerve fibres associated with golgi tendon organs, thus activating a protective lengthening contraction. In theory, both of these mechanisms would elicit a transient increase in relaxed arm angle after muscle injury.
range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion associated with contraction induced muscle injury may be modestly improved with MHVS, but recovery of strength and active range of motion is not improved.

Considering that the restoration of full active range of motion and strength are critical for complete rehabilitation of muscle and are probably greater determinants of recovery than are modest improvements in pain and passive range of motion, the lack of improvement in these outcomes with MHVS may not permit faster recovery after muscle injury due to an acute bout of eccentric exercise.

Despite the ubiquitous use of MHVS for the treatment of the sequelae associated with muscle injury, evidence supporting its efficacy is lacking. To our knowledge, this study shows for the first time that early and frequent application of sensory level MHVS attenuates some signs and symptoms of muscle injury in humans. Specifically, chronic perception of pain was decreased in the days after muscle injury and passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion or muscle function in humans. The implication is that perceived pain and loss of passive range of motion after injurious exercise. However, considering the transient nature and relatively small magnitude of improvement in relaxed arm angle and DOMS observed, further studies need to be performed to ascertain whether these improvements translate into functionally significant outcomes in rehabilitation.

**What is already known on this topic**

MHVS has been reported to be effective in reducing oedema, attenuating inflammatory cell invasion, and improving range of motion after muscle injury in various animal studies. However, limited scientific evidence exists to support the purported benefits in humans.

**What this study adds**

This study shows that early and frequent application of MHVS provides transient improvements in range of motion and attenuates muscle soreness after eccentric contractions in humans. Further studies are needed to ascertain whether these improvements translate into functionally significant outcomes in rehabilitation.
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