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ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The rating of perceived exertion (RPE) during exercise, measured using the Borg 15 point scale or category ratio scale, has been causally linked to physiological variables such as muscle force output, heart rate, ventilation, respiratory rate, oxygen uptake, and blood lactate concentrations. However, psychological factors also appear to contribute to RPE. Rejeski and Ribisl found that when subjects were instructed to run for 30 minutes, their RPE was lower throughout the run than when they were instructed to run for 20 minutes, despite running at the same intensity in both conditions.

Affect may be one psychological factor contributing to RPE. Affect refers to a short duration, high intensity emotion or feeling related to an idea or object. Hardy and Rejeski measured RPE and affect using an affect (feeling) scale during exercise at different intensities. A significant negative correlation between RPE and affect score was found at various exercise intensities, with stronger correlations at higher intensities.

Knowledge of exercise duration has also been suggested to play an important role in the control of physiological and psychological resources during exercise. Studies have shown that expectation of task duration and anticipation of an end point influence subjective ratings of fatigue, RPE, associative thought processes, and neuromuscular efficiency.

Therefore, although studies have suggested that perceived exertion may be influenced by both affect and knowledge of exercise duration, the relation between perceived exertion, affect, and knowledge of exercise duration has not been well described. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate how the anticipation of exercise duration influenced RPE, affect, and running economy, as measured by changes in oxygen consumption (VO₂) during the exercise bout, and, in particular, how these variables were affected when the actual exercise duration was different from that anticipated before the onset of the exercise bout.

METHODS

Subjects
Sixteen subjects (eight male, eight female) were recruited from local running clubs. All subjects were healthy, moderately trained, and fully informed of the risks associated with the study. The research and ethics committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town approved the study.

Familiarisation session and determination of peak treadmill running speed
Age, height, mass, body fat percentage, and training history were recorded for each subject at their first visit to the laboratory. During this session, subjects were informed that they would perform three trials during which running on the treadmill would last a maximum of 30 minutes. They then performed a peak treadmill running speed (PTRS) test using a protocol described previously, which was used to calculate maximal aerobic capacity (V₀₂peak) and the treadmill speed to be used for subsequent experimental trials (described below). This session was also an opportunity for the subjects to become familiar with running on the treadmill, as not all of them ran regularly on a treadmill as part of their training. Finally, the subjects were also familiarised with the three scales to be used in the subsequent experimental trials (described below).

Abbreviations: PTRS, peak treadmill running speed; RPE, rating of perceived exertion; VO₂, oxygen consumption
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Figure 1  Rating of perceived exertion (RPE) during three trials: 20 MIN, subjects told to run for 20 minutes and stopped at 20 minutes; 10 MIN, subjects told to run for 10 minutes, but at 10 minutes told to run for a further 10 minutes; UN, subjects not told for how long they would be running but stopped after 20 minutes. Values are mean (SEM) (n = 16). *Significant difference between 10 MIN and 20 MIN trials (p < 0.01). †Significant difference between 10 MIN and UN trials (p < 0.01). §Significant difference between 10 MIN and 20 MIN trials (p < 0.05).

Figure 2  Affect scale scores during three trials: 20 MIN, subjects told to run for 20 minutes and stopped at 20 minutes; 10 MIN, subjects told to run for 10 minutes, but at 10 minutes told to run for a further 10 minutes; UN, subjects not told for how long they would be running but stopped after 20 minutes. Values are mean (SEM) (n = 16). *Significant difference between 10 MIN and 20 MIN trials (p < 0.05).

Experimental sessions

Within one week of the familiarisation session and the PTRS test, subjects performed three trials in random order on a motorised treadmill (Quinton Instruments, Seattle, Washington, USA). In all three trials, the treadmill speed for each subject was set at 75% of their PTRS. In one trial, subjects were informed that they would run for 20 minutes and then completed a 20 minute run (20 MIN). In the second trial, subjects were told that they would run for 10 minutes, but, at one minute from completion of the 10 minutes, were asked to run for another 10 minutes, so that the total exercise duration was 20 minutes (10 MIN). Exercise intensity was maintained at a constant level for the entire 20 minutes of the 10 MIN trial. In the third trial, subjects were not told for how long they would be running, but were stopped after 20 minutes had been completed (unknown trial, UN). Thus the total duration and intensity were the same for all three trials (20 minutes at 75% of PTRS), but subjects were informed differently about the expected exercise duration before the start of each trial. The same investigators were present during all trials, and the same level of encouragement was given to the subject in each of the three trials. Subjects performed the three experimental trials at the same time of day, so that effects of circadian rhythm on physiological and psychological function were negated, and at least a day of rest was allowed between each trial.

During each trial, RPE, affect, attentional focus (percentage associative thoughts), VO2, stride frequency, and heart rate were measured at 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17, 19, and 20 minutes. The subjects were made aware of how much time had passed at each of these time points when these measurements were performed. The different length of time between each data point was deliberately chosen, as we expected changes to occur in the first minute after changes in the 10 MIN group at minute 10. Therefore, to assess these predicted changes, data were recorded at 9, 10, and 11 minutes into the trial. As we did not want to have different lengths of time only around the 10 minute time point, length of time between measurements was thus varied throughout the trial in an attempt to make the length of time between measurements appear random. However, the same time points were used for data measurement in all three trials.

RPE

RPE was measured during each trial at the specified times using the Borg category ratio scale. This scale measured the overall feelings of subjective sensation of effort accompanying exercise. The scale and what it measured was carefully explained to all subjects during the familiarisation session and before each experimental trial. It was emphasised that the scale measures physical strain or work, and instructions were given according to suggestions from Pandolf and Noble et al.

Affect scale

Affect was measured using the affect (feeling) scale, developed and validated by Rejeski and Kenney. The affect scale is a bipolar scale which ranges from +5 to −5 with verbal descriptors: +5 = very good; +3 = good; +1 = slightly good; 0 = neutral; −1 = slightly bad; −3 = bad; −5 = very bad.

Subjects were informed and subsequently reminded that the scale measured the affective or emotional component of exercise, such as whether the sensation of effort during the treadmill running bout felt pleasant or unpleasant, and not the actual level of physical effort or strain.

Associative thought scale

During each trial, subjects were asked to report what percentage of their thoughts were associative thoughts.
Data are presented as mean (SEM). An * level of p<0.05 was taken as significant.

**Oxygen consumption**

$V\dot{O}_2$ was measured continuously during all trials using an automated gas analysis system (Oxycon Alpha; Enrich Jaeger, Wuerzburg, Germany), as described previously. 

**Heart rate**

Heart rate was measured during all trials using a Polar S410 heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland), as described previously. 

**Stride frequency**

The number of times the right foot of the subject landed on the treadmill was counted during the 30 seconds leading up to the specified time points, and this value was doubled to give a measurement of stride frequency (min$\^{-1}$).

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analysed using a Statistica (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). A repeated measures analysis of variance was conducted to compare data over all three trials (10 MIN, 20 MIN, and UN). Where a significant interaction effect was found, post hoc analyses were conducted using a Tukey HSD post hoc test. Data are presented as mean (SEM). An * level of p<0.05 was taken as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Subject characteristics**

The mean (SEM) age of the subjects was 30.4 (4.1) years, mass 68.0 (12.2) kg, height 173 (7) cm, and percentage body fat 20.8 (4.4)%. The $V\dot{O}_2$ peak was 56.4 (2.9) ml/kg/min, PTRS mass 68.0 (12.2) kg, height 173 (7) cm, and percentage body fat 20.8 (4.4)%. The $V\dot{O}_2$peak was 56.4 (2.9) ml/kg/min, PTRS

18.1 (1.5) km/h, and mean distance run each week 31.9 (13.3) km.

**RPE**

RPE increased essentially linearly with increasing exercise duration in all three trials (fig 1). However, RPE increased significantly more between 10 and 11 minutes in the 10 MIN trial compared with the 20 MIN trial (p<0.05), so that RPE at 11 minutes was significantly higher in the 10 MIN trial than in the 20 MIN trial (p<0.05). RPE remained significantly higher in the 10 MIN trial than in the 20 MIN trial until 17 minutes (p<0.05). RPE was also higher in the 10 MIN trial than in the UN trial from 11 minutes to 14 minutes (fig 1).

**Affect scale scores**

Affect scale scores decreased significantly (affect became more negative) over the course of the trial in all three conditions (p<0.01, fig 2). However, the affect score fell more steeply between 10 and 11 minutes during the 10 MIN trial than during the 20 MIN and UN trials. As a result, the affect score during the 10 MIN trial was significantly lower than during the 20 MIN and UN trials at 11 minutes and 14 minutes (fig 2). Affect score was higher throughout the 20 MIN trial than during both the 10 MIN and UN trials.

**Associative thoughts**

The percentage of associative thoughts increased significantly during all three trials (p<0.01, fig 3). No significant differences were found between trials, although the percentage change tended to be higher in the 10 MIN trial between 10 and 11 minutes compared with the 20 MIN and UN trials.

**Oxygen consumption**

$V\dot{O}_2$ was significantly lower during the UN trial than during the 20 MIN trial from 10 minutes until 19 minutes (p<0.05,
these differences are not immediately clear, but it would be interesting to examine the changes in affect associated with hypnotic manipulation, as these were not measured during the trial of Williamson et al. and may be a cause of the different findings in the two studies.

Pohl et al. have suggested that subjects will display symptoms to match their expectations in a process termed symptom belief. That is, expectations have a large influence on perceived symptoms, which in the present study would include the RPE during the running task. When expectations of a task are not met, as occurs in the 10 MIN trial, subjects may consciously or unconsciously experience negative affect, including emotions such as anger, frustration, distrust, and doubt, responses that are reflected in the affect scale scores in this study (Fig 2). St Clair Gibson et al. have proposed that the knowledge of the physiological processes associated with the fatigue process initially occurs at the subconscious, cognitive level and that feelings of affective responses are the conscious representations of these subconscious changes. The link between the increase in RPE and the decrease in affect score in the absence of changes in physiological variables or exercise intensity supports the hypothesis that fatigue, commonly thought to be a physical process, may rather be an emotional construct.

A further finding was that associative thoughts percentage shows a significant increase over time for all trials (Fig 3). Progressive increases in the percentage of associative thoughts suggest that the subjects have a narrower attention focus. That is, as the trial progresses, subjects engage in thoughts more related to the task of running. Although no significant differences in associative thoughts were found between trials, there was a tendency for the percentage associative thoughts to decrease from 11 to 14 minutes in the 10 MIN trial, immediately after a sharp increase from 10 to 11 minutes. This pattern of change may indicate that subjects attempted to reset their focus in order to complete the trial, or as an attempt to improve the reduced affect caused by the instruction to continue for a further 10 minutes (Fig 2). These changes in associative thoughts occurred at the same time as an increase in RPE (Fig 1) and a decrease in feeling score (Fig 2), as discussed previously.

The next important finding was that VO\textsubscript{2} during the UN trial was lower than in the 20 MIN trial throughout, with significant differences from 10 minutes until 19 minutes (Fig 4). At the same exercise intensity, a lower VO\textsubscript{2} suggests improved running economy, and the lower VO\textsubscript{2} in the UN trial supports the hypothesis that when a task of unknown duration is performed, subjects will be more economical in their use of physiological resources, in order to maintain a reserve in anticipation of a longer exercise bout and greater physiological demand. The mechanism for this improvement is not clear, and the data do not allow us to conclude which factors may be responsible for the measured improvement in running economy. Running economy has previously been related to heart rate and biomechanical variables. Neither heart rate nor stride frequency showed any significant differences between the three trials, suggesting that more subtle changes, such as changes in muscle recruitment, which were not measured in the present study, may underlie this finding.

What is already known on this topic

Previous work has suggested that perceived exertion during exercise is causally linked to changes in running speed and physiological variables such as heart rate, ventilation rate, and blood lactate concentrations.

What this study adds

This study shows that perceived exertion during exercise may rather be a psychological construct, which is scaled using knowledge of the exercise duration, and altered by changes in affect and cognitive focus of the athlete.
In a study to measure the effect of psychological state on running economy, Williams et al. found that less negative affect was associated with lower VO$_2$ for a given workload. We did not observe such an association, as affect was least associated with lower VO$_2$ for a given workload.

An interesting observation was that the VO$_2$ during the 10 MIN trial decreased after 17 minutes, and was significantly lower in the 10 MIN trial than in the 20 MIN trial at 19 and 20 minutes (fig 4). This may indicate that subjects become more economical as the end of the second 10 minute period of the 10 MIN trial approached, because of their experience a few minutes earlier of having been deceived. If they anticipated a second deception and the possibility that they would be told to continue exercise for another 10 minutes, they might have chosen to become more economical. It is noteworthy that the 10 MIN trial essentially becomes a trial of uncertain duration after the first 10 minutes, as subjects will no longer trust the experimenter. Thus the decrease in VO$_2$ at the end of the 10 MIN trial agrees with the suggestion that subjects adopt a more economical running strategy when the duration of exercise is unknown.

In conclusion, in this study we found that unknown exercise duration and an unexpected increase in exercise duration influenced RPE, affect, and VO$_2$. RPE appeared to be influenced by affect, and was not merely the result of a direct interpretation of the physiological changes occurring in different metabolic systems, as RPE increased significantly after an unexpected increase in running duration in the absence of changes in exercise intensity, VO$_2$, or heart rate in this trial. Further research is required to determine what specific emotions correlate with perception of effort and running economy during exercise.
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This paper has come up with some fundamental questions that exercise scientists have ignored for far too long. The implications of these data will be far reaching in pushing the scientific boundaries further.
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