Effect of anticipation during unknown or unexpected exercise duration on rating of perceived exertion, affect, and physiological function
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Objective: To determine the effect of unknown exercise duration and an unexpected increase in exercise duration on rating of perceived exertion (RPE), affect, and running economy during treadmill running.

Methods: Sixteen well trained male and female runners completed three bouts of treadmill running at 75% of their peak treadmill running speed. In the first trial, they were told to run for 20 minutes and were stopped at 20 minutes (20 MIN). In another trial, they were told to run for 10 minutes, but at 10 minutes were told to run for a further 10 minutes (10 MIN). In the final trial, they were not told for how long they would be running but were stopped after 20 minutes (unknown, UN). During each of the running bouts, RPE, oxygen consumption (ml/kg/min), heart rate (beats/min), stride frequency (min⁻¹), affect scores (arbitrary units), and attentional focus (percentage associative thought scores) were recorded.

Results: RPE increased significantly between 10 and 11 minutes in the 10 MIN compared with the 20 MIN and UN trials (p<0.05). The affect score decreased significantly between 10 and 11 minutes in the 10 MIN compared with the 20 MIN trial (p<0.05). Running economy, as measured by oxygen consumption, was significantly lower in the UN compared with the 20 MIN trial from 10 to 19 minutes (p<0.05).

Conclusions: The change in RPE between 10 and 11 minutes in the 10 MIN trial suggests that RPE is not purely a measure of physical exertion, as treadmill speed was maintained at a constant pace both before and after the unexpected increase in exercise duration. The associated changes in affect score at similar times in the 10 MIN trial supports the hypothesis that RPE has an affective component.
Experimental sessions
Within one week of the familiarisation session and the PTRS test, subjects performed three trials in random order on a motorised treadmill (Quinton Instruments, Seattle, Washington, USA). In all three trials, the treadmill speed for each subject was set at 75% of their PTRS. In one trial, subjects were informed that they would run for 20 minutes and then completed a 20 minute run (20 MIN). In the second trial, subjects were told that they would run for 10 minutes, but, at one minute from completion of the 10 minutes, were asked to run for another 10 minutes, so that the total exercise duration was 20 minutes (10 MIN). Exercise intensity was maintained at a constant level for the entire 20 minutes of the 10 MIN trial. In the third trial, subjects were not told for how long they would be running, but were stopped after 20 minutes had been completed (unknown trial, UN). Thus the total duration and intensity were the same for all three trials (20 minutes at 75% of PTRS), but subjects were informed differently about the expected exercise duration before the start of each trial. The same investigators were present during all trials, and the same level of encouragement was given to the subject in each of the three trials. Subjects performed the three experimental trials at the same time of day, so that effects of circadian rhythm on physiological and psychological function were negated, and at least a day of rest was allowed between each trial. During each trial, RPE, affect, attentional focus (percentage associative thoughts), VO$_2$, stride frequency, and heart rate were measured at 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17, 19, and 20 minutes. The subjects were made aware of how much time had passed at each of these time points when these measurements were performed. The different length of time between each data point was deliberately chosen, as we expected changes to occur in the first minute after changes in the 10 MIN group at minute 10. Therefore, to assess these predicted changes, data were recorded at 9, 10, and 11 minutes into the trial. As we did not want to have different lengths of time only around the 10 minute time point, length of time between measurements was thus varied throughout the trial in an attempt to make the length of time between measurements appear random. However, the same time points were used for data measurement in all three trials.

RPE
RPE was measured during each trial at the specified times using the Borg category ratio scale. This scale measured the overall feelings of subjective sensation of effort accompanying exercise. The scale and what it measured was carefully explained to all subjects during the familiarisation session and before each experimental trial. It was emphasised that the scale measures physical strain or work, and instructions were given according to suggestions from Pandolf and Noble et al.

Affect scale
Affect was measured using the affect (feeling) scale, developed and validated by Rejeski and Kenney. The affect scale is a bipolar scale which ranges from +5 to −5 with verbal descriptors: +5 = very good; +3 = good; +1 = slightly good; 0 = neutral; −1 = slightly bad; −3 = bad; −5 = very bad.

Subjects were informed and subsequently reminded that the scale measured the affective or emotional component of exercise, such as whether the sensation of effort during the treadmill running bout felt pleasant or unpleasant, and not the actual level of physical effort or strain.

Associative thought scale
During each trial, subjects were asked to report what percentage of their thoughts were associative thoughts.
Associative thoughts were defined as those directed towards bodily symptoms, and measured as a score between 0 and 100, with 100 representing only associative thoughts. Dissociative thoughts were defined as thoughts that distract the subjects from the exercise being performed and directed towards external factors, and were measured as the remainder of the thought score not described as associative thoughts.

**Oxygen consumption**

$V_{\text{O}_2}$ was measured continuously during all trials using an automated gas analysis system (Oxycon Alpha; Enrich Jaeger, Wuerzburg, Germany), as described previously.

**Heart rate**

Heart rate was measured during all trials using a Polar S410 heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland), as described previously.

**Stride frequency**

The number of times the right foot of the subject landed on the treadmill was counted during the 30 seconds leading up to the specified time points, and this value was doubled to give a measurement of stride frequency (min$^{-1}$).

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analysed using a Statistica (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). A repeated measures analysis of variance was conducted to compare data over all three trials (10 MIN, 20 MIN, and UN). Where a significant interaction effect was found, post hoc analyses were conducted using a Tukey HSD post hoc test. Data are presented as mean (SEM). An $\alpha$ level of $p<0.05$ was taken as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Subject characteristics**

The mean (SEM) age of the subjects was 30.4 (4.1) years, mass 68.0 (12.2) kg, height 173 (7) cm, and percentage body fat 20.8 (4.4)%. The $V_{\text{O}_2}\text{peak}$ was 56.4 (2.9) ml/kg/min, PTRS 18.1 (1.5) km/h, and mean distance run each week 31.9 (13.3) km.

**RPE**

RPE increased essentially linearly with increasing exercise duration in all three trials (fig 1). However, RPE increased significantly more between 10 and 11 minutes in the 10 MIN trial compared with the 20 MIN trial ($p<0.05$), so that RPE at 11 minutes was significantly higher in the 10 MIN trial than in the 20 MIN trial ($p<0.05$). RPE remained significantly higher in the 10 MIN trial than in the 20 MIN trial until 17 minutes ($p<0.05$). RPE was also higher in the 10 MIN trial than in the UN trial from 11 minutes to 14 minutes (fig 1).

**Affect scale scores**

Affect scale scores decreased significantly (affect became more negative) over the course of the trial in all three conditions ($p<0.01$, fig 2). However, the affect score fell more steeply between 10 and 11 minutes during the 10 MIN trial than during the 20 MIN and UN trials. As a result, the affect score during the 10 MIN trial was significantly lower than during the 20 MIN and UN trials at 11 minutes and 14 minutes (fig 2). Affect score was higher throughout the 20 MIN trial than during both the 10 MIN and UN trials.

**Associative thoughts**

The percentage of associative thoughts increased significantly during all three trials ($p<0.01$, fig 3). No significant differences were found between trials, although the percentage change tended to be higher in the 10 MIN trial between 10 and 11 minutes compared with the 20 MIN and UN trials.

**Oxygen consumption**

$V_{\text{O}_2}$ was significantly lower during the UN trial than during the 20 MIN trial from 10 minutes until 19 minutes ($p<0.05$,
These differences are not immediately clear, but it would be interesting to examine the changes in affect associated with hypnotic manipulation, as these were not measured during the trial of Williamson et al\textsuperscript{20} and may be a cause of the different findings in the two studies. Pohl et al\textsuperscript{11} have suggested that subjects will display symptoms to match their expectations in a process termed symptom belief. That is, expectations have a large influence on perceived symptoms, which in the present study would include the RPE during the running task. When expectations of a task are not met, as occurs in the 10 MIN trial, subjects may consciously or unconsciously experience negative affect, including emotions such as anger, frustration, distrust, and doubt, that are reflected in the affect scale scores in this study (fig 2). St Clair Gibson et al\textsuperscript{22} have proposed that the knowledge of the physiological processes associated with the fatigue process initially occurs at the subconscious, cognitive level and that feelings of affective responses are the conscious representations of these subconscious changes. The link between the increase in RPE and the decrease in affect score in the absence of changes in physiological variables or exercise intensity supports the hypothesis that fatigue, commonly thought to be a physical process, may rather be an emotional construct.

A further finding was that associative thoughts percentage shows a significant increase over time for all trials (fig 3). Progressive increases in the percentage of associative thoughts suggest that the subjects have a narrower attention focus. That is, as the trial progresses, subjects engage in thoughts more related to the task of running. Although no significant differences in associative thoughts were found between trials, there was a tendency for the percentage of associative thoughts to decrease from 11 to 14 minutes in the 10 MIN trial, immediately after a sharp increase from 10 to 11 minutes. This pattern of change may indicate that subjects attempted to reset their focus in order to complete the trial, or as an attempt to improve the reduced affect caused by the instruction to continue for a further 10 minutes (fig 2). These changes in associative thoughts occurred at the same time as an increase in RPE (fig 1) and a decrease in feeling score (fig 2), as discussed previously.

The next important finding was that $V_{O_2}$ during the UN trial was lower than in the 20 MIN trial throughout, with significant differences from 10 minutes until 19 minutes (fig 4). At the same exercise intensity, a lower $V_{O_2}$ suggests improved running economy,\textsuperscript{21} and the lower $V_{O_2}$ in the UN trial supports the hypothesis that when a task of unknown duration is performed, subjects will be more economical in their use of physiological resources in order to maintain a reserve in anticipation of a longer exercise bout and greater physiological demand. The mechanism for this improvement is not clear, and the data do not allow us to conclude which factors may be responsible for the measured improvement in running economy. Running economy has previously been related to heart rate and biomechanical variables.\textsuperscript{24–26} Neither heart rate nor stride frequency showed any significant differences between the three trials, suggesting that more subtle changes, such as changes in muscle recruitment\textsuperscript{14} which were not measured in the present study, may underlie this finding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is already known on this topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous work has suggested that perceived exertion during exercise is causally linked to changes in running speed and physiological variables such as heart rate, ventilation rate, and blood lactate concentrations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What this study adds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This study shows that perceived exertion during exercise may rather be a psychological construct, which is scaled using knowledge of the exercise duration, and altered by changes in affect and cognitive focus of the athlete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In a study to measure the effect of psychological state on running economy, Williams et al. found that less negative affect was associated with lower VO2 for a given workload. We did not observe such an association, as affect was least affected in the 20 MIN trial (fig 2) but this trial did not produce the lowest VO2 (fig 4). However, that study used the profile of mood states scale to determine mood state of the subjects during the week before a run, whereas we used the feeling scale. Mood has been defined as a more stable measure of emotion, whereas affect is a more immediate change in emotion, and the use of two different scales to assess two different constructs may account for this finding.

An interesting observation was that the VO2 during the 10 MIN trial decreased after 17 minutes, and was significantly lower in the 10 MIN trial than in the 20 MIN trial at 19 and 20 minutes (fig 4). This may indicate that subjects become more economical as the end of the second 10 minute period of the 10 MIN trial approached, because of their experience a few minutes earlier of having been deceived. If they anticipated a second deception and the possibility that they would be told to continue exercise for another 10 minutes, they might have chosen to become more economical. It is noteworthy that the 10 MIN trial essentially becomes a trial of uncertain duration after the first 10 minutes, as subjects will no longer trust the experimenter. Thus the decrease in VO2 at the end of the 10 MIN trial agrees with the suggestion that subjects adopt a more economical running strategy when the duration of exercise is unknown.

In conclusion, in this study we found that unknown exercise duration and an unexpected increase in exercise duration influenced RPE, affect, and VO2. RPE appeared to be influenced by affect, and was not merely the result of a direct interpretation of the physiological changes occurring in different metabolic systems, as RPE increased significantly after an unexpected increase in running duration in the absence of changes in exercise intensity, VO2, or heart rate in this trial. Further research is required to determine what specific emotions correlate with perception of effort and running economy during exercise.
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This paper has come up with some fundamental questions that exercise scientists have ignored for far too long. The implications of these data will be far reaching in pushing the scientific boundaries further.
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