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A six year prospective study of the incidence and causes of head and neck injuries in international football
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Objective: To identify those risk factors that have the greatest impact on the incidence of head and neck injuries in international football.

Method: A case–control study of players sustaining head and neck injuries during 20 FIFA tournaments (men and women) from 1998 to 2004. Video recordings of incidents were used to identify a range of parameters associated with the incidents. Team physicians provided medical reports describing the nature of each injury. \( \chi^2 \) tests \( (p<0.01) \) and 95% confidence intervals were used to assess differences in distribution and incidence of injury, respectively.

Results: In total, 248 head and neck injuries were recorded of which 163 were identified and analysed on video sequences. The commonest injuries were contusions (53%), lacerations (20%), and concussions (11%). The incidence of all head and neck injuries was 12.5/1000 player hours (men 12.8, women 11.5) and 3.7 for lost-time injuries (men 3.5, women 4.1). The commonest causes of injury involved aerial challenges (55%) and the use of the upper extremity (33%) or head (30%). The unfair use of the upper extremity was significantly more likely to cause an injury than any other player action. Only one injury (a neck muscle strain) occurred as a result of heading the ball throughout the 20 tournaments equivalent to 0.05 injuries/1000 player hours.

Conclusions: Players’ actions most likely to cause a head or neck injury were the use of the upper extremity or the head but in the majority of cases these challenges were deemed to be fair and within the laws of the game.

The risk of concussion among athletes is a major concern in contact sports such as basketball, football, ice hockey, and rugby. There is also a concern that repeated concussive and non-concussive head trauma may lead to long term brain injury. In this context, only limited data are available on the incidence and causation factors associated with non-concussive head injuries in contact team sports. The risks associated with head injuries in football are recognised by the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA) and this is reflected in the national rules and laws for football of many member countries. For example in England, the Football Association (FA), which states that “a head injury is a potentially serious injury which can lead, in a small number of cases, to significant complications. No head injury is trivial” (p 407), provides detailed guidelines on how club doctors should deal with head injuries.

Early epidemiological studies in football identified cognitive deficits among players that were attributed to repetitive trauma caused by heading the ball; however, these results related to retrospective studies of players who had used the older, water absorbing heavier leather football. The validity of the conclusions from these, and also other studies, has been questioned, as possible confounding factors were not controlled. Later retrospective and prospective studies of players using the modern, water resistant lighter synthetic football failed to identify cognitive deficits among players. Many published studies on head and neck injuries in football present the data as the number or percentage of head or neck injuries within sample populations or as the incidence of head and neck injuries in terms of athlete-exposures rather than as injuries per hours of exposure. Hawkins and Fuller reported the incidence of head and neck injuries in English football as 1.4/1000 player hours (confidence interval (CI) 0.9 to 1.9; professional: 0.9, CI 0.4 to 1.3; youth: 4.1, CI 1.8 to 6.4) and Andersen et al reported the incidence in Norwegian/Icelandic men’s professional football as 1.7/1000 player hours. Faude et al reported the incidence of head and neck injuries in German women’s football as 1.8/1000 player hours (CI 0.7 to 2.9). In a Canadian study, Delaney et al reported that although 63% of players reported signs or symptoms associated with a concussion only 20% actually recognised that they had sustained a concussion. Barnes et al estimated that there was a 50% probability of each male player and a 22% probability of each female player sustaining a concussion over a 10 year playing career.

Video analysis is an extremely useful technique for examining a variety of issues in a range of sports because researchers can repeatedly and objectively observe and assess incidents. The versatility of video analysis for football research has been demonstrated in a wide range of applications. Although the technique has been used previously to assess the mechanisms of head and neck injuries in football, in one study the focus of the research was the impact of referees’ decision making and in the other the number of injuries assessed was small (n = 16).

This study aimed to use video analysis to determine the incidence and causation factors associated with head and neck injuries in international football as functions of players’ sex, age, and injury diagnosis.

METHOD

In this research, an incident referred to any event that involved players challenging for possession of the ball or where a player was struck by the ball during the normal course of a match. Off-the-ball incidents, such as deliberate acts of violence, were not included in the analysis of injury causation factors. We defined injuries by the need for players to receive post-match medical attention (PMA) from their team physicians. Team physicians were required to complete a standardised injury report form for every case of PMA and...
submit the form to FIFA’s Medical Assessment and Research Centre.

Incident assessment
We analysed incidents resulting in head/neck injuries in 20 FIFA tournaments (14 men, 6 women) over the period 1998–2004. The FIFA provided video recordings for each match from the following tournaments:

- Men: 1998 World Cup; 1999 Confederation Cup; 1999 U-17 World Championship; 1999 U-20 World Championship; 2000 Club World Championship; 2000 Olympic Games; 2001 Confederation Cup; 2001 U-17 World Championship; 2001 U-20 World Championship; 2002 World Cup; 2003 Confederation Cup; 2003 U-17 World Championship; 2003 U-20 World Championship; 2004 Olympic Games
- Women: 1999 World Cup; 2000 Olympic Games; 2002 U-19 World Championship; 2003 World Cup; 2004 Olympic Games; 2004 U-19 World Championship

Six risk factors, which have been used previously to assess injury mechanisms, were analysed for each incident:

- position on the pitch where the incident occurred with respect to the injured player (defending penalty area, defending half of the pitch excluding the penalty area, attacking half of the pitch excluding the penalty area, attacking penalty area)
- ball possession status at the time of the incident (injured player in possession, other player in possession, free ball)
- direction of approach on the injured player by the non-injured player (front, side, behind; injured player struck by ball)
- mode of approach by non-injured player (staying on feet, sliding in including jumping horizontally, jumping vertically; injured player struck by ball)
- action (non-injured player using one/two footed tackle; arm/hand, upper body, head; injured player struck by ball)
- intent of the non-injured player at the time of incident (for example raised elbow with clear movement of the elbow into the injured player’s face—unfair act; two players accidentally clashing heads while jumping to head the ball—fair act).

We also recorded the match referee’s decision (non-foul, foul), the object/body part striking the injured player (head, upper extremity, lower extremity, trunk, ball, ground, goal post/corner flag) and the point of contact on the injured player’s head/neck (front, side, back, top, no contact) for each incident.

Injury assessment
PMA reports, which were based on the team physician’s clinical judgement, provided the injury:

- location (head, neck)
- diagnosis (concussion, contusion, dental, dislocation, fracture, laceration/abrasion, sprain, strain)
- severity (number of days unavailable for training and/or competition: no lost time, slight (1–3 days), minor (4–7 days), moderate (>1 week to 1 month), major (>1 month)).

We defined incidence as the number of incidents per 1000 player hours, based on 22 players per game and each match lasting for 90 minutes unless extra time was played.

Interrater reliability
The overall interrater reliability for identifying incident parameters using the video analysis protocol has been reported previously as 95%. In addition, two observers independently assessed the non-injured player’s intent during 50% of the incidents and recorded an interrater reliability of 85%.

Data analysis
We used χ² tests to identify differences between the distributions of injuries for the six different risk factors as functions of sex, age, and injury diagnosis. Because the probability of type I errors increases with the number of hypotheses tested, statistical significance was only accepted at p<0.01; however, p values are provided where the p value for non-significant tests was <0.05. Significant differences between the incidence of injury for men and women and between tournaments were determined by whether the 95% confidence intervals overlapped.

RESULTS
The study included 19 802 player hours of match exposure (men 15 115, women 4687), which represented 91% of the total player hours in the 20 tournaments. A total of 1633 incidents of PMA were recorded of which 237 (14.5%) were to the head and 11 (0.7%) were to the neck. Of the 248 injuries to the head and neck, 194 (78.2%) were sustained by men and 54 (21.8%) by women. Of the 248 incidents, 163 were identified on videotape (men 128, women 35) and also met the criteria for inclusion in the analysis. There were no significant differences between the diagnoses of the PMAs analysed on video tape and the diagnoses of all the head/neck injuries reported by the team physicians (table 1) for either men or women. There were, however, significant differences (p<0.001) between the diagnoses for men and women. There was no significant difference (p = 0.16) between the proportion of men (24%) and women (34%) who were substituted either immediately following the injury or later in the game.

The overall incidence of head/neck injuries was 12.5 (CI 10.9 to 14.1) per 1000 player hours (men: 12.8, CI 11.0 to 14.7; women: 11.5, CI 8.4 to 14.6). The incidence of lost-time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Diagnoses of all reported head and neck injuries and those analysed by video</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injury diagnosis</td>
<td>All injuries recorded by team physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion</td>
<td>16 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture/dislocation</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>110 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laceration/abrasion</td>
<td>41 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>23 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Head and neck injuries was 3.7 (CI 2.7 to 4.7) per 1000 player hours (men: 3.5, CI 2.4 to 4.6; women: 4.1, CI 2.1 to 6.1). Seventy per cent of the PMAs did not result in loss of time, 21% were classified as slight, 6% as minor, 3% as moderate, and 0% as major; the injuries categorised as moderate were diagnosed as concussions, nose fractures, and a combined concussion/fracture. Table 2 shows the incidence of injuries for men and women as a function of each type of tournament.

There was a significant difference (p<0.001) in the numbers of PMAs as a function of the point of contact on the head/neck (back: 18 (11%); side: 61 (37%); front: 81 (50%); top: 1 (1%); no contact: 2 (1%)). There was also a significant difference (p<0.001) in the numbers of injuries as a function of the object contacting the head/neck (head: 49 (30%); upper extremity: 57 (35%); lower extremity: 35 (21%); trunk: 3 (2%); ball: 14 (9%); ground: 3 (2%); no contact: 2 (1%)). The two injuries that did not involve contact were both neck injuries sustained by female players and were probably caused by hyperextension followed by flexion (whiplash action). The distribution of injuries as a function of playing position was significantly different for men (p = 0.002; goalkeeper: 19 (15%); defender: 51 (40%); midfielder: 28 (22%); forward: 30 (23%)) but not significantly different for women (p = 0.44; goalkeeper: 3 (9%); defender: 12 (34%); midfielder: 10 (29%); forward: 10 (29%)) when compared with the distributions predicted for a team consisting of one goalkeeper, four defenders, four midfielders, and two forwards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tournament</th>
<th>Incidence of injuries per 1000 player hours</th>
<th>All injuries</th>
<th>Lost-time injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence of injuries</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-17 World Championship</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-19 World Championship</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-20 World Championship</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic Games</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club World Championship</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederations Cup</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Cup</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All tournaments</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The distributions of head/neck PMAs as functions of the risk factors and sex are shown in fig 1. There were significant differences between men and women for possession status (p = 0.01) and intent (p = 0.009). Non-significant differences were observed in the distributions for the mode of approach (p = 0.05) and the number of head/neck injuries assessed on the pitch at the time of injury (women: 93%, men: 77%; p = 0.04). Match referees deemed that 30% of the incidents analysed that led to a head/neck injury were foul challenges (men: 31%; women: 27%).

The distributions of head/neck PMAs as functions of risk factors and the three most common injury diagnoses are shown in fig 2. There was only one injury that resulted from a player heading the ball and this injury did not result in loss of time: the injury was a strain/sprain of the neck of a male defender during an under-17 match that occurred while the player attempted to head a ball that had been crossed into his penalty area. The player did not receive treatment at the time of the injury. There was one concussion recorded as a consequence of a player being hit by the ball; this injury was caused by close range impact of the ball on the side of a male player’s head after a defender had kicked the ball to clear it uphill. On the other hand, there were 49 injuries resulting from head to head contact, of which 8 (men: 3; women: 5) were concussions. There were no significant differences observed for any of the risk factors when comparing concussion injuries with all other injuries. None of the incidents resulting in concussion were considered to be the result of a deliberate action and none were deemed to be a foul by the match referee. Of the players sustaining a concussion 89% were treated on the pitch at the time of the injury compared with 79% for all other injuries to the head/neck.

The distributions of head/neck PMAs as functions of risk factors and age (youth players: U-17, U-19, and U-20 tournaments; senior players: all other tournaments) are shown in fig 3. There were no significant differences in the distributions of any of the risk factors (location on pitch: p = 0.04).

A contingency table comparing the non-injured player’s intent during challenges that involved the use of the upper extremity with the non-injured player’s intent during challenges involving all other actions is shown in table 3. The distributions were significantly different for both men (p<0.001) and women (p = 0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

The overall incidence of injury in football is generally higher at the elite level of play and therefore the incidence of injury reported here is likely to be higher than that encountered
among recreational players. Head/neck injuries represented 15% of all recorded PMAs and 10% of all lost-time PMAs, which compared with previously reported values of 4–20%. The incidence of lost-time head/neck injuries for men (3.5 per 1000 player hours) in the present study is significantly higher than that reported by Hawkins and Fuller (1.4)\textsuperscript{19} but the value for women (4.1) is not significantly different from that reported by Faude et al.\textsuperscript{21} We found the incidence of concussion in women (2.6) to be 2.4 times higher than that in men (1.1). This compares closely with the results reported by Delaney et al\textsuperscript{17} that women are 2.5 times more likely to experience a concussion than men but differs from the results of Barnes et al\textsuperscript{13} that men are 2.2 times more likely to experience a concussion than women. However, the conclusions of the earlier studies were based on numbers of injuries recorded over fixed time periods rather than on hours of exposure: the apparent increase in the probability of women experiencing a concussion between 1993 and 1999 may therefore simply reflect an increased level of exposure.

A player’s role in the modern game of football changes constantly throughout a game so it is difficult to define the exact role of a player, in terms of their playing position, at the time of injury. However, based on the declared positions of players at each tournament,\textsuperscript{17} goalkeepers and forwards sustained more and midfielders fewer of all types of head/neck injury than would be predicted for a team based on a 1:4:4:2 formation. This may reflect the greater risks that players in these positions are prepared to take in order to achieve their key objectives of preventing and scoring goals, respectively.

In the present study, throughout the 20 tournaments, only one injury (neck muscle strain) resulted from a player heading the ball; this represents an incidence of acute injury from heading of only 0.05/1000 player hours. There was also

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Action of non-injured player in challenge & Fair & Unfair & Fair & Unfair \\
\hline
Use of upper extremity & 20 (16) & 27 (21) & 3 (9) & 3 (9) \\
All other actions & 67 (52) & 14 (11) & 28 (80) & 1 (3) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Contingency table showing the distribution of injuries as a function of the action and fairness of challenges by the non-injured player for men (n = 128) and women (n = 35).}
\end{table}
than head to head contact. Kirkendall et al. suggested that heading a modern football represents a much lower risk of injury than head to head contact. 

Support the contentions of Kirkendall et al. that resulted from head to head contact (2.6 injuries per 1000 player hours), of which 16% were concussions. These results support the contentions of Kirkendall et al. and McCrory that heading a modern football represents a much lower risk than head to head contact. Kirkendall et al. emphasised the special skills that should be developed by players to head a football correctly to minimise the risk of injury. It could also be hypothesised that players would learn from experience which situations to avoid as likely to lead to injury when attempting to head the ball. This knowledge is more likely to be acquired with age than through practice; however, we did not find any significant differences in the distributions of any of the risk factors between the youth and senior players. 

The specific aim of our study was to identify those risk factors that had the greatest impact on the incidence of head/neck injuries in football as a function of players’ sex, age, and injury diagnosis. The location on the pitch and the context of the ball will influence the way in which a player approaches a challenge. For example, the location defines the relative importance of the situation: a player’s main objective in the majority of cases the challenges were deemed to be fair and majority of injuries occurred as a consequence of aerial challenges, from the side or front, for a high, free ball. Finally, the specific actions employed by players and their intent during these actions reflect individual players’ attitudes towards the concept of “fair play”. The dominant parameters leading to PMAs for each of the risk factors as functions of sex, age, and injury diagnosis derived from figs 1–3 are summarised in table 4. These results support some previous hypotheses about the causes of head/neck injury but disagree with others. For example, in terms of pitch location, Kirkendall et al. suggested that “The most likely sites on the field for a head injury are within the penalty area when many players are competing for a cross or corner kick or when an on-rushing forward and goalkeeper collide” (p 372). Although these scenarios were certainly encountered in the present study, the penalty area did not represent the commonest location for injury. Boden et al. identified head to head contact as the major causation factor in concussion injuries and this mechanism was common in the present study. Other authors have identified unfair use of the upper extremity as a significant cause of head/neck injuries and our results support this contention. Kirkendall et al. discussed the role of impacts to the side of the head as an important injury causation factor because of the rotational forces generated on the head; in the present study more injuries were indeed caused by challenges from the side.

Our analysis of risk factors identified a consistency in the causation of head/neck injuries. Firstly, the majority of injuries occurred in incidents that took place in what might be considered to be low risk areas of the football pitch, namely the defensive and attacking outfields, rather than in the highly critical penalty areas, where matches can be won or lost through the risks that players are prepared to take. Secondly, the majority of injuries occurred as a consequence of aerial challenges, from the side or front, for a high, free ball. Finally, the players’ actions most likely to cause injury were the use of the upper extremity or the head but in the majority of cases the challenges were deemed to be fair and within the current laws of the game.

**Table 4** The commonest causes of head and neck injuries as a function of players’ sex, age, and diagnosis of injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commonest cause of injury</th>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Location of incident on pitch</th>
<th>Possession status prior to challenge</th>
<th>Direction of challenge</th>
<th>Mode of challenge</th>
<th>Action during challenge</th>
<th>Intent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Defensive outfield</td>
<td>Free ball</td>
<td>From the side</td>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>Use of upper extremity</td>
<td>Fair challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Tournaments for players aged:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20 years</td>
<td>Defensive outfield</td>
<td>Free ball</td>
<td>From the front</td>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>Use of head</td>
<td>Fair challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20 years</td>
<td>Offensive field</td>
<td>Free ball</td>
<td>From the side</td>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>Use of upper extremity</td>
<td>Fair challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion</td>
<td>Offensive field</td>
<td>Free ball</td>
<td>From the side</td>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>Use of upper extremity</td>
<td>Fair challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>Offensive field</td>
<td>Free ball</td>
<td>From the side</td>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>Use of upper extremity</td>
<td>Fair challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laceration/abraison</td>
<td>Offensive field</td>
<td>Free ball</td>
<td>From the side</td>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>Use of head or upper extremity</td>
<td>Fair challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is already known on this topic**

Recent reports have claimed that heading a modern football represents a much lower risk of injury than head to head contact.

**What this study adds**

The incidence of head and neck injuries caused by heading the ball was extremely low. The use of the upper extremity and head to head contact during aerial challenges were the major causes of head and neck injuries. The majority of contacts leading to head and neck injuries were deemed by match referees to be within the laws of the game.
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