Cryotherapy for acute ankle sprains: a randomised controlled study of two different icing protocols
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Background: The use of cryotherapy in the management of acute soft tissue injury is largely based on anecdotal evidence. Preliminary evidence suggests that intermittent cryotherapy applications are most effective at reducing tissue temperature to optimal therapeutic levels. However, its efficacy in treating injured human subjects is not yet known.

Objective: To compare the efficacy of an intermittent cryotherapy treatment protocol with a standard cryotherapy treatment protocol in the management of acute ankle sprains.

Subjects: Sportsmen (n = 44) and members of the general public (n = 45) with mild/moderate acute ankle sprains.

Methods: Subjects were randomly allocated, under strictly controlled double blind conditions, to one of two treatment groups: standard ice application (n = 46) or intermittent ice application (n = 43). The mode of cryotherapy was standardised across groups and consisted of melting iced water (0°C) in a standardised pack.

Function, pain, and swelling were recorded at baseline and one, two, three, four, and six weeks after injury. Results: Subjects treated with the intermittent protocol had significantly (p = 0.05) less ankle pain on activity than those using a standard 20 minute protocol; however, one week after ankle injury, there were no significant differences between groups in terms of function, swelling, or pain at rest.

Conclusion: Intermittent applications may enhance the therapeutic effect of ice in pain relief after acute soft tissue injury.
and assessed all baseline and follow up measurements. Three secondary researchers assigned subjects to either the standard (n = 46) or intermittent (n = 43) group and provided advice on the appropriate cryotherapy treatment and standardised treatment. Group assignment was concealed from the secondary researchers, using opaque sealed envelopes, until subject recruitment and informed consent was completed.

**Experimental groups**

The mode of cryotherapy was standardised across groups and consisted of melting iced water (0°C) in a standard sized pack. Plastic ice bags (20 cm x 20 cm) were completely filled with water, placed in a freezer, and removed when frozen. Before application, the packs were held under hot water for 30 seconds and wrapped in a single layer of standardised towelling (moistened until just dripping wet). Standard ice application consisted of 20 minutes of continuous ice treatment performed every two hours. This duration of treatment has been recommended in the literature and is also commonly used in the clinical setting. The intermittent ice group applied ice for 10 minutes. The pack was then removed, and the ankle was rested at room temperature for 10 minutes. The ice was then reapplied for a further 10 minutes. Again intermittent treatments were repeated every two hours, and both groups continued their respective treatments over the first 72 hours of injury. Previous studies have shown that the mode and duration of cryotherapy applied in the intermittent protocol reduces skin temperature to 5°C immediately after treatment.

Subjects were responsible for ice pack preparation, and all treatments were self administered. At the time of the trial, subjects were given a verbal explanation of the correct procedure for ice pack preparation and application, which was supplemented with step by step written instructions. Patient compliance was monitored using a treatment diary, which was returned to the secondary researcher one week after the injury. Ankle mobility (ankle circles, 30 repetitions x 3), calf stretching (non-weight bearing, 30 second holds x 5), and basic proprioceptive exercises were standardised between groups by means of an advice sheet. Subjects were encouraged to perform these exercises once a day for the first week.

**Outcome measurement and follow up procedure**

Function, pain, and swelling were recorded at baseline and one, two, three, four, and six weeks after injury.

(1) The primary outcome measure was subjective ankle function, assessed using Binkley’s lower extremity functional scale. This scale has excellent test retest reliability and good construct validity.

(2) Pain was assessed using a 10 cm visual analogue scale, marked “no pain” at one end and “worst pain ever” at the other. This form of assessment was considered most appropriate because of its high level of repeatability when used serially on the same patient.

---

**Figure 1** CONSORT diagram showing participant flow and retention.
Swelling was measured using a figure of eight method. All measurements were performed using one quarter inch wide plastic tape following a standard written protocol. A mean of two measures, from both the injured and uninjured ankle, was recorded. To establish the degree of swelling on the injured side, a difference value was calculated by subtracting the mean value for swelling for the uninjured ankle from the injured ankle. The figure of eight method has been shown to be a highly reliable and valid tool for measuring the girth of both healthy and oedematous ankles.

Power calculations and statistical analysis
A randomised controlled pilot study (n = 15) was used to determine sample size and recruitment targets. The power analysis calculations based on the results showed that assuming 80% power and a 0.05 α value, and based on a between-within repeated measures analysis of variance design, a minimum number of 40 subjects would be required for each intervention group, to detect a mean difference of 9 (in either direction) on the questionnaire for the primary outcome. Using information from a previous study in the same hospital department, a 10% attrition rate was estimated at each phase of the trial, therefore this study aimed to recruit a total of 128 subjects. Recruitment was planned for a 52 week period, and an average of 2.5 new subjects would be recruited a week.

Data were analysed using the Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences (Windows 9.0). Descriptive statistics were performed to produce mean (SEM). For all outcome measures, a within subjects repeated measures analysis of variance was performed to determine the significant change over time between groups. The raw scores recorded at baseline and week 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 were the six levels on the within subjects factor. The two treatment groups (intermittent and standard) were the two levels on the between subject factor.

In addition, a within subjects repeated measures analysis of covariance was undertaken to control for the subjects baseline levels before investigation of their change in subsequent time points. Time (five levels: week 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6) was the within subject factor, treatment group (two levels: intermittent and standard) was the between subjects factor, and baseline values were used as the covariate. For both the analysis of variance and analysis of covariance, in cases when Mauchley’s test revealed that the assumption of sphericity had been violated (p ≤ 0.05), the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied.

Table 1 Baseline general and clinical characteristics of patients with ankle sprains in the two treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intermittent ice (n = 43)</th>
<th>Standard ice (n = 46)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>29.8 (11.5)</td>
<td>31.2 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)*</td>
<td>178.8 (11.9)</td>
<td>174.3 (12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)*</td>
<td>78.2 (12.3)</td>
<td>80.1 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1:grade 2</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of injury (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work related</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from injury (h)*</td>
<td>24 (6.8)</td>
<td>27 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ankle injury (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients employed (%)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-smokers (%)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female</td>
<td>28:15</td>
<td>30:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport:general</td>
<td>22:21</td>
<td>22:24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean (SD).

Table 2 Baseline values of all outcome measures by treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Intermittent (n = 43)</th>
<th>Standard (n = 46)</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjective function (lower extremity functional scale)</td>
<td>24.6 (1.96)</td>
<td>22.3 (2.23)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity at rest (10 cm VAS)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.14)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.22)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity on activity (10 cm VAS)</td>
<td>3.9 (0.28)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.27)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling (cm) (figure of eight method)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.12)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). Independent samples t test was used to determine statistical significance (p < 0.05). VAS, Visual analogue scale.
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improvements over time were significant in both groups; repeated measures analysis of variance revealed that the decreases over time were significant (p < 0.05), and there was a significant interaction between group and time (p < 0.05).

These findings were consistent with the repeated measures analysis of covariance, which adjusted for baseline differences. A univariate analysis of covariance revealed that the pain values reduced significantly more between baseline and week 1 (p < 0.017) in the intermittent group compared with the standard group. As there were multiple comparisons made, Bonferroni adjustment reduced the p value to 0.017 for this analysis.

Imputed analysis

An alternative analysis was conducted to address the problem of dropouts. The expectation maximisation algorithm method was used to impute missing values. This restored consistent with the repeated measures analysis of covariance, which adjusted for baseline differences.

RESULTS

Eighty-nine subjects (male:female, 58:31; mean (SD) age 29.9 (10.32) years) with acute ankle sprains were recruited from the staff and student population at the University of Ulster and the Royal Victoria Hospital, United Hospitals Trust, between January 2002 and February 2003. Although the original target was to randomise 128 subjects, the attrition rate was lower than expected, and recruitment was stopped prematurely. Participant flow and retention were summarised in the CONSORT diagram (fig 1).

Table 1 shows the basic and clinical characteristics of patients in each group. Table 2 highlights that at baseline there were no significant differences between groups in terms of ankle function, pain at rest or on activity, or swelling. The degree of compliance in both groups was good: mean (SEM) number of ice treatments over the first 72 hours was 5.7 (0.41) in the standard group and 5.5 (0.42) in the intermittent group (t = 0.21, p = 0.84).

Intention to treat analysis

Nineteen subjects were lost to follow up, and therefore full datasets from 70 subjects were available for the intention to treat analysis. All 70 of these patients received the relevant intervention as allocated and all of them complied adequately with treatment. Subjects were deemed to be compliant if they applied ice at least once each day over the first 72 hours, as rated by their patient diaries. None of them were considered protocol violators, and it was not deemed necessary to undertake an additional per protocol analysis.

Function/swelling/pain at rest

Values for subjective ankle function, swelling, and pain at rest improved over the entire follow-up period (figs 2–4). A repeated measures analysis of variance revealed that the improvements over time were significant in both groups (p < 0.05) for all outcomes. However, there was no significant interaction between time and group. These findings were not consistent with the repeated measures analysis of covariance, which adjusted for baseline differences.

Pain on activity

Values in both treatment groups decreased at each time point during the six week follow up (fig 5). A repeated measures analysis of variance revealed that the decreases over time were significant (p < 0.05), and there was a significant interaction between group and time (p < 0.05).

These findings were consistent with the repeated measures analysis of covariance, which adjusted for baseline differences. A univariate analysis of covariance revealed that the pain values reduced significantly more between baseline and week 1 (p < 0.017) in the intermittent group compared with the standard group. As there were multiple comparisons made, Bonferroni adjustment reduced the p value to 0.017 for this analysis.

Imputed analysis

An alternative analysis was conducted to address the problem of dropouts. The expectation maximisation algorithm method was used to impute missing values. This restored

procedure was applied to adjust for the degrees of freedom. The level of significance for all tests was set at 0.05.

In the event of any significant interaction between group and time, a univariate analysis of covariance was undertaken. This highlighted the time point where the significant differences lay. For multiple comparisons, Bonferroni adjustments were applied and the p values were lowered accordingly. Initially, all analyses were undertaken using listwise deletion and then repeated using the expectation maximisation algorithm method to impute missing values.

Imputed analysis

An alternative analysis was conducted to address the problem of dropouts. The expectation maximisation algorithm method was used to impute missing values. This restored

consistent with the repeated measures analysis of covariance, which adjusted for baseline differences.
the sample size to its original value level, thus increasing the power of the study (n = 80). The findings from both the repeated measures analysis of variance and adjusted repeated measures analysis of covariance were consistent with the intention to treat analysis.

**Adverse effects**

There were no reported incidences of skin burns, nerve palsies, or any other potentially deleterious effects in either group throughout the duration of the trial.

**Retrospective power analysis**

In this study, the effect size for the primary outcome measure (subjective function) was calculated at 0.23. Full datasets were obtained from 70 subjects, therefore the power of this study, based on a 0.05 z value and a between-within repeated measures analysis of variance design, was calculated at 0.59. The imputed analysis increased the sample size to n = 80, and the power of the study increased to 0.68.

**DISCUSSION**

**Overview**

This is the first randomised controlled study to rigorously compare the effectiveness of two different, clinically appropriate cryotherapy protocols, using humans with closed soft tissue injuries. Both groups showed significant improvements over time in pain, function, and swelling. The only significant difference between the two groups was that subjects applying the intermittent protocol had less pain during everyday activity at week 1.

**Cryotherapy and ankle pain**

The mechanisms by which ice decreases pain after injury is a contentious issue: it may reduce nerve conduction or muscle spasm or have an antiinflammatory effect on the control mechanism. There is some evidence that cold induced analgesia is short term, however, continuing for just 15–30 minutes after treatment cessation. In this study, ice application was confined to the acute phases of injury, and it is unlikely that analgesia by any of these proposed mechanisms could directly influence ankle pain, weeks or even days outside this time period. Potentially, however, the degree of cooling achieved in the acute phases may indirectly influence the levels of ankle pain one week after injury, which may explain the significantly lower levels reported in the intermittent group. For example, as intermittent 10 minute applications maintain tissues at optimal levels of 10–15°C for longer than standard 20 minute treatments, subjects using this protocol would also reduce metabolism to optimal levels for longer. This may have reduced the degree of secondary cell injury, thereby minimising the magnitude of the inflammatory response. This may also be associated with reduced swelling, nerve damage, muscle spasm, and cell mediators, all of which may influence subjective pain after ankle injury.

In addition, by providing optimal cooling, intermittent ice applications provide more efficient short term analgesia. Again, although this would not directly influence ankle pain at the first follow up, it may have allowed earlier mobilisation. There is much evidence that controlled mobilisation aids soft tissue healing and is associated with enhanced collagen fibre growth and realignment and less soft tissue fibrosis, and therefore a decreased likelihood of pain. Moreover, one might postulate that the very nature of an intermittent treatment may have been more conducive to performing therapeutic exercise. Anecdotal recommendations state that 10 minutes of cryotherapy can induce sufficient analgesia to allow therapeutic exercise, and much of the scientific evidence suggests that superficial tissues reach their peak temperature reduction after about 10 minutes of cooling. Therefore, in effect, the intermittent group may have had two opportunities to exercise and mobilise their ankle in a pain-free state (at the interim period between treatments and after the second 10 minute treatment) compared with just one in the standard group (at the end of the 20 minute treatment).

**Ankle function**

This study found no significant difference in functional status between groups. However, a large number of subjects in both groups had difficulty running on uneven ground and making cutting movements when running six weeks after the injury despite recording low levels of pain on activity. The reason for this persistent difficulty with functional tasks may have been functional instability rather than pain. Functional instability has been defined as the occurrence of recurrent joint instability or the sensation of joint instability because of contributions of any neuromuscular deficits. A component of its pathogenesis has often been attributed to proprioceptive deficits. However, further study is required to assess the extent that proprioception (or an element of proprioception) influences subjective ankle function.

**Ankle swelling**

In all cases in this study, ankle sprain resulted in an increase in ankle girth. Such post-traumatic oedema may compound the extent of tissue damage, delaying healing, and can even result in some degree of chronic disability. Cold is thought to cause vasoconstriction, decreasing the permeability of local blood vessels, thereby reducing the degree of haemorrhage after injury. Dolan et al recorded decreases in animal limb girth after cooling, whereas a number of animal and human studies have reported net increases in tissue girth immediately after cryotherapy. This increase in swelling has been attributed to cold induced damage to superficial lymph vessels, rather than cold induced vasodilation. In the present study, swelling decreased steadily over the six weeks after injury in both groups, and, although this occurred at slightly different rates, there were no significant differences between groups. Although it seems that neither the intermittent nor standard protocols caused an increase in limb girth, these measurements were recorded at least four days after ice application, and the immediate post-treatment effects could not be assessed.

**Study limitations**

The retrospective analysis revealed that the power of the study was 0.68. This fell below the expected level (80%), and there is an increased risk of type 2 error. The only significant difference between groups was that the degree of pain on activity was significantly lower in the intermittent group at week 1. Generally, however, the overall pain scores on activity were low, and, at week 1, both the intermittent group and standard group recorded mean values of less than 5/10. Indeed, these values agree with other studies, which also indicate that the degree of pain after ankle sprain is moderate to low. Others have postulated that, in certain instances, the differences between groups in visual analogue scale score may have no clinical significance, even if they achieve statistical significance.

**CONCLUSION**

The application of an intermittent cryotherapy protocol after mild or moderate ankle sprain significantly reduced the level of subjective pain on activity, one week after the injury, compared with a standard protocol. There was no significant difference in terms of function, swelling, or pain at rest. It appears that intermittent applications may enhance the
What is already known

• Cryotherapy is largely accepted as the treatment of choice for acute soft tissue injury, but current recommendations have many shortcomings and selection of parameters in a clinical environment continues to be made pragmatically.
• There is preliminary evidence that shorter intermittent applications are most effective at reducing tissue temperature.
• The therapeutic effect of ice after acute soft tissue injury, but the benefits are currently restricted to pain relief in the early stages of rehabilitation.

What this study adds

• This is the first randomised controlled study to stringently compare two different cryotherapy protocols using subjects with closed soft tissue injury.
• In accordance with basic science research, the results highlight that shorter, intermittent applications may enhance the analgesic effect of ice after acute ankle injury.
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This is an interesting paper which addresses a problem that every professional involved in sports medicine will encounter. For how long should I use cryotherapy, and, if I remove the cooling agent for a short period, will the patient experience reactive hyperaemia? Some patients may even report that cooling is a little uncomfortable, and some want to remove the cooling agent for short periods. Will this cause a reaction that may be counterproductive to the rehabilitation of acute ankle injuries? No, and this trial also tells us that cryotherapy is safe. Small periods without ice do not compromise the short term result and may even be more beneficial in the short term.
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