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Background: A 55 year old man sustained a severe ocular injury when hit by a cricket ball even though he was wearing a helmet.

Methods: A suprachoroidal haemorrhage was drained and dense intravitreal blood was removed. An inferior buckle was applied with the use of intraocular gas. A macular haemorrhage resolved slowly.

Results: Despite several surgical procedures over 1.5 years, the final visual acuity of the patient was only 6/60 because of a dense macular scar.

Conclusions: Helmets worn as protection when playing cricket need to be designed better and be of better material. Eye protection should be worn at all levels of play.

Severe ocular injury from sport is uncommon. Such an injury resulting from impact by a cricket ball is even more uncommon. We report the case of a patient with severe choroidal and intravitreal haemorrhage, requiring drainage of suprachoroidal blood followed by pars plana vitrectomy. The patient also had a small, self-sealed globe rupture without extrusion of ocular contents and without an orbital fracture. The last is a rare occurrence in the absence of an orbital margin fracture.

CASE REPORT

A 55 year old man was referred to eye casualty from accident and emergency (A&E) with a painful, red right eye following a cricket ball injury sustained a few hours earlier in a county league game. He had a large curvilinear laceration extending down the right temple which had been repaired in A&E. He had hand movement vision and a moderate right relative afferent pupillary defect (RAPD). The orbital margins were intact and there was extensive lid oedema with ecchymoses as well as chemosis, causing some restriction of ocular movement (fig 1A). The injury had occurred although the subject had been wearing a cricket helmet. The helmet was dented badly on the right side of the face bars at the area of impact (fig 1B). Further examination revealed the cornea to be unaffected but the anterior chamber to be very deep, with an 0.8 mm hyphaema and a reacting dilated pupil; the deep anterior chamber was suggestive of an ocular perforation although there was no other sign to suggest this. The lens was intact but there was a dense vitreous haemorrhage. The intraocular pressure was normal. The ultrasonogram (USG) was indicative of choroidal detachment. The patient was admitted for bed rest with antibiotic cover and oral Diamox.

A computed tomography scan showed no orbital fracture. Subsequently, the suprachoroidal haemorrhage was drained. At review a week later, the patient had persistent right RAPD and still had only hand movement vision, with a persisting dense intragel haemorrhage. USG showed a small pocket of residual suprachoroidal blood.

The patient was admitted for right pars plana vitrectomy 3 weeks later. Dense intravitreal blood was removed. Although his retina was attached, he had extensive retinal dialysis and a small self-sealed globe rupture. There was a large macular scar possibly from an organised subretinal haemorrhage. An inferior buckle was applied with use of intraocular gas. His vision improved to 6/24 with pinhole postoperatively, with slow resolution of the macular haemorrhage. However, an increasing posterior subcapsular cataract was responsible for vision worsening to 6/60 leading to cataract extraction with implantation of an intraocular lens. His final visual acuity was 6/60 because of his dense macular scar.
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Figure 1 (A) Photograph showing the immediate aftermath of the injury; there is a large repaired laceration on the right temple and severe lid oedema and bruising. (B) The helmet worn by the patient when the injury was sustained, showing a dent on its side at the point of impact of the ball. (Photograph reproduced with consent)
DISCUSSION

It has been widely advised that adequate protection be worn during sport.5–9 Though racket sports are mainly implicated in causing serious eye injury,2,6 face protection for batsmen is compulsory in cricket.7 This seems reasonable in view of the fact that serious injury during cricket play, though uncommon, can cause severe visual loss.4 However, as illustrated by our patient, standard helmets may not afford sufficient protection. This is borne out by studies on different types of helmets.

Our patient underwent several surgical procedures over a prolonged period of 1.5 years with a final visual acuity of only 6/60. This was the direct result of a cricket ball injury while wearing a standard helmet (that is, CE (European Standards) and BSI (British Standards Institute) approved); our patient was under the impression that the helmet afforded him full ocular protection. The distance between the peak and grille on our patient’s helmet was 2.75 inches at its widest, and 2.5 inches centrally, at its narrowest. The grille has three levels of adjustment up and down and it was on the middle level when the impact was sustained and at which the above measurements were taken. However, the diameter of a cricket ball is just under 2.5 inches at its widest. This allows the ball to clear the grille and reach the eye, especially in a rising trajectory obliquely from the side,8 as must have happened in this case. Hence the dent on the side of the helmet as well as the laceration along the lateral orbital margin, in addition to the intraocular damage.

CONCLUSIONS

It is imperative that a better design and helmet material be introduced so as to prevent and limit such injury. The severity of injury sustained by our patient underscores the importance of rules for eye protection at all levels of play, be they professional, club, or recreational.
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