No cumulative effects for one or two previous concussions
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Background: Sports medicine clinicians and the general public are interested in the possible cumulative effects of concussion.

Objective: To examine whether athletes with a history of one or two previous concussions differed in their preseason neuropsychological test performances or symptom reporting.

Method: Participants were 867 male high school and university amateur athletes who completed preseason testing with ImPACT version 2.0. They were sorted into three groups on the basis of number of previous concussions. There were 664 athletes with no previous concussions, 149 with one previous concussion, and 54 with two previous concussions. Multivariate analysis of variance was conducted using the verbal memory, visual memory, reaction time, processing speed, and postconcussion symptom composite scores as dependent variables and group membership as the independent variable.

Results: There was no significant multivariate effect, nor were there any significant main effects for individual scores. There was no measurable effect of one or two previous concussions on athletes' preseason neuropsychological test performance or symptom reporting.

Conclusion: If there is a cumulative effect of one or two previous concussions, it is very small and undetectable using this methodology.

Concussions are fairly common in American football and other sports. Moreover, there is evidence that some concussions go unrecognised. A single concussion is typically a self limiting injury, with symptoms resolving and neuropsychological recovery occurring in less than two weeks for most athletes. However, high school and college football players with a previous concussion are at increased risk of a future concussion. A central concern for athletes, families, coaches, athletic trainers, and sports medicine clinicians is the possibility of long lasting, or permanent, brain damage or dysfunction resulting from multiple concussions.

There is gradually accumulating evidence that a history of three or more concussions is associated with long term changes in neurophysiology, subjective symptoms, and neuropsychological test performance in some athletes. The presumed changes in a subset of athletes are sufficient to result in statistically significant group differences. Moreover, athletes with three or more concussions are at increased risk of a future concussion, have worse on-field presentations of their next concussion, have greater acute changes in memory performance, and are more likely to have slowed recovery. The findings to date on three or more concussions are mixed. With regard to possible long term effects, some researchers have reported significant findings, others have not, and others have equivocal results. For example, Moser and Schatz reported that athletes with a recent concussion differed from athletes with zero or one previous concussions on neuropsychological testing, but they did not differ from athletes with two or more previous concussions. However, athletes with two or more concussions did not differ from athletes with zero or one previous concussion, making the overall conclusions somewhat equivocal. Notably, the small sample sizes and Scheffé post hoc comparisons reduced the likelihood of finding significant differences.

Therefore, overall, the possible long term effects of two previous concussions are unclear. There is, however, preliminary evidence that athletes with two previous concussions have slower recovery times.

Most of the literature on subconcussive blows to the head, such as those associated with heading the soccer ball, one or more boxing matches, or springboard diving generally suggest no obvious adverse neuropsychological or balance effects. However, some researchers have reported neuropsychological decrements or temporary adverse effects on subjective symptoms associated with heading the soccer ball. Commonsense and empirical evidence suggest that a career in boxing will probably result in obvious or subtle damage to the structure and function of the brain. However, at least one prospective study and several other studies have not confirmed this or have reported mixed or equivocal results.

It will take many studies over many years to reach reasonably definitive conclusions about the short, medium, and long term cumulative effects of concussion, and who is at greatest risk. This study represents one small step in that direction. The preseason neuropsychological test performances and subjective symptom reporting of amateur athletes with zero (n = 664), one (n = 149), or two (n = 54) previous concussions were compared. It was hypothesised that athletes with a history of two concussions, as a group, would show small differences on one or more outcome measures from athletes with no previous concussions.

METHOD

Participants: Participants were 867 male high school and university athletes who completed preseason testing with ImPACT version 2.0. Their mean (SD) age was 17.7 (2.3) years (range 13–22) and their mean (SD) education was 11.3 (2.0) years. The breakdown of athletes by sport was as follows: American football 86.7%, ice hockey 9.6%, soccer 2.3%, and other sports 1.4%. Participants were sorted into three groups on the basis of number of previous self reported concussions. Athletes were simply asked, by computerised questioning, whether...
they had sustained a previous concussion. If so, they recorded the number of previous concussions. There were 664 athletes with no previous concussions, 149 with one, and 54 with two. The breakdown of athletes by group and education level was as follows: no previous concussions, 51% high school, 49% university; one previous concussion, 38% high school, 62% university; two previous concussions, 33% high school, 67% university. The breakdown of athletes by group and by sport was as follows: no previous concussions, American football 86%, ice hockey 10%, soccer 3%, and other sports 1%; one previous concussion, American football 91%, ice hockey 7%, soccer 1%, and other sports 1%; two previous concussions, American football 85%, ice hockey 11%, and soccer 4%. Unfortunately, no information was available in the database on the time since the previous concussions or their severity. Given that the baseline testing was preseason, it is reasonable to assume that the athletes were not suffering from a recent concussion.

Measure
Version 2.0 of ImPACT is a brief computer administered neuropsychological test battery that consists of six individual test modules which measure aspects of cognitive functioning including attention, memory, reaction time, and processing speed. Each test module may contribute scores to multiple composite scores. Four composite scores were used for this study. In general, the test battery is designed to yield multiple types of information within a brief period of time. The verbal memory composite score represents the average percentage correct for a word recognition paradigm, a symbol number match task, and a letter memory task with an accompanying interference task. These tests are conceptually similar to traditional verbal learning (word list) tasks and the auditory consonant trigrams test—that is, the Brown-Peterson short term memory paradigm—although the information is presented visually on the computer, not orally by an examiner. The visual memory composite score comprises the average percentage correct scores for two tasks: a recognition memory task that requires the discrimination of a series of abstract line drawings, and a memory task that requires the identification of a series of illuminated Xs or Os after an intervening task (mouse clicking a number sequence from 25 to 1). The first test taps immediate and delayed memory for visual designs and the second test measures short term spatial memory (with an interference task). The reaction time composite score represents the average response time (in milliseconds) on a choice reaction time, a go/no-go task, and the previously mentioned symbol match task (which is similar to a traditional digit symbol task). The processing speed composite represents the weighted average of three tasks that are performed as interference tasks for the memory paradigms. In addition to the cognitive measures, ImPACT also contains a postconcussion symptom scale which consists of 21 commonly reported symptoms—for example, headache, dizziness, “fogginess”. The dependent measure is the total score derived from this 21 item scale. The reliability45 46 and concurrent validity47 48 of the cognitive composite scores and the postconcussion symptom scale,49–51 and the sensitivity of the battery to the acute effects of concussion13 28 46 52 53 have been examined in a number of studies.

RESULTS
The multivariate assumption of normality was violated for several of the dependent variables within each group. However, Levene’s test for homogeneity of variance was not significant for any of the outcome variables. Therefore, parametric statistics were used, and non-parametric analyses were conducted for exploratory purposes. Age and education showed significant correlation (r = 0.94 in the total sample). There were very small but significant correlations between education and verbal memory (r = 0.07) and processing speed (r = 0.14). Moreover, a one way analysis of variance revealed a significant main effect for education across groups. Therefore education was used as a covariate.

Table 1 provides descriptive statistics for the test scores by group. Multivariate analysis of variance, with the five composite scores as dependent variables, group membership as an independent variable, and education as a covariate revealed no overall significant effects. Exploratory one way analyses of variance were conducted using verbal memory, visual memory, reaction time, processing speed, and post-concussion symptom composite scores as dependent variables and group membership as the independent variable. There were no significant main effects for group on any

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Descriptive statistics for the test scores by group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable/group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction time*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None: No previous concussions (n = 664); One, one previous concussion (n = 149); Two, two previous concussions (n = 54).  
IQR, Interquartile range.  
*Reaction time is in seconds; faster (lower numbers) is better. This is reflected in the IQR and the 10th and 90th centiles.
studies of cumulative effects of concussions.

The 10th centile for the never concussed group was selected as a cut-off score for unusually poor performance for each dependent measure. Choosing cut-off scores can be somewhat arbitrary. For this study, we conceptualised the performance of 80% of healthy, never concussed athletes as “broadly normal”—that is, 80% of these athletes score between the 10th and 90th centile. Scores below the 10th centile can be considered unusually low, and scores above the 90th centile unusually high. The percentages of athletes with one previous concussion who scored at or below the 10th centile were as follows: verbal memory 5%, visual memory 11%, processing speed 10%, reaction time 8%, total symptoms 13%. The percentages of athletes with two previous concussions who scored at or below the 10th centile were as follows: verbal memory 9%, visual memory 11%, processing speed 11%, reaction time 9%, total symptoms 9%.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine if athletes with one or two previous concussions differed from athletes with no previous concussions on baseline preseason symptom reporting or cognitive test performance. The obvious strength of this study is the very large sample size. Several methodological weaknesses, however, limit the usefulness and generalisability of these findings. Firstly, all athletes were young men; thus the results cannot be generalised to young women. Secondly, the cross sectional study design combined with the use of group based inferential statistics might have limited the ability to detect subtle cumulative effects in a small subset of athletes. Thirdly, the number of previous concussions was based on athlete self report. Information on time since injury and severity of injury was not available. Therefore issues such as the grade of concussion might have limited the ability to detect subtle cumulative effects attributable to concussion history.

The failure to detect possible persisting problems from one or two previous concussions is probably not due to inadequate sensitivity of the computerised screening measure. Cognitive decrements associated with mild depression, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and, of course, sports concussion have been reported in studies using this screening battery. The following are some recent examples of the sensitivity of ImPACT to concussions in athletes: (a) high school athletes with grade I (“ding”) concussions showed a decline in memory one to three days after the injury followed by a return to baseline at 5–10 days after the injury; (b) concussed athletes reporting headaches one week after the injury had slower reaction times and lower memory scores than concussed athletes who did not report headaches; (c) concussed athletes reporting perceived “fogginess” one week after the injury had slower reaction times, reduced processing speed, and lower memory scores than concussed athletes who did not report fogginess. Similar to the present study, Macciochetti et al. and Moser and Schatz did not find obvious cumulative effects of concussions on neuropsychological functioning or symptom reporting. However, small sample sizes and equivocal results make it difficult to accurately interpret these studies. On the other hand, results from other studies suggest that there are cumulative effects from three or more concussions on the severity of on-field markers and recovery from symptoms, as well as neuropsychological functioning. Clearly, more research is required before definitive conclusions can be drawn and evidence based practice guidelines, which are very much needed, can be established.
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