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Concussion management by primary care providers

M D Pleacher, W W Dexter

Objective: To assess current concussion management practices of primary care providers.

Methods: An 11 item questionnaire was mailed to primary care providers in the state of Maine, with serial mailings to non-respondents.

Results: Over 50% of the questionnaires were completed, with nearly 70% of primary care providers indicating that they routinely use published guidelines as a tool in managing patients with concussion. Nearly two thirds of providers were aware that neuropsychological tests could be used, but only 16% had access to such tests within a week of injury.

Conclusions: Primary care providers are using published concussion management guidelines with high frequency, but many are unable to access neuropsychological testing when it is required.

Recent estimates indicate that as many as 300 000 concussions occur each year during athletic competition. More than 62 000 cases of concussion are estimated to occur among high school athletes annually. After a concussion, the injured brain may be vulnerable to further injury if another trauma is sustained. The second impact during this period of vulnerability may lead to severe cerebral oedema with potentially catastrophic neurological outcomes. Evidence indicates that repeated concussive injuries to the brain may lead to long term impairment of cognitive function. Younger athletes may be at increased risk of complications from repetitive head trauma.

To reduce the risk of such adverse outcomes, numerous sets of guidelines have been established to aid the management of concussion. These guidelines suggest an appropriate time for the injured athlete to return to contact sports. The most commonly used guidelines are published by Cantu, the Colorado Medical Society, and the American Academy of Neurology. These guidelines have been widely published, but the frequency with which they are used by primary care providers remains unknown.

Neuropsychological testing of athletes has been used to identify cognitive deficits after concussion. These tests may be used as an adjunct to existing management guidelines to assist doctors in determining an appropriate time for athletes to return to competition. Many investigators have examined the utility of cognitive testing regimens after concussion. In this study, primary care providers (paediatricians, family doctors, family nurse practitioners, and doctor’s assistants) in the state of Maine were surveyed with regard to their use of published guidelines in the management of concussion. They were also asked about their current use of neuropsychological tests to evaluate athletes with concussion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An 11 item questionnaire was mailed to 723 primary care providers (paediatrics, family practice, nurse practitioners, and doctor’s assistants) in Maine. Two subsequent mailings were made to non-respondents. Data from the survey were entered into a database, and results were analysed using standard descriptive statistics.

RESULTS

Of the 723 surveys sent, 367 (50.8%) were completed fully. Of the 367 respondents, 209 (56.9%) were family doctors, 102 (27.8%) were paediatricians, 31 (8.4%) were nurse practitioners, and 22 (6%) were doctor’s assistants. Just over half (214; 58%) of the respondents indicated that they had treated one and four patients with concussion in the preceding year. Only 82 (22%) had not treated anyone with concussion in the preceding year. A very small proportion (6%) of respondents routinely perform sideline coverage at sporting events. Of these 24 providers, eight (33%) used a standardised objective sideline test such as the balance errors scoring system for athletes suspected of having a concussion.

Of the 367 respondents, 251 (68.4%) indicated that they used published guidelines to aid the management of concussion; 55.4% of these used the American Academy of Neurology guidelines, 23.8% used the Colorado State Medical Society guidelines, and 12.5% used the Cantu guidelines.

Of the 367 respondents, 116 (31.6%) indicated that they did not routinely use published guidelines for the management of concussion. A lack of awareness was usually identified as the barrier to guideline usage (71.6%). An additional 16% indicated that they did not use the published guidelines because they found them confusing.

Of the 367 respondents, 241 (65.7%) indicated that they were aware that neuropsychological testing could be used in the management of concussion. However, only 42.8% (157/367) of respondents indicated that such testing was available locally, and only 16.1% (59/367) could reliably access such testing within a week of injury. Sixty five (17.7%) of the 367 respondents had used neuropsychological testing in the management of concussion in the past; 52 of these (80%) indicated that it was helpful in their management of concussion. Forty five of the latter would use neuropsychological testing again. Overall, 205/367 (55.8%) indicated that they would be likely to use neuropsychological testing in their future management of concussion.

DISCUSSION

Although concussion management guidelines are widely published, how often they are used has not been well documented. A 1995 study by Genuardi and King investigated the discharge instructions given to patients admitted to hospital for sports related concussion. Only 30% received discharge instructions that agreed with available guidelines for return to play. This suggests that, although guidelines are widely available, their use is rare. A second study, by Bazarian et al., showed that many primary care doctors were unaware of concussion management guidelines. The study also showed that, when doctors did implement existing guidelines, many did so inappropriately.

Our results indicate that 68% of providers in Maine use published guidelines to assist the management of concussion.
This result compares favourably with those of Bazarian et al.,
which indicated that only 20% of survey respondents used
guidelines for concussion management. The most often cited
reason for failing to use guidelines in our survey was a lack of
awareness of their existence. This can be overcome by
providing continuing educational opportunities at meetings
and conferences.

This study indicates that only a small proportion of primary
care providers are involved in sideline medical coverage for
sporting events. However, given the high incidence of sport
related concussions among participants in contact and
collision sports, perhaps more primary care providers should
offer sideline coverage as a service to their communities.

Neuropsychological testing has been gaining acceptance as
an adjunctive tool in the management of concussion. A recent
consensus statement by the Concussion in Sport Group published in 2002 places great value on neuropsychologi-
cal testing in the determination of when an athlete should be allowed to return to competition after concussion.64
Neuropsychological testing affords providers an objective
measure of recovery to help in this decision. Results from our
survey indicate that, although most providers are aware that
neuropsychological testing can be useful in concussion
management, only a small proportion have actually accessed
it. Only 42.8% of providers indicated that formal neuro-
psychological testing in the determination of when an athlete
should be allowed to return to competition after concussion.64

An alternative to the formal, time consuming neuropsy-
chological testing is the use of computerised screening
neuropsychological test batteries. These have the advantage
of being readily available, and the results can be analysed by
an athletic trainer, team doctor, or school nurse trained in
the interpretation of such results. These tools can be used to
assist in the return to play decision, and they can identify
concussed athletes with more significant deficits who may
benefit from further formal neuropsychological testing. A
drawback to the commercially available computerised test
batteries is their expense, which may be a barrier to their use
in rural or underserved areas.

The primary care providers surveyed in this study do an
outstanding job of using current guidelines in the manage-
ment of concussion. Although these providers are interested in
using neuropsychological testing to aid concussion manage-
ment, a lack of local availability appears to be a considerable barrier. In areas where access to neuropsy-
chologists is limited, computerised neuropsychological tests may be useful as a screening tool to identify those in need of more
formal evaluation.
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This study helps to shed light on the current practices in the
management of sports related concussion among primary
care providers in the state of Maine. It implies that most of
them use published guidelines to assist in their management
of patients with sports related concussion. However, as with
all analysis of self reported treatment practices, it is not
known how these respondents actually treat sports related
concussion in their daily practice. Also of concern is the
possibility of sampling error between the responders and
non-responders. With concussion occurring in up to 10% of
athletes in contact and collision sports per year, one has to
question why over 80% of the respondents to the ques-
tionnaire treated only zero to four patients with sports related
concussions in the previous year. Who is actually treating
most of the athletes with sports related concussions in
Maine? Does this mean that the other 19% of the primary
care providers in Maine treat most of the sports related
concussions, or are these injuries being taken care of by
another group of providers who were either not surveyed or
did not respond to the survey? What this study does indicate
is that work still needs to be carried out to educate primary
care providers about the use of published guidelines to aid
in their management of sports related concussion, and to
inform these providers about the availability and accessibility
of computerised neuropsychological testing to help. These
measures could ultimately improve the level of care in
concussion management and contribute to the safe return to
play of concussed athletes.